

*Arabian Names**Ahmad al-Jallad***Introduction**

The term ‘Arabian’ in cuneiform sources is primarily geographic, covering a range of toponyms, ethnonyms, and anthroponyms ultimately stemming from the arid regions to the west and south of Mesopotamia. As such, the term encompasses a wide array of languages, some known and attested independently in the Arabian epigraphic record, such as Sabaic and Taymanitic. In other cases, the cuneiform sources constitute our only evidence for the shadowy vernaculars of North Arabia and the Syrian Desert in the first millennium BCE. During this period, Arabia was home to several independent writing traditions that made use of variants of the South Semitic alphabet, a sister script to the Phoenico–Aramaic script. There thrived a rich writing culture in the south-western corner of the Peninsula, in what is today Yemen. Four principal languages are encountered in the epigraphic record: Sabaic, Minaic, Qatabanic, and Hadramitic (Stein 2011). The oases of North and West Arabia also boasted their own scripts and dialects: Dadanitic (at Dadān, mod. al-ʿUlā), Taymanitic (at Taymāʿ), and Dumaitic (at Dūmat, mod. Dūmat al-Jandal) (Macdonald 2000). These materials provide important comparanda when trying to identify Arabian names in cuneiform transcription and in trying to locate their source.

Historical Background

Beginning in the Neo-Assyrian period, contacts between Arabians and Mesopotamian states begin to increase. The Neo-Assyrians carried out several military campaigns against the inhabitants of northern Arabia, specifically targeting the oasis city of Adummatu, mod. Dūmat al-Jandal (Ephʿal 1984, 20–53). At the same time, these sources record a growing presence of Arabians in Babylonia (Ephʿal 1974). A number of inscriptions

in the South Semitic alphabet – written on seals and clay tablets – have also been discovered in the environs of Babylonia, independently attesting to the presence of Arabian groups in the region (Sass 1991, 43–68).

Principles for Distinguishing Arabian Names in Babylonian Sources

Arabian names in Babylonian sources are usually identified on the basis of linguistic features that distinguish them from Northwest and East Semitic. One of the most salient isoglosses is the preservation of word-initial *w*, which has merged with *y* in the Northwest Semitic languages, and the presence of a non-etymological word-final *u* – what is termed *wawation* (Al-Jallad 2022). Arabian names are also identified based on their association with groups of people labelled ‘Arabian’ in the sources, as well as on the basis of etymology (Zadok 1981, §1). The number of Arabian anthroponyms, tribal names, and toponyms in first millennium BCE Babylonian sources is comparatively small but nevertheless attests to the growing presence of Arabians in southern Babylonia and the importance of Arabia in trade and other external affairs of the country.

Toponyms

In 552 BCE, Nabonidus, the last king of the Neo-Babylonian Empire, campaigned in North Arabia and conquered several oasis settlements. The Harran stele (Schaudig 2001, 486–99; Weiershäuser and Novotny 2020 no. 47) furnishes us with the longest list of Arabian toponyms:

^{uru}*te-ma-a*: This refers to the North Arabian oasis town of Taymāʿ, attested in the local Taymanitic inscriptions as *tmʿ* (Eskoubi 1999, 239–41; Hayajneh 2001, 81–95). It is mentioned in the Hebrew Bible as תַּימָא (Jeremiah 25:23).

^{uru}*da-da-(nu)*: Dadān was an important oasis to the southwest of Taymāʿ, also mentioned in Jeremiah 25:23 as דַּדָּן. The town boasted its own script and writing tradition (Macdonald 2000; Kootstra 2023). The name is attested both in the inscriptions of Taymāʿ and Dadān as *ddn*.

^{uru}*pa-dak-ku*: This renders *fadak*, an Arabian oasis southwest of Dadān, located near the modern site of al-Ḥāʿit, and which carries the same name today (Hausleiter and Schaudig 2016, 236–7). It is unclear whether the plosive *p* in cuneiform transcription is a faithful representation of the town’s name or whether the use of *pa-* was simply an

approximation of the spirantised *f*, characteristic of Arabic today. A cuneiform inscription of Nabonidus has been discovered at this site, possibly mentioning the name of the settlement as *p[a-dak-ku]* (Hausleiter and Schaudig 2016).

^{uru}*bi-ib-ra-a*: This appears to render the name of the oasis of Khaybar, which is about 60 kilometres as the bird flies southwest of Fadak. The spelling, however, does not match its current name, which goes back at least to the seventh century CE. Like *te-ma-a*, it appears that the oasis' name in the middle of the first millennium BCE was *Ḥibrāʿ*?

^{uru}*ia-di-hu*: This oasis lies about sixty kilometres south of *pa-dak-ku* and is known today as al-Ḥuwayyit, but locals apparently still know the uninhabited site as *yadīʿ* (Hausleiter and Schaudig, *forthcoming*). The anthroponym *yadīʿ* is common in the Ancient North Arabian inscriptions and may suggest that the town bore the name of a person (Harding 1971, 663).

^{uru}*ia-at-ri-bu*: The final site on Nabonidus' campaign is today the most well-known and important of these settlements, *yatrib*, the capital of Muhammad's state and the site of his burial. The cuneiform spelling is a faithful transcription of the Arabian name. It is next attested in an undated Nabataean inscription from the area of al-ʿUlā (Al-Theeb 2002 no. 163), and finally in Islamic-period sources, where its name was officially changed to al-Madinah.

Ethnonyms

The Arabians mentioned in cuneiform sources belong to several social groups, ranging from the macro-identity, *arab*, to tribes and smaller clans and families.

^{lu/kur}*a-ra-bi*: The term 'Arab', which first appears in Neo-Assyrian documents, is an umbrella label covering the inhabitants of the 'distant desert' of North Arabia, and sometimes elsewhere. Not all whom this title encompasses identified as a self-conscious community or were necessarily speakers of a language we would call Arabic (Macdonald 2009). By the eighth century BCE, Arabian groups had settled in southern Babylonia, in the territories of Bīt-Dakkūri and Bīt-Amukāni (Eph'al 1974). A settlement called Ālu-ša-Arbāyi 'City of the Arabians' was located near Nippur (Zadok 1977, 224–7). It seems clear that *arab* was a macro-label encompassing several ethnic/social groups, as evidenced by the compound name *te-mu-da-a ar-ba-a-a*,

- which could refer to an Arabian, belonging to the tribe/clan of Thamūd (Zadok 1977, 224–7).
- ^{uru}*qi-da-ri*: Zadok (1981, 66) suggests a connection between this toponym, which is attested in a Neo-Babylonian document from Nippur (BE 8/1 65), with Neo-Assyrian *qid-ri-na*, an Arabian settlement in Bīt-Dakkūri possibly named after the large Arabian confederacy of Qedar. The name is attested in the Bible (Gen 25:13; 1 Chron 1:29), and a Qedarite king, Gušam son of ʿAmru, offered a votive bowl to the deity *hnʿlt* ‘the Goddess’ at Tell al-Maskhūṭah in the Nile Delta (Rabinowitz 1956). The vocalisation in cuneiform transcription – alongside the spelling of the name in the Tell al-Maskhūṭah bowl as *qdr* – suggests an original pronunciation of *qidar* rather than *qaydar*.
- ^{lu}*sa-ba-ʔ*, ^{lu}*ša-ba-ʔ-a-a*: This term transcribes the name of one of the four principal states of South Arabia, *sabaʔ*, mentioned in the Bible as סַבְאָ (e.g., Gen 25:3). Some have suggested that the references to the Sabaeans in cuneiform texts are in fact to a trading outpost in the Ḥigāz, perhaps near Dadān, rather than to the kingdom itself (Macdonald 1997; Retsö 2003, 135). The spelling of the name with *sa* in a Neo-Babylonian fragment in contrast to *ša* in the southern Babylonian inscriptions from Sūḥu (Zadok 2013, 317; Dietrich 2003, 4) may suggest that the initial sibilant was not identical to either sound and was therefore approximated in different ways depending on the scribe.
- ^{lu}*ta-am-da-a-a*: Ran Zadok has connected this name with the famous Arabian tribe Thamūd (Zadok 2013, 317), attested already in Neo-Assyrian records. A close linguistic match may be found in the Jordanian toponym *wādī tamad*, in the area of Madaba. The form *tmdn* is attested once in Safaitic (KRS 2271) and would correspond to the anthroponym ¹*tam-da-nu*, which Zadok suspects is linguistically related to the tribal name (Zadok 2013, 317). The Arabic meaning of the root *tmd* is ‘to dig a well or channel’, and is comparable to the meaning of *nbt*, which later gives rise to the ethnonym *nbt* ‘Nabataean’.

Anthroponyms

One-Word Names with Wawation

- ¹*gu-da-du-u* (Gudādū): This name appears to be formed with the *qutāl* noun pattern, which is quite common in the Arabic onomasticon (Zadok 2013, 318; 2004, 205). It may be compared to Safaitic *gdd* or

Nabataean *gdw* (Negev 1991, 18), although the latter appears to belong to a different noun pattern. The basic sense of this root is ‘to cut’, but also gives rise to words meaning ‘lot’ and ‘fate’.

- ¹*kal-li-lu-ú* (Kallilû): Zadok (2013, 318) connects it with Aramaic *klyl*² and Arabic *iklîl* ‘crown’. A similar name is attested in Safaitic as *kll*, but the vocalisation is unclear. G. Lankester Harding suggests a connection with Arabic *kālilun* ‘weary’ (Harding 1971, 504). *Kll* may be a divine name, if it is to be connected with the South Arabian *ʿbdkllm* ‘worshipper of *kll*’ (Harding 1971, 400) and the Arabic theophoric name *ʿAbd-kulāl*, preserved in Islamic-period sources.
- ¹*bal-ta₅-mu-[?]* (Baltam(mu); Zadok (2013, 319)): The root *bśm* is common to Arabic and Northwest Semitic, but *wawation* suggests that this name has an Arabic source. The name *bśm* is attested at Taymā[?], and Palmyra *bsm* (Stark 1971, 11). The word seems ultimately to come from a Northwest Semitic source meaning ‘spice’, ‘perfume’, Aramaic *besmā*.
- ¹*šam-šu-[?]* (Šamšu; Zadok 2003, 532): This name is derived from the common Semitic word for ‘sun’. The name *śms* is common in Safaitic (Harding 1971, 258), and may be a shortened form of the theophoric name *ʿAbd-śams* ‘worshipper of Shams’, which is common in the Arabic onomasticon until the rise of Islam (Caskell 1966, 131), of which this name could be a hypocoristic form.
- ¹*šab-pu-ú* (Šabbû): Zadok connects this name with the Arabic root *šbb* ‘to cut’ (Zadok 2013, 308), but it is also possible to see in it the sense of ‘youth’. The name is common in Ancient North Arabian, attested as *šb* in Safaitic and Hismaic, and a possible diminutive form in Dadanitic, *šbb* (Harding 1971, 337). The name *šby* is attested in Nabataean (Negev 1991, 61), as well as in Palmyrene (Stark 1971, 50), perhaps with a hypocoristic *y*.
- ¹*zu-uh-ru-[?]* (Zuhru): This *wawated* name is given in Aramaic transcription as *z^ʿr[?]*, which Zadok interprets as the replacement of *wawation* with an Aramaic hypocoristic ending [?] (Zadok 2013, 318). The Aramaic spelling may further suggest that its original vocalisation was *zuḡru* ‘small’. This spelling does not find any parallels in the Ancient North Arabian onomasticon, but note that the root for ‘small’ is in fact *zḡr* in many modern Arabic vernaculars. One can rule out late Aramaic influence as the phoneme *ḡayn* is preserved; thus, it seems to be a native Arabic biform of the root.
- ¹*ia-[?]lu-ul/ú* (Yālû): Zadok (2013, 318) identifies this as a form of the name *w[?]lw*, which is widely attested in the Ancient North Arabian

onomasticon (Harding 1971, 645). This connection posits a change of $w > y$, which is typical of the Northwest Semitic languages and in the local vernacular of Taymā² (Kootstra 2016, 84–5), and may suggest that the name was drawn from that area. On the other hand, one might see in this name a prefix-conjugated verb, $ya^{\epsilon}lu$ ‘to go up’. The personal name $y^{\epsilon}ly$, which reflects a confusion of the w and y in the root $^{\epsilon}lw$, is common in Ancient North Arabian (Harding 1971, 677) and Nabataean (Negev 1991, 34). A similar confusion of roots is encountered in the Arabian name $ia-u-ta$?, attested in Neo-Assyrian sources (Eph‘al 1974, 111), which appears to correspond with Safaitic $y^{\epsilon}t^{\epsilon}$, attested in Greek transcription as $\iota\alpha\theta\epsilon\omicron\upsilon$ (Winnett and Harding 1978 no. 3562 and Greek 2).

One-Word Names Derived from Verbs

¹*ia-a-šū-pi*: Zadok (2013, 319) connects this name with Arabic Yasūf, from *swf* ‘to endure’. While such a name is not attested in the Ancient North Arabian onomasticon, the name *yšf* is found once in Safaitic (CEDSQM 15) and attested in Sabaic and Qatabanic (DASI, s.v.). The name would appear to be a prefix-conjugated form of the root *šwf* ‘to adorn’. The representation of Arabian s^2 , a lateral sibilant, with *šū* rather than *lt*, as in *baltam* (see ‘One-Word Names with *Wawation*’), may suggest inconsistency in the representation of this foreign sound, similar to the representation of Sabaic s^f in the name *saba*?

One-Word Names With the ān Termination

The final *-ān* termination appears to be a hypocoristic suffix commonly used in Arabic names. Names of this sort do not take *wawation* in Nabataean and the same rule appears to be observed in cuneiform sources.

¹*hā-ir-a-nu* (Ḥairān): Zadok (2013, 319) takes this as an Arabian name, contra Michael P. Streck (1999, 289). The name is attested in Ancient North Arabian as *hrn* (Harding 1971, 220) and *hyrw* in Nabataean (Negev 1991, 29). The name also appears in Greek transcriptions from the Roman period in southern Syria, $\chi\alpha\iota\rho\alpha\nu\eta\varsigma$ (PAES III.a 793.9), $\chi\alpha\iota\rho\alpha\nu\omicron$ (PAES III.a 793).

¹*a-tu-bal-ma-nu* (Zadok 2013, 319): This name likely renders Arabic $^{\epsilon}t-b$ ‘to scold, reproach’, which gives rise to the name *‘tb* in Himaic and Dadanitic (Harding 1971, 404). The name, in its diminutive form,

is that of the large tribal confederacy *ʿutaybah*, pl. *ʿutbān*, in Saudi Arabia today. The present vocalisation appears to combine the hypocoristic *-ān* with a passive participial form *ʿatūb*, thus *ʿatūbān*.

Theophoric Names

The commonest theophoric element in Arabian names in pre-Islamic times is *ʿil* ‘god’; this holds true in both South Arabian and in the Ancient North Arabian inscriptions. Other elements like *ʿab* ‘father’, *ʿaḥ* ‘brother’ are attested as well. Arabian names in Neo-Babylonian sources reflect these trends.

- ¹*ad-bi-i-lu* (Adbi-il; Zadok 2013, 319): A theophoric name with *ʿil* as a divine name. Such names are common in Ancient North Arabian and Ancient South Arabian. The name *ʿdbʿil* is attested in Safaitic and Himaic (Harding 1971, 31). The element *ʿdb* is also attested independently (Harding 1971, 31). The name would seem to mean ‘Guest of ʿil’.
- ¹*abi-ḥa-zu-mu* (Abī-ḥazumu): Zadok (2013, 319) takes this as ‘My father is firm’, connecting it to Arabic *ḥazuma*, which is attested as a one-word name in Ancient North Arabian and Arabic (Harding 1971, 187).
- ¹*da-ḥir-ri-ʿil* (Daḥīr-il): Zadok (2013, 319) connects the first element with Arabic *daḥīrah* ‘treasure’. *Dḥr* and *dḥrt* are attested in Safaitic and Himaic but never as a component of a theophoric name (Harding 1971, 236). The basic sense of this root is ‘to be contemptible, despicable’.

Further Reading

For an overview of Arabs in cuneiform sources, see Israel Ephʿal (1984) and Jan Retsö (2003). On Arabs in Babylonia during the eighth century BCE, see Israel Ephʿal (1974). The works of Ran Zadok on the Arabian onomasticon in cuneiform sources are indispensable; for the latest summary, see Zadok (2013) and the bibliography there. See Benjamin Sass (1991) on Arabian inscriptions in Babylonia.

References

- Al-Jallad, A. 2022. ‘One wāw to rule them all: the origin and fate of wawation in Arabic’ in F. M. Donner and R. Hasselbach-Andee (eds.), *Scripts and Scripture: Writing and Religion in Arabia circa 500–700 CE*. Chicago: The Oriental Institute of the University of Chicago.

- Al-Theeb, S. 2002. *Nuqūsh Jabal Umm Jadhāyidh al-Nabatiyyah*. Riyadh: Maktabat al-Malik Fahd al-Waṭaniyya.
- Caskell, W. 1966. *Ḡamharat an-nasab. Das genealogische Werk des Hišām ibn Muḥammad al-Kalbī*, Vol. 2. Leiden: Brill.
- Dietrich M. 2003. *The Babylonian Correspondence of Sargon and Sennacherib*. Helsinki: Helsinki University Press.
- Eph'al, I. 1974. "Arabs" in Babylonia in the 8th century BC', *Journal of the American Oriental Society* 94/1: 108–15.
- Eph'al, I. 1984. *The Ancient Arabs: Nomads on the Borders of the Fertile Crescent, 9th–5th Centuries BC*. Jerusalem: Magnes Press/Hebrew University.
- Eskoubi, Ḥ. M. 1999. *Dirāsah taḥlīliyyah muqāranah li-nuqūsh min mintāqah (rum) ḡanūb ḡarb taymā'*. Riyād: wazīrat al-ma'ārif.
- Harding, G. L. 1971. *An Index and Concordance of Pre-Islamic Arabian Names and Inscriptions*. Toronto: University of Toronto Press.
- Hausleiter, A. and H. Schaudig 2016. 'Rock relief and cuneiform inscriptions of king Nabonidus at al-Ḥā'īṭ (province of Ḥā'īl, Saudi Arabia), ancient Padakku', *Zeitschrift für Orient-Archäologie* 9, 224–40.
- Hayajneh, H. 2001. 'First evidence of Nabonidus in the ancient North Arabian inscriptions from the region of Taymā"', *Proceedings of the Seminar for Arabian Studies* 31, 81–95.
- Kootstra, F. 2016. 'The language of the Taymanitic inscriptions and its classification', *Arabian Epigraphic Notes* 2, 67–140.
- Kootstra, F. 2023. *The Writing Culture of Ancient Dadan*. Leiden: Brill.
- Macdonald, M. C. A. 1997. 'Trade routes and trade goods at the northern end of the "Incense Road" in the first millennium BC' in A. Avanzini (ed.), *Profumi d'Arabia: atti del convegno, Saggi di storia antica 11*. Rome: L' "Erma" di Bretschneider, pp. 333–49.
- Macdonald, M. C. A. 2000. 'Reflections on the linguistic map of pre-Islamic Arabia', *Arabian Archaeology and Epigraphy* 11, 39–79.
- Macdonald, M. C. A. 2009. 'Arabs, Arabias, and Arabic before late antiquity', *Topoi* 16, 277–332.
- Negev, A. 1991. *Personal Names in the Nabatean Realm*. Jerusalem: Institute of Archaeology, Hebrew University.
- Rabinowitz, A. 1956. 'Aramaic inscriptions of the fifth century BCE from a North-Arab shrine in Egypt', *Journal of Near Eastern Studies* 15/1, 1–9.
- Retsö, J. 2003. *The Arabs in Antiquity: Their History from the Assyrians to the Umayyads*. New York: Routledge.
- Sass, B. 1991. *Studia Alphabetica: On the Origin and Early History of the Northwest Semitic, South Semitic and Greek Alphabets*, *Orbis Biblicus et Orientalis* 102. Freiburg/Göttingen: Universitätsverlag/Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht.
- Schaudig, H. 2001. *Die Inschriften Nabonids von Babylon und Kyros' des Großen, samt den in ihrem Umfeld entstandenen Tendenzschriften. Textausgabe und Grammatik*, *Alter Orient und Altes Testament* 256. Münster: Ugarit-Verlag.
- Stark, J. K. 1971. *Personal Names in Palmyrene Inscriptions*. Oxford: Clarendon.

- Stein, P. 2011. 'Ancient South Arabian' in S. Weninger, G. Khan, M. P. Streck, and J. C. E. Watson (eds.), *The Semitic Languages: An International Handbook*. Handbücher zur Sprach- und Kommunikationswissenschaft 36. Berlin/Boston: De Gruyter Mouton, pp. 1042–72.
- Streck, M. P. 1999. 'Review of S. W. Cole 1996. The Early Neo-Babylonian Governor's Archive from Nippur', *Zeitschrift für Assyriologie und Vorderasiatische Archäologie* 89, 286–95.
- Weiershäuser, F. and J. Novotny 2020. *The Royal Inscriptions of Amēl-Marduk (561–560 BC), Neriglissar (559–556 BC), and Nabonidus (555–539 BC), Kings of Babylon*, Royal Inscriptions of the Neo-Babylonian Empire 2. University Park: Eisenbrauns.
- Winnett, F. V. and G. L. Harding 1978. *Inscriptions from Fifty Safaitic Cairns*. Toronto: University of Toronto Press.
- Zadok, R. 1977. *On West Semites in Babylonia During the Chaldean and Achaemenian Periods. An Onomastic Study*. Jerusalem: Wanaarta.
- Zadok, R. 1981. 'Arabians in Mesopotamia during the Late-Assyrian, Chaldean, Achaemenian and Hellenistic periods chiefly according to the cuneiform sources', *Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft* 131/1, 42–84.
- Zadok, R. 2003. 'The representation of foreigners in Neo- and Late Babylonian legal documents (eighth through second centuries BCE)' in O. Lipschits and J. Blenkinsopp (eds.), *Judah and the Judeans in the Neo-Babylonian Period*. Winona Lake: Eisenbrauns, pp. 471–589.
- Zadok, R. 2013. 'The onomastics of the Chaldean, Aramean, and Arabian tribes in Babylonia during the first millennium' in A. Berlejung and M. P. Streck (eds.), *Arameans, Chaldeans, and Arabs in Babylonia and Palestine in the First Millennium BC*, Leipziger Altorientalistische Studien 3. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz, pp. 261–336.