# ON THE MATHIEU GROUP M<sub>23</sub>

N. BRYCE

(Received 4 March 1969; revised 25 November 1969) Communicated by G. E. Wall

## 1. Introduction

Until 1965, when Janko [7] established the existence of his finite simple group  $J_1$ , the five Mathieu groups were the only known examples of isolated finite simple groups. In 1951, R. G. Stanton [10] showed that  $M_{12}$  and  $M_{24}$  were determined uniquely by their order. Recent characterizations of  $M_{22}$  and  $M_{23}$  by Janko [8],  $M_{22}$  by D. Held [6], and  $M_{11}$  by W. J. Wong [12], have facilitated the unique determination of the three remaining Mathieu groups by their orders. D. Parrott [9] has so characterized  $M_{22}$  and  $M_{11}$ , while this paper is an outline of the characterization of  $M_{23}$  in terms of its order.

MAIN THEOREM. Let G be a non-abelian simple group of order 10,200,960. Then G is isomorphic to  $M_{23}$ .

# 2. Some known results

1. The results used in the proof of the main theorem were obtained by R. Brauer [1], [2], [3], H. F. Tuan [4] and applied by R. G. Stanton [10], D. Parrott [9] and S. K. Wong [11]. Some of the important theorems are given here without proof.

2. If G is a group of order |G| containing k classes  $K_1, \dots, K_k$  of conjugate elements, then there exists exactly k distinct irreducible characters  $\zeta_1(g), \dots, \zeta_k(g)$ where g denotes a variable element of G. Let p be a prime which divides |G|, then the k characters are distributed into a certain number of p-blocks  $B_1(p)$ ,  $B_2(p), \dots$ . The principal p-block  $B_1(p)$  is always taken as the block containing the 1-character  $\zeta_1(g) = 1$  for all  $g \in G$ . Suppose  $p^y \top |G|$ ; if for all characters  $\zeta_{\mu}$  of  $B_{\sigma}(p)$  the degrees  $z_{\mu}$  of  $\zeta_{\mu}$  is divisible by  $p^{\alpha}$  while at least one of the degrees  $z_{\mu}$  is not divisible by  $p^{\alpha+1}$  then  $B_{\sigma}(p)$  is a block of defect  $(y-\alpha)$ , or type  $\alpha$ . In particular if  $p \top |G|$  a p-block  $B_{\sigma}(p)$  is of defect 0 (highest type) or of defect 1 (lowest type).

An element g is *p*-regular if its order is prime to p, otherwise g is called *p*-singular.

3. We assume in this section that  $p \top |G|$ . Let  $G_p$  be a Sylow *p*-subgroup of *G*. Then  $C_G(G_p) = G_p \times V_p$ . If  $V_p$  has *l* conjugate classes in the group  $N_G(G_p)$  then *G* has *l* blocks of defect 1. Let *t* denote the number of conjugate classes of elements of order *p* in *G*. To each of the *l p*-blocks  $B_{\sigma}(p)$  of defect 1 there corresponds a certain multiple  $t_{\sigma}$  of *t*, where  $t_{\sigma}|p-1$ , such that  $B_{\sigma}(p)$  has  $(p-1)/t_{\sigma}$  characters  $\zeta_{\mu}$  which are *p*-conjugate only to themselves and one exceptional family of  $t_{\sigma}$  *p*-conjugate characters.

THEOREM 2.1 ([2]. Theorem 11). For the block  $B_1(p)$ , we have  $t_1 = t$ . The degrees  $z_{\mu}$  of the characters  $\zeta_{\mu}$  of  $B_1(p)$  satisfy:

(2.1) 
$$z_{\mu} \equiv \delta_{\mu} = \pm 1 \pmod{p}, \quad 1 \leq \mu \leq \omega = (p-1)/t$$

(2.2) 
$$tz_{\omega+1} \equiv \delta_{\omega+1} = \pm 1 \pmod{p},$$

where  $z_{\omega+1}$  is the degree of a representative of the exceptional family.

(2.3) 
$$\sum_{\mu=1}^{\omega+1} \delta_{\mu} z_{\mu} = 0 \qquad (\delta_{1} = z_{1} = 1).$$

Moreover, for p-singular elements P of G we have

$$\zeta_{\mu}(P) = \delta_{\mu} \qquad (1 \leq \mu \leq \omega).$$

COROLLARY 1. Let G be a group of order  $pq^bg^*$  where p and q are distinct primes, b and  $g^*$  positive integers and  $(pq, g^*) = 1$ . Suppose that G has an element of order pq, then  $q^b$  cannot divide the degree of any irreducible character  $\zeta_{\mu}$  in  $B_1(p)$ .

We shall say a character  $\zeta$  of  $B_1(p)$  is of type 0 for the prime p if  $\zeta(1) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  or if  $\zeta$  belongs to the exceptional family of  $B_1(p)$  and  $\zeta(1) \equiv -(p-1)/t \pmod{p}$ ;  $\zeta$  is of type 1 if  $\zeta(1) \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$  or if  $\chi$  belongs to the exceptional family and  $\zeta(1) \equiv +(p-1)/t \pmod{p}$ .

THEOREM 2.2 ([10] Lemma 6). Let G be a group of order |G|. Assume p and p' are distinct primes which divide |G| to the first power only and that G has no elements of order pp'. Let  $a_{ij}$  be the number of characters in  $B_1(p) \cap B_1(p')$  which are of type i for p and type j for p', the indices i and j being 0 or 1 as described above. Then

$$a_{00} + a_{11} = a_{01} + a_{10}.$$

It is clear that a character  $\zeta$  in  $B_1(p) \cap B_1(p')$  cannot be exceptional for both primes p and p'.

THEOREM 2.3 ([4], Lemma 1). Let G be a finite group which is identical with its commutator group G', and assume that the principal p-block  $B_1(p)$  contains an irreducible faithful character  $\zeta$  of degree z < 2p. Then the order of the centralizer  $C_G(G_p)$  of a Sylow p-subgroup  $G_p$  of G is a power of p.

## 3. The Sylow 23-normalizer of G

We assume from now on, that G is an non-abelian finite simple group of order  $10,200,960 = 2^7 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 23$ .

Let  $S_{23}$  be a Sylow 23-subgroup of G and let  $n_{23} = |G: N_G(S_{23})|$ . Then  $n_{23}$  has the following possibilities: (1)  $2^7 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$ , (2)  $2^6 \cdot 5 \cdot 11$ , (3)  $2^6 \cdot 3$ , (4)  $2^4 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$ , (5)  $2^3 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 11$ , (6)  $2^3 \cdot 3$ , (7)  $2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 11$ , (8)  $2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$ , (9)  $3 \cdot 7 \cdot 11$ .

We know that G has either 1, 2, or 11 classes of elements of order 23 according as t for prime 23 (written as  $t_{(23)}$ ) is 1, 2, or 11. Using equations (2.1), (2.2), and (2.3), and Theorem 2.3  $t_{(23)} = 11$  is ruled out, consequently  $|N_G(S_{23})/(C_G(S_{23})| =$ 11 or 22. Hence cases (2), (5), (7), and (9) above, for  $n_{23}$  are not possible. The impossibility of cases (4) and (8) follows almost as quickly, because otherwise G has no elements of order  $5 \cdot 23$ ,  $7 \cdot 23$ , or  $11 \cdot 23$  thus facilitating the use of Stanton's block intersection theorem (Theorem 2.2). Suppose  $n_{23} = 2^3 \cdot 3$ , case (6). Then  $|N_G(S_{23})| = 2^4 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 23$ . G then contains elements of order  $2 \cdot 23$ ,  $3 \cdot 23$ ,  $5 \cdot 23$ , and  $7 \cdot 23$ . From this it follows that 528 is the only possible degree of a nonexceptional character and 264 the only possible exceptional degree. But both of these degrees are even, and for  $(2 \cdot 3)$  to be satisfied  $B_1(23)$  must contain a character of odd degree. Case (3) is ruled out similarly. Hence we have proved

LEMMA 3.1. The Sylow 23-normalizer  $N_G(S_{23})$  is a Frobenius group of order 23  $\cdot$  11.

COROLLARY 3.1. The principal 23-block  $B_1(23)$  is the only 23-block of defect 1, and consists of 11 non-exceptional characters and a family of 2 exceptional characters. All other characters of G have degrees divisible by 23.

## 4. The Sylow 11-normalizer of G

Let  $S_{11}$  be a Sylow 11-subgroup of G and  $n_{11} = |G: N_G(S_{11})|$ . Lemma 3.1 reduces the possible values for  $n_{11}$  to the following: (1)  $3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 23$ , (2)  $2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 23$ , (3)  $2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 23$ , (4)  $2^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 23$ , (5)  $2^3 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 23$ , (6)  $2^4 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 23$ , (7)  $2^5 \cdot 3 \cdot 7 \cdot 23$ , (8)  $2^6 \cdot 5 \cdot 23$ , (9)  $2^7 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 23$ .

Using the same methods as for the prime 23, one proves quickly that  $t_{(11)} \neq 5$ and so  $|N_G(S_{11})/C_G(S_{11})| = 5$  or 10. This in turn eliminates cases (1), (2), (5) and (8), from the above list for  $n_{11}$ .

Suppose  $|N_G(S_{11})| = 2^5 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11$ , case (3). Then  $|C_G(S_{11})| = 2^5 \cdot 3 \cdot 7 \cdot 11$ or  $2^4 \cdot 3 \cdot 7 \cdot 11$ .

If  $|C_G(S_{11})| = 2^5 \cdot 3 \cdot 7 \cdot 11$ , then  $t_{(11)} = 2$  and  $B_1(11)$  consists of 5 nonexceptional characters  $1_G$ ,  $\chi_2$ ,  $\chi_3$ ,  $\chi^4$  and  $\chi^5$  and a family of 2 exceptional charac-

ters with representative  $\chi_6$ . Since G has elements of order  $2 \cdot 11$ ,  $3 \cdot 11$  and  $7 \cdot 11$ , the possible degrees for the non-exceptional characters are

TABLE 1								
1,	23,	276	$\equiv +1 \pmod{11}$ $\equiv -1 \pmod{11}$					
230,	736,	2760						

while the possible degrees for  $\chi_6$  are

TABLE 2								
368, 160,	1380 1920	$\equiv +5 \pmod{11}$ $\equiv -5 \pmod{11}$						
	,	368, 1380						

Then the degrees in  $B_1(23) \cap B_1(11)$  are 1 and 160, and so  $\chi_6(1) = 160$ . Applying theorem 2.2 to  $B_1(11) \cap B_1(5)$  we see that only degrees 1 and 736 lie in this intersection. Let  $\chi_2(1) = 736$ . Substitute the values 1, 160 and 736 in the degree equation (2.3). Then

$$\delta_3 z_3 + \delta_4 z_4 + \delta_5 z_5 = -(1 - 736 + 160) = 575$$

and so  $z_3 = 23$ ,  $z_4 = z_5 = 276$ . The characters  $l_G$ ,  $\chi_2$ ,  $\chi_3$  and  $\chi_6$  are real on 11regular elements, but this implies that in the tree for  $B_1(11)$ , two characters having the same sign  $\delta = +1$  are joined by one edge contrary to a result of Brauer ([2], Theorem 5).

Thus  $|C_G(S_{11})| = 2^4 \cdot 3 \cdot 7 \cdot 11$ , and so  $t_{11} = 1$  and  $B_1(11)$  consists of 10 non-exceptional characters whose possible degrees are given by Table I. But then the only character which could lie in the principal 23-block and the principal 11-block is the principal character which is impossible.

Using similar arguments cases (4), (6) and (8) are removed and so we have

LEMMA 4.1. The Sylow 11-normalizer  $N_G(S_{11})$  is a Frobenius group of order  $5 \cdot 11$ .

COROLLARY 4.1. The principal 11-block  $B_1(11)$  is the only 11-block of defect 1. All other characters of G have degrees divisible by 11, and lie in 11-blocks of defect 0.

# 5. The determination of degrees and blocks of characters of G

We know now that G has no elements of order  $23 \cdot 11$ ,  $23 \cdot 7$ ,  $23 \cdot 5$ ,  $23 \cdot 3$ ,  $11 \cdot 7$ ,  $11 \cdot 5$  or  $11 \cdot 3$ . Applying Theorem 2.2 to the intersection of  $B_1(23)$  and  $B_1(5)$  we see that both blocks contain a character of degree 896. This character is then the exceptional character for  $B_1(11)$  and using the degree equation (2.3) together with Theorem 2.2, we have

LEMMA 5.1. The principal 11-block  $B_1(11)$  contains only characters with the following degrees 1, 45, 45, 1035, 230, 896. All other characters of G have degrees which are divisible by 11.

Since a character of degree  $896 = 2^7 \cdot 7$  lies in  $B_1(5)$  then G has no elements of order  $7 \cdot 5$ , or  $2 \cdot 5$ . As shown earlier, G has no elements o orderf  $23 \cdot 5$  or  $11 \cdot 5$ and so a Sylow 5-subgroup  $S_5$  of G can be centralized only by elements of order 3 or 9. Further  $|N_G(S_5)/C_G(S_5)| \leq 4$ , whence  $|N_G(S_5)| = 2 \cdot 5$  or  $2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$ . But in  $B_1(5)$  we have already 3 non-exceptional characters and so  $|N_G(S_5)| = 2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$ . Hence  $t_{(5)} = 1$  and  $B_1(5)$  contains exactly 5 characters. These are found easily using equation (2.3).

LEMMA 5.2.  $|N_G(S_5)| = 2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$ .  $B_1(5)$  consists of 5 characters with the following degrees: 1, 896, 896, 231, 2024.

Using the same methods we have

LEMMA 5.3. The principal 23-block  $B_1(23)$  contains only characters with the following degrees: 1, 22, 45, 45, 231, 231, 231, 896, 896, 990, 990 and 770. All other degrees of characters of G are divisible by 23.

LEMMA 5.4.  $|N_G(S_7)/C_G(S_7)| = 3$ . The principal 7-block  $B_1(7)$  contains only characters with the following degrees: 1, 2024, 1035 and 990.

We have determined 16 characters of G, the sum of squares of degrees is (10200960-64009). Further, the degrees of the remaining characters must be divisible by both 23 and 11. However  $(11 \cdot 23)^2 = 64009$ , so G has only one more character and that is of degree  $253 = 11 \cdot 23$ .

LEMMA 5.5. G has 17 characters with the following degrees: 1, 22, 45, 45, 230, 231, 231, 231, 253, 770, 770, 896, 896, 990, 990, 1035 and 2024.

It is thus clear there are two 7-blocks of defect 1, and hence two conjugate classes of 7-regular elements of  $C_G(S_7)$  in  $N_G(S_7)$ . Further since  $|N_G(S_7)/C_G(S_7)| = 3$ ,  $|N_G(S_7)|$  has the following possible orders,  $2^7 \cdot 3 \cdot 7$ ,  $2^4 \cdot 3 \cdot 7$  and  $2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7$ , but only when  $|N_G(S_7)| = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7$ , are there the required two classes of 7-regular elements. Finally, there is only one 3-block of defect 2 and so a Sylow 3-subgroup is self centralizing.

## 6. Conclusion

The group G has 17 conjugate classes and we have so far determined 16 of them, as is shown in the table below.

Order of element	1	23	11	7	14	5	15	6	4	3	2
No. of classes	1	2	2	2	2	1	2	1	1	1	1

There is at least one class of involutions, and at least one class of elements of order 3 with one class to be determined.

By Sylow theorems, the order of the normaliser of a Sylow 3-subgroup of G is either  $2^23^2$  or  $2^4 \cdot 3^2$ , and consequently a Sylow 3-subgroup is elementary abelian. Suppose G has two classes of elements of order 3. Let R be a Sylow 3-subgroup of G. We know that R is self centralising and that  $|N_G(R)| = 2^2 \cdot 3^2$ , and so  $N_G(R)/R$  is cyclic of order 4. Let Q be a subgroup of order 3 in R and  $C_G(Q)$  the centraliser of Q in G. Then since  $N_{C_G(Q)}(R) = R$ , we have by Burnside's result ([5], p. 252) that  $C_G(Q)$  has a normal 3-complement, say N. Let  $\tilde{Q}$  be the subgroup of order 3 of R which is centralised by an element of order 5.

Then  $C_G(\tilde{Q}) = R\tilde{N}$  where  $\tilde{N}$  is the normal 3-complement in  $C_G(\tilde{Q})$  and  $5||\tilde{N}|$ . But then by the Frattini argument ([5], p. 12),  $9||N_G(G_5)|$  where  $G_5$  is a Sylow 5-subgroup of G, which is false. Hence G has only one class of elements of order 3 and so we have proved

LEMMA 6.1. The group G has one class of elements of order 3. A Sylow 3-subgroup is normalised by a semi-dihedral group of order 16, and so G has only one class of involutions and one class of elements of order 8.

Let t be the involution in the normaliser of a Sylow 7-subgroup  $G_7$  of G, and consider the centraliser of t in G,  $C_G(t)$ . It follows immediately that  $N_G(G_7) \subset C_G(t)$ . Since G has no elements of order  $2 \cdot 23$ ,  $2 \cdot 11$ , or  $2 \cdot 5$ , then  $C_G(t)$  has order  $2^{\alpha} \cdot 3^{\beta} \cdot 7$ , where  $\alpha \leq 7$  and  $\beta \leq 2$ . We know that G has only one class of involutions, and because  $|C_G(t) : N_G(G_7)| \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$ , the order of  $C_G(t)$  is  $2^7 \cdot 3 \cdot 7$ .

Suppose the group  $C_G(t)$  is soluble. Let  $G_2$  be a Sylow 2-subgroup of G which is contained in  $C = C_G(t)$ . Let  $O_2(C)$  be the maximal normal subgroup of 2-power order in C. Then the factor group  $C/O_2(C)$  is soluble. Let  $\overline{N}$  be a minimal normal subgroup of  $C/O_2(C)$ . Then  $\overline{N}$  has order 7 and so  $O_2(C) = G_2$ . But then  $C_G(t)$ is 2-closed and so by a result of Suzuki ([5], p. 466). G is one of known list of finite simple groups. However, none of these have the order 10, 200, 960, a contradiction.

Hence we conclude that  $C_G(t) = C$  is insoluble. Write  $E = O_2(C)$ . Because we must have  $|C/E : N_{C/E}(\overline{G}_7)| \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$  where  $\overline{G}_7$  is a Sylow 7-subgroup in C/E, we have |E| = 2 or 16.

Suppose we have |E| = 2. Since  $2^6 \cdot 3 \cdot 7$  is not the order of any simple group, C/E contains a normal subgroup. Let  $\overline{N}$  be a minimal normal subgroup of C/E, then  $\overline{N}$  is either elementary abelian or a direct product of isomorphic simple groups. Clearly  $\overline{N}$  cannot be an elementary abelian 2-group. Further,  $\overline{N}$  cannot be of order 3 for then G would have elements of order 21, and  $\overline{N}$  cannot be of order 7 for this would imply that  $|N_G(G_7)| > 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7$ . So we conclude that  $|\overline{N}| = 2^3 \cdot 3 \cdot 7$ , and  $\overline{N} \simeq PSL(2, 7)$ . Write  $N = O_2(C)\overline{N}$ , then we have  $N \lhd C = C_G(t)$ . Let  $N_7$  be a Sylow 7-subgroup of N. By the Frattini argument  $C = NN_C(N_7)$  and so  $C/N \simeq N_C(N_7)/N_N(N_7)$ . But then order of the normaliser of a Sylow 7-subgroup is greater than  $2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7$ , which is a contradiction.

Thus we conclude that  $|O_2(C)| = 16$ . Since  $C_G(t)$  is insoluble,  $C_G(t)$  is an extension of  $E = O_2(C)$  of order 16 by PSL(2, 7). Suppose that  $E = O_2(C)$  is non-abelian. Let Z(E) be the centre of E. It follows that  $|Z(E)| \neq 4$  for otherwise the order of the centraliser of a Sylow 7-subgroup in C is  $4 \cdot 7$ . Hence  $Z(E) = \langle t \rangle$ . Let  $\Phi(E)$  be the Frattini subgroup of E, then  $\Phi(E)$  has order 4 or 2. If  $|\Phi(E)| = 4$  then  $\Phi(E) \lhd C_G(t)$  and again we have that a Sylow 7-subgroup of C has a normalizer of order 4.7. So  $\Phi(E) = Z(E) = E' = \langle t \rangle$  and hence E is an extra special 2-group, but this is impossible as  $|E| = 2^4$ . So E is abelian.

By a result of Suzuki ([5], p. 177) a Sylow 7-subgroup H of C acts as an automorphism group of E, and so  $E = \langle t \rangle Z$  where  $\langle t \rangle \cap Z = \langle 1 \rangle$  and Z is an H-admissible subgroup of E. The group Z is then of order 8 and so is elementary abelian. Hence E is elementary abelian.

Let T be a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $C_G(t)$ . Clearly the centre of T, Z(T), is contained in E. If Z(T) is of order 8, then at least two involutions say z and z' in  $Z(T) \setminus \langle t \rangle$  are conjugated in C by an element of order 7. But this contradicts the result of Burnside ([5], p. 240) since they are not conjugate in  $N_C(T) = T$ . Suppose Z(T) is of order 4 and let z be an element in  $E \setminus \langle t \rangle$ . Since z has 7 conjugates in C,  $C_C(z)$  has order  $2^7 \cdot 3$ . Let Q be a Sylow 3-subgroup of  $C_C(z)$  and let  $\tilde{T}$  be a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $C_C(z)$ . It is clear that  $\tilde{T}$  is also a Sylow 2-subgroup of G. We have  $E \lhd \tilde{T}$  and so  $\langle t, z \rangle = Z(\tilde{T}) = C_E(Q)$ . Further we have  $|C_C(Q)| = 2^2 \cdot 3$ and hence  $N_C(Q)$  has order  $2^3 \cdot 3$ .

Let  $F^*$  be a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $C_G(Q)$  which contains  $\langle t, z \rangle$  and suppose by way of contradiction that  $\langle t, z \rangle < F^*$  has a subgroup  $F_1$  which contains  $\langle t, z \rangle$  properly and  $|F_1 : \langle t, z \rangle| = 2$ . Since  $F_1$  does not lie in C,  $F_1$  is contained in  $C_G(z)$  or in  $C_G(tz)$  and so  $|C_{C(Z)}(Q) > 2^2 \cdot 3$  or  $|C_{C(tz)}(Q)| > 2^2 \cdot 3$ . But G has only one class of involutions and so this is impossible. Hence  $C_G(Q)$  has order  $2^2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5$ . By a result of Gaschütz ([5], p. 26) Q splits in  $C_G(Q)$  and so we may write  $C_G(Q) = Q \times L$  where L is a group of order 60. From the order of the normalizer of a Sylow 5-subgroup of G (lemma 5.6) it follows that L is insoluble, and so L is simple. But then  $L \cong A_5$  where  $A_5$  is the alternating group on 5 letters. By a result of Gaschütz we may write  $N_G(Q) = QK$  where  $|K| = 2^3 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$ , and so  $L \lhd K$ , where  $L \cong A_5$  and  $L \subseteq C_G(Q)$ .

Let F be the Sylow 2-subgroup of  $N_G(Q)$ , then F must be Abelian since a dihedral group of order 8 cannot normalize a group of order 3. Consequently  $K = L \times S$  where S is a group of order 2. But then G has elements of order 10, which is impossible. Hence a Sylow 2-subgroup of G has cyclic centre of order 2. We have proved:

**LEMMA** 6.2. The centralizer C of an involution t in the centre of a Sylow

2-subgroup T of G is an extension of an elementary abelian group E of order 16 by a group H,  $H \cong PSL(2,7)$ . Further the centre of T is cyclic.

It now follows from a result of Janko [8] that  $G \cong M_{23}$ .

## References

- [1] R. Brauer, 'Investigations on group characters', Ann. of Math. 42 (1941), 936-958.
- [2] R. Brauer, 'On groups whose order contains a prime to the first power, I', Amer. J. Math. 64 (1942), 401-420.
- [3] R. Brauer, 'Connection between ordinary and modular characters', Ann. of Math. 42 (1941), 926-935.
- [4] R. Brauer and H. F. Tuan, 'On simple groups of finite orders, I', Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 51 (1945), 756-766.
- [5] D. Gorenstein, *Finite groups* (Harper's Series in Modern Mathematics, Harper and Row, New York, 1968).
- [6] D. Held, 'Eine Kennzeichnung der Mathieu-Gruppe M<sub>22</sub> und der Alternierenden Gruppe A<sub>10</sub>', J. of Alg. 8 (1968), 436-449.
- Z. Janko, 'A new finite simple group with abelian Sylow 2-subgroups', Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 53 (1965), 657-658.
- [8] Z. Janko, 'A characterization of the Mathieu Simple Groups I, II', J. of Alg. 9 (1968), 1-41.
- [9] D. Parrott, 'On the Mathieu groups  $M_{22}$  and  $M_{11}$ ', J. Aust. Math. Soc. 11 (1970), 69-81.
- [10] R. G. Stanton, 'The Mathieu Groups', Canad. J. Math. 3 (1951), 164-174.
- [11] S. K. Wong, 'On a new finite non-abelian simple group of Janko', to appear.
- [12] W. J. Wong, 'On finite groups whose 2-Sylow subgroups have cyclic subgroups of index 2', J. Aust. Math. Soc. 4 (1964), 90-112.

Monash University Melbourne