Aarhus Convention, 45–46	Antarctic Liability Annex and, 235–236,
ABNJ. See areas beyond national jurisdiction	238–241, 246
absolute liability, 147	under Antarctic Protocol, 232, 238–239
adequate and prompt compensation, 31-34, 114,	Antarctic Treaty Consultive Meetings and,
200, 231, 249, 263. See also prompt and	241
adequate compensation	legal status as global commons, 6-7
advisory opinions	liability allocation for environmental harm in,
for access to remedies, 210-211	119–123
Sub-Regional Fisheries Commission Advisory	liability framework for, 10–12
Opinion, 154–155	liability standards for, 149–150
Antarctic, 6–12. See also Antarctic Liability Annex	under Protocol on Environmental Protection to
access to remedies for environmental harm,	the Antarctic Treaty, 6, 7, 10–11, 12
220–223	environmental impact statements, 10-11
Antarctic Liability Annex, 221–222	sovereignty claims, 6, 7
under Antarctic Protocol, 220–222	standing for claims for environmental harm in,
in domestic forums, 222–223	183-188
in international forums, 220–222	under Antarctic Liability Annex, 183-186
activities in, 8–9	under Antarctic Treaty, 183–186
under Antarctic Treaty System, 6-7, 9	by international organizations, 187-188
Antarctic Treaty Area, 10–11, 162–163	by non-state actors, 187–188
Antarctic Treaty Consultive Parties, 7-8, 12,	by state operators, 183–186
183, 187–188	under Third Conference on the Law of the Sea,
institutional arrangements under, 7-8	8–9
standing for claims for environmental harm,	under UN Conference on the Law of the Sea,
183–186	11
compensable damage in, 76–80	Antarctic Liability Annex (2005), 11–12, 28, 35,
under Convention for the Conservation of	49, 50–51, 121, 131
Antarctic Seals, 6	access to remedies for environmental harm,
under Convention on the Conservation of	221-222
Antarctic Marine Living Resources, 6, 8-9	access to remedies under, 221-222
under Convention on the Regulation of	areas beyond national jurisdiction and, 77-78,
Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities, 9-11	93
environmental protections for, 10-12	financial assurances and, 235-236, 238-241,
environmental risks, 8–9	246
Exclusive Economic Zones and, 7	Antarctic Protocol. See Protocol on Environmental
financial assurances in, 239-242	Protection to the Antarctic Treaty

Antarctic Treaty (1959), 6–7	under Environmental Compensation Fund
areas beyond national jurisdiction under, 76–77	(deep seabed), 82–84
preamble to, 6–7	environmental impact assessments, 56
Protocol on Environmental Protection to the	environmental impact statements for, 80
Antarctic Treaty, 6, 7, 10–11, 12	financial assurances for, 237–248
environmental impact statements, 10–11	future uses of, 244–248
Antarctic Treaty Consultative Parties (ATCPs),	liability funding mechanisms, 244
7–8, 12, 183, 187–188	mandatory insurance, 245
Antarctic Treaty Consultative Meeting (ATCMs),	under UN Convention on the Law of the Sea,
7–8, 51, 78, 119–120, 185–188	237
access remedies, 221–222	habitat equivalency analysis for, 88, 89–90
financial assurances and, 241	heads of damages, 55-56
Antarctic Treaty System, 6–7, 9	ILC Draft Article on State Responsibility, 58-63
Antarctic Treaty Area, 10–11, 162–163	ILC Draft Principles on the Allocation of Loss,
Antarctic Treaty Consultative Meeting, 7–8, 51,	55, 65–67
78, 119–120, 185–188	international organizations' responsibilities,
Antarctic Treaty Consultative Parties, 7-8, 12,	105–109
183, 187–188	under domestic/national laws, 109
areas beyond national jurisdiction (ABNJ),	under international law, 105–108
environmental damage and harm in. See	under ISA regulations, 82–84
also Antarctic; deep seabed area; global	liability allocation for environmental harm in,
commons; high seas; standing	99
Antarctic Liability Annex, 77-78, 93	amongst several responsible actors, 116-119
under Antarctic Protocol, 76–79, 150	in Antarctic, 119–123
under Antarctic Treaty, 76–77	causation factors, 97-100
civil liability in, 3, 65-73	climate change factors, 97
compensable damage in, 76–85, 92–93	conceptual approach to, 95–97
in Antarctic, 76–80	in deep seabed, 123–129
in deep seabed, 80–84	in high seas, 129–130
in high seas, 84–85	International Seabed Authority, 123-129
under Convention on the Regulation of	for land-based activities, 95–96
Antarctic Mineral Resources Activities,	for ocean-based activities, 95-96
78–80, 149	operator responsibility, 109–116
under customary international law, 57-76	rules for, 96–97
assessment of environmental damage, 70	Seabed Disputes Chamber, 126-128
causation in, 58–61	special rules on, 119–130
ecosystem services loss, 70-73	under UN Convention on the Law of the Sea,
forms of reparation, 61-65, 92	123-127, 130
in International Court of Justice cases, 59,	liability standards in, 149–157
63–64	in Antarctic, 149–150
international organizations' responsibilities,	in deep seabed, 150–154
105–108	in high seas, 154–157
loss of profit as result of environmental	marine biodiversity and, 84-85
impairment, 67	Oil Pollution Liability Convention and, 67-68,
monitoring of environmental damage, 70	105
pure environmental damage, 70-73	scope of, 55–56
reasonable preventive measures, 67–68	state responsibility for, 100–105
reinstatement measures, 68–69	attribution of conduct in, 101
remoteness in, 58–61	in coastal states, 103–104
reparation principles, 58	under domestic/national laws, 104–105
state responsibility under, 57-65	over exclusive economic zones, 103-104
threshold of harm, 73-76	under international law, 57-65, 100-104
definition of, 4–6, 54, 66	UN Compensation Commission and, 56-57,
under Draft Exploitation Regulations, 82-84	60–65, 71, 74, 87–92

of States for Internationally Wrongful Acts ATCMs. See Antarctic Treaty Consultative Meeting ATCPs. See Antarctic Treaty Consultative atmosphere, as form of commons, 5 atmospheric trust, 139-140 Australia, 183 Exclusive Economic Zones off Antarctic, 7 Bahia Paraiso oil spill, 9 Barcelona Convention for the Protection of the Marine Environment and the Coastal Region of the Mediterranean, 73 Basel Liability Protocol. See Protocol on Liability and Compensation to the Basel Convention on Transboundary Movement of Hazardous Wastes Biodiversity beyond National Jurisdiction (BBNJ), 196-197, 261-262 high seas and, 19-20 international legally binding instrument, 20, 24-25, 196-197, 227-228 Biodiversity beyond National Jurisdiction (international legally binding instrument) (BBNJ ILBI), 20, 24-25, 196-197, 227-228 Bunker Oil Convention, 114, 146 causation, of damage, in areas beyond national jurisdiction, 58-61, 97-100 CCAMLR. See Convention on the Conservation of Antarctic Marine Living Resources CERCLA. See Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act. U.S. cessation of harmful activities, 207-208 of wrongful acts, 169 channelling of liability, 110-116, 125 advantages/disadvantages in, 114-115 for nuclear energy production, 110 for operators, 110-116 polluter-pays principle, 115-116 for vessel-based cargo oil, 110-113 CHH. See common heritage of humankind China, 166 CHM. See common heritage of mankind claims, espousal of, 44, 174

under UN Convention on the Law of the Sea,

valuation of environmental damage, 54, 87-92

ASR. See ILC Draft Articles on the Responsibility

80-83

in U.S., 87-88

climate change
impacts on global commons, 5
loss and damage, 51–52
Paris Agreement, 51–52
standing for claims for environmental harm and,
180–181

coastal states. See also specific states areas within national jurisdiction and, 69 state responsibility and, 103–104

Commission on the Conservation of Antarctic Marine Living Resources (CCAMLR Commission), 187–188

common heritage of humankind (CHH), 13–14, 189–192, 194–195

common heritage of mankind (CHM), 13, 17, 83, 127, 153, 189–190, 194, 264. *See also* common heritage of humankind

compensation. See also remedies access to remedies and, 199–200 adequate and prompt compensation, 31–34,

global commons and, 3–4 International Law Commission, 30 UN Convention on the Law of the Sea and, 31

compensation funds

Environmental Compensation Fund (deep seabed), 82–84

International Oil Pollution Compensation Funds, 47, 71–72, 175–176, 217, 255 financial assurances and, 231

Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, U.S. (CERCLA), 87

Convention for the Conservation of Antarctic Seals, 6

Convention for the Prevention of Marine Pollution by Dumping of Wastes and Other Matter (London Convention), 23, 48, 157

Convention for the Protection of the Marine Environment of the North-East Atlantic (OSPAR Convention), 36–37, 141

Convention on Civil Liability for Oil Pollution Damage, 1–2, 214–215

Convention on International Liability for Damage Caused by Space Objects, 5, 144

Convention on Liability and Compensation for Damage in Connection with the Carriage of Hazardous and Noxious Substances (HNS Convention), 37–38, 215–216 financial assurances and, 235–236, 246–247

Convention on Limitation of Liability for Maritime Claims, 156, 232

Convention on the Establishment of an International Fund for Compensation for Oil Pollution Damage, 85, 110–111, 147, 217, 230–231 Convention on the Law of the Non-Navigational Uses of International Watercourses. See UN Watercourses Convention Convention on the Protection of the Environmental (Nordic Convention), 45 Convention on the Regulation of Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities (CRAMRA), 9–11, 78–80, 120–122, 149 Conventions on Transboundary Effects of Industrial Accidents, 36–37, 48 Costa Rica, 90–91 ecosystem services in, 90 CRAMRA. See Convention on the Regulation of Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities cumulative effects, 26, 56, 260 damage. See environmental damage and harm DARIO. See ILC Draft Articles on the Responsibility of International Organizations deep scabed area, 12–18 access to remedies for environmental harm, 2233–227 in domestic forums, 225–227 in international Seabed Authority, 14–15 common heritage of humankind and, 13–14, 189–192 domestic laws, standing for claims for environmental harm under UN Convention on the Law of the Sea, 12–15, 17–18 democratic Republic of the Congo, 91, 92 DER. See Draft Exploitation Regulations distributed for the Congo, 91, 92 DER. See Draft Exploitation Regulations distributed for the Congo, 91, 92 DER. See Draft Exploitation Regulations distributed for the Congo, 91, 92 DER. See Draft Exploitation Regulations distributed for the Congo, 91, 92 DER. See Draft Exploitation Regulations distributed for the Congo, 91, 92 DER. See Draft Exploitation Regulations distributed for the Congo, 91, 92 DER. See Draft Exploitation Regulations distributed for the Congo, 91, 92 DER. See Draft Exploitation Regulations distributed for the Congo, 91, 92 DER. See Draft Exploitation Regulations distributed for the Congo, 91, 92 Der. Seabed Disputes Chamber, 232–226 domestic laws, standing for claims for environmental harm, 41–15 International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea, 12–17, 18–19 Democratic Republic of the Congo, 91, 92 Der. See Draft Exploitation Regulations distrib	Convention on the Conservation of Antarctic Marine Living Resources, 6, 8–9, 187–188	Seabed Disputes Chamber, 36, 46, 126–128 areas beyond national jurisdiction under,
Oil Pollution Damage, 85, 110–111, 147, 217, 237, 230–231 Convention on the Law of the Non-Navigational Uses of International Watercourses. See UN Watercourses Convention (Nordic Convention), 45. Convention on the Protection of the Environment (Nordic Convention), 47. Convention on the Protection of the Environment (Nordic Convention), 47. Convention on the Regulation of Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities (CRAMRA), 9–11, 78–80, 120–122, 149 Conventions on Transboundary Effects of Industrial Accidents, 36–37, 48 Costa Rica, 90–91 ecosystem services in, 90 CRAMRA. See Convention on the Regulation of Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities cumulative effects, 26, 56, 260 amage. See environmental damage and harm DARIO. See ILC Draft Articles on the Responsibility of International Organizations deep seabed area, 12–18 access to remedies for environmental harm, 2237–227 in international Seabed Authority, 189–192 compensable damage and harm DARIO see ILC Draft Articles on the Responsibility of International Organizations deep seabed area, 12–17 common heritage of humankind, 13–14, 189–192 compensable damage and harm DARIO see ILC Draft Articles on the Responsibility of International Seabed Disputes Chamber, 237–226 International Seabed Disputes Chamber, 188–195 by non-state actors, 194–195 seabed Disputes Chamber, 188–195 by non-state actors, 194–195 seabed Disputes Chamber, 188–195 by value and UN Convention on the Law of the Sea, 12–15, 17–18 Common heritage of humankind, 13–14 International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea, 14–15 Common heritage of humankind, 13–14 International Forums, 20–207 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 232–26 domestic laws, standing for claims for environmental harm, 42–3–40 tort of nuisance, 41 transboundary harm, 46 unharmonized, 43–44, 254 Democratic Republic of the Congo, 91, 92 DER. See Draft Exploitation Regulations dispute settlement mechanisms in Antarctic, 222 in international forums, 20–207 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 232–266 International Seabed Authority, 13–14 International Seabed Authorit	Convention on the Establishment of an	81–82
217, 230–231 Convention on the Law of the Non-Navigational Uses of International Watercourses See UN Watercourses Convention Convention on the Protection of the Environment (Nordic Convention), 45 Convention on the Regulation of Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities (CRAMRA), 9–11, 78–80, 120–122, 149 Conventions on Transboundary Watercourses and Transboundary Effects of Industrial Accidents, 36–37, 48 Costa Rica, 90–91 ceosystem services in, 90 CRAMRA. See Convention on the Regulation of Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities cumulative effects, 26, 56, 260 damage. See environmental damage and harm DARIO. See ILC Draft Articles on the Responsibility of International Organizations deep seabed area, 12–18 access to remedies for environmental harm, 223–227 in domestic forums, 226–227 in indemstic forums, 226–227 in indemstic forums, 226–227 in indemstic forums, 226–227 in memational Seabed Disputes Chamber, 233–226 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 188–190 by state operators, 192–194 under UN Convention on the Resulation of the Law of the Sea, 12–13, 17–18 common heritage of humankind, 13–14, 189–192 conspensable of the Congo, 91, 92 DER. See Dari Exploitation Regulations dispute settlement mechanisms in Antarctic, 222 in international Forums, 210–207 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 188–190 begrate operators, 192–194 under UN Convention on the Resulational Tribunal for the Law of the Sea, 12–13, 17–18 common heritage of humankind, 13–14, 18–11, 17–18 degrated for the Congo, 91, 92 DER. See Dari Exploitation Regulations dispute settlement mechanisms in Antarctic, 222 conspensablity of International Granizations domestic laws, standing for claims for environmental harm, 177–179, 189, 206, 216 public law remedies, 181 public trust doctrine, 178–179 domestic liability law, 42–49 harmonized, 43–44, 25-4 transboundary harm, 46 unitational Tribunal for the Law of the Congo, 91, 92 DER. See Dari Exploitation Regulations of conversionmental harm under, 175–185 patentic, 12–15 patentic, 12–15 patentic, 13–14 tansboundary harm, 46 unitation		
Convention on the Law of the Non-Navigational Uses of International Watercourses. See UN Watercourses Convention Convention on the Protection of the Environment (Nordic Convention), 45 Convention on the Regulation of Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities (CRAMRA), 9–11, 78–80, 120–122, 149 Conventions on Transboundary Watercourses and Transboundary Effects of Industrial Accidents, 36–37, 48 Costa Rica, 90–91 ecosystem services in, 90 CRAMRA See Convention on the Regulation of Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities cumulative effects, 26, 56, 260 damage. See environmental damage and harm DARIO. See ILC Draft Articles on the Responsibility of International Organizations deep seabed area, 12–18 access to remedies for environmental harm, 223–226 International Seabed Authority and, 223–226 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 International Seabed Authority and, 223–226 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 236–227 in international forms, 26–227 in international forms, 234–226 International Seabed Authority and, 223–226 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 230–219 time the Law of the Sea, 12–15, 17–18 common heritage of humankind, 13–14, 18–15 International Seabed Authority and, 223–226 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 230–219 under UN Convention on the Law of the Sea, 12–15, 17–18 International forms Law of the Sea, 12–15, 17–18 Common heritage of humankind, 13–14, 18–15 International Seabed Authority and, 223–226 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 230–219 under UN Convention on the Law of the Sea, 12–15, 17–18 International forms, 21–2, 22 in international forms, 201–207 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 23–26 International forms, 21–20 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 23–26 International forms for environmental harm, 223–226 Seabed Disp		
Uses of International Watercourses. See UN Watercourses Convention Convention on the Protection of the Environment (Nordic Convention), 45 Convention on the Regulation of Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities (CRAMRA), 9–11, 78–80, 120–122, 149 Conventions on Transboundary Watercourses and Transboundary Effects of Industrial Accidents, 36–37, 48 Costa Rica, 90–91 ecosystem services in, 90 CRAMRA. See Convention on the Regulation of Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities cumulative effects, 26, 56, 260 damage. See environmental damage and harm DARIO. See IL.C Draft Articles on the Responsibility of International Organizations deep seabed area, 12–18 access to remedies for environmental harm, 223–227 in domestic forums, 226–227 in international Scabed Authority and, 223–226 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 International Seabed Authority and, 223–226 activities in, 15–17 common heritage of humankind and, 13–14, 189–192 compensable damage in, 80–84 definition of, 13–14 environmental rangements for, 14–15 International Seabed Authority, 14–15 resource management, 16–17 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–129 resources in, 15–17 resource management, 16–17 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–129 liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–129 liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–129 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17 resource management, 16–17 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–129 liability allocation for environment		
UN Watercourses Convention Convention on the Protection of the Environment (Nortic Convention), 45 Convention on the Regulation of Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities (CRAMRA), 9–11, 78–80, 120–122, 149 Conventions on Transboundary Watercourses and Transboundary Effects of Industrial Accidents, 36–37, 48 Costa Rica, 90–91 ecosystem services in, 90 CRAMRA, See Convention on the Regulation of Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities cumulative effects, 26, 56, 260 damage. See environmental damage and harm DARIO. See ILC Draft Articles on the Responsibility of International Organizations deep seabed area, 12–18 access to remedies for environmental harm, 223–227 in international Seabed Authority and, 223–226 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 International Seabed Authority, 14–15 common heritage of humankind and, 13–14, 189–192 compensable damage in, 80–84 definition of, 13–14 environmental risks in, 15–17 financial assurances and, 242–244 institutional arrangements for, 14–15 International Seabed Authority, 14–15 environmental liability, 17–18		
under UN Convention on the Protection of the Environment (Nordic Convention), 45 Convention on the Regulation of Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities (CRAMRA), 9–11, 78–80, 120–122, 149 Conventions on Transboundary Effects of Industrial Accidents, 36–37, 48 Costa Rica, 90–91 ecosystem services in, 90 CRAMRA. See Convention on the Regulation of Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities cumulative effects, 26, 56, 260 adamage. See environmental damage and harm DARIO. See ILC Draft Articles on the Responsibility of International Organizations deep seabed area, 12–18 access to remedies for environmental harm, 223–227 in international Seabed Authority and, 223–226 International Seabed Authority, 14–15 Common heritage of humankind, 13–14, 189–192 compensable damage in, 80–84 definition of, 13–14 environmental risks in, 15–17 financial assurances and, 242–244 institutional arrangements for, 14–15 International Seabed Authority, 14–15 environmental liability, 17–18 1994 Implementation Agreement, 14–15 resource management, 16–17 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–129 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17 resource management, 16–17 resou		*
(Nordic Convention), 45 Convention on the Regulation of Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities (CRAMRA), 9–11, 78–80, 120–122, 149 Conventions on Transboundary Watercourses and Transboundary Effects of Industrial Accidents, 36–37, 48 Costa Rica, 90–91 cosystem services in, 90 CRAMRA. See Convention on the Regulation of Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities cumulative effects, 26, 56, 260 damage. See environmental damage and harm DARIO. See ILC Draft Articles on the Responsibility of International Organizations deep seabed area, 12–18 access to remedies for environmental harm, 223–227 in indemsetic forums, 226–227 in international Seabed Authority and, 223–226 activities in, 15–17 common heritage of humankind and, 13–14, 189–192 compensable damage in, 80–84 definition of, 13–14 environmental risks in, 15–17 financial assurances and, 242–244 institutional arrangements for, 14–15 International Seabed Authority, 14–15 environmental liability, 17–18 environmental liability, 17–18 environmental liability, 17–18 environmental protection obligations, 17–18 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–129 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17 resource management, 16–17 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17 resource management, 16–17 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–129 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17		
Mineral Resource Activities (CRAMRA), 9-11, 78-80, 120-122, 149 Conventions on Transboundary Watercourses and Transboundary Effects of Industrial Accidents, 36-37, 48 Costa Rica, 90-91 ecosystem services in, 90 CRAMRA. See Convention on the Regulation of Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities cumulative effects, 26, 56, 260 damage. See environmental damage and harm DARIO. See ILC Draft Articles on the Responsibility of International Organizations deep seabed area, 12-18 access to remedies for environmental harm, 223-227 in international Forums, 226-227 in international Forums, 223-226 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223-226 International Seabed Authority and, 223-226 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223-226 International Seabed Authority and, 223-226 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223-226 International Regulations of time and the public law remedies, 181 public law remedies, 181 public trust doctrine, 178-179, 189, 206, 216 public law remedies, 181 public trust doctrine, 178-179 domestic laibility alw, 43-49 harmonized, 43-44, 254 Draft Exploitation Regulations dispute settlement mechanisms in Antarctic, 222 in international forums, 201-207 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223-226 domestic laws, standing for claims for environmental harm, 44-49 domestic laws, standing for claims for environmental harm, 49-49 harmonized, 44-49 tort of nuisance, 41 transboundary harm, 46 unharmonized, 43-44, 254 Draft Exploitation Regulations dispute settlement mechanisms in Antarctic, 222 in international forums, 201-207 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223-226 domestic laws, standing for claims for environmental harm, 49-49 tort of nuisance, 41 transboundary harm, 46 unharmonized, 43-44, 254 Draft Exploitation Regulations (DER), 82-84, 131-152 due diligence, 152-153, 156-157 international liability and, 42-43 state responsibility and, 42-43 state responsibility and, 42-43 state responsibility and, 42-43 state r		
Onventions on Transboundary Effects of Industrial Accidents, 36–37, 48 Costa Rica, 90–91 ecosystem services in, 90 CRAMRA. See Convention on the Regulation of Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities cumulative effects, 26, 56, 260 damage. See environmental damage and harm DARIO. See ILC Draft Articles on the Responsibility of International Organizations deep seabed area, 12–18 access to remedies for environmental harm, 223–227 in international Seabed Authority and, 223–226 International Seabed Authority and, 223–226 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 activities in, 15–17 common heritage of humankind and, 13–14, 189–192 compensable damage in, 80–84 definition of, 13–14 environmental liability, 17–18 environmental protection obligations, 17–18 1994 Implementation Agreement, 16–17 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–129 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17 resource management, 16–17 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–129 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17 resources in, 15–17 resource management, 16–17 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–120 resources in, 15–17 resources in, 15–17 resource management, 16–17 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–120 resources in, 15–17		
Conventions on Transboundary Watercourses and Transboundary Effects of Industrial Accidents, 36–37, 48 Costa Rica, 90–91 ecosystem services in, 90 CRAMRA. See Convention on the Regulation of Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities cumulative effects, 26, 56, 260 damage. See environmental damage and harm DARIO. See ILC Draft Articles on the Responsibility of International Organizations deep seabed area, 12–18 access to remedies for environmental harm, 223–227 in indemestic forums, 226–227 in international Seabed Authority and, 223–226 International Seabed Authority and, 223–226 activities in, 15–17 common heritage of humankind and, 13–14, 189–192 compensable damage in, 80–84 definition of, 13–14 environmental liability, 17–18 environmental liability, 17–18 1994 Implementation Agreement, 14–15 environmental liability, 17–18 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–129 liability standards for, 150–154 resource managements for, 14–15 resource managements for, 15–17 remover managements for, 16–17 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–120 nemocratic Republic of the Congo, 91, 92 DEEX. See Draft Exploitation Regulations dispute settlement mechanisms in Antarctic, 222 in international forums, 201–207 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 domestic laws, standing for claims for environmental harm under, 176–183 patents patric, 177–179, 189, 206, 216 public law remedies, 181 public trust doctrine, 178–179 domestic laws, standing for claims for environmental harm, 13–179 domestic laws, standing for claims for environmental harm, 13–152 domestic laws, standing for claims for environmental harm, 176–183 patents patric, 172–19, 189, 206, 216 public law remedies, 181 public trust doctrine, 178–179, 149 tot of nuisance, 41 transboundary harm, 46 unharmonized, 43–44, 254 unternational liability and, 42–43 state responsibility and, 42–43 state responsibility and, 42–43 state responsibility and, 42–43 state responsibility and, 42–43 state resp		International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea,
Transboundary Effects of Industrial Accidents, 36–37, 48 Costa Rica, 90–91 ecosystem services in, 90 CRAMRA. See Convention on the Regulation of Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities cumulative effects, 26, 56, 260 damage. See environmental damage and harm DARIO. See ILC Draft Articles on the Responsibility of International Organizations deep seabed area, 12–18 access to remedies for environmental harm, 223–227 in domestic forums, 226–227 in international Scabed Authority and, 223–226 International Seabed Authority and, 223–226 activities in, 15–17 common heritage of humankind and, 13–14, 189–192 compensable damage in, 80–84 definition of, 13–14 environmental liability, 17–18 environmental liability, 17–18 institutional arrangements for, 14–15 International Seabed Authority, 14–15 environmental liability, 17–18 environmental liability, 17–18 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability standards for, 150–154 resource management, 16–17 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17 international forums, 201–207 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 232–226 domestic laws, standing for claims for environmental harm under, 176–183 parens patria, 177–179, 189, 206, 216 public tax tenedies, 181 public trust doctrine, 178–179 domestic lability law, 43–49 harmonized, 44–49 tort of nuisance, 41 transboundary harm, 46 unharmonized, 43–44, 254 Draft Exploitation Regulations in Antarctic, 222 in international forums, 201–207 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 210–183 parens patria, 177–179, 189, 206, 216 public trust doctrine, 178–179 domestic liability law, 43–49 harmonized, 44–49 transboundary harm, 46 unharmonized, 43–44, 254 Draft Exploitation Regulations of calms, standing for claims for environmental international forums, 223–226 domestic laws, standing for claims for environmental international forums, 210	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	. /
Accidents, 36–37, 48 Costa Rica, 90–91 ecosystem services in, 90 CRAMRA. See Convention on the Regulation of Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities cumulative effects, 26, 56, 260 damage. See environmental damage and harm DARIO. See ILC Draft Articles on the Responsibility of International Organizations deep seabed area, 12–18 access to remedies for environmental harm, 223–227 in domestic forums, 225–227 in international Seabed Authority and, 223–226 International Seabed Authority and, 223–226 activities in, 15–17 common heritage of humankind and, 13–14, 189–192 compensable damage in, 80–84 definition of, 13–14 environmental liability, 17–18 environmental liability, 17–18 environmental liability, 17–18 environmental liability, 17–18 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–129 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17 in Antarctic Evocol, 10–21 in international forums, 221–226 domestic laws, standing for claims for environmental harm mechanisms in Antarctic, 222 in international forums, 221–226 domestic laws, standing for claims for environmental harm mechanisms in Antarctic, 222 in international forums, 201–207 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 domestic laws, standing for claims for environmental harm media, 176–183 parens patria, 177–179, 189, 206, 216 public law remedies, 181 public trust doctrine, 178–179 transboundary harm, 46 unharmonized, 43–49 barmonized, 43–49 barmonized, 43–49 barmonized, 43–49 barmonized, 43–41, 254 braft Exploitation Regulations (DER), 82–84, 151–152 due diligence, 152–153, 156–157 international liability and, 42–43 state responsibility and, 42–243 state responsibility and, 42–243 state responsibility and, 42–24 in international Seabed Authority, 14–15 environmental liability, 17–18 liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–120 li		
Costa Rica, 90–91 ecosystem services in, 90 CRAMRA. See Convention on the Regulation of Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities cumulative effects, 26, 56, 260 damage. See environmental damage and harm DARIO. See ILC Draft Articles on the Responsibility of International Organizations deep seabed area, 12–18 access to remedies for environmental harm, 223–227 in domestic forums, 226–227 in domestic forums, 223–226 International Seabed Authority and, 223–226 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 activities in, 15–17 common heritage of humankind and, 13–14, 189–192 compensable damage in, 80–84 definition of, 13–14 environmental risks in, 15–17 financial assurances and, 242–244 institutional arrangements for, 14–15 International Seabed Authority, 14–15 environmental liability, 17–18 environmental protection obligations, 17–18 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17 in Antarctic, 222 in international forums, 201–207 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 domestic laws, standing for claims for environmental harm under, 176–183 patria, 177–179–179, 180, 206, 216 public law remedies, 181 public trust doctrine, 178–179 domestic laws, standing for claims for environmental harm under, 176–183 patria, 177–179–179 domestic laws, standing for claims for environmental harm, 151–179 domestic laws, standing for claims for environmental harm, 151–179 domestic laws, standing for claims for environmental harm, 151–179 domestic laws, standing for claims for environmental harm, 151–179 domestic laws, standing for claims for environmental harm, 176–183 patria, 177–179–179 domestic laws, standing for claims for environmental harm, 151–179 domestic laws, standing for claims for environmental harm, 151–179 domestic laws, standing for claims for environmental harm, 151–179 domestic laws, standing for claims for environmental harm, 151–179 domestic laws, standing for claims for environmental harm, 151–179 domestic laws, standing for claims for environmental harm, 151–179 tor of nuisance, 12 transbound		
ccosystem services in, 90 CRAMRA. See Convention on the Regulation of Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities cumulative effects, 26, 56, 260 damage. See environmental damage and harm DARIO. See ILC Draft Articles on the Responsibility of International Organizations deep seabed area, 12–18 access to remedies for environmental harm, 223–227 in domestic forums, 226–227 in international Seabed Authority and, 223–226 International Seabed Authority and, 223–226 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 activities in, 15–17 common heritage of humankind and, 13–14, 189–192 compensable damage in, 80–84 definition of, 13–14 environmental risks in, 15–17 financial assurances and, 242–244 institutional arrangements for, 14–15 International Seabed Authority, 14–15 environmental protection obligations, 17–18 environmental protection obligations, 17–18 environmental protection obligations, 17–18 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–129 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17 in international forums, 201–207 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 domestic laws, standing for claims for environmental harm under, 176–183 parens patria, 177–179, 189, 206, 216 public law remedies, 181 public trust doctrine, 178–179 domestic liability law, 43–49 tort of nuisance, 41 transboundary harm, 46 unharmonized, 43–44, 254 Draft Exploitation Regulations (DER), 82–84, 151–152 due diligence, 152–153, 156–157 international liability and, 42–43 state responsibility and, 42–243 coorde, 252 ecosystem services, loss and damage to in areas beyond national jurisdiction, 70–73 Costa Rica v Nicaragua, 90 EEZs. See Exclusive Economic Zones effective control, 102, 128 Environmental damage, 70–73 environmental impact assessm	·	
CRAMRA. See Convention on the Regulation of Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities cumulative effects, 26, 56, 260 damage. See environmental damage and harm DARIO. See ILC Draft Articles on the Responsibility of International Organizations deep seabed area, 12–18 access to remedies for environmental harm, 223–227 in domestic forums, 226–227 in international Seabed Authority and, 223–226 Saebed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 International Seabed Authority and, 223–226 Saebed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 activities in, 15–17 common heritage of humankind and, 13–14, 189–192 compensable damage in, 80–84 definition of, 13–14 environmental risks in, 15–17 financial assurances and, 242–244 institutional arrangements for, 14–15 International Seabed Authority, 14–15 environmental liability, 17–18 environmental protection obligations, 17–18 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 domestic laws, standing for claims for environmental harm under, 176–183 parens patria, 177–179, 189, 206, 216 public trust doctrine, 178–179 domestic laws, standing for claims for environmental harm under, 176–183 parens patria, 177–179, 189, 206, 216 public law remedies, 181 public trust doctrine, 178–179 domestic laws, standing for claims for environmental harm under, 176–183 patrons patria, 177–179, 189, 206, 216 public law remedies, 181 public trust doctrine, 178–179 domestic laws, standing for claims for environmental harm under, 176–183 patrons patria, 177–179, 189, 206, 216 public law remedies, 181 public trust doctrine, 178–179 domestic laws, standing for claims for environmental harm under, 176–183 patrons patria, 177–179, 189, 206, 216 public trust doctrine, 178–179 domestic laws, standing for claims for dumental impact assessment, 181 public trust doctrine, 178–179 domestic laws, standing for claims for dumental impact assessoned, 181 public trust doctrine, 178–179 domestic laws, standing for claims for dumental impact assessoned, 181 public trust doctrine,		
Antarctic Mineral Resource Activities cumulative effects, 26, 56, 260 damage. See environmental damage and harm DARIO. See ILC Draft Articles on the Responsibility of International Organizations deep seabed area, 12–18 access to remedies for environmental harm, 223–227 in domestic forums, 226–227 in international Seabed Authority and, 223–226 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 activities in, 15–17 common heritage of humankind and, 13–14, 189–192 compensable damage in, 80–84 definition of, 13–14 environmental risks in, 15–17 financial arsurangements for, 14–15 International Seabed Authority, 14–15 environmental liability, 17–18 environmental protection obligations, 17–18 1994 Implementation Agreement, 14–15 resource management, 16–17 legal status as global commons, 12–14 lliability allocation for environmental harm, 123–129 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17 domestic laws, standing for claims for environmental harm under, 176–183 parens patria, 177–179, 189, 206, 216 public law remedies, 181 public trust doctrine, 178–179, 189, 206, 216 public law remedies, 181 public trust doctrine, 178–179 domestic liability law, 43–49 tort of nuisance, 41 transboundary harm, 46 unharmonized, 43–44, 254 Draft Exploitation Regulations (DER), 82–84, 151–152 due diligence, 152–153, 156–157 international liability and, 42–43 state responsibility and, 42–43 state responsibility and, 42–43 eccocide, 252 ecoyete xe keel and the mission and transperments for 14–15 international Seabed Authority, 14–15 environmental liability, 17–18 environmental liability, 17–18 environmental liability, 17–18 environmental liability and, 42–43 Environmental Compensation Fund (ECF) (deep seabed), 82–84 financial assurances and, 243–244 environmental damage and harm, 25–26 liability rules for prevention of, 34–36 methodological approach to, 27–28 pure environment		
cumulative effects, 26, 56, 260 damage. See environmental damage and harm DARIO. See ILC Draft Articles on the Responsibility of International Organizations deep seabed area, 12–18 access to remedies for environmental harm, 223–227 in domestic forums, 226–227 in international Seabed Authority and, 223–226 International Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 activities in, 15–17 common heritage of humankind and, 13–14, 189–192 compensable damage in, 80–84 definition of, 13–14 environmental risks in, 15–17 financial assurances and, 242–244 institutional arrangements for, 14–15 International Seabed Authority, 14–15 environmental liability, 17–18 environmental liability, 17–18 environmental protection obligations, 17–18 liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–129 liability standards for, 15–154 resources in, 15–17	9	
damage. See environmental damage and harm DARIO. See ILC Draft Articles on the Responsibility of International Organizations deep seabed area, 12–18 access to remedies for environmental harm, 223–227 in domestic forums, 226–227 in international Seabed Authority and, 223–226 International Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 activities in, 15–17 common heritage of humankind and, 13–14, 189–192 compensable damage in, 80–84 definition of, 13–14 environmental risks in, 15–17 financial assurances and, 242–244 institutional arrangements for, 14–15 International Seabed Authority, 14–15 environmental liability, 17–18 environmental protection obligations, 17–18 1994 Implementation Agreement, 14–15 resource management, 16–17 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–129 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17		
damage. See environmental damage and harm DARIO. See ILC Draft Articles on the Responsibility of International Organizations deep seabed area, 12–18 access to remedies for environmental harm, 223–227 in domestic forums, 226–227 in international Seabed Authority and, 223–226 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 activities in, 15–17 common heritage of humankind and, 13–14, 189–192 compensable damage in, 80–84 definition of, 13–14 environmental risks in, 15–17 financial assurances and, 242–244 institutional arrangements for, 14–15 International Seabed Authority, 14–15 environmental liability, 17–18 1994 Implementation Agreement, 14–15 resource management, 16–17 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–129 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17	cumulative effects, 26, 56, 260	
DARIO. See ILC Draft Articles on the Responsibility of International Organizations deep seabed area, 12–18 access to remedies for environmental harm, 223–227 in domestic forums, 226–227 in international Seabed Authority and, 223–226 International Seabed Authority and, 223–226 activities in, 15–17 common heritage of humankind and, 13–14, 189–192 compensable damage in, 80–84 definition of, 13–14 environmental risks in, 15–17 financial assurances and, 242–244 institutional arrangements for, 14–15 International Seabed Authority, 14–15 environmental liability, 17–18 environmental protection obligations, 17–18 1994 Implementation Agreement, 14–15 resource management, 16–17 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–129 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17	1 C	
Responsibility of International Organizations deep seabed area, 12–18 access to remedies for environmental harm, 223–227 in domestic forums, 226–227 in domestic forums, 226–227 in international forums, 223–226 International Seabed Authority and, 223–226 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 activities in, 15–17 common heritage of humankind and, 13–14, 189–192 compensable damage in, 80–84 definition of, 13–14 environmental risks in, 15–17 financial assurances and, 242–244 institutional arrangements for, 14–15 International Seabed Authority, 14–15 environmental liability, 17–18 environmental protection obligations, 17–18 1994 Implementation Agreement, 14–15 resource management, 16–17 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–129 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17 domestic liability law, 43–49 barmonized, 44–49 tort of nuisance, 41 transboundary harm, 46 unharmonized, 44–25 unharmonized, 44–49 barmonized, 44–49 tort of nuisance, 41 transboundary harm, 46 unharmonized, 44–25 unharmonized, 44–49 brott of nuisance, 41 transboundary harm, 46 unharmonized, 43–44, 254 Draft Exploitation Regulations (DER), 82–84, 151–152 due diligence, 152–153, 156–157 international liability and, 42–43 ecocide, 252 ecosystem services, loss and damage to in areas beyond national jurisdiction, 70–73 Costa Rica v Nicaragua, 90 EEZs. See Exclusive Economic Zones effective control, 102, 128 Environmental damage and harm, 25–26 liability rules for prevention of, 34–36 methodological approach to, 27–28 pure environmental impact assessments, for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 80 environmental impact statements (EIS), 25–26 in Antarctic Protocol, 10–11		*
Organizations deep seabed area, 12–18 access to remedies for environmental harm, 223–227 in domestic forums, 226–227 in international forums, 223–226 International Seabed Authority and, 223–226 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 activities in, 15–17 common heritage of humankind and, 13–14, 189–192 compensable damage in, 80–84 definition of, 13–14 environmental risks in, 15–17 financial assurances and, 242–244 institutional arrangements for, 14–15 environmental liability, 17–18 environmental protection obligations, 17–18 1994 Implementation Agreement, 14–15 resource management, 16–17 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–129 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17 harmonized, 44–49 tort of nuisance, 41 transboundary harm, 46 unharmonized, 43–44, 254 Draft Exploitation Regulations (DER), 82–84, international liability and, 42–43 state responsibility and, 42–43 ecocide, 252 ecosystem services, loss and damage to in areas beyond national jurisdiction, 70–73 Costa Rica v Nicaragua, 90 EEZs. See Exclusive Economic Zones effective control, 102, 128 Environmental Compensation Fund (ECF) (deep seabed), 82–84 financial assurances and, 243–244 environmental damage and harm, 25–26 liability rules for prevention of, 34–36 methodological approach to, 27–28 pure environmental impact assessments, for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 70–73 environmental damage and harm, 25–26 liability rules for prevention of, 34–36 methodological approach to, 27–28 pure environmental impact assessments, for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 70–73 environmental damage, 70–73 environmental impact statements (EIS), 25–26 in Antarctic Protocol, 10–11		
deep seabed area, 12–18 access to remedies for environmental harm, 223–227 in domestic forums, 226–227 in international forums, 223–226 International Seabed Authority and, 223–226 activities in, 15–17 common heritage of humankind and, 13–14, 189–192 compensable damage in, 80–84 definition of, 13–14 environmental risks in, 15–17 financial assurances and, 242–244 institutional arrangements for, 14–15 International Seabed Authority, 14–15 environmental liability, 17–18 environmental protection obligations, 17–18 1994 Implementation Agreement, 14–15 resource management, 16–17 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–129 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17 tort of nuisance, 41 transboundary harm, 46 unharmonized, 43–44, 254 Draft Exploitation Regulations (DER), 82–84, 151–152 due diligence, 152–153, 156–157 international liability and, 42–43 state responsibility and, 42–43 state responsibility and, 42–43 cocide, 252 ecosystem services, loss and damage to in areas beyond national jurisdiction, 70–73 Costa Rica v Nicaragua, 90 EEZS. See Exclusive Economic Zones effective control, 102, 128 Environmental damage and harm, 25–26 liability rules for prevention of, 34–36 methodological approach to, 27–28 pure environmental impact assessments, for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 70–73 environmental damage and harm, 25–26 liability rules for prevention of, 34–36 methodological approach to, 27–28 pure environmental impact assessments, for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 70–73 environmental damage and harm, 25–26 liability rules for prevention of, 34–36 methodological approach to, 27–28 pure environmental impact assessments, for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 70–73 erotractive revolution of, 34–36 methodological approach to, 27–		
access to remedies for environmental harm, 223–227 in domestic forums, 226–227 in international forums, 223–226 International Seabed Authority and, 223–226 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 activities in, 15–17 common heritage of humankind and, 13–14, 189–192 compensable damage in, 80–84 definition of, 13–14 environmental risks in, 15–17 financial assurances and, 242–244 institutional arrangements for, 14–15 International Seabed Authority, 14–15 environmental liability, 17–18 environmental protection obligations, 17–18 1994 Implementation Agreement, 14–15 resource management, 16–17 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–129 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17 transboundary harm, 46 unharmonized, 43–44, 254 Draft Exploitation Regulations (DER), 82–84, 151–152 due diligence, 152–153, 156–157 international liability and, 42–43 state responsibility and, 42–43 ecocide, 252 ecosystem services, loss and damage to in areas beyond national jurisdiction, 70–73 Costa Rica v Nicaragua, 90 EEZs. See Exclusive Economic Zones effective control, 102, 128 Environmental Compensation Fund (ECF) (deep seabed), 82–84, 151–152 due diligence, 152–153, 156–157 international liability and, 42–43 **Cocide, 252 **ecosystem services, loss and damage to in areas beyond national jurisdiction, 70–73 Costa Rica v Nicaragua, 90 EEZs. See Exclusive Economic Zones effective control, 102, 128 Environmental damage and harm, 25–26 liability rules for prevention of, 34–36 methodological approach to, 27–28 pure environmental impact assessments, for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 80 environmental impact statements (EIS), 25–26 in Antarctic Protocol, 10–11	9	
unharmonized, 43–44, 254 braft Exploitation Regulations (DER), 82–84, in international Seabed Authority and, 223–226 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 activities in, 15–17 common heritage of humankind and, 13–14, 189–192 compensable damage in, 80–84 definition of, 13–14 environmental risks in, 15–17 financial assurances and, 242–244 institutional arrangements for, 14–15 International Seabed Authority, 14–15 environmental liability, 17–18 environmental protection obligations, 17–18 1994 Implementation Agreement, 14–15 resource management, 16–17 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–129 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17 unharmonized, 43–44, 254 Draft Exploitation Regulations (DER), 82–84, 151–152 due diligence, 152–153, 156–157 international liability and, 42–43 state responsibility and, 42–43 Ecocide, 252 ecosystem services, loss and damage to in areas beyond national jurisdiction, 70–73 EEZs. See Exclusive Economic Zones effective control, 102, 128 Environmental damage and harm, 25–26 liability rules for prevention of, 34–36 methodological approach to, 27–28 pure environmental impact assessments, for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 80 environmental impact statements (EIS), 25–26 in Antarctic Protocol, 10–11		
in domestic forums, 226–227 in international forums, 223–226 International Seabed Authority and, 223–226 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 activities in, 15–17 common heritage of humankind and, 13–14, 189–192 compensable damage in, 80–84 definition of, 13–14 environmental risks in, 15–17 financial assurances and, 242–244 institutional arrangements for, 14–15 International Seabed Authority, 14–15 environmental liability, 17–18 environmental protection obligations, 17–18 1994 Implementation Agreement, 14–15 resource management, 16–17 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–129 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17 Draft Exploitation Regulations (DER), 82–84, 151–152 due diligence, 152–153, 156–157 international liability and, 42–43 ecocide, 252 ecosystem services, loss and damage to in areas beyond national jurisdiction, 70–73 Costa Rica v Nicaragua, 90 EEZs. See Exclusive Economic Zones effective control, 102, 128 Environmental Compensation Fund (ECF) (deep seabed), 82–84, international liability and, 42–43 ecocide, 252 ecosystem services, loss and damage to in areas beyond national jurisdiction, 70–73 EEZs. See Exclusive Economic Zones effective control, 102, 128 Environmental Compensation Fund (ECF) (deep seabed), 82–84 financial assurances and, 243–244 environmental damage and harm, 25–26 liability rules for prevention of, 34–36 methodological approach to, 27–28 pure environmental impact assessments, for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 80 environmental impact statements (EIS), 25–26 in Antarctic Protocol, 10–11		
in international forums, 223–226 International Seabed Authority and, 223–226 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 activities in, 15–17 common heritage of humankind and, 13–14, 189–192 compensable damage in, 80–84 definition of, 13–14 environmental risks in, 15–17 financial assurances and, 242–244 institutional arrangements for, 14–15 environmental liability, 17–18 environmental protection obligations, 17–18 1994 Implementation Agreement, 14–15 resource management, 16–17 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–129 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17 International Seabed Authority and, 223–226 due diligence, 152–153, 156–157 international liability and, 42–43 state responsibility and, 42–43 ecocide, 252 ecosystem services, loss and damage to in areas beyond national jurisdiction, 70–73 Costa Rica v Nicaragua, 90 EEZs. See Exclusive Economic Zones effective control, 102, 128 Environmental Compensation Fund (ECF) (deep seabed), 82–84 financial assurances and, 243–244 environmental damage and harm, 25–26 liability rules for prevention of, 34–36 methodological approach to, 27–28 pure environmental impact assessments, for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 80 environmental impact statements (EIS), 25–26 in Antarctic Protocol, 10–11		
International Seabed Authority and, 223–226 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 activities in, 15–17 common heritage of humankind and, 13–14, 189–192 compensable damage in, 80–84 definition of, 13–14 environmental risks in, 15–17 financial assurances and, 242–244 institutional arrangements for, 14–15 International Seabed Authority, 14–15 environmental liability, 17–18 environmental protection obligations, 17–18 1994 Implementation Agreement, 14–15 resource management, 16–17 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–129 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17 due diligence, 152–153, 156–157 international liability and, 42–43 state responsibility and, 42–64 in article and 40–40 in article and 40–40 in a		
Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226 activities in, 15–17 common heritage of humankind and, 13–14, 189–192 compensable damage in, 80–84 definition of, 13–14 environmental risks in, 15–17 financial assurances and, 242–244 institutional arrangements for, 14–15 International Seabed Authority, 14–15 environmental liability, 17–18 environmental protection obligations, 17–18 1994 Implementation Agreement, 14–15 resource management, 16–17 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–129 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17 international liability and, 42–43 state responsibility and,		
activities in, 15–17 common heritage of humankind and, 13–14, 189–192 compensable damage in, 80–84 definition of, 13–14 environmental risks in, 15–17 financial assurances and, 242–244 institutional arrangements for, 14–15 International Seabed Authority, 14–15 environmental liability, 17–18 environmental protection obligations, 17–18 1994 Implementation Agreement, 14–15 resource management, 16–17 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–129 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17 state responsibility and, 42–43 ecocide, 252 ecosystem services, loss and damage to in areas beyond national jurisdiction, 70–73 Costa Rica v Nicaragua, 90 EEZs. See Exclusive Economic Zones effective control, 102, 128 Environmental Compensation Fund (ECF) (deep seabed), 82–84 financial assurances and, 243–244 environmental damage and harm, 25–26 liability rules for prevention of, 34–36 methodological approach to, 27–28 pure environmental damage, 70–73 environmental impact assessments, for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 80 environmental impact statements (EIS), 25–26 in Antarctic Protocol, 10–11		
common heritage of humankind and, 13–14, 189–192 ecocide, 252 ecosystem services, loss and damage to in areas beyond national jurisdiction, 70–73 environmental risks in, 15–17 Costa Rica v Nicaragua, 90 EEZs. See Exclusive Economic Zones effective control, 102, 128 Environmental Compensation Fund (ECF) (deep seabed), 82–84 environmental protection obligations, 17–18 environmental protection obligations, 17–18 environmental protection obligations, 17–18 environmental protection obligations, 123–129 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–129 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17 environmental damage, 70–73 environmental impact assessments, for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 80 environmental impact statements (EIS), 25–26 in Antarctic Protocol, 10–11		
compensable damage in, 80–84 definition of, 13–14 environmental risks in, 15–17 financial assurances and, 242–244 institutional arrangements for, 14–15 International Seabed Authority, 14–15 environmental liability, 17–18 environmental protection obligations, 17–18 1994 Implementation Agreement, 14–15 resource management, 16–17 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–129 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17 ecosta Rica v Nicaragua, 90 EEZs. See Exclusive Economic Zones effective control, 102, 128 Environmental Compensation Fund (ECF) (deep seabed), 82–84 financial assurances and, 243–244 environmental damage and harm, 25–26 liability rules for prevention of, 34–36 methodological approach to, 27–28 pure environmental damage, 70–73 environmental impact assessments, for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 80 environmental impact statements (EIS), 25–26 in Antarctic Protocol, 10–11		7 7 1 12
definition of, 13–14 in areas beyond national jurisdiction, 70–73 Costa Rica v Nicaragua, 90 institutional arrangements for, 14–15 International Seabed Authority, 14–15 environmental liability, 17–18 environmental protection obligations, 17–18 environmental protection obligations, 17–18 environmental protection obligations, 123–129 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17 in areas beyond national jurisdiction, 70–73 Costa Rica v Nicaragua, 90 EEZs. See Exclusive Economic Zones effective control, 102, 128 Environmental Compensation Fund (ECF) (deep seabed), 82–84 financial assurances and, 243–244 environmental damage and harm, 25–26 liability rules for prevention of, 34–36 methodological approach to, 27–28 pure environmental damage, 70–73 environmental impact assessments, for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 80 environmental impact statements (EIS), 25–26 in Antarctic Protocol, 10–11		ecocide, 252
definition of, 13–14 in areas beyond national jurisdiction, 70–73 Costa Rica v Nicaragua, 90 institutional arrangements for, 14–15 International Seabed Authority, 14–15 environmental liability, 17–18 environmental protection obligations, 17–18 environmental protection obligations, 17–18 environmental protection obligations, 123–129 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17 in areas beyond national jurisdiction, 70–73 Costa Rica v Nicaragua, 90 EEZs. See Exclusive Economic Zones effective control, 102, 128 Environmental Compensation Fund (ECF) (deep seabed), 82–84 financial assurances and, 243–244 environmental damage and harm, 25–26 liability rules for prevention of, 34–36 methodological approach to, 27–28 pure environmental damage, 70–73 environmental impact assessments, for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 80 environmental impact statements (EIS), 25–26 in Antarctic Protocol, 10–11	compensable damage in, 80–84	ecosystem services, loss and damage to
financial assurances and, 242–244 institutional arrangements for, 14–15 International Seabed Authority, 14–15 environmental liability, 17–18 environmental protection obligations, 17–18 1994 Implementation Agreement, 14–15 resource management, 16–17 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–129 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17 EEZs. See Exclusive Economic Zones effective control, 102, 128 Environmental Compensation Fund (ECF) (deep seabed), 82–84 financial assurances and, 243–244 environmental damage and harm, 25–26 liability rules for prevention of, 34–36 methodological approach to, 27–28 pure environmental damage, 70–73 environmental impact assessments, for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 80 environmental impact statements (EIS), 25–26 in Antarctic Protocol, 10–11		
institutional arrangements for, 14–15 International Seabed Authority, 14–15 environmental liability, 17–18 environmental protection obligations, 17–18 1994 Implementation Agreement, 14–15 resource management, 16–17 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–129 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17 effective control, 102, 128 Environmental Compensation Fund (ECF) (deep seabed), 82–84 financial assurances and, 243–244 environmental damage and harm, 25–26 liability rules for prevention of, 34–36 methodological approach to, 27–28 pure environmental damage, 70–73 environmental impact assessments, for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 80 environmental impact statements (EIS), 25–26 in Antarctic Protocol, 10–11	environmental risks in, 15–17	Costa Rica v Nicaragua, 90
International Seabed Authority, 14–15 environmental liability, 17–18 environmental protection obligations, 17–18 1994 Implementation Agreement, 14–15 resource management, 16–17 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–129 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17 Environmental Compensation Fund (ECF) (deep seabed), 82–84 financial assurances and, 243–244 environmental damage and harm, 25–26 liability rules for prevention of, 34–36 methodological approach to, 27–28 pure environmental damage, 70–73 environmental impact assessments, for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 80 environmental impact statements (EIS), 25–26 in Antarctic Protocol, 10–11	financial assurances and, 242–244	EEZs. See Exclusive Economic Zones
environmental liability, 17–18 environmental protection obligations, 17–18 1994 Implementation Agreement, 14–15 resource management, 16–17 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–129 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17 seabed), 82–84 financial assurances and, 243–244 environmental damage and harm, 25–26 liability rules for prevention of, 34–36 methodological approach to, 27–28 pure environmental damage, 70–73 environmental impact assessments, for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 80 environmental impact statements (EIS), 25–26 in Antarctic Protocol, 10–11	institutional arrangements for, 14-15	effective control, 102, 128
environmental protection obligations, 17–18 1994 Implementation Agreement, 14–15 resource management, 16–17 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–129 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17 financial assurances and, 243–244 environmental damage and harm, 25–26 liability rules for prevention of, 34–36 methodological approach to, 27–28 pure environmental damage, 70–73 environmental impact assessments, for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 80 environmental impact statements (EIS), 25–26 in Antarctic Protocol, 10–11		
17–18 1994 Implementation Agreement, 14–15 resource management, 16–17 legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–129 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17 environmental damage and harm, 25–26 liability rules for prevention of, 34–36 methodological approach to, 27–28 pure environmental damage, 70–73 environmental impact assessments, for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 80 environmental impact statements (EIS), 25–26 in Antarctic Protocol, 10–11		financial assurances and, 243-244
liability rules for prevention of, 34–36 methodological approach to, 27–28 pure environmental damage, 70–73 environmental impact assessments, for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 80 environmental impact statements (EIS), 25–26 in Antarctic Protocol, 10–11	17–18	
resource management, 16–17 methodological approach to, 27–28 legal status as global commons, 12–14 pure environmental damage, 70–73 environmental impact assessments, for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 80 liability standards for, 150–154 environmental impact statements (EIS), 25–26 resources in, 15–17 in Antarctic Protocol, 10–11		
legal status as global commons, 12–14 liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–129 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17 pure environmental damage, 70–73 environmental impact assessments, for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 80 environmental impact statements (EIS), 25–26 in Antarctic Protocol, 10–11		
liability allocation for environmental harm, 123–129 liability standards for, 150–154 resources in, 15–17 environmental impact assessments, for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 80 environmental impact statements (EIS), 25–26 in Antarctic Protocol, 10–11	legal status as global commons, 12–14	
beyond national jurisdiction, 80 liability standards for, 150–154 environmental impact statements (EIS), 25–26 resources in, 15–17 in Antarctic Protocol, 10–11		
resources in, 15–17 in Antarctic Protocol, 10–11		
ISA regimes for, 16–17 for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 56, 80		
	ISA regimes for, 16–17	for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 56, 80

environmental restoration, liability rules and, 34-36	generally accepted international rules and
erga omnes, 164–170, 179, 193, 198, 204–205	standards (GAIRS), 157
erga omnes partes, 164–170, 179, 184–185,	Germany, fault-based liability in, 136
256–257	global commons. See also Antarctic; areas beyond
espousal of claims, 44, 174	national jurisdiction; atmosphere; deep
European Convention on Human Rights, 180	seabed area; high seas; specific
Exclusive Economic Zones (EEZs), 103–104, 166	environments; specific regions
off Antarctic, 7	climate change influences on, 5
Exxon Valdez oil spill, 88	compensation approaches to, 3-4
	definition of, 4–6
fault-based liability, 134	as resource domains, 4–5
in France, 136	liability approaches to, 3-4
in Germanic countries, 136	
policy considerations for, 135–141	habitat equivalency analysis (HEA), 88, 89-90
subjective fault in, 135–136	harm. See environmental damage and harm
financial assurances, 230	harmonized domestic liability law, 44-49
analysis of, 248–250	HEA. See habitat equivalency analysis
in Antarctic, 239–242	high seas, 18–25
Antarctic Liability Annex and, 235–236,	access to remedies, 227-228
238–241, 246	BBNJ (ILBI), 227–228
under Antarctic Protocol, 232, 238–239	biodiversity beyond national jurisdiction and,
Antarctic Treaty Consultative Meeting and,	19–20
241	under Convention for the Prevention of Marine
in areas beyond national jurisdiction, 237-248	Pollution by Dumping of Wastes and
future uses of, 244-248	Other Matter, 23
liability funding mechanisms, 244	under Convention on the Law of the Sea, 18-19
mandatory insurance, 245	BBNJ (ILBI), 20, 24–25, 195–197
under UN Convention on the Law of the Sea,	environmental protection obligations, 22–25
237	International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea, 19
Basel Protocol and, 235–236	environmental liability procedures, 22–25
in deep seabed, 242–244	environmental protection obligations, 22–25
Environmental Compensation Fund and,	under UN Convention on the Law of the Sea,
243-244	22-25
forms of, 230–237	environmental risks and, 20–21
HNS Convention and, 235–236, 246–247	institutional arrangements, 19–20
insurance and, 235	legal status as global commons, 18
mandatory, 245	liability allocation for environmental harm in,
parametric insurance, 248	129–130
self-insurance, 234–235, 242–243	liability standards for, 154–157
International Law Commission and, 237–238	resource management, 20-21
International Oil Pollution Compensation and,	standing for claims for environmental harm,
231	195–197
liability rules, 232–233	HNS Convention. See Convention on Liability
Limitation of Liability for Maritime Claims	and Compensation for Damage in
Convention, 232, 240–241	Connection with the Carriage of
protection and indemnity clubs and, 234-235	Hazardous and Noxious Substances
purpose of, 231–234	
risk internalization, 232–233	ICJ. See International Court of Justice
Seabed Disputes Chamber and, 238	ICRW. See International Convention for the
flag states, 103, 129, 143, 154, 155, 156	Regulation of Whaling
forum non conveniens, 199–200, 214	ILC. See International Law Commission
France, 183	ILC Draft Articles on Prevention of
Exclusive Economic Zones off Antarctica, 7	Transboundary Harm from Hazardous
fault-based liability in, 136	Activities, 155

ILC Draft Articles on the Allocation of Loss in the ILC Draft Articles on Prevention of case of Transboundary Harm arising out of Transboundary Harm from Hazardous Hazardous Activities, 31 Activities, 155 ILC Draft Articles on the Responsibility of ILC Draft Articles on the Responsibility of International Organizations (DARIO), International Organizations, 106, 108, 106, 108, 172-173 ILC Draft Articles on the Responsibility of States ILC Draft Principles on the Allocation of Loss, for Internationally Wrongful Acts (ASR), 37-45, 74-76, 102-103, 175-176, 58, 98-99, 161, 163-164 211-212, 213 in standing claims, 161-162, 164-166, 169-170 liability and, 28, 29, 31, 32-33, 40-41 ILC Draft Principles on the Allocation of Loss, International Maritime Organization (IMO), 106, 37-45, 74-76, 102-103, 175-176, International Oil Pollution Compensation Funds 211-212, 213 illegal, unreported and unregulated fishing, 25, (IOPC Funds), 47, 71-72, 175-176, 217, 255 financial assurances and, 231 international organizations. See also specific IMO. See International Maritime Organization implied powers, of international organizations, organizations areas beyond national jurisdiction and, 105-109 171-172 under domestic/national laws, 109 insurance financial assurances and, 235 under international law, 105-108 Draft Articles on the Responsibility of mandatory insurance, 245 parametric insurance, 248 International Organizations and, 106, 108, self-insurance, 234-235, 242-243 inter-governmental organizations, access to implied powers of, 171-172 remedies and, 205 regional fisheries management arrangements, International Convention for the Regulation of regional fisheries management organizations, Whaling (ICRW), 166, 183 International Convention Relating to Intervention 106, 107 on the High Seas in Cases of Oil Pollution standing for claims for environmental harm in Antarctic, 187-188 Casualties, 162 International Court of Justice (ICJ), 55 under international law, 171-173 access to remedies cases, 200-201, 210 UN Convention on the Law of the Sea and, Monetary Gold principle, 203 107-108 areas beyond national jurisdiction cases, 59, International Seabed Authority (ISA), 14-15 63-64, 87-92, 118-119 access to remedies for environmental harm and, liability allocation for environmental harm in, 223-226 areas beyond national jurisdiction under, valuation of environmental damage in, 87-92 82-84 liability allocation for environmental harm, 99 Draft Exploitation Regulations, 82–84, 151–152 standing for claims for environmental harm, environmental liability, 17-18 168-185 environmental protection obligations, 17-18 International Court of the Environment Implementation Agreement, 14-15 Foundation, 200 liability and, 33, 151-152 allocation for environmental harm and, International Law Commission (ILC), 251 access to remedies, 199-200, 207-208 123-129 for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 55, environmental, 17-18 58-63, 65-67 Seabed Disputes Chamber, 36, 42, 46 compensation requirements and, 30 resources management, 16-17 Draft Articles on the Responsibility of States for Seabed Disputes Chamber, 36, 42, 46, 126-128, 143 Internationally Wrongful Acts (DARIO), access to remedies for environmental harm, 58, 98–99, 161, 163–164 in standing claims, 161-162, 164-166, areas beyond national jurisdiction under, 169-170 financial assurances and, 237-238 81-82

standing for claims for environmental harm,	institutional mechanisms, 259–260
166–169	under international law, 142–149
standing for claims for environmental harm,	International Law Commission and, 28, 29, 31,
171, 173, 189–192	32–33, 40–41, 138
Seabed Disputes Chamber and, 166–169	International Seabed Authority and, 33, 139
International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea	environmental liability, 17–18
(ITLOS), 14–15	Seabed Disputes Chamber, 36, 42, 46
access to remedies under, 202, 203	under International Tribunal for the Law of the
high seas under, 19	Sea, 143
IOPC. See International Oil Pollution	joint, 116–117
Compensation Funds	limitations of, 262–264
ISA. See International Seabed Authority	for loss and damage, 51–52
ITLOS. See International Tribunal for the Law of	under climate change regimes, 51–52
the Sea	under Paris Agreement, 51–52
	Lugano Convention on Civil Liability for
joint liability, 116–117	Damage Resulting from Activities
jus cogens, 169, 170	Dangerous to the Environment, 45–46,
	141
lex loci delicti rule, 218–219	moral dimensions of, 133
liability, international	Nagoya-Kuala Lumpur Supplementary
absolute, 147	Protocol, 48, 49
access to remedies and, 199–200	Oil Pollution Liability Convention, 37–38
under Antarctic Protocol, 34, 48	polluter-pays principle, 36-37, 140, 141
Antarctic Liability Annex, 11–12, 28, 35, 49,	application of, 37
50-51, 121, 131	legal status of, 36–37
approaches to, 38-53	proportionate, 117
administrative, 50–51	under Protocol on Liability and Compensation
in areas beyond national jurisdiction, 138–139	to the Basel Convention on Transboundary
Basel Liability Protocol, 32, 48	Movement of Hazardous Wastes, 32
BBNJ (ILBI) and, 141	purpose for rules in, 30-38, 252-254
channelling of, 110-116, 125	compensation, 31–34
advantages/disadvantages in, 114–115	economic objectives, 37-38
for nuclear energy production, 110	for environmental restoration strategies,
for operators, 110–116	34-36
polluter-pays principle, 115–116	for prevention of environmental harm, 34-36
for vessel-based cargo oil, 110–113	Seabed Disputes Chamber and, 143
conceptual approach to, 2–4, 29–30	several, 116–117
domestic liability law and, 43-49	standards of, 157–158
harmonized, 44-49	in Antarctic, 149–150
tort of nuisance, 41	for civil liability regimes, 145–147
transboundary harm, 46	conceptual approach to, 133–134
unharmonized, 43–44	in deep seabed, 150–154
in existing environmental agreements, 48-49	generally accepted international rules and, 157
fault-based, 134	in high seas, 154–157
in France, 136	policy considerations, 135–141
in Germanic countries, 136	risk factors for, 136–140
policy considerations for, 135–141	state responsibilities and, 39-43, 142-145
subjective fault in, 135–136	default rules, 142
future challenges for, 260-262	due diligence and, 42–43, 142–143
global commons and, 3–4	no-harm principle and, 42
for Antarctic, 10–12	Seabed Disputes Chamber and, 42
in deep seabed, 17–18	strict, 134, 140–141
high seas, 22–25	UN Convention on the Law of the Sea and, 34,
HNS Convention, 37–38	139

liability caps, 148-149 OSPAR Convention. See Convention for the Limitation of Liability for Maritime Claims Protection of the Marine Environment of Convention (LLMC), 232, 240-241 the North-East Atlantic London Convention. See Convention for the Prevention of Marine Pollution by parametric insurance, 248 Dumping of Wastes and Other Matter Pardo, Arvid, 13-14 loss allocation, 28 parens patria, 177-179, 189, 206, 216 ILC Draft Principles on Allocation of Loss, Paris Agreement, 51–52 participation in environmental decision-175-176 loss and damage making,205-206. See also Rio Declaration on Environment and Development ecosystem services loss and, 70-73 ILC Draft Principles on Allocation of Loss, Philippines, 166 P&I clubs. See protection and indemnity clubs 175-176 liability and, 51-52 polluter-pays principle, 36-37, 140, 141 under climate change regimes, 51-52 application of, 37 channelling of liability, 115-116 under Paris Agreement, 51-52 Warsaw International Mechanism for Loss and legal status of, 36-37 Portugal, 181 Damage, 51 Lugano Convention on Civil Liability for Damage Postiglione, Amedeo, 200 Resulting from Activities Dangerous to the prompt and adequate compensation, 22-23, 31, Environment, 45-46, 72-73, 141 33-34, 44-45, 62, 95, 130, 131, 145-147, 153, 157-158, 212-213, 230, 231, 237-238 mandatory insurance, 245 proportionate liability, 117 marine biodiversity, in areas beyond national protection and indemnity clubs (P&I clubs), jurisdiction, 84-85 234-235 marine genetic resources, 19-20, 21, 26, 84, 156 Protocol on Environmental Protection to the Monetary Gold principle, 203 Antarctic Treaty (Antarctic Protocol) (1991), 6, 7, 10-11, 12 Nagoya-Kuala Lumpur Supplementary Protocol, access to remedies under, 220-222 Antarctic Liability Annex, 11-12, 28, 35, 49, 48, 49, 72-73 necessitous intervention, 69, 163, 179, 257 50-51, 77-78, 93, 121, 131 areas beyond national jurisdiction under, 76-79, negligence. See fault-based liability New Zealand, 10 NGOs. See non-government organizations Antarctic Liability Annex, 77-78, 93 Nicaragua, 90-91 environmental impact statements, 10-11 1994 Implementation Agreement, 14-15 financial assurances under, 232, 238-239 no-fault liability. See strict liability liability under, 34, 48 no-harm principle, 40, 251 Protocol on Liability and Compensation to the non-government organizations (NGOs) Basel Convention on Transboundary access to remedies for environmental harm and, Movement of Hazardous Wastes (Basel 203, 206-207 Liability Protocol) (1999), 32, 48, 70, standing for claims for environmental harm, 113-114, 216 173-175, 179-180 financial assurances and, 235-236 in Antarctic, 187-188 public law remedies, standing for claims for environmental harm and, 181 in deep seabed, 194-195 public trust doctrine, 178-179 oceans. See deep seabed; high seas pure environmental damage/loss, 26, 55-56, 63, 65, 70-73, 83, 160-161, 176, 224, Odyssey oil spill, 1 areas beyond national jurisdiction and, 1 243-244, 255, 258-259 civil liability rules and, 1-2, 4 Oil Pollution Act, U.S. (1990), 87 recognition and enforcement, of judgments, access Oil Pollution Liability Convention, 37-38, 67-68, to remedies 105, 110-111, 113, 114 in domestic forums, 219-220 standing claims and, 175-176 in international forums, 208-209

regional fisheries management arrangements	evidentiary issues in, 209
(RFMAs), 106	participation in environmental decision-
regional fisheries management organizations	making, 205–206
(RFMOs), 106, 107	parties in, 203–207
standing for claims for environmental harm and,	recognition and enforcement of judgments
171	in, 208–209
reinstatement, 49, 50, 55–56, 65–69, 72–73,	under international law, 199–200
160–161	International Law Commission and, 199–200,
remedies, access to, for environmental harm. See	207–208
also Antarctic Liability Annex	non-governmental organizations and, 203,
analysis of, 228–229	206–207 recognition and enforcement of judgments
in Antarctic, 220–223 under Antarctic Protocol, 220–222	in domestic forums, 219–220
dispute settlement mechanisms and, 222	in international forums, 208–209
in domestic forums, 222–223	under Rio Declaration, 211–212
in international forums, 220–222	scope of, 199
under BBNJ (ILBI), 227–228	state responsibility for, 207
compensation for environmental harm and,	UN Compensation Commission, 200–201
199–200	under UN Convention on the Law of the Sea,
conceptual approach to, 199–200	199, 202, 203, 212–213, 226–227
in deep seabed, 223–227	remoteness, 58–61
in domestic forums, 226–227	reparations, for environmental damage
in international forums, 223–226	for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 61–65, 92
International Seabed Authority and, 223–226	principles for, 58
Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226	resource management
dispute settlement mechanisms	for deep seabed areas, 16–17
in Antarctic, 222	for high seas, 20–21
in international forums, 201–207	under International Seabed Authority, 16–17
Seabed Disputes Chamber, 223–226	Restatement on the Law of Torts, U.S., 137
in domestic forums, 211–220	RFMAs. See regional fisheries management
choice of applicable law, 218–219	arrangements
civil liability conventions, 218	RFMOs. See regional fisheries management
forum choice, 213–216	organizations rights of nature, approaches to ABNJ, 182–183
forum non conveniens, 214 international obligations for, 211–213	Rio Declaration on Environment and
lex loci delicti rule, 218–219	Development, 1–2, 142–143, 251
parties to proceedings, 216–218	access to remedies under, 211–212
recognition and enforcement of judgments,	no-harm principle in, 40
219–220	Principle 10, 45–46
sovereign immunity and, 217	1 / 1// 1
under Draft Principles on the Allocation of Loss,	Seabed Disputes Chamber (SDC), 36, 46,
211–212, 213	126–128, 143
in high seas, 227–228	access to remedies for environmental harm,
inter-governmental organizations and, 205	223–226
through International Court for the	standing for claims for environmental harm,
Environment, 209–210	166–169
in International Court of Justice cases, 200–201,	self-insurance, 234–235, 242–243 several liability, 116–117
Monetary Gold principle, 203	sovereign immunity
in international forums, 200–211	access to remedies for environmental harm, 217
advisory opinions, 210–211	jure gestionis, 105
in Antarctic, 220–222	jure imperii, 105
available remedies, 207–208	sovereignty, over Antarctic, 6–7
dispute settlement mechanisms, 201–207	international claims of, 6, 7

Permanent Court for International Justice,
164
regional fisheries management organizations
and, 171
rights of nature approaches, 182–183
under UN Convention on the Law of the Sea,
166–171, 173
state responsibility
for access to remedies, 207
analysis of, 254–257
for areas beyond national jurisdiction, 57-65,
100–105
attribution of conduct in, 101
in coastal states, 103–104
under domestic/national laws, 104-105
over exclusive economic zones, 103-104
under international law, 57–65, 100–104
international liability and, 39-43, 142-145
default rules, 142
due diligence and, 42–43, 142–143
no-harm principle and, 42
Stockholm Declaration, 142–143
no-harm principle in, 40
strict liability, 134, 140–141
submarine cables, 13, 18, 21, 162, 253
Sub-Regional Fisheries Commission Advisory
Opinion, 154–155
- F - 7 - 71 - 77
tort of nuisance, 41
transboundary harm, 46
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
UN Compensation Commission, 118
access to remedies and, 200–201
areas beyond national jurisdiction and, 56-57,
60–65, 71, 74, 87–92
UN Convention on Biological Diversity, 19,
182–183
UN Convention on the Law of the Sea
(UNCLOS)
access to remedies, 199, 202, 203, 212–213,
226–227
areas beyond national jurisdiction under,
80-83
liability allocation for environmental harm in,
123–127, 130
compensation objectives, 31
deep seabed under, 12–15, 17–18
common heritage of humankind, 13–14
International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea,
14–15
financial assurances and, 237
high seas under, 18–19
BBNJ (ILBI), 20, 24–25
environmental protection obligations, 22–25
protection obligations, 22-25

19
international liability and, 34, 139
international organizations and, 107–108
standing for claims for environmental harm
under, 166–171, 173
Third UN Conference on the Law of the Sea,
8–9
UN Framework Convention on Climate Change,
19
UN Watercourses Convention, 45
unharmonized domestic liability law, 43–44, 254

International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea,

United States (U.S.)
areas beyond national jurisdiction and, 87–88
Exxon Valdez oil spill, 88
Restatement on the Law of Torts, 137
valuation of environmental damage, 87–88
under Comprehensive Environmental
Response, Compensation, and Liability
Act, 87
under Oil Pollution Act, 87

Warsaw International Mechanism for Loss and Damage, 51