# **Research Article**



# Discovery of the most luminous quasar of the last 9 Gyr

Christopher A. Onken<sup>1</sup><sup>(1)</sup>, Samuel Lai (赖民希)<sup>1</sup><sup>(1)</sup>, Christian Wolf<sup>1,2</sup><sup>(1)</sup>, Adrian B. Lucy<sup>3</sup><sup>(1)</sup>, Wei Jeat Hon<sup>4</sup><sup>(1)</sup>, Patrick Tisserand<sup>5</sup><sup>(1)</sup>, Jennifer L. Sokoloski<sup>6</sup><sup>(1)</sup>, Gerardo J. M. Luna<sup>7,8,9</sup><sup>(1)</sup>, Rajeev Manick<sup>10,11</sup><sup>(1)</sup>, Xiaohui Fan<sup>12</sup><sup>(1)</sup>, and Fuyan Bian (边福彦)<sup>13</sup><sup>(1)</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Research School of Astronomy and Astrophysics, Australian National University, Canberra, ACT 2611, Australia, <sup>2</sup>Centre for Gravitational Astrophysics, Australian National University, Canberra, ACT 2600, Australia, <sup>3</sup>Space Telescope Science Institute, 3700 San Martin Drive, Baltimore, MD 21218, USA, <sup>4</sup>School of Physics, University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria 3010, Australia, <sup>5</sup>Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ Paris 6 et CNRS, Institut d'Astrophysique de Paris, 98 bis bd Arago, F-75014 Paris, France, <sup>6</sup>Columbia Astrophysics Lab, Columbia University, 550 W120th Street, 1027 Pupin Hall, MC 5247, New York, NY 10027, USA,
 <sup>7</sup>CONICET-Universidad de Buenos Aires, Instituto de Astronomía y Física del Espacio (IAFE), Av. Inte. Güiraldes 2620, C1428ZAA Buenos Aires, Argentina, <sup>8</sup>Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina, <sup>9</sup>Universidad Nacional de Hurlingham, Av. Gdor. Vergara 2222, Villa Tesei, Buenos Aires, Argentina, <sup>10</sup>Université Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, IPAG, 38000 Grenoble, France, <sup>11</sup>South African Astronomical Observatory, PO Box 9, Observatory 7935, South Africa, <sup>12</sup>Steward Observatory, University of Arizona, 933 North Cherry Avenue, Tucson, AZ 85721, USA and <sup>13</sup>European Southern Observatory, Alonso de Córdova 3107, Casilla 19001, Vitacura, Santiago 19, Chile

#### Abstract

We report the discovery of a bright (g = 14.5 mag (AB), K = 11.9 mag (Vega)) quasar at redshift z = 0.83 — the optically brightest (unbeamed) quasar at z > 0.4. SMSS J114447.77-430859.3, at a Galactic latitude of  $b = +18.1^{\circ}$ , was identified by its optical colours from the SkyMapper Southern Survey (SMSS) during a search for symbiotic binary stars. Optical and near-infrared spectroscopy reveals broad Mg II, H $\beta$ , H $\alpha$ , and Pa $\beta$  emission lines, from which we measure a black hole mass of  $\log_{10}(M_{\rm BH}/M_{\odot}) = 9.4 \pm 0.5$ . With its high luminosity,  $L_{\rm bol} = (4.7 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{47} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$  or  $M_i(z = 2) = -29.74 \text{ mag}$  (AB), we estimate an Eddington ratio of  $\approx 1.4$ . As the most luminous quasar known over the last ~9 Gyr of cosmic history, having a luminosity  $8 \times$  greater than 3C 273, the source offers a range of potential follow-up opportunities.

Keywords: active galactic nuclei: quasars - supermassive black holes

(Received 9 June 2022; revised 28 July 2022; accepted 2 August 2022)

## 1. Introduction

The observational study of quasars took off rapidly from the back-to-back papers of *Nature*'s 1963 March 16 issue, which featured the redshift determinations for 3C 273 and 3C 48 (Schmidt 1963; Oke 1963; Greenstein 1963). Barely two years later, the quasar 3C 9 became the first known object at a redshift greater than 2 (Schmidt 1965). But as exemplified by the 5-mag difference in the optical brightness between 3C 273 and 3C 9, the exploration towards higher redshifts became a push to fainter magnitudes.

Fortunately, the early recognition of radio-quiet quasars becoming prominent amongst blue, star-like objects beyond a magnitude of V = 14.5 (Vega) provided an efficient means of identifying quasars from photometric techniques (Sandage 1965) and known quasars now number in the hundreds of thousands (see, e.g., the Million Quasar Catalogue, v7.5, hereafter Milliquas; Flesch 2021). Despite the proliferation of wide-area surveys across a range of wavelengths over the intervening fifty years, the search for bright quasars remains unfinished.

Here, we report on a spectroscopic investigation of a bright, blue, point-like source selected from the SkyMapper Southern

Corresponding author: Christopher A. Onken, email: christopher.onken@anu.edu.au Cite this article: Onken CA, Lai (赖民希) S, Wolf C, Lucy AB, Hon WJ, Tisserand P, Sokoloski JL, Luna GJM, Manick R, Fan X and Bian (边福彦) F. (2022) Discovery of the most luminous quasar of the last 9 Gyr. *Publications of the Astronomical* Society of Australia 39, e037, 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1017/pasa.2022.36 Survey Data Release 2 (SMSS DR2; Wolf et al. 2018a; Onken et al. 2019), which demonstrates that SMSS J114447.77-430859.3 (SMSS DR2 object\_id<sup>a</sup> 84280208; hereafter, J1144) is a z = 0.83 quasar. Aside from one blazar object at z = 0.6 (PKS 1424+240), this makes J1144 the optically brightest quasar known above a redshift of 0.4.

Spectroscopy of J1144 was first acquired during a search for symbiotic binaries, in which cool giant stars accrete onto smaller companions, using SMSS DR2 (Lucy 2021). While any known active galactic nucleus (AGN, as identified in SIMBAD; Wenger et al. 2000) was excluded, J1144 had only been identified as an AGN candidate by its near-IR and IR colours. It was identified as a candidate by Edelson & Malkan (2012) using the Two Micron All-Sky Survey (2MASS; Skrutskie et al. 2006) and the Widefield Infrared Survey Explorer (WISE; Wright et al. 2010; Mainzer et al. 2011). Similarly, Secrest et al. (2015) utilised the AllWISE<sup>b</sup> update to the IR dataset and selected J1144 as a quasar candidate from its IR colours alone. Notably, Shu et al. (2019) even estimated a photometric redshift for J1144 of z = 0.82 from DR2 of the Gaia satellite mission (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2016; Gaia Collaboration 2018) and the unWISE (Schlafly, Meisner, & Green 2019) revision to the photometry from WISE.

© The Author(s), 2022. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of the Astronomical Society of Australia.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>The name and object\_id remain the same in SMSS DR3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>See https://wise2.ipac.caltech.edu/docs/release/allwise/expsup/index.html.

However, the *WISE* colours of symbiotic stars sometimes fall in typical AGN selection regimes, which is why such sources were not excluded from the symbiotic star search. In fact, the flickering, accretion-powered symbiotic star EF Aql has an AGN-like  $(W1 - W2) \approx 0.9$  colour and was discovered via the UV-bright Quasar Survey (UVQS; Monroe et al. 2016; Margon et al. 2016; Zamanov et al. 2017). Lucy (2021) explored various SMSS selection mechanisms for different types of symbiotic stars, and J1144, being much bluer than most isolated cool giant stars, was caught by a (u - g)/(u - v) colour-only selection. Thus, along with 232 other symbiotic star candidates, optical spectroscopy of J1144 was obtained with the goal of confirming the presence of a cool giant star with emission lines, indicative of symbiotic binarity. J1144 was the only observed source to show AGN emission lines.

In Section 2, we describe the observations and data processing. In Section 3, we analyse the spectroscopic data and estimate the mass of the central black hole (BH). We summarise additional data available for J1144 in Section 4 and compare J1144 to other bright quasars in Section 5. Section 6 discusses the outlook to further study and utilisation of J1144, as well as the prospects for additional such discoveries in the future. Throughout the paper, we use Vega magnitudes for *Gaia* and IR data, and AB magnitudes for the SkyMapper passbands: *uvgriz*. We adopt a flat  $\Lambda$ CDM cosmology with  $\Omega_{\rm m} = 0.3$  and a Hubble-Lemaître constant of  $H_0 =$ 70 km s<sup>-1</sup> Mpc<sup>-1</sup>.

# 2. Observations and data processing

The spectroscopic portion of the symbiotic star programme (described in Lucy 2021) principally used the South African Astronomical Observatory (SAAO) 1.9-m telescope and its SpUpNIC instrument (Spectrograph Upgrade: Newly Improved Cassegrain; Crause et al. 2019). Following the initial classification of J1144 as an AGN, additional optical spectroscopic data was obtained at higher spectral resolution with the Australian National University (ANU) 2.3-m telescope at Siding Spring Observatory (SSO) using the Wide Field Spectrograph (WiFeS; Dopita et al. 2007; Dopita 2010), and near-IR spectroscopy was obtained with the TripleSpec4.1 instrument (Schlawin et al. 2014) on the Southern Astrophysical Research (SOAR) 4.1-m telescope.

#### 2.1 Optical spectroscopy with SAAO 1.9 m/SpUpNIC

SpUpNIC observations of J1144 were obtained on UT 2019 June 24 with the G7 grating. G7 is a low-resolution grating, which covered 3300–8930 Å with a resolving power  $R \sim 500$ . A BG38 filter was manually inserted into the arc beam. The spectroscopic slit width was 2.24 arcsec in seeing of  $\sim 2''$ , and a spatial binning of 2 pixels was used. The exposure time was 1200 s, with the object at an airmass of 1.2.

Flux calibration was performed with observations of the spectrophotometric standard star, CD-32 9927 (Hamuy et al. 1994),<sup>c</sup> obtained on the same night. Data reduction, including bias subtraction and flat-fielding, used the standard tasks in the Image Reduction and Analysis Facility (IRAF; Tody 1986). A second pass at flux scaling was performed by processing the spectrophotometric standard in the same way as the science spectra and determining the residual correction needed to align the flux with the model values. The final signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) of the SpUpNIC spectrum was  $\sim$ 50 per pixel.

#### 2.2 Optical spectroscopy with ANU 2.3 m/WiFeS

WiFeS spectra were obtained on UT 2022 March 11 with two grating configurations. WiFeS is an integral field spectrograph and when used with a spatial binning of 2 pixels, it provides  $1 \times 1$ arcsec sampling over its  $25 \times 38$  arcsec field-of-view.

With the resolving power  $R \sim 3000$  gratings, an exposure of 600 s was obtained, covering the wavelength range 3250–9550 Å across the two cameras of the spectrograph (the RT560 beam-splitter was used). For the high-resolution gratings ( $R \sim 7000$ ), an exposure time of 900 s was used. The B7000 grating covered 4180–5540 Å, while the I7000 grating covered 6810–9040 Å. All observations were obtained near an airmass of 1.2 with seeing of 1.8–2 arcsec in the *i*-band.

The spectrophotometric standard star, BD-12 2669 (Heap & Lindler 2010), was observed immediately after the J1144 spectra. The raw frames were reduced with the Python-based pipeline, PyWiFeS (Childress et al. 2014). We then extracted the spectra from the calibrated 3D data cubes using QFitsView,<sup>d</sup> selecting nearby source-free regions for sky subtraction. Variance and data-quality frames were extracted from the same regions. As with the SAAO data, a second iteration of flux scaling was performed by aligning the processed spectrophotometric standard spectrum to the model fluxes. The S/N in the final spectra ranged from 20-60 per pixel.

### 2.3 Near-IR spectroscopy with SOAR/TripleSpec4.1

We observed J1144 with TripleSpec4.1 on UT 2022 February 13 under NOIRLab programme 2022A-389756 (PI: X. Fan). TripleSpec4.1 utilises a fixed spectroscopic slit of  $1.1 \times 28$  arcsec and produces cross-dispersed spectra that cover a simultaneous wavelength range from 0.95 to 2.47 microns, at a spectral resolution of ~3500.

The observations were performed in three consecutive ABBA patterns, with 40s exposures at each dither position. The detector was read out with 4-pair Fowler sampling (Fowler & Gatley 1990). The seeing was 1 arcsec in *J*-band. Observations of the A0V star, HIP 56984, were obtained immediately prior to J1144 to serve as a telluric and flux standard. The data were processed with the Spextool software package (Cushing, Vacca, & Rayner 2004; Cushing, Vacca, & Rayner 2014) written in the Interactive Data Language (IDL<sup>e</sup>), as modified for TripleSpec4.1.<sup>f</sup> Telluric correction was applied using the XTELLCORR package (Vacca, Cushing, & Rayner 2003) in IDL. The final S/N was 50–100 per pixel.

### 3. Spectroscopic analysis

We normalise the spectra by anchoring them to the photometric data which best overlap the cleanest wavelength regions of each spectrum. This involves the g, r, and i bands from SMSS DR3 and the 2MASS *H*-band for the near-IR spectrum. The bandpass details were retrieved from the Spanish Virtual Observatory (SVO) Filter Profile Service<sup>g</sup> (Rodrigo, Solano, & Bayo 2012; Rodrigo & Solano 2020). There is good agreement amongst the calibrations provided by the available photometric bands (typically

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>But see Bessell (1999) regarding correction of telluric features.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup>Available from https://www.mpe.mpg.de/~ott/QFitsView/.

eSee https://www.l3harrisgeospatial.com/Software-Technology/IDL.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>f</sup>See https://noirlab.edu/science/observing-noirlab/observing-ctio/observing-soar/data-reduction/triplespec-data.

gSee http://svo2.cab.inta-csic.es/theory/fps/.



**Figure 1.** Rest-frame spectrum of J1144, in units of erg s<sup>-1</sup> Å<sup>-1</sup>. Uncertainties are shown with grey errorbars, typically smaller than the thickness of the line. The dashed line shows a power-law continuum with slope  $\alpha_{\lambda}$ =-1.56, which fits the spectrum well up to wavelengths of 7000 Å. The spectrum shown here has been corrected for Galactic reddening, but not for any internal reddening.

better than 5%), consistent with the small levels of photometric variability discussed in Section 4.

We correct for Galactic reddening using the Fitzpatrick et al. (2019) extinction curve, as implemented in the DUST\_EXTINCTION Python package (Gordon 2021). We assume  $R_V = 3.1$ , and we take the E(B - V) = 0.123 mag from Schlegel et al. (1998) and additionally apply the ×0.86 correction factor of Schlafly & Finkbeiner (2011).<sup>h</sup> The spectra are combined as the weighted mean on a new wavelength grid which sampled the spectra in pixels at 200 km s<sup>-1</sup> spacing. The strong emission lines in the J1144 spectrum (Mg II, H $\beta$ , H $\alpha$ , and Pa $\beta$ ) were used together (weighted mean) to provide a redshift estimate of 0.8314 ± 0.0001. The observed spectrum is then transformed to rest-frame wavelengths and to luminosity in units of erg s<sup>-1</sup> Å<sup>-1</sup>, giving a velocity resolution of 109 km s<sup>-1</sup> pixel<sup>-1</sup> and a final S/N between 100 and 250 per pixel. The combined spectrum is shown in Figure 1.

When fitting the spectrum, we separately consider the wavelength regimes around CIII, Mg II, H $\beta$ , H $\alpha$ , and Pa $\beta$ . For each wavelength region, we first fit a combined power-law continuum and iron template. The best power-law slope for the combined UV/optical range is found to be  $\alpha_{\lambda} = -1.56$ , although a flatter slope of -0.79 is a better fit for the near-IR, likely reflecting the contributions of hot dust in the region longward of 1 $\mu$ m (cf. the *WISE* photometry in Figure 4). Because of the impact of the iron model on the remaining emission line profiles, we test the systematic effects of adopting various iron emission templates in the UV and optical portions of the spectrum. For the UV iron templates, we use those of Shen & Liu (2012),<sup>i</sup> Tsuzuki et al. (2006) and Mejia-Restrepo et al. (2016), while for the optical templates, we use those of Boroson & Green (1992; BG92, hereafter), Tsuzuki et al. (2006), Bruhweiler & Verner (2008), and Park et al. (2022). The velocity broadening of the template is a free parameter in each fit. On average, the best-fit iron full width at half-maximum (FWHM) was  $\sim$ 2500 km s<sup>-1</sup>. The systematic errors arising from the iron template choice are square-added to the statistical errors in the fit results below.

For the subsequent steps of the spectral modelling, the emission lines are each fit with a sum of three Gaussian profiles. We estimate the statistical uncertainties on the fit parameters via a Monte Carlo approach, taking the RMS from 50 realisations in which the flux at each pixel is varied according to the error spectrum. Because of the weak [OIII] and [SII] emission and lack of evident narrow-line contribution to the Balmer lines, even in the original  $R \sim 7000$  spectrum of H $\beta$ , no narrow-line subtraction is performed for the Balmer lines or the [NII] lines near H $\alpha$ . Integrating 3× the error spectrum over spectral windows of ±200 km s<sup>-1</sup> around [OIII] 5007 Å and [SII] 6716 + 6731 Å provides conservative upper limits of 5 × 10<sup>42</sup> and 3 × 10<sup>42</sup> erg s<sup>-1</sup>, respectively; however, the blending of H $\alpha$  with [NII] precludes a similar upper limit estimate for the latter.

The emission line fits are shown in Figure 2. The C III fit is poorly constrained, because of limited wavelength coverage, the lower spectral resolution of the SAAO data from which it is principally observed, and lack of de-blending with AlIII and SiIII. As a result, the parameters are omitted from Table 1. The features reward of H $\beta$  are likely iron lines that have not been well modelled because of errors in the flux calibration at the long-wavelength limit of the optical spectra.

From the sum of the Gaussian fits, we determine the velocity shift (derived from the peak of the line profile), the integrated line luminosity, the FWHM, and the second moment of the line profile ( $\sigma_{\text{line}}$ ). The emission line properties are summarised in Table 1. As was the case for the continuum luminosities, the emission line luminosity errors are dominated by the photometric calibration uncertainties.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>h</sup>The E(B - V) map recommended by Schröder et al. (2021), from the generalised needlet internal linear combination (GNILC) analysis of the *Planck* 2015 data release Planck Collaboration et al. (2016), gives a consistent value of 0.121  $\pm$  0.004 mag. Schröder et al. (2021) also suggest retaining the rescaling factor of 0.86.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>i</sup>We note that the template of Shen & Liu (2012) implements a combination of Vestergaard & Wilkes (2001), Tsuzuki et al. (2006), and Salviander et al. (2007).



**Figure 2.** Emission line fits to C III, Mg II, Hβ, Hα, and Paβ, as indicated in each panel. Black lines indicate the data. The model is plotted with progressively added elements: the power-law continuum (orange), then the pseudo-continuum from the broadened iron template (blue), then the emission line fits (red). The red dashed lines indicate the three Gaussian profiles used to fit each line. The particular fits shown here use the Shen & Liu (2012) and BG92 templates in the UV and optical, respectively.

#### 3.1 Luminosity of J1144

From the power-law continuum fits, we determine the luminosities at several rest-frame wavelengths of interest:

$$\begin{split} &\log_{10}(\lambda \ L_{\lambda}(3000 \ \text{\AA})/\text{erg s}^{-1}) = 47.12 \pm 0.04, \\ &\log_{10}(\lambda \ L_{\lambda}(5100 \ \text{\AA})/\text{erg s}^{-1}) = 46.94 \pm 0.04, \text{ and} \\ &\log_{10}(\lambda \ L_{\lambda}(1\mu \ \text{m})/\text{erg s}^{-1}) \ = \ 46.67 \pm 0.04, \end{split}$$

where the errors are dominated by the 0.1 mag uncertainties in the flux calibration but do not incorporate the small additional factor of source variability (see Section 4). The luminosity at 3000 Å translates into an absolute magnitude of  $M_{300nm} = -28.70$  mag (AB). To aid comparison with higher-redshift samples, we extrapolate the best-fit continuum power-law (with  $\alpha_{\lambda} = -1.56$ ) to shorter wavelengths and find  $\log_{10}(\lambda L_{\lambda}(1450 \text{ Å})/\text{erg s}^{-1}) = 47.2$  or  $M_{145 \text{ nm}} = -28.36$  mag (AB). Using the prescription of Richards et al. (2006), we find  $M_i(z = 2) = -29.74$  mag (AB).

We adopt the bolometric correction (BC) for 3000 Å from Runnoe et al. (2012a, 2012b), although their BC values for 5100 Å (with spectral slope correction) or the BC values from Netzer (2019) yield similar results. The bolometric luminosity of J1144 is  $(4.7 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{47}$  erg s<sup>-1</sup>, where the uncertainty accounts for the variation arising from different BC assumptions. For the canonical radiative efficiency of 0.1 (e.g., Yu & Tremaine 2002), this equates to an accretion rate of ~80 M<sub>☉</sub> yr<sup>-1</sup>.

#### 3.2 BH Mass of J1144

The wide wavelength coverage of our spectroscopic data provides access to several emission lines from the broad-line region (BLR), which can be used to estimate the mass of the central BH in J1144 through the virial relations. Anchored to the H $\beta$  reverberation mapping results from the local AGN sample (see Peterson et al. 2004), the virial relations rely on a single epoch of line width and luminosity measurements to infer the velocity of the BLR gas around the BH, as well as the characteristic distance from the BH to the line-emitting gas in question (see, e.g., Cackett, Bentz, & Kara 2021). Each emission line may have its own velocity and distance, which should yield consistent mass estimates under the assumption that the gravity of the BH dominates the gas dynamics. However, we do note that J1144 represents an extrapolation of a factor of ~10 in luminosity compared to the well measured H $\beta$ reverberation mapping sample of Bentz et al. (2013).

We adopt the  $M_{\rm BH}$  relation parameters indicated in Table 2 for a functional form of

$$M_{\rm BH} = 10^A \times \left(FWHM/10^3\right)^B \times \left(L_{\rm cont/line}/10^{44}\right)^C \tag{1}$$

for the emission line FWHM in units of km s<sup>-1</sup>, and the luminosity of either continuum or emission line in units of erg s<sup>-1</sup>. With the relations being primarily drawn from Le et al. (2020), the *A* parameters in Table 2 have been renormalised to that paper's adopted virial factor of f = 1.12 (from Woo et al. 2015) for these FWHM-based measurements.

With the emission line measurements of Table 1 and the continuum luminosities indicated above, we derive several complementary BH mass estimates. The  $M_{\rm BH}$  values we estimate are presented in Table 3. For Mg II, we do not apply the mass correction factors from Le et al. (2020) for the emission line shape (FWHM/ $\sigma_{\rm line}$  ratio) and spectral slope, which would increase that mass estimate by 0.3 dex and make it more discrepant with the other emission line results. The different emission lines produce BH mass estimates that span the range from (1.9 – 3.8) × 10<sup>9</sup> M<sub>☉</sub>.

Table 1. Emission line fit results.

Line	Line shift <sup>a</sup>	Luminosity	FWHM	$\sigma_{line}$	
	$({\rm km}{\rm s}^{-1})$	$(10^{44}  m erg  m s^{-1})$	$(\mathrm{km}\mathrm{s}^{-1})$	$(\mathrm{km}~\mathrm{s}^{-1})$	
Mgıı	$-180\pm110$	$\textbf{6.6}\pm\textbf{0.9}$	$3100\pm240$	$1670\pm240$	
Нβ	$-120\pm70$	$9.0\pm1.0$	$3200\pm240$	$2750 \pm 310$	
Ηα	$20\pm10$	$\textbf{46.8} \pm \textbf{0.8}$	$3390 \pm 40$	$3380\pm170$	
Ρаβ	$-10\pm100$	$\textbf{4.2}\pm\textbf{0.1}$	$3450 \pm 60$	$2890 \pm 80$	
Рар	$-10 \pm 100$	$4.2 \pm 0.1$	$3450 \pm 60$	2890	

<sup>a</sup>Measured with the emission line peak.

#### Table 2. Virial relations.

Emission	Luminosity				
line	(cont/line)	А	В	С	Reference
Mgıı	3000 Å	7.04	2.0	0.5	1
Нβ	5100 Å	6.87	2.0	0.533	1
Нβ	Ηβ	7.79	2.0	0.54	1
Ηα	Ηα	7.51	2.06	0.46	2
Ρаβ	1μm	7.15	1.76	0.44	3 <sup>a</sup>

References: 1 - Le et al. (2020); 2 - Woo et al. (2015); 3 - Landt et al. (2013). <sup>a</sup>Rescaled from f = 1.4 to f = 1.12.

#### Table 3. BH mass estimates.

Emission line	Luminosity	BH mass $\pm$ Stat. error	
		$(10^9~M_{\odot})$	
Мдн	3000 Å	$\textbf{3.83} \pm \textbf{0.62}$	
Нβ	5100 Å	$2.81\pm0.44$	
Нβ	Ηβ	$2.07\pm0.34$	
Ηα	Ηα	$\textbf{2.35}\pm\textbf{0.06}$	
Ρаβ	1μm	$1.87\pm0.09$	
Combined estima	te $\pm$ Sys. error	$2.6^{+5.6}_{-1.8}$	

The high S/N of our spectroscopic data mean the statistical errors on the BH mass estimate are small compared to the systematic errors. Dalla Bontà et al. (2020) estimate the intrinsic scatter to be 0.371 dex for the best-measured FWHM-based virial relation (using H $\beta$  and 5100 Å), and the systematic errors for the other estimates are likely to be larger. Thus, we take the mean value of our five measurements as the best estimate for the BH mass in J1144,  $M_{\rm BH} = 2.6 \times 10^9 \, {\rm M}_{\odot}$ , and conservatively adopt an uncertainty of 0.5 dex (cf. Vestergaard & Osmer 2009). Our measurements of the bolometric luminosity and BH mass yield an Eddington ratio of  $\approx 1.4$  for J1144.

In Table 4, we present a summary of the observed and derived properties of J1144.

#### 3.3 Continuum Slope and Internal Reddening

Typical thin disk (Shakura & Sunyaev 1973) and slim disk (Abramowicz et al. 1988) models of BH accretion predict UV/optical continuum emission having a power-law slope of  $\alpha_{\lambda} \approx -2.3$  ( $\alpha_{\nu} \approx +0.3$ ), though real quasars are rarely observed to be so blue (Xie et al. 2016). Taking such a spectral slope as the limiting case, we can assess the maximum amount of internal reddening that may be present in the emitted spectrum of J1144.

For a UV-flat reddening curve like that of (Gaskell & Benker 2007, GB07, hereafter), we find that a maximum intrinsic

Property	Value	Unit	Notes
α(J2000)	176.199041	deg	SMSS DR3
δ(J2000)	-43.149829	deg	SMSS DR3
1	290.222	deg	SMSS DR3
b	+18.071	deg	SMSS DR3
E(B-V)	0.123	mag	[1]
SMSS object_id	84280208		DR3
SMSS u	$14.974\pm0.029$	mag (AB)	DR3
SMSS v	$15.026\pm0.033$	mag (AB)	DR3
SMSS g	$14.534\pm0.014$	mag (AB)	DR3
SMSS r	$14.424\pm0.017$	mag (AB)	DR3
SMSS i	$14.270\pm0.007$	mag (AB)	DR3
SMSS z	$14.097\pm0.006$	mag (AB)	DR3
<i>Gaia</i> source_id	5379240246670899584		DR3
Gaia G	$14.3887 \pm 0.0028$	mag (Vega)	DR3
Gaia B <sub>P</sub>	$14.6397 \pm 0.0036$	mag (Vega)	DR3
Gaia R <sub>P</sub>	$13.9321 \pm 0.0040$	mag (Vega)	DR3
2MASS J	$12.806\pm0.024$	mag (Vega)	
2MASS H	$12.563\pm0.022$	mag (Vega)	
2MASS Ks	$11.877\pm0.024$	mag (Vega)	
WISE W1	$10.272\pm0.006$	mag (Vega)	AllWISE
WISE W2	$9.103\pm0.006$	mag (Vega)	AllWISE
WISE W3	$\textbf{6.741} \pm \textbf{0.007}$	mag (Vega)	AllWISE
WISE W4	$\textbf{4.705} \pm \textbf{0.018}$	mag (Vega)	AllWISE
Derived quantities			
Redshift	$0.8314\pm0.0001$		
M <sub>BH</sub>	$2.6 imes10^9$	$M_{\odot}$	
λ L <sub>λ</sub> (3000 Å)	$1.3  imes 10^{47}$	${ m erg}{ m s}^{-1}$	
$\lambda L_{\lambda}$ (5100 Å)	$8.7 imes10^{46}$	${ m erg}{ m s}^{-1}$	
$\lambda L_{\lambda}(1 \mu m)$	$4.7  imes 10^{46}$	${ m erg}{ m s}^{-1}$	
L <sub>bol</sub>	$4.7 imes10^{47}$	${ m erg}{ m s}^{-1}$	
M <sub>300 nm</sub>	-28.70	mag (AB)	
M <sub>145 nm</sub>	-28.36	mag (AB)	
$M_i(z=2)$	-29.74	mag (AB)	
Eddington Ratio	1.4		

Table 4. Summary of J1144 properties.

[1] From Schlegel et al. (1998).

E(B-V) of 0.17 mag provides a reasonable fit to the data. In contrast, a UV-steep reddening curve – one lacking the strong bump at 2175 Å – such as the Gordon et al. (2003) model for the starforming bar of the Small Magellanic Cloud, implies a maximum E(B-V) of 0.10 mag to avoid over-correcting C III, but then undercorrects the spectrum near Mg II. (Reddening curves retaining the 2175 Å bump perform even worse in the regime between C III and Mg II.) The GB07 reddening correction would lift the 3000 Å luminosity by 0.34 dex, which might be expected to increase each of the BH mass and the Eddington ratio by roughly half that margin. However, because the sources anchoring the virial relations have not been corrected for internal reddening, the appropriate adjustments for J1144 would be reduced in amplitude.

It is also worth highlighting that the power-law prescription for the rest-frame UV spectrum breaks down in accretion disk models at higher BH masses, as the high-energy turnover migrates to longer wavelengths (e.g., see Campitiello et al. 2018). For  $M_{\rm BH} \sim$  $10^9 {\rm M}_{\odot}$ , the departure from a power-law exceeds 0.1 mag between 2000 and 3000 Å, suggesting extreme care must be taken to disentangle the intrinsic spectral shape from any internal reddening. Observed-frame UV spectroscopy of J1144 should contribute to our ability to remove such degeneracies.

Finally, reddening will lead to an enhancement of the Balmer decrement, that is, the H $\alpha$ /H $\beta$  flux ratio. Low-redshift quasars with blue spectral slopes (implying little intrinsic reddening) are often found to have Balmer decrements of 3.1 (Dong et al. 2008). Our observed Balmer decrement of 5.1 would imply E(B-V)  $\approx$  0.4 mag, depending on the reddening curve adopted. However, Gaskell (2017) has argued that quasar Balmer decrements are consistent with an assumption of intrinsic Case B recombination and a flux ratio of 2.72, which would suggest even more reddening in J1144: E(B-V)  $\approx$  0.5 mag. In either case, such high reddening appears to be incompatible with the expected spectral slopes, suggesting an intrinsically higher Balmer decrement, which can arise from optical depth effects redistributing H $\beta$  photons into H $\alpha$  and Pa $\alpha$  (Pottasch 1960; Netzer 1975).

#### 4. Ancillary datasets

The unusually bright nature of J1144 raises the question of whether it has historically been fainter, contributing to its longstanding anonymity.

#### 4.1 Optical data

On UT 1890 May 26, the 8-inch 'Bache doublet' telescope at 'Mount Harvard' near Chosica, Peru, obtained a 60-min exposure showing J1144. The photographic glass plate (b5269) has been digitised, and astrometrically and photometrically calibrated by the Digital Access to a Sky Century @ Harvard (DASCH) project<sup>j</sup> (Laycock et al. 2008; Tang et al. 2013). The brightness of J1144 in the 1890 image is estimated to be  $14.80 \pm 0.16$  mag (AB), calibrated to Pan-STARRS g-band using the Asteroid Terrestrial impact Last Alert System (ATLAS) All-Sky Stellar Reference Catalog (Tonry et al. 2018a). Similar plates available through DASCH from more recent epochs show J1144 with estimated g-band magnitudes between 13.9 and 15.1 mag (AB; omitting highly uncertain measurements or those close to the plate's limiting depth). The heterogeneity of the data precludes a more detailed analysis, but the DASCH photographic archives indicate that the optical brightness of J1144 has not varied by more than a factor of  $\sim$ 2 in the last 130 yr.

Considering data focused on the blue end of the optical spectrum, photographic glass plates taken by the UK Schmidt telescope at SSO on UT 1977 March 21, as part of the ESO/SERC Southern Sky Survey, measured  $B_J = 14.7 \pm 0.4$  mag (Vega), as catalogued<sup>k</sup> by the SuperCOSMOS Sky Survey (Hambly et al. 2001a; Hambly, Irwin, & MacGillivray 2001b). The  $B_J$  and SMSS *g* bandpasses are similar (e.g., see the  $B_J$  throughput compared to the Sloan Digital Sky Survey  $g_{SDSS}$  in Richards et al. 2005) and the  $(B_J - g)$ colours of 3673 quasars in the redshift range 0.6–1.0 from the 2dF and 6dF QSO Redshift Surveys (2QZ/6QZ; Croom et al. 2004) show a median of 0.10 mag with a scaled median absolute deviation (SMAD) of 0.38 mag. With an SMSS DR3 *g*-band magnitude of  $14.534 \pm 0.014$  mag (AB), we conclude there has been no significant variation in the J1144 brightness at rest-frame ~2700 Å compared to 45 yr ago.

On more recent timescales, the SMSS DR3 dataset incorporates images of J1144 acquired between 2015 February and 2018 June. Across that time window, each of the six SMSS filters shows a brightening of  $\approx 0.1$  mag, with 7–9 epochs per filter. Denser time sampling is available from the ATLAS telescopes (Tonry et al. 2018b; Smith et al. 2020), which have observed J1144 at a high rate (typically over 200 times per year) since December 2017.<sup>1</sup> Both the *o* ('orange'; comprising 80% of the data) and *c* ('cyan') filters show a brightening of 0.2 mag relative to the earliest ATLAS epoch (2016 January), with a peak roughly in 2020 May. Together, these datasets provide a consistent picture of modest brightness variations over timescales of days to years.

To probe even shorter timescales, we examined the J1144 data available from two ~month-long visits by the Transiting Exoplanet Survey Satellite (TESS; Ricker et al. 2015), one beginning on UT 2019 March 26 (during the primary mission; Sector 10) and one beginning on 2021 April 02 (first extended mission; Sector 37). In the TESS Input Catalog (TIC; Paegert et al. 2022), J1144 has the designation TIC 61537875, but it was not selected for the Candidate Target List. As a result, photometry is only available from the Full Frame Image (FFI) dataset, which were acquired every 30 min in the primary mission and every 10 min in the first extended mission. The coarse spatial resolution of TESS results in J1144 being heavily blended with TIC 61537878, a star 30 arcsec away that is ~1 mag brighter in TESS's wide bandpass (600-1000 nm). As a result, precise photometry is difficult to obtain, but we note no significant fluctuations above 1% in the combined light curve of the quasar and star.

#### 4.2 IR data

Turning to the IR, the NEOWISE 2022 Data Release<sup>m</sup> (Mainzer et al. 2014) provides W1 and W2 photometry for J1144 at more than 250 epochs between UT 2014 January 09 and 2021 June 19. At rest-frame equivalents of 1.8 and 2.5  $\mu$ m for W1 and W2, respectively, the *WISE* data is dominated by the hot dust near the quasar, rather than the quasar's accretion disk that is probed at shorter wavelengths. In Figure 3, we show the *WISE* and ATLAS light curves, binned to 30-d median values.

In contrast to the ATLAS photometry, J1144 exhibits a very slight fading in both IR bands over that time period, with an amplitude of ~0.05 mag, comparable to the level of intraday photometric scatter. This uncorrelated behaviour can be understood in the context of the multi-year time lags for dust reverberation that would be expected from the large dust sublimation radius implied by the high luminosity of J1144. For the luminosity of J1144 ( $1.2 \times 10^{14} L_{\odot}$ ), the predicted time lag for the IR response to optical variations would be 9.7 yr (Lyu, Rieke, & Smith 2019), slightly longer than the time span shown in Figure 3. Thus, the steadiness of the IR photometry since the start of *WISE* operations implies no significant and lengthy changes in the quasar luminosity over the past ~20 yr.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>j</sup>See https://library.cfa.harvard.edu/dasch.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>k</sup>See http://www-wfau.roe.ac.uk/sss/index.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>See https://fallingstar-data.com/forcedphot/. <sup>m</sup>See https://wise2.ipac.caltech.edu/docs/release/neowise/.



**Figure 3.** ATLAS and *WISE* light curves for J1144 over the past 8 yr. Photometry was binned to 30-d median values for each bandpass. The ATLAS photometry is in AB magnitudes, while the the IR photometry is in Vega magnitudes and has been shifted vertically for convenience. Any increase in the optical brightness would take a decade to be reflected in the dust luminosity because of the large dust sublimation region around luminous quasars like J1144.

As the recent increase in luminosity shown by the ATLAS light curves propagates into the dust surrounding the quasar, we could expect to see the IR similarly brighten within the next decade. However, the relative amplitude of IR variability in response to optical fluctuations is extremely broad, between factors of 0.1 and 10 for high-luminosity, high-time-lag sources (Lyu et al. 2019, cf. their Figure 14). Future monitoring by *WISE* and the Dynamic REd All-sky Monitoring Survey (DREAMS; Soon et al. 2020) may reveal the amplitude of the dust response in J1144.

# 4.3 X-ray data

In X-rays, there are no point-source counterparts to J1144 catalogued in the Second *ROSAT* all-sky X-ray Survey (2RXS; Boller et al. 2016). Cross-matching confirmed quasars from Milliquas, approximately 75% of the *Gaia* G < 16 mag (Vega) quasars in the redshift range z = 0.7 - 0.9 have 2RXS counterparts. For our extinction-corrected 2500 Å flux of  $F_{\nu} = 3.8 \times$  $10^{-26}$  erg s<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup> Hz<sup>-1</sup>, we would expect a 2 keV X-ray flux of  $\sim 2 \times 10^{-30}$  erg s<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup> Hz<sup>-1</sup> (Bisogni et al. 2021), roughly a factor of 10 greater than the nominal 2RXS flux limit (Boller et al. 2016). Whether the non-detection is an indication of intrinsic X-ray weakness or absorption (local to the quasar or intervening) may be clarified by forthcoming data releases from *SRG*/eROSITA (the *Spectrum-Roentgen-Gamma* satellite's extended ROentgen Survey with an Imaging Telescope Array; Predehl et al. 2021).

# 4.4 Radio data

The closest radio detection in DR1 of the Rapid ASKAP Continuum Survey<sup>n</sup> (RACS; McConnell et al. 2020; Hale et al. 2021) is 48 arcsec from J1144, which at z = 0.83 corresponds to more than 350 kpc projected distance. If we assume an association

between J1144 and RACS-DR1 J114451.8-430920, then the flux density of  $5.1 \pm 0.5$  mJy at 887.5 MHz implies a rest-frame 5 GHz luminosity density of  $7.6 \times 10^{31}$  erg s<sup>-1</sup> Hz<sup>-1</sup> (for a spectral index of  $\nu^{-0.6}$ ). For an optical (rest-frame 4400 Å) luminosity density of  $1.4 \times 10^{32}$  erg s<sup>-1</sup> Hz<sup>-1</sup> (derived from the global power-law continuum shown in Figure 1), this gives an upper limit to the radio-loudness (using the definition of Kellermann et al. 1989) of R < 0.54. Thus, even a putative association<sup>o</sup> between the RACS DR1 source and J1144 leaves the quasar in the radio-quiet regime.

The absence of strong X-ray and radio emission, in conjunction with the low levels of UV-to-IR variability, make it unlikely for J1144 to have a relativistically beamed jet. Thus, we conclude that J1144 is not a blazar.

#### 4.5 On the possibility of gravitational lensing

Given the high luminosity, it is natural to wonder if the source is gravitationally lensed (e.g., Fan et al. 2019). To check for a small-separation galaxy lens, we examine the corrected  $B_P$  and  $R_P$  flux excess,  $C^*$ , from Gaia EDR3 (Riello et al. 2021), which makes a standardised comparison between the flux measured in the 0.35-arcsec-wide G-band photometric aperture with the integrated fluxes measured in the 3.5-arcsec-wide apertures for the  $B_P$ and  $R_P$  photometry (integrating along the wavelength dimension of the low-resolution spectra). With  $C^* = 0.023$ , the variation in flux measured by the different extraction apertures for the Gaia photometry is within  $2\sigma$  of the 0-value expected for point sources of the brightness of J1144 (where  $\sigma = 0.012$ ). Amongst the smallseparation gravitationally lensed quasars<sup>p</sup> that are associated with a single Gaia EDR3 source, Q1208+101 has the smallest C\* value at 0.168, a factor of 7 times larger than J1144. Similarly, the G-band variability proxy of Mowlavi et al. (2021) has a value of 0.015, suggesting that the Gaia small-aperture measurements across a range of scan directions have found peak-to-peak flux variations of  $\sim 0.05$  mag (cf. their Section 3).

While we conclude that there is no indication of gravitational lensing for J1144 in the existing *Gaia* data, the lack of microlensing-induced flux variations evident in the recent photometric sampling described above cannot fully exclude the existence of a lensing galaxy, as Mosquera & Kochanek (2011) found that roughly half of lensed quasars are likely to be in a 'demagnified valley' in any given 10-yr period. Thus, a high-spatial-resolution imaging study of J1144 would be of great interest.

#### 5. Comparison with other bright quasars

As the single brightest quasar in the sky, 3C 273 is an important benchmark for luminous quasars, as its long observational history and low redshift have made it a forefront laboratory for exploring accretion processes (e.g., Courvoisier 1998; Gravity Collaboration et al. 2018). Moreover, the presence of the strong radio jet, with synchrotron emission that extends into the optical regime, has

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>n</sup>See the interactive Hierarchical Image Survey (HiPS) map in the Aladin sky atlas (Bonnarel et al. 2000) under 'Collections-Image-Radio-RACS'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>o</sup>We further caution the reader that the visual appearance of this RACS source is plausibly of a core and (low-significance) two-lobe structure unassociated with J1144, but without any detected counterpart in SMSS DR3, VHS, or unWISE. Deeper *i*- and *z*-band images from the DECam instrument (Flaugher et al. 2015) on the CTIO 4m telescope, taken as part of programmes 2017A-0260 (PI: M. Soares-Santos) and 2019A-0272 (PI: A. Zenteno), do not reveal any sources aligned with the centre of the radio 'core'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>p</sup>We utilise the catalogue at https://research.ast.cam.ac.uk/lensedquasars/ compiled by C. Lemon.



**Figure 4.** Rest-frame SED for J1144 (red circles) compared to the 40-yr range of luminosities of 3C 273 (grey shaded region) from Soldi et al. (2008), and to SMSS J2157 (blue stars), the most luminous known quasar. All three sources have been corrected for Galactic extinction. Single-epoch uncertainties for the J1144 photometry are smaller than the symbols. Three quasar templates from Lyu et al. (2017) are also shown: normal (solid), hot-dust-deficient (HDD; dotted), and warm-dust-deficient (WDD; dashed). The inset shows an expanded range in order to include the potential J1144 radio association from RACS DR1 as an upper limit (arrow) and to indicate the difference in long-wavelength slope from radio-loud quasars like 3C 273.

opened a window into the relatively rare class of radio-loud quasars (e.g., Bahcall et al. 1995; Jester et al. 2005; Uchiyama et al. 2006).

In Figure 4, we compare the rest-frame UV-to-IR spectral energy distribution (SED) of J1144 from recent data to the minimum and maximum luminosities of 3C 273 (including synchrotron flares), as observed over a 40-yr span<sup>q</sup> (Türler et al. 1999; Soldi et al. 2008), as well as to SMSS J2157-3602, the most luminous known quasar in the Universe, at a redshift of z = 4.692(Wolf et al. 2018b; Onken et al. 2020). The J1144 SED is derived from a subset of the photometry presented in Table 4, namely, that of SMSS DR3 (u, v, g, r, i, z), 2MASS (J, H, K),<sup>r</sup> and AllWISE (W1, W2, W3, W4). The photometry in Figure 4 was corrected for Galactic extinction up to an observed-frame wavelength of 3  $\mu$ m using the Fitzpatrick et al. (2019) extinction curve, a standard  $R_V$ =3.1 Milky Way dust model, and the Schlegel et al. (1998) E(B-V) values of 0.123, 0.021, and 0.015 mag for J1144, 3C 273, and SMSS J2157, respectively, with the ×0.86 correction factor of Schlafly & Finkbeiner (2011). As with the spectroscopic calibration above, the bandpass details were retrieved from the SVO. The SMSS u- and v-band photometry were also corrected with the stellar-colour regression method of Huang et al. (2021), which makes them 0.088 and 0.069 mag fainter, respectively. (The corrections for g- and r-band are less than 0.01 mag and are therefore omitted.)

Across the entire observed UV/optical range, J1144 has an intrinsic luminosity that is roughly 8 times greater than the brightest observations of 3C 273, and only about 3 times less than the most luminous quasar known. Even with the occasional synchrotron flares elevating the peak luminosities of 3C 273 in the



**Figure 5.** Bolometric luminosity of J1144 (large red point), 3C 273 (grey square), and SMSS J2157 (blue star), compared to sources from the SDSS DR14 quasar catalogue (DR14Q; black points; Rakshit et al. 2020) and Milliquas (MQ; green points), shown as a function of lookback time (bottom axis) and redshift (top axis). No known quasars are as luminous as J1144 in the last 9 Gyr, and J1144 is only a factor of 2 dimmer than the most luminous known quasar, SMSS J2157.

IR, the blazar has remained  $\sim 5 \times$  less luminous than J1144. The inset in Figure 4 includes the maximum potential radio luminosity observed for J1144, on the assumption of the RACS DR1 detection being associated with the quasar, illustrating the dramatic difference in the long-wavelength SED of radio-quiet quasars compared to radio-loud sources such as 3C 273.

In Figure 4, we also show three SED templates<sup>8</sup> (Lyu, Rieke, & Shi 2017) exhibiting different mid-IR dust properties. The 'Normal SED' represents the typical broad-line quasar SED, while the hot-dust-deficient (HDD) and warm-dust-deficient (WDD) templates show reduced emission at shorter and longer mid-IR wavelengths, respectively. With the templates anchored to match the J1144 SED near 1  $\mu$ m, the *WISE* photometry suggests that J1144 is an intermediate case and may be somewhat lacking in dust close to the quasar.

In addition, we note the similarity between the optical spectra of J1144 (Figures 1 and 2) and 3C 273 (Dietrich et al. 1999), with prominent Balmer lines and comparatively weak [OIII] emission, suggesting a commonality in their central engines despite the differences in their radio properties. Compared to the sample of bright quasars analysed by BG92, J1144 is on the strong-FeII/weak-[OIII] end of their 'Eigenvector 1' correlation, although the FeII equivalent width<sup>t</sup> (EW) of ~40 Å is typical of radio-quiet quasars. The ratio of FeII-to-H $\beta$  EWs of 1.5 is at the high end of their distribution, but J1144 does not exhibit the enhanced blue H $\beta$  asymmetry often seen for such sources.

In Figure 5, we compare the J1144 bolometric luminosity estimated in Section 3.1 to 3C 273, SMSS J2157, and a large number of quasars, drawn from either the SDSS DR14 quasar catalogue (DR14Q; Rakshit, Stalin, & Kotilainen 2020) or Milliquas, as a function of lookback time. In order to use a consistent bolometric correction, we estimate the continuum luminosities at 3000 Å for the literature sources. For 3C 273, we use the mean *U*-band flux

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>q</sup>Data retrieved from the INTEGRAL Science Data Centre (ISDC): http://isdc.unige.ch/3c273/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>r</sup>The *J* and *K*<sub>s</sub> photometry available from DR6 of the VISTA Hemisphere Survey (McMahon et al. 2013) is little different from the 2MASS data of  $\sim$ 20 yr earlier.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>s</sup>Retrieved from https://github.com/karlan/AGN\_templates.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>t</sup>We adopt the BG92 method of measuring the FeII flux between 4434 and 4684 Å.

from the 40-yr dataset of the ISDC. DR14Q values use the 3000 Å luminosity tabulated in the catalogue, or, at higher or lower redshifts, respectively, estimate  $\log_{10}(\lambda L_{\lambda}(3000))$  from the 1350 Å luminosity as 4.887 + 0.89  $\log_{10}(\lambda L_{\lambda}(1350))$  or from the 5100 Å luminosity as 9.213 + 0.792  $\log_{10}(\lambda L_{\lambda}(5100))$ , based on the bestfit relations from the DR14Q sources having both luminosities estimated. Sources with non-zero QUALITY\_L3000 values were excluded. The varied literature sources compiled in Milliquas were cross-matched to the Gaia catalogue and an empirical scaling from the  $R_p$  photometry to the 3000 Å luminosity as a function of redshift was applied.<sup>u</sup> The Milliquas sample has been restricted to sources with spectroscopic redshifts; cleaned of lensed sources, blazars, and a few spurious objects (including those with  $> 3\sigma$  parallax or proper motion estimates); and has omitted quasars from SDSS (to avoid duplication). Beyond z = 5.5, very few Milliquas sources have Gaia photometry.

As can be seen from Figure 5, J1144 is the most luminous known quasar out to z = 1.29, a lookback time of 8.7 Gyr, beyond which the quasar, HS 2154+2228 (Hagen, Engels, & Reimers 1999), is the first of a small sample of quasars found to be more luminous, up to the pinnacle of SMSS J2157. With an extinction-corrected *i*-band magnitude of 14.059 mag (AB), J1144 is nearly 1 full magnitude brighter than the SDSS cutoff at  $i_{SDSS} = 15$  mag (AB) and nearly 1.5 mag brighter in  $M_i(z = 2)$  than any source actually found in the SDSS DR3 quasar luminosity function at redshifts below z = 0.9 (Richards et al. 2006).

#### 6. Discussion

The location of J1144 falls within a small gap in the *GALEX* All-Sky Imaging Survey (AIS; Martin et al. 2005), which explains why it did not appear in DR1 of the UVQS (Monroe et al. 2016). Utilising  $\sim$ 3000 known quasars from Milliquas in the same redshift range as J1144, for which both *GALEX* and *Gaia* photometry exist, we use the *FUV* – *G* and *NUV* – *G* colours to predict J1144 to have (*FUV*, *NUV*) = (17, 16) mag (AB), with uncertainties of roughly 1 mag in each band. With *FUV* = 17 mag (AB), J1144 would have been amongst the top 10% of the brightest discoveries by UVQS DR1, but at a redshift 0.2 higher than the rest.

The high luminosity of J1144 also implies a large size for its BLR. Extrapolating the radius–luminosity relation of Bentz et al. (2013) to the 5100 Å luminosity of J1144 suggests an H $\beta$ -emitting size of ~1200 light-days. With the additional time-dilation factor of ~2, a reverberation mapping campaign would be a long-term endeavour. However, the angular size of the BLR is expected to be in excess of 100 microarcsec. Since J1144 has a *K*-band magnitude of 11.9 mag (Vega), its BLR will be well within the reach of the upgraded GRAVITY+ instrument<sup>v</sup> at ESO's Very Large Telescopes. Thus, it may be possible to measure the Pa $\beta$  dynamics in J1144, comparable to the Pa $\alpha$  measurement for 3C 273 (Gravity Collaboration et al. 2018).

Additional studies may make productive use of an exceptionally bright quasar like J1144 as a background source. For example, UV spectroscopy of J1144 may probe the Milky Way's circumgalactic medium (Tumlinson, Peeples, & Werk 2017; Zheng et al. 2019; Bish et al. 2021).

Previous searches for quasars and other blue objects in the Southern hemisphere have usually not reached as close to the Galactic Plane as J1144, which lies at  $b = +18.1^{\circ}$ . For example, the Edinburgh-Cape Blue Object Survey (Stobie et al. 1997; Kilkenny et al. 2016) was restricted to  $|b| > 30^{\circ}$ ; the Hamburg/ESO quasar survey (Wisotzki et al. 1996; Wisotzki et al. 2000) searched at  $|b| > 25^{\circ}$ ; and the Calán-Tololo Survey (Maza et al. 1988; Maza, Wischnjewsky, & Antezana 1996) observed to  $|b| > 20^{\circ}$ . Dedicated quasar searches closer to the Galactic Plane (e.g., Im et al. 2007; Fu et al. 2021) may produce samples of objects useful both in their own right and for studies of the gas and dust near the Galactic disk.

Moreover, the discovery power inherent in the recent generation of all-sky surveys like those of *Gaia*, *WISE*, and *eROSITA* motivate a fresh examination of what other bright quasars may have been missed in previous searches across the celestial sphere. A spectroscopic campaign underway at the ANU 2.3 m telescope has already identified ~80 new, bright quasars (in addition to J1144), some with Galactic latitudes in excess of 60 deg. Thus, after 60 yr, it would appear we are finally approaching a complete census of bright quasars, with only the discovery of Changing Look Quasars (CLQ; e.g., LaMassa et al. 2015) from forthcoming surveys likely to add to the sample.

Acknowledgement. We thank the anonymous referee for feedback that helped to improve the manuscript. We thank Mara Salvato and Tom Dwelly for fruitful discussions on the X-ray properties, Lisa Crause for helpful input on SpUpNIC, Elaine Sadler for useful discussions on radio counterparts, and David McConnell and Emil Lenc for stimulating discussions on radio astrometry. ABL thanks the rest of the symbiotic star search team, including K. Mukai, H. Breytenbach, D. Buckley, S. Potter, P. Woudt, P. Groot, B. Paul, N. Nuñez, A. Howell, M. Shara, and D. Zurek, as well as the staff and observers of the American Association of Variable Star Observers and the Astronomical Ring for Access to Spectroscopy. We acknowledge the traditional owners of the land on which the telescopes of Siding Spring Observatory stand, the Kamilaroi people, and pay our respects to their elders, past and present.

CAO was supported by the Australian Research Council (ARC) through Discovery Project DP190100252. ABL and JLS acknowledge support through National Science Foundation (NSF) grant AST-1616646.

The national facility capability for SkyMapper has been funded through ARC LIEF grant LE130100104 from the Australian Research Council, awarded to the University of Sydney, the Australian National University, Swinburne University of Technology, the University of Queensland, the University of Western Australia, the University of Melbourne, Curtin University of Technology, Monash University and the Australian Astronomical Observatory. SkyMapper is owned and operated by The Australian National University's Research School of Astronomy and Astrophysics. The survey data were processed and provided by the SkyMapper Team at ANU. The SkyMapper node of the All-Sky Virtual Observatory (ASVO) is hosted at the National Computational Infrastructure (NCI). Development and support the SkyMapper node of the ASVO has been funded in part by Astronomy Australia Limited (AAL) and the Australian Government through the Commonwealth's Education Investment Fund (EIF) and National Collaborative Research Infrastructure Strategy (NCRIS), particularly the National eResearch Collaboration Tools and Resources (NeCTAR) and the Australian National Data Service Projects (ANDS).

This paper uses observations made at the South African Astronomical Observatory (SAAO).

Based on observations obtained at the Southern Astrophysical Research (SOAR) telescope, which is a joint project of the Ministério da Ciência, Tecnologia e Inovações (MCTI/LNA) do Brasil, the US National Science

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>u</sup>From the *Gaia* photometry of DR14Q sources, we estimated a conversion of  $\log_{10}(\lambda L_{\lambda}(3000)) = -0.4 R_p + (52.9 + 3 \log_{10}(z) - 0.2z)$  for redshift, *z*. The scaled median absolute deviation (SMAD) of this relation is 0.133 dex, with a median offset of 0.002 dex.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>v</sup>See https://www.mpe.mpg.de/ir/gravityplus.

Foundation's NOIRLab, the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill (UNC), and Michigan State University (MSU).

This publication makes use of data products from the Wide-field Infrared Survey Explorer, which is a joint project of the University of California, Los Angeles, and the Jet Propulsion Laboratory/California Institute of Technology, and NEOWISE, which is a project of the Jet Propulsion Laboratory/California Institute of Technology. WISE and NEOWISE are funded by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

This paper uses data from the VISTA Hemisphere Survey ESO programme ID: 179.A-2010 (PI. McMahon). The VISTA Data Flow System pipeline processing and science archive are described in Irwin et al. (2004), Hambly et al. (2008) and Cross et al. (2012).

IRAF was distributed by the National Optical Astronomy Observatory, which was managed by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy (AURA) under a cooperative agreement with the NSF.

We acknowledge use of the International Centre for Radio Astronomy Research (ICRAR) Cosmology Calculator written by Aaron Robotham and Joseph Dunne, and available at https://cosmocalc.icrar.org.

This research has made use of the NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database (NED), which is funded by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration and operated by the California Institute of Technology. This research has made use of the SIMBAD database, operated at CDS, Strasbourg, France. This research has made use of 'Aladin sky atlas' developed at CDS, Strasbourg Observatory, France.

SuperCOSMOS Sky Survey material is based on photographic data originating from the UK, Palomar and ESO Schmidt telescopes and is provided by the Wide-Field Astronomy Unit, Institute for Astronomy, University of Edinburgh.

This work has made use of data from the Asteroid Terrestrial-impact Last Alert System (ATLAS) project. The Asteroid Terrestrial-impact Last Alert System (ATLAS) project is primarily funded to search for near earth asteroids through NASA grants NN12AR55G, 80NSSC18K0284, and 80NSSC18K1575; by-products of the NEO search include images and catalogs from the survey area. This work was partially funded by Kepler/K2 grant J1944/80NSSC19K0112 and HST GO-15889, and STFC grants ST/T000198/1 and ST/S006109/1. The ATLAS science products have been made possible through the contributions of the University of Hawaii Institute for Astronomy, the Queen's University Belfast, the Space Telescope Science Institute, the South African Astronomical Observatory, and The Millennium Institute of Astrophysics (MAS), Chile.

Funding for the *TESS* mission is provided by NASA's Science Mission directorate. This paper includes data collected by the *TESS* mission, which are publicly available from the Mikulski Archive for Space Telescopes (MAST). This research made use of Lightkurve, a Python package for Kepler and TESS data analysis (Lightkurve Collaboration et al. 2018). This research made use of Astropy,<sup>w</sup> a community-developed core Python package for Astronomy (Astropy Collaboration et al. 2013; Astropy Collaboration et al. 2018). This research made use of the astroquery (Ginsburg et al. 2019) and Astrocut (Brasseur et al. 2019) packages for Python.

This project used data obtained with the Dark Energy Camera (DECam), which was constructed by the Dark Energy Survey (DES) collaboration. Funding for the DES Projects has been provided by the US Department of Energy, the US National Science Foundation, the Ministry of Science and Education of Spain, the Science and Technology Facilities Council of the United Kingdom, the Higher Education Funding Council for England, the National Center for Supercomputing Applications at the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, the Kavli Institute for Cosmological Physics at the University of Chicago, Center for Cosmology and Astro-Particle Physics at the Ohio State University, the Mitchell Institute for Fundamental Physics and Astronomy at Texas A&M University, Financiadora de Estudos e Projetos, Fundação Carlos Chagas Filho de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico and the Ministério da Ciência, Tecnologia e Inovação, the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft and the Collaborating Institutions in the Dark Energy

"See http://www.astropy.org.

Survey. The Collaborating Institutions are Argonne National Laboratory, the University of California at Santa Cruz, the University of Cambridge, Centro de Investigaciones Enérgeticas, Medioambientales y Tecnológicas-Madrid, the University of Chicago, University College London, the DES-Brazil Consortium, the University of Edinburgh, the Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule (ETH) Zürich, Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, the Institut de Ciències de l'Espai (IEEC/CSIC), the Institut de Fisica d'Altes Energies, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, the Ludwig-Maximilians Universität München and the associated Excellence Cluster Universe, the University of Michigan, the National Science Foundation's NOIRLab, the University of Nottingham, the Ohio State University, the OzDES Membership Consortium, the University of Pennsylvania, the University of Portsmouth, SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Stanford University, the University of Sussex, and Texas A&M University. Based on observations at Cerro Tololo Inter-American Observatory, a programme of NOIRLab (NOIRLab Prop. ID 2017A-0260; PI: M. Soares-Santos; and Prop. ID 2019A-0272; PI: A. Zenteno), which is managed by AURA under a cooperative agreement with the NSF. This research draws upon DECam data as distributed by the Astro Data Archive at NSF's NOIRLab. NOIRLab is managed by AURA under a cooperative agreement with the NSF.

This work has made use of data from the European Space Agency (ESA) mission *Gaia* (https://www.cosmos.esa.int/gaia), processed by the *Gaia* Data Processing and Analysis Consortium (DPAC, https://www.cosmos.esa.int/web/gaia/dpac/consortium). Funding for the DPAC has been provided by national institutions, in particular the institutions participating in the *Gaia* Multilateral Agreement.

The DASCH project at Harvard is grateful for partial support from NSF grants AST-0407380, AST-0909073, and AST-1313370.

#### References

- Abramowicz, M. A., Czerny, B., Lasota, J. P., & Szuszkiewicz, E. 1988, ApJ, 332, 646
- Astropy Collaboration, et al. 2013, A&A, 558, A33
- Astropy Collaboration, et al. 2018, AJ, 156, 123
- Bahcall, J. N., et al. 1995, ApJ, 452, L91
- Bentz, M. C., et al. 2013, ApJ, 767, 149
- Bessell, M. S. 1999, PASP, 111, 1426
- Bish, H. V., Werk, J. K., Peek, J., Zheng, Y., & Putman, M. 2021, ApJ, 912, 8
- Bisogni, S., et al. 2021, A&A, 655, A109
- Boller, T., et al. 2016, A&A, 588, A103
- Bonnarel, F., et al. 2000, A&AS, 143, 33
- Boroson, T. A., & Green, R. F. 1992, ApJS, 80, 109
- Brasseur, C. E., Phillip, C., Fleming, S. W., Mullally, S. E., & White, R. L. 2019, Astrocut: Tools for creating cutouts of TESS images
- Bruhweiler, F., & Verner, E. 2008, ApJ, 675, 83
- Cackett, E. M., Bentz, M. C., & Kara, E. 2021, iScience, 24, 102557
- Campitiello, S., Ghisellini, G., Sbarrato, T., & Calderone, G. 2018, A&A, 612, A59
- Childress, M. J., Vogt, F. P. A., Nielsen, J., & Sharp, R. G. 2014, Ap&SS, 349, 617
- Courvoisier, T. J. L. 1998, A&A Rev, 9, 1
- Crause, L. A., et al. 2019, JATIS, 5, 024007
- Croom, S. M., et al. 2004, MNRAS, 349, 1397
- Cross, N. J. G., et al. 2012, A&A, 548, A119
- Cushing, M. C., Vacca, W. D., & Rayner, J. T. 2004, PASP, 116, 362
- Cushing, M., Vacca, B., & Rayner, J. 2014, Spextool: Spectral EXtraction tool
- Dalla Bontà, E., et al. 2020, ApJ, 903, 112
- Dietrich, M., Wagner, S. J., Courvoisier, T. J. L., Bock, H., & North, P. 1999, A&A, 351, 31
- Dong, X., et al. 2008, MNRAS, 383, 581
- Dopita, M., et al. 2007, Ap&SS, 310, 255
- Dopita, M., et al. 2010, Ap&SS, 327, 245
- Edelson, R., & Malkan, M. 2012, ApJ, 751, 52
- Fan, X., et al. 2019, ApJ, 870, L11

- Fitzpatrick, E. L., Massa, D., Gordon, K. D., Bohlin, R., & Clayton, G. C. 2019, ApJ, 886, 108
- Flaugher, B., et al. 2015, AJ, 150, 150
- Flesch, E. W. 2021, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2105.12985
- Fowler, A. M., & Gatley, I. 1990, ApJ, 353, L33
- Fu, Y., et al. 2021, ApJS, 254, 6
- Gaia Collaboration, et al. 2016, A&A, 595, A1
- Gaia Collaboration, et al. 2018, A&A, 616, A1
- Gaskell, C. M. 2017, MNRAS, 467, 226
- Gaskell, C. M., & Benker, A. J. 2007, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:0711.1013
- Ginsburg, A., et al. 2019, AJ, 157, 98
- Gordon, K. 2021, karllark/dust\_extinction: interstellar dust extinction curves, Zenodo
- Gordon, K. D., Clayton, G. C., Misselt, K. A., Landolt, A. U., & Wolff, M. J. 2003, ApJ, 594, 279
- Gravity Collaboration, et al. 2018, Nature, 563, 657
- Greenstein, J. L. 1963, Natur, 197, 1041
- Hagen, H. J., Engels, D., & Reimers, D. 1999, A&AS, 134, 483
- Hale, C. L., et al. 2021, PASA, 38, e058
- Hambly, N. C., et al. 2008, MNRAS, 384, 637
- Hambly, N. C., et al. 2001a, MNRAS, 326, 1279
- Hambly, N. C., Irwin, M. J., & MacGillivray, H. T. 2001b, MNRAS, 326, 1295
- Hamuy, M., et al. 1994, PASP, 106, 566
- Heap, S. R., & Lindler, D. 2010, in American Astronomical Society Meeting Abstracts, Vol. 215, American Astronomical Society Meeting Abstracts #215, 463.02
- Huang, Y., et al. 2021, ApJ, 907, 68
- Im, M., et al. 2007, ApJ, 664, 64
- Irwin, M. J., et al. 2004, in Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series, Vol. 5493, Optimizing Scientific Return for Astronomy through Information Technologies, ed. P. J. Quinn, & A. Bridger, 411
- Jester, S., Röser, H. J., Meisenheimer, K., & Perley, R. 2005, A&A, 431, 477
- Kellermann, K. I., Sramek, R., Schmidt, M., Shaffer, D. B., & Green, R. 1989, AJ, 98, 1195
- Kilkenny, D., et al. 2016, MNRAS, 459, 4343
- LaMassa, S. M., et al. 2015, ApJ, 800, 144
- Landt, H., et al. 2013, MNRAS, 432, 113
- Laycock, S., et al. 2008, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:0811.2005
- Le, H. A. N., Woo, J.-H., & Xue, Y. 2020, ApJ, 901, 35
- Lightkurve Collaboration, et al. 2018, Lightkurve: Kepler and TESS time series analysis in Python, Astrophysics Source Code Library
- Lucy, A. B. 2021, The Detection and Description of Symbiotic Accretion From Cool Evolved Stars, PhD thesis, Columbia University
- Lyu, J., Rieke, G. H., & Shi, Y. 2017, ApJ, 835, 257
- Lyu, J., Rieke, G. H., & Smith, P. S. 2019, ApJ, 886, 33
- Mainzer, A., et al. 2011, ApJ, 731, 53
- Mainzer, A., et al. 2014, ApJ, 792, 30
- Margon, B., Prochaska, J. X., Tejos, N., & Monroe, T. 2016, PASP, 128, 024201
- Martin, D. C., et al. 2005, ApJ, 619, L1
- Maza, J., Ruiz, M. T., Gonzalez, L. E., & Wischnjewski, M. 1988, in Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series, Vol. 1, Progress and Opportunities in Southern Hemisphere Optical Astronomy. The CTIO 25th Anniversary Symposium, ed. V. M. Blanco, & M. M. Phillips, 410
- Maza, J., Wischnjewsky, M., & Antezana, R. 1996, RMxAA, 32, 35
- McConnell, D., et al. 2020, PASA, 37, e048
- McMahon, R. G., et al. 2013, Msngr, 154, 35
- Mejía-Restrepo, J. E., Trakhtenbrot, B., Lira, P., Netzer, H., & Capellupo, D. M. 2016, MNRAS, 460, 187
- Monroe, T. R., et al. 2016, AJ, 152, 25
- Mosquera, A. M., & Kochanek, C. S. 2011, ApJ, 738, 96
- Mowlavi, N., et al. 2021, A&A, 648, A44
- Netzer, H. 1975, MNRAS, 171, 395
- Netzer, H. 2019, MNRAS, 488, 5185
- Oke, J. B. 1963, Nature, 197, 1040
- Onken, C. A., et al. 2019, PASA, 36, e033
- Onken, C. A., et al. 2020, MNRAS, 496, 2309

https://doi.org/10.1017/pasa.2022.36 Published online by Cambridge University Press

- Paegert, M., et al. 2022, VizieR Online Data Catalog, IV/39
- Park, D., Barth, A. J., Ho, L. C., & Laor, A. 2022, ApJS, 258, 38
- Peterson, B. M., et al. 2004, ApJ, 613, 682
- Planck Collaboration, et al. 2016, A&A, 596, A109
- Pottasch, S. R. 1960, ApJ, 131, 202
- Predehl, P., et al. 2021, A&A, 647, A1
- Rakshit, S., Stalin, C. S., & Kotilainen, J. 2020, ApJS, 249, 17
- Richards, G. T., et al. 2005, MNRAS, 360, 839
- Richards, G. T., et al. 2006, AJ, 131, 2766
- Ricker, G. R., et al. 2015, JATIS, 1, 014003
- Riello, M., et al. 2021, A&A, 649, A3
- Rodrigo, C., & Solano, E. 2020, in XIV.0 Scientific Meeting (virtual) of the Spanish Astronomical Society, 182

11

- Rodrigo, C., Solano, E., & Bayo, A. 2012, SVO Filter Profile Service Version 1.0, IVOA Working Draft 15 October 2012
- Runnoe, J. C., Brotherton, M. S., & Shang, Z. 2012a, MNRAS, 422, 478
- Runnoe, J. C., Brotherton, M. S., & Shang, Z. 2012b, MNRAS, 427, 1800
- Salviander, S., Shields, G. A., Gebhardt, K., & Bonning, E. W. 2007, ApJ, 662, 131
- Sandage, A. 1965, ApJ, 141, 1560
- Schlafly, E. F., & Finkbeiner, D. P. 2011, ApJ, 737, 103
- Schlafly, E. F., Meisner, A. M., & Green, G. M. 2019, ApJS, 240, 30
- Schlawin, E., et al. 2014, in Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series, Vol. 9147, Ground-based and Airborne Instrumentation for Astronomy V, ed. S. K. Ramsay, I. S. McLean, & H. Takami, 91472H
- Schlegel, D. J., Finkbeiner, D. P., & Davis, M. 1998, ApJ, 500, 525
- Schmidt, M. 1963, Natur, 197, 1040
- Schmidt, M. 1965, ApJ, 141, 1295
- Schröder, A. C., van Driel, W., & Kraan-Korteweg, R. C. 2021, MNRAS, 503, 5351
- Secrest, N. J., et al. 2015, ApJS, 221, 12
- Shakura, N. I., & Sunyaev, R. A. 1973, A&A, 24, 337
- Shen, Y., & Liu, X. 2012, ApJ, 753, 125
- Shu, Y., et al. 2019, MNRAS, 489, 4741
- Skrutskie, M. F., et al. 2006, AJ, 131, 1163
- Smith, K. W., et al. 2020, PASP, 132, 085002
- Soldi, S., et al. 2008, A&A, 486, 411
- Soon, J., et al. 2020, in Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series, Vol. 11203, Advances in Optical Astronomical Instrumentation 2019, 1120307
- Stobie, R. S., et al. 1997, MNRAS, 287, 848
- Tang, S., Grindlay, J., Los, E., & Servillat, M. 2013, PASP, 125, 857
- Tody, D. 1986, in Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series, Vol. 627, Instrumentation in astronomy VI, ed. D. L. Crawford, 733
- Tonry, J. L., et al. 2018a, ApJ, 867, 105
- Tonry, J. L., et al. 2018b, PASP, 130, 064505
- Tsuzuki, Y., et al. 2006, ApJ, 650, 57
- Tumlinson, J., Peeples, M. S., & Werk, J. K. 2017, ARA&A, 55, 389
- Türler, M., et al. 1999, A&AS, 134, 89
- Uchiyama, Y., et al. 2006, ApJ, 648, 910
- Vacca, W. D., Cushing, M. C., & Rayner, J. T. 2003, PASP, 115, 389
- Vestergaard, M., & Osmer, P. S. 2009, ApJ, 699, 800
- Vestergaard, M., & Wilkes, B. J. 2001, ApJS, 134, 1
- Wenger, M., et al. 2000, A&AS, 143, 9

Wolf, C., et al. 2018a, PASA, 35, e010

Wolf, C., et al. 2018b, PASA, 35, e024

Wright, E. L., et al. 2010, AJ, 140, 1868

Zamanov, R. K., et al. 2017, AN, 338, 680

871, 35

Yu, Q., & Tremaine, S. 2002, MNRAS, 335, 965

- Wisotzki, L., et al. 2000, A&A, 358, 77
- Wisotzki, L., Koehler, T., Groote, D., & Reimers, D. 1996, A&AS, 115, 227

Woo, J.-H., Yoon, Y., Park, S., Park, D., & Kim, S. C. 2015, ApJ, 801, 38

Zheng, Y., Peek, J. E. G., Putman, M. E., & Werk, J. K. 2019, ApJ,

Xie, X., Shao, Z., Shen, S., Liu, H., & Li, L. 2016, ApJ, 824, 38