# LOCALLY NILPOTENT SKEW LINEAR GROUPS II

## by B. A. F. WEHRFRITZ

(Received 17th February 1986)

Our paper [6] studied in some depth certain locally nilpotent skew linear groups, but our conclusions there left some obvious gaps. By means of a trick, which now seems obvious, but then did not, we are able to tidy up the situation very satisfactorily. This present paper should be viewed as a follow up to [6]. In particular we do not repeat the motivation, basic definitions and references to related work given here.

The following was conjectured in [6], where substantial steps were taken towards its solution.

**1. Theorem.** Let H be a locally nilpotent normal subgroup of the absolutely irreducible skew linear group G. Then H is centre by locally-finite and  $G/C_G(H)$  is periodic.

As pointed out in [6] this reduces the study of such groups H to considering unipotent-free locally nilpotent skew linear groups over locally finite-dimensional division algebras, about which much is known, see for example Chapter 3 of the forthcoming book [4]. It follows immediately from 1 and [6] 1.4 that if H is a radical (in the sense of Plotkin, i.e.  $H \in \dot{P}L\mathfrak{N}$ ) normal subgroup of the absolutely irreducible skew linear group G, then H and  $G/C_G(H)$  are both abelian-by-periodic. More generally these two results with Theorem A of [7] yield the following.

**2. Corollary.** Let H be a normal subgroup of the absolutely irreducible skew linear group G, where  $H \in \acute{PL}(\mathfrak{N} \cup \mathfrak{F})$ . Then there is an abelian normal subgroup  $A \leq H$  of G with H/A locally finite and  $G/C_G(H)$  is abelian by periodic.

The symbolism here and above is part of P. Hall's calculus of group classes, see the opening pages of [3] for an account of this. Results 1 and 2 above focus attention on the class of locally soluble groups and our techniques make a small dent into the corresponding problem for this class. For any group X let T(X) denote the maximal periodic normal subgroup of X and let B(X)/T(X) be the Hirsch-Plotkin radical of X/T(X). We are able to prove the following.

- 3. Let G be an absolutely irreducible skew linear group of degree 1.
- (a) If G is locally soluble then G is abelian by locally-finite.
- (b) Let H be a locally soluble normal subgroup of G and set B = B(H),  $K = C_H(B)$  and  $A = B \cap K$ . Suppose that K/A can be made into an ordered group. Then H and  $G/C_G(H)$  are both abelian by periodic.

### B. A. F. WEHRFRITZ

The orderable condition here seems quite out of place. It does not show up explicitly in the locally nilpotent case since every torsion-free locally nilpotent group is orderable (e.g. [2] 13.1.6 and 13.2.2).

We start our proofs with the trick we missed in [6]. Let K be a normal subgroup of the group H with H/K an ordered group, and suppose that R = E[H] is a crossed product of the division ring E by H/K, so  $K = E \cap H$ . Pick a transversal  $T_0$  of K to H and let D be the set of all formal sums

$$x = \sum_{t \in T} t \xi_t,$$

where  $T \subseteq T_0$ , the  $\xi_t \in E^*$ , the set of non-zero elements of *E*, and  $\{tK:t \in T\}$  is a wellordered subset of the ordered group H/K. (If  $T = \emptyset$  then x = 0.) Then the obvious addition and multiplication on *D* is well-defined and makes *D* into a division ring containing *R* as a subring. This may be proved in a similar way to 13.2.11 of [2]; in particular the crucial lemmas 13.2.9 and 13.2.10 apply directly to H/K.

#### 4. With the notation above assume also that H centralizes E. Then

$$N_{D^*}(H) = HC_{D^*}(H).$$

**Proof.** Trivially  $N_{D^*}(H) \supseteq HC_{D^*}(H)$ . Let  $x = \sum_T t\xi_t \in N_{D^*}(H)$  and  $h \in H$ . Then  $k = h^x \in H$  and hx = xk. Thus

$$\sum_{T} ht\xi_t = \sum_{T} tk\xi_t.$$

Now left and right multiplication in H/K preserves the order and of course the supports of these two sums are equal subsets of H/K. Consequently htK = tkK for all  $t \in T$ . But then  $h^tK = kK = h^tK$  and  $t't^{-1} \in C_H(hK/K)$  for all  $t, t' \in T$ . This is for all  $h \in H$  and so  $t't^{-1} \in C_H(H/K)$  for all such t and t'. Thus choosing a fixed  $t \in T$  we have  $x = (\sum_{c \in C} c\xi_c)t$ where  $C = Tt^{-1} \subseteq C_H(H/K)$ .

Trivially t normalizes H and hence so does  $y = xt^{-1}$ . But then for  $h \in H$  and  $l = h^y \in H$ we have hy = yl and

$$\sum_{C} ch[h, c] \xi_{ct} = \sum_{C} cl \xi_{ct}.$$

Consequently chK = clK for any  $c \in C$  and  $h^{-1}l \in K$ . Comparing coefficients we obtain  $[h, c]\xi_{ct} = h^{-1}l\xi_{ct}$  and so  $h^c = l$  for all  $c \in C$ . Hence  $c'c^{-1}$  centralizes h for all  $c, c' \in C$ , and this is for all h in H. Therefore if we pick any one  $c \in C$ , then  $yc^{-1} \in C_{D^*}(H)$  and so

$$x \in C_{D^*}(H) ct \subseteq HC_{D^*}(H).$$

The proof of the lemma is complete.

The theorem follows easily from 4 and Section 5 of [6]. It follows even quicker using the following result, which we need for the locally soluble case.

5. Let F be a field, R = F[G] an F-algebra, generated as such by the subgroup G of its group of units, K a normal subgroup of G and L a division F-subalgebra of R generated as such by K. Let C denote the centre of L and set  $A = K \cap C$ . Assume that K/A is orderable and that  $C[K] \leq L$  is both an Ore domain and a crossed product of C by K/A. Then K = A.

**Proof.** Set  $X = G \cap L^*C_{L^*G}(K)$ . Then R is a crossed product of  $L[C_R(K)]$  by G/X by [6] 2.6. But R = F[G], so  $L[C_R(K)] = F[X]$ . Trivially  $X = G \cap N_{L^*}(K)C_{L^*G}(K)$  in fact, so  $L[C_R(K)] = C[N_{L^*}(K), C_R(K)]$ . Now L/C is central simple. Hence  $L \otimes_C C_R(K) \cong L[C_R(K)] \le R$  by [1] p. 363, Theorem 2. Therefore  $L = C[N_{L^*}(K)]$ .

By hypothesis C[K] is a crossed product of C by the orderable group K/A. By 4 there is a division ring D containing C[K] as a subring such that  $N_{D^*}(K) = KC_{D^*}(K)$ . But C[K] is also Ore. Consequently L is embedded naturally in D and  $N_{L^*}(K) = KC^*$ . Then L = C[K] is a crossed product of C by K/A and the orderable group K/A is periodic ([5] 2.2). This implies that K = A, as required.

#### 6. The Proof of the Theorem.

Assume the notation of [6] Section 5, which is consistent with that of 5 above. Then K/A is torsion-free, locally nilpotent and hence orderable. L exists by [6] 5.5 and 4.4 and C[K] is Ore by Goldie's Theorem. Further C[K] is a crossed product of C by K/A by [6] 2.5. Therefore K = A by 5 and the theorem is a trivial consequence of [6] 5.3 and 1.1.

7. Let R = F[G] be an F-algebra, where F is a field and G is a locally soluble subgroup of the group of units of R such that for every infinite subgroup X of G the left annihilator of X - 1 in R is  $\{0\}$ . Then R is a crossed product of F[B(G)] by G/B(G).

**Proof.** Let *H*, *K* and *L* be finitely generated subgroups of *G*. Set  $\overline{B}(H) = \bigcap_{L \ge H} B(L)$ . Then  $\overline{B}(H) \subseteq \overline{B}(K)$  whenever  $H \le K$  and so  $B = \bigcup_{H} \overline{B}(H)$  is a normal subgroup of *G*. Also as B(H)/T(H) is locally nilpotent, T(H) is the set of elements of B(H) of finite order. Consequently  $T(\overline{B}(H)) = \overline{B}(H) \cap T(\overline{B}(K))$  whenever  $H \le K$ , and so *B* is periodic by locally nilpotent. That is  $B \le B(G)$ . Trivially  $L \cap B(G) \le B(L)$ , so  $H \cap B(G) \le \overline{B}(H) \le B$  and B = B(G).

Suppose  $\sum_{i=1}^{r} t_i \alpha_i = 0$  where the  $t_i$  are distinct elements of a transversal of B to G and the  $\alpha_i$  are non-zero elements of F[B]. Then there is a finitely generated subgroup H of G such that  $t_1, \ldots, t_r \in H$  and  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r \in F[\overline{B}(H)]$ . By a theorem of Zalesskii, F[K] is a crossed product of F[B(K)] by K/B(K) for all finitely generated subgroups K of G containing H, see [2] 11.4.10. Then for each K there exists  $i \neq j$  with  $t_i^{-1}t_j \in B(K)$ . Since there are only a finite number of i and j there exists a pair i, j with  $i \neq j$  such that the set of all finitely generated subgroups K of G containing H with  $t_i^{-1}t_j \in B(K)$  is a local system for G. Then  $\overline{B}(H) = H \cap \bigcap_{\text{such } K} B(K)$  and so  $t_i^{-1}t_j \in B$ . This contradiction completes the proof.

8. The Proof of 3. By hypothesis there is a division ring D, with centre F say, containing G such that D = F[G].

(a) Let B = B(G). Then D is a crossed product of F[B] by G/B by 7 and therefore G/B

is periodic by [5] 2.2. But then G is locally-finite by locally-nilpotent by locally-finite and the desired conclusion follows from 2 for example.

(b) Trivially  $F[K] \subseteq D$  is a domain and K is locally soluble, so F[K] is an Ore domain. Let L be its division ring of quotients in D and let C be the centre of L. Note that

$$B(K) \leq B(H) \cap K = A \leq C_H(K) \cap K \leq B(K),$$

so A = B(K) is the centre of K. Thus C[K] is a crossed product of C by K/A by 7. Clearly C[K] is also an Ore domain. Hence K = A by 5. But  $G/C_G(B)$  is abelian-byperiodic by 2 and so H is metabelian by locally-finite. The conclusion now follows from 2 again.

#### REFERENCES

1. P. M. COHN, Algebra Vol. 2 (John Wiley & Sons, London etc. 1977).

2. D. S. PASSMAN, The Algebraic Structure of Group Rings (John Wiley & Sons, New York etc. 1977).

3. D. J. S. ROBINSON, Finiteness Conditions and Generalized Soluble Groups Vol. 1 (Springer-Verlag, Berlin etc. 1972).

4. M. SHIRVANI and B. A. F. WEHRFRITZ, Skew Linear Groups (Cambridge University Press, 1986).

5. B. A. F. WEHRFRITZ, Locally finite normal subgroups of absolutely irreducible skew linear groups, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 32 (1985), 88-102.

6. B. A. F. WEHRFRITZ, Locally nilpotent skew linear groups, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. 29 (1986), 101-113.

7. B. A. F. WEHRFRITZ, Soluble normal subgroups of skew linear groups, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 42 (1986), 95-107.

QUEEN MARY COLLEGE LONDON E1 4NS