

Table 1. Demographic characteristics corresponding to isolates

Characteristic	Number (%) (n=38)
Age, median [IQR]	25 [13.5] Range: 13 – 56
Male sex	24 (63%)
Race	
- Caucasian	10 (26.3%)
- Black	17 (44.7%)
- Other	4 (10.5%)
- Unknown	7 (18.4%)
Ethnicity	
- Hispanic	1 (2.6%)
- Not Hispanic	19 (50%)
- Unknown	18 (47.3%)
Southeast Michigan county	
- Detroit City proper	18 (47.3%)
- Wayne County	7 (18.4%)
- Oakland County	6 (15.7%)
- Macomb County	6 (15.7%)
- Statewide	1 (2.6%)
HIV status	
- Positive	6 (15.7%)
- Negative	11 (28.9%)
- Unknown	21 (55.2%)
Sexual orientation	
- Female having sex with males	14 (44.7%)
- Male having sex with females and males	2 (5.2%)
- Male having sex with males	4 (10.5%)
- Unknown	18 (47.3%)
Previous NG infection	
- More than once	5 (13.2%)
- Once	8 (21%)
- No	25 (65.8%)
Previous other sexually transmitted infection	
- More than once	9 (23.7%)
- Once	5 (13.1%)
- No	24 (63.2%)
Specimen source	
- Blood	1 (2.6%)
- Urine	18 (47.3%)
- Cervix, vagina	11 (28.9%)
- Throat	3 (7.9%)
- Perianal, rectal	3 (7.9%)
- Penis	1 (2.6%)

**Presentation Type:**

Poster Presentation - Poster Presentation

**Subject Category:** Emerging Pathogens**Mpox exposure on a congregate inpatient psychiatry unit: Description of the investigation and outcomes—New York City, 2022**

Waleed Malik; Justin Chan; Simon Dosovitz; Clyde Gilmore and Jeanne Cosico

**Background:** In May 2022, New York City (NYC) experienced a large outbreak of human mpox (clade IIb). Data on mpox transmission following exposure in healthcare facilities in nonendemic settings are limited. Because mpox was previously not seen in NYC, our healthcare staff may not always recognize a suspected case and therefore may neglect to implement timely infection prevention and control measures, leading to infectious exposures. The risk of transmission from unrecognized mpox may be higher in inpatient psychiatric units where direct physical contact is more common in the setting of common spaces for patients. In July 2022, a patient was admitted to NYC Health + Hospitals–Bellevue (Bellevue) psychiatry with signs and symptoms of mpox that were not recognized for 4 days, at which point the patient was tested for mpox and was isolated. We describe the investigation of staff and patients exposed during the 4 days prior to diagnosis and isolation of the index patient, and we report on the outcome mpox infection among those exposed. **Methods:** This study was a retrospective chart review of adult patients admitted to and staff working on an inpatient psychiatric unit where the patient with mpox was admitted to Bellevue, the largest municipal hospital in NYC. Each

individual was classified regarding degree of exposure, based on criteria from the CDC, and was offered postexposure mpox vaccination where indicated. We describe the nature of contact with the patient for those with high-risk exposures. The outcome of interest was development of mpox infection during 21 days after last exposure. **Results:** In total, 29 patients and 84 staff members were identified to have been on the psychiatric unit prior to isolation of the index case of mpox. All exposed individuals were monitored for signs and symptoms of mpox for 21 days after last exposure. The exposed and unexposed patients were kept apart in the psychiatric unit. All patients who had contact were classified as having a low-to-intermediate risk exposure. Among 23 staff members exposed, 8 had high-risk exposures, 4 had intermediate-risk exposures, and 11 had low-risk exposures. Those with high-risk exposures were offered Jynneos as postexposure vaccination, but they declined. None of the exposed staff or patients developed mpox during the follow-up period. **Conclusions:** Mpox transmission was not observed despite several exposures in a congregate psychiatry unit. Given limited data, further studies are needed to better understand transmission risk in congregate healthcare settings.

**Disclosures:** None

Antimicrobial Stewardship &amp; Healthcare Epidemiology 2023;3(Suppl. S2):s67

doi:10.1017/ash.2023.315

**Presentation Type:**

Poster Presentation - Poster Presentation

**Subject Category:** Environmental Cleaning**Effect of dry hydrogen peroxide on *Candida auris* environmental contamination**

Jennifer Sanguinet; Gerard Marshall; Julia Moody and Kenneth Sands

**Background:** *Candida auris* is an emerging pathogen that exhibits broad antimicrobial resistance and causes highly morbid infections. Prolonged survival on surfaces has been demonstrated, and standard disinfectants may not achieve adequate disinfection. Persistent patient colonization and constant environmental recontamination poses an infection risk that may be mitigated by no touch disinfection systems. We evaluated the efficacy of continuous dry hydrogen peroxide (DHP) exposure on *C. auris* environmental contamination. **Methods:** The study was conducted in a large tertiary-care center where multiple patients were identified as either infected or colonized with *C. auris*. DHP-emitting systems were installed in the ventilation systems dedicated to the adult burn intensive care and children's cardiac intensive care units. Composite surface samples were collected in a sample of patient rooms and shared clinical workspaces among units with current *C. auris* patients, before and after installation of the DHP system, and from areas with and without exposure to DHP. The samples included "high touch" surfaces near the patient, the general area of the patient room, shared medical equipment for the unit, shared staff work areas, and equipment dedicated to individual staff members (Table 1). Presence of *C. auris* was determined by polymerase chain reaction (PCR). Association between DHP exposure and *C. auris* contamination was determined using the Fisher exact test. **Results:** In the presence of *C. auris* patients, 5 baseline samples per unit were taken before DHP was installed, and then 5 samples per unit were taken on days 7, 14, and 28 after installation. Prior to initiation of DHP, 7 (70%) of 10 samples were PCR positive for *C. auris*. After DHP installation, a statistically significant decrease to 5 (16.7%) of 30 samples ( $P < .05$ ) was observed. In total, 20 samples (5 before installation and 15 after installation) were collected from units without DHP on the same days. At baseline, 2 (40%) of 5 samples were PCR positive for *C. auris*. During subsequent periods, 4 (27%) 15 samples were positive ( $P = .66$ ). No adverse effects were reported by

Table 1: Composite sample collection areas

Composite sample description:	Composite swab includes:
High touch surfaces near patient	Bedside Table, Ventilator if present, bed rails, nurse call button
General patient room area	Curtain, window sill, glove box, keyboard, sink or counter surface
Shared medical equipment	Glucometer, Vitals machine
Shared staff areas outside patient room	Nursing desk or counter, nursing keyboard and mouse
Staff only equipment	Stethoscope, mobile phones, workstation on wheels

patients, visitors, or personnel in association with the operation of the DHP systems. **Conclusions:** These findings suggest that DHP is effective in reducing surface *C. auris* contamination in a variety of patient and healthcare worker surfaces.

**Disclosures:** None

*Antimicrobial Stewardship & Healthcare Epidemiology* 2023;3(Suppl. S2):s67–s68

doi:10.1017/ash.2023.316

# **Presentation Type:**


Poster Presentation - Poster Presentation

**Subject Category:** Environmental Cleaning

**Environmental cleaning in operating rooms: A systematic review from the human factors engineering perspective**

Anping Xie PhD; Hugo Sax; Oluseyi Daodu; Lamia Alam; Marium Sultan; Clare Rock; Shawna Perry and Ayse Gurses

**Background:** Environmental cleaning is critical in preventing pathogen transmission and potential consecutive healthcare-acquired infections. In operating rooms (ORs), multiple invasive procedures increase the infectious risk for patients, making proper cleaning and disinfection of environmental surfaces of paramount importance. A human-factors engineering (HFE) approach emphasizing the impact of the entire work system on care processes and outcomes has been proposed to improve environmental cleaning. Using the lens of this HFE approach, we conducted a systematic review to synthesize existing evidence and identify gaps in the literature on OR cleaning. **Methods:** The systematic review was guided by the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines and limited to English-written, peer-reviewed journal articles



Studies examining the effectiveness of OR cleaning in reducing environmental contamination								
First author (year)	Work system factors					Process	Outcome measures	Measuring techniques
	P	T	T&T	E	O			
Balkissoon (2014)	Infected vs. noninfected patients	nil	nil	nil	nil	Turnover cleaning	Surface contamination after turnover cleaning	Culture
Dallolio (2018)	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	Turnover cleaning	Surface and air contamination before first procedure and after turnover cleaning following first procedures	Culture
Dehghani (2018)	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	Turnover cleaning	Air contamination before and after turnover cleaning	Culture
Ellis (2018)	nil	nil	nil	Flat covered vs. irregular uncovered surfaces	nil	Turnover cleaning	Surface and air contamination before and after turnover cleaning	Culture, ATP
Frabetti (2009)	nil	nil	nil	Vertical vs. horizontal surfaces, smooth vs. porous surfaces	nil	Terminal cleaning	Surface contamination before and after terminal cleaning	Culture
Griffith (2000)	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	Turnover cleaning	Surface contamination before and after turnover cleaning	Culture, ATP, visual inspection
Matinyi (2018)	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	Morning cleaning before first procedure	Surface and air contamination after morning disinfection	Culture
Nascimento (2021)	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	Turnover cleaning	Surface contamination before and after turnover cleaning	Culture, ATP, visual inspection
Richard (2017)	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	Turnover cleaning	Surface contamination after turnover cleaning	ATP
Sanna (2018)	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	Turnover cleaning	Surface contamination before first procedure and after turnover cleaning following first procedures	Culture, ATP