Table 1. Survey Answers by Role

	_		Role			
Question	All (N=294)	Physician (N=68)	Advanced Practitioner (N=83)	Nurse (N=119)	Other (N=24)	P Value
Content with the N95 reuse conservation strategy, no. (%)	72 (25)	24 (33)	22 (31)	23 (32)	3 (4)	.04
Comfortable reusing an N95, no. (%)	84 (47)	23 (27)	22 (26)	36 (43)	3 (4)	.07
Frequency of user seal check, no. (%) Always/almost always Sometimes/Half the time Never/Don't know what a seal check is	154 (57) 39 (14) 77 (29)	13 (33) 28 (18) 23 (30)	10 (26) 45 (29) 17 (22)	12 (31) 68 (44) 2 (42)	4 (10) 13 (8) 5 (6)	.27
N95 donnings/shift on an average clinical workday, no. (%) 1–2 3–5 >5	294 71 (24) 193 (66) 30 (10)	15 (21) 50 (26) 3 (10)	26 (37) 44 (23) 13 (43)	26 (37) 82 (43) 11 (37)	4 (6) 17 (9) 3 (10)	.10
Longest hours N95 worn on an average clinical workday, no. (%) ≤1 h >1-5 h >5 h	107 (36) 109 (37) 78 (27)	29 (27) 26 (24) 13 (17)	42 (39) 24 (22) 17 (22)	33 (31) 46 (42) 40 (51)	3 (3) 13 (12) 8 (10)	.02
N95 replacements needed in 1 week at the peak of COVID-19, no. (%) Never 1-2 times 3-4 times >5 Does not recall	71 (27) 94 (36) 32 (12) 27 (10) 34 (13)	26 (36) 19 (20) 1 (3) 6 (22) 5 (15)	23 (32) 26 (28) 7 (22) 5 (18) 5 (44)	21 (29) 42 (45) 17 (53) 12 (44) 16 (47)	1 (1) 7 (7) 7 (22) 4 (15) 5 (15)	<.01
Longest number of working days that HCW reused same N95, no. (%) 1–3 d 4–7 d 8–14 d >14 d	30 (12) 59 (23) 51 (20) 117 (45)	0 6 (10) 14 (27) 37 (32)	4 (13) 20 (34) 10 (20) 35 (30)	20 (67) 26 (44) 21 (41) 41 (35)	6 (20) 7 (12) 6 (12) 4 (3)	<.01

Financial support. This study was funded by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention through a COVID-19 supplement to grant no. 6 U01CK000554-02-02.

Conflicts of interest. All authors report no conflict of interest relevant to this article.

References

- 1. Ranney ML, Griffeth V, Jha AK. Critical supply shortages—the need for ventilators and personal protective equipment during the COVID-19 pandemic. *N Engl J Med* 2020;382(18):e41.
- Nogee D, Tomassoni AJ. COVID-19 and the N95 respirator shortage: closing the gap. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2020;41(8):958.
- Rebmann T, Vassallo A, Holdsworth JE. Availability of personal protective equipment and infection prevention supplies during the first month of the COVID-19 pandemic: a national study by the APIC COVID-19 task force. *Am J Infect Control* 2020. doi: 10.1016/j.ajic.2020.08.029.
- Patel AB, O'Donnell A, Bonebrake A, et al. Stewardship of personal protective equipment (PPE): an important pandemic resource for PPE preservation and education. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2020. doi: 10.1017/ice.2020.311.
- 5. Jeong HJ LW. The level of collapse we are allowed: comparison of different response scales in safety attitudes questionnaire. *Biom Biostat Int J* 2016;4:128–134.

Can we pursue a "herd immunity" policy?

Fei Chen PhD 💿, Jiarui He BS, Yingjie Liu BS and Shuyue Zheng BS

Department of Physiology, Jining Medical University, Jining, China

To the Editor—The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic is worsening—even becoming an uncontrollable situation in many countries. Thus, some people believe that it is impossible

Cite this article: Chen F, *et al.* (2021). Can we pursue a "herd immunity" policy?. *Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology*, 42: 1539–1540, https://doi.org/10.1017/ ice.2020.1342 to completely control this epidemic, so they tend to support "herd immunity," which allows severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) to spread freely through the population.¹ However, such an approach will not return things to normal after the difficulties caused by this pandemic. On the contrary, following a herd immunity approach will lead to more serious outcomes. Although some reports have recommended against a herd immunity approach, the effects of herd immunity still need to be

© The Author(s), 2020. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of The Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Author for correspondence: Fei Chen, E-mail: chenfei0336@163.com

discussed. The disadvantages and possible consequences of herd immunity can be summarized as follows.

- (1) Almost everyone is susceptible to COVID-19, and young people are not invincible. The World Health Organization found that the proportion of people aged 15–24 years who are infected increased 3-fold from February 24 to July 12, 2020.² Also, some famous and strong athletes have tested positive for SARS-CoV-2.
- (2) Reports of second and even third infections have emerged in many countries,³ which indicates that herd immunity by natural infection is ineffective. However, the mechanism leading to more severe reinfection is less clear. This may be caused by high dose of virus, more virulent virus, antibody-dependent enhancement or IgG levels and neutralizing antibodies decline.^{3,4}
- (3) Currently, research on drugs and vaccines for COVID-19 is proceeding rapidly.⁵ However, an uncontrolled transmission policy will lead to a decrease in the number of healthy workers engaged in drug and vaccine research, development, production, and vaccination. Therefore, this policy will lead to greater challenges. On the one hand, the number of patients will increases dramatically, and on the other hand, the production of drugs and vaccines will decline.
- (4) Notably, we cannot achieve herd immunity without the help of vaccines. For example, growing numbers of travelers and children who had not been vaccinated created a measles outbreak, and herd immunity disappeared in 2019.⁶
- (5) Published studies have suggested that COVID-19 can result in other diseases such as diabetes, brain inflammation, and neurological dysfunction.^{7,8} If billions of people test positive, the viral damage to their other organs and sequelae after treatment must be considered.
- (6) Herd immunity by natural infection bring healthcare systems to paralysis and collapse on an unprecedented scale; eventually, the mortality rate would increase, as in the early stage of the epidemic.⁹ At the same time, a rapid influx in COVID-19 patients will strain medical resources, such as medical staffs, equipment, and drugs, for the treatment of other diseases. In addition, some hospitals will close other departments to prevent nosocomial infections, which will prevent patients with other diseases from receiving timely medical treatment.
- (7) Ending the lockdown will not save the economy. As the first country pursuing herd immunity, Sweden is now suffering the worst economic crisis since World War II. Its gross domestic product has fallen 8.6%, more sharply than those of its neighbors, despite the no-lockdown policy. Meanwhile, Sweden unfortunately became the first country in northern Europe to have 100,000 confirmed cases, and Sweden has seen the twelfth highest death rate worldwide.¹⁰
- (8) The immune gap between people in countries that pursue herd immunity and people in other countries will broaden. Large-scale transmission will follow the resumption of international travel.

Currently, the success of some countries, such as New Zealand, Japan, and China, in fighting the spread of the pandemic has fully proven that robust public health approaches are effective and that only after the epidemic is contained can the economic situation be truly improved. At the same time, we must be clear that oscillating between "herd immunity" and robust scientific approaches will result in more serious consequences. Not only will the national economy be harmed, more people will be infected and mortality rates will be higher. Therefore, before specific drugs or effective vaccines are developed, both individuals and countries should firmly pursue the strategies of suppressing and controlling transmission. These strategies are the best choices in this severe epidemic situation, even though such measures may seem unbearable in the short run.

Acknowledgments.

Financial support. No financial support was provided relevant to this article.

Conflicts of interest. All authors report no conflicts of interest relevant to this article.

References

- Kulldorff M, Gupta S, Bhattacharya J. Great Barrington Declaration. Great Barrington Declaration website. https://gbdeclaration.org. Published 2020. Accessed October 4, 2020.
- Banerjee A, Nebehay S. Proportion of youth with COVID-19 triples in five months: WHO. Reuters news website. https://www.reuters.com/article/ushealth-coronavirus-youth-idUSKCN2502FS. Published 2020. Accessed August 4, 2020.
- Tillett RL, Sevinsky JR, Hartley PD, et al. Genomic evidence for reinfection with SARS-CoV-2: a case study. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2020. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30764-7.
- 4. Long QX, Tang XJ, Shi QL, *et al.* Clinical and immunological assessment of asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infections. *Nat Med* 2020;26:1200–1204.
- Xia S, Zhang Y, Wang Y, *et al.* Safety and immunogenicity of an inactivated SARS-CoV-2 vaccine, BBIBP-CorV: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 1/2 trial. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2020. doi: 10.1016/ S1473-3099(20)30831-8.
- 6. Peeples L. Rethinking herd immunity. Nat Med 2019;25:1178.
- 7. Lu Y, Li X, Geng D, *et al.* Cerebral microstructural changes in COVID-19 patients—an MRI-based 3-month follow-up study. *EClin Med* 2020;25:100484.
- Bornstein SR, Rubino F, Khunti K, *et al.* Practical recommendations for the management of diabetes in patients with COVID-19. *Lancet Diabetes Endo* 2020;8:546–550.
- Alwan NA, Burgess RA, Ashworth S, et al. Scientific consensus on the COVID-19 pandemic: we need to act now. Lancet 2020;396(10260):e71–e72.
- Burke D. Sweden 'to start lockdowns' as it has world's 12th highest COVID-19 death rate. *The Mirror* news website. https://www.mirror.co.uk/news/ world-news/sweden-to-start-lockdowns-worlds-22864690; Published 2020. Accessed October 18, 2020.