Nivlisen, an Antarctic ice shelf in Dronning Maud Land: geodetic-glaciological results from a combined analysis of ice thickness, ice surface height and ice-flow observations

Martin HORWATH,¹ Reinhard DIETRICH,¹ Michael BAESSLER,¹ Uwe NIXDORF,² Daniel STEINHAGE,² Diedrich FRITZSCHE,³ Volkmar DAMM,⁴ Gernot REITMAYR⁴

¹Technische Universität Dresden, Institut für Planetare Geodäsie, D-01062 Dresden, Germany E-mail: horwath@ipg.geo.tu-dresden.de

²Alfred Wegener Institute for Polar and Marine Research, PO Box 120161, D-27515 Bremerhaven, Germany
 ³Alfred Wegener Institute for Polar and Marine Research, PO Box 600149, D-14473 Potsdam, Germany
 ⁴Federal Institute for Geosciences and Natural Resources (BGR), PO Box 510153, D-30631 Hannover, Germany

ABSTRACT. Extensive observations on Nivlisen, an ice shelf on Antarctica's Atlantic coast, are analyzed and combined to obtain a new description of its complex glaciological regime. We generate models of ice thickness (primarily from ground-penetrating radar), ellipsoidal ice surface height (primarily from ERS-1 satellite altimetry), freeboard height (by utilizing precise sea surface information) and ice-flow velocity (from ERS-1/-2 SAR interferometry and GPS measurements). Accuracy assessments are included. Exploiting the hydrostatic equilibrium relation, we infer the 'apparent air layer thickness' as a useful measure for a glacier's density deviation from a pure ice body. This parameter exhibits a distinct spatial variation (ranging from ≈ 2 to ≈ 16 m) which we attribute to the transition from an ablation area to an accumulation area. We compute mass-flux and mass-balance parameters on a local and areally integrated scale. The combined effect of bottom mass balance and temporal change averaged over an essential part of Nivlisen is -654 ± 170 kg m⁻² a⁻¹, which suggests bottom melting processes dominate. We discuss our results in view of temporal ice-mass changes (including remarks on historical observations), basal processes, near-surface processes and ice-flow dynamical features. The question of temporal changes remains open from the data at hand, and we recommend further observations and analyses for its solution.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Nivlisen

The ice shelves around Antarctica are important elements in the climate coupling of the Antarctic ice masses. The grounding line is the output gate for mass loss of the grounded ice sheet. The ice shelves themselves have intensive interactions with the atmosphere (through ice accumulation and ablation, radiation and albedo) and with the ocean (through bottom melting and freezing and iceberg calving). Hence, ice shelves are particularly sensitive to climatic influences and changes.

Here we investigate Nivlisen, one of a number of small ice shelves bordering Antarctica's Atlantic sector. Figure 1 shows its geographic situation. The ice shelf extends over about 80 km in the south–north and 130 km in the west–east direction. Ice thicknesses vary from about 700 m in the southeast to 150 m at the front. At some locations the ice rests on the bedrock, forming ice rises and ice rumples. The ice shelf is nourished by glaciers draining the inland ice of Wegenerisen through the mountains of Wohlthatmassivet. Typical flow velocities are in the order of 100 m a⁻¹.

The surface regimes, and, accordingly, firn and ice structures in the upper layers, vary significantly over Nivlisen. A bare ice region extends over about 100 km to the southeast. This is predominantly a large ablation zone preserved by dry katabatic winds and summer melting due to the reduced albedo. To the north, there is a transition to an extended accumulation zone. On the border between the inland ice and the ice shelf, there is an ice-free area of



Fig. 1. The region of Schirmacheroasen with Nivlisen. Grounding lines and the ice front (red lines), ice surface heights (green), the bare ice area (blue) and open rocks (brown) are indicated. The background image is the RADARSAT amplitude image (Jezek and others, 2002). Dashed lines indicate two ERS-1/-2 SAR interferograms used in section 2.3.

bedrock (a so-called oasis) maintained due to the ablation regime of the surrounding ice. This area is called Schirmacheroasen.

The ice shelf can be considered in hydrostatic balance at positions a few kilometres away from grounded ice. This property is supported both by mechanical modelling (Rabus and Lang, 2002) and by observational evidence. Synthetic aperture radar (SAR) interferometry from the European Remote-sensing Satellite 1 and 2 (ERS-1/-2) tandem mission shows distinct zones of vertical deformation along the grounding lines, indicating that the ice shelf adapts to tidal and other sea-level displacements along these zones and keeps its bulk in hydrostatic balance (Metzig and others, 2000; see also section 2.3). Korth and others (2000) used several kinematic global positioning system (GPS) tracks across the grounding zone to show in detail how the reaction to tidal displacements evolves from zero on the grounded side to the full tidal displacement over a distance of 2-4 km.

Not least due to the logistic basis provided by the Soviet/ Russian, Indian and former East German (GDR) research stations in Schirmacheroasen, the region has been the subject of extensive geoscientific investigations for decades. Bormann and Fritzsche (1995) give a comprehensive review of research up to the beginning of the 1990s. Since that time, geodetic–glaciological field observations have been widely extended. New types of satellite-aided observations have become available, such as GPS measurements on the ground, and satellite altimetry and SAR interferometry from space.

This paper analyzes some of these newer observations which allow a more extensive, more detailed and more accurate description of Nivlisen's glaciological regime than presented thus far. After a description of our basic observations and their processing (section 2), we present in section 3 the additional inferences from combining the individual types of data under two aspects: hydrostatic equilibrium and mass balance. (These fundamental links between major ice-shelf parameters are briefly reviewed in section 1.2 and 1.3.) In section 4 we discuss our findings, addressing the spatially varying firn conditions visible from the hydrostatic balance relation, basal processes and possible temporal changes, the interplay between snow accumulation and compaction and dynamical ice-flow conditions.

1.2. Hydrostatic balance of ice shelves

Free floating of an ice shelf implies the hydrostatic balance relation

$$\rho_{\rm w}(Z-h) = \bar{\rho}Z,\tag{1}$$

where Z is the total ice thickness, *h* the surface height above sea level (freeboard height), ρ_w the mean density of the displaced sea-water column, and $\bar{\rho}$ the mean density of the ice-shelf column.

This relation has been widely exploited in ice-shelf studies. See, for example, Renner (1969) for an early review, and Coslett and others (1975) and Shabtaie and Bentley (1982) for the methodology adopted here. Through hydrostatic balance, surface heights were derived from ice thicknesses (Bamber and Bentley, 1994), and ice thicknesses from surface heights (Vaughan and others, 1995), or geopotential models were locally evaluated by the hydrostatic balance requirement (Shabtaie and Bentley, 1987; Jenkins and Doake, 1991). Apparent violations of hydrostatic balance gave indications for grounding (Shabtaie and Bentley, 1987), for varying firn and ice structures (Budd and others, 1982; Bamber and Bentley, 1994), for sediments transported by the ice (Thyssen and Grosfeld, 1988) or for marine ice frozen to the shelf ice bottom (Thyssen, 1988; Jenkins and Doake, 1991).

Equation (1) may be reformulated in the form

$$\rho_{\rm w}(Z-h) = \rho_{\rm i}(Z-\delta), \qquad (2)$$

where ρ_i is the density of pure ice and δ is defined by

$$\delta = \int_0^Z \frac{\rho_i - \rho(z)}{\rho_i} dz = \frac{\rho_i - \bar{\rho}}{\rho_i} Z.$$
 (3)

That is, δ is the relative deviation of the ice-shelf density ρ from the pure ice density ρ_{i} , integrated along the ice column. Resolved for its three main constituents, Equation (2) reads

$$\delta = \frac{\rho_{\rm w}}{\rho_{\rm i}} h - \frac{\rho_{\rm w} - \rho_{\rm i}}{\rho_{\rm i}} Z,\tag{4}$$

$$h = \frac{\rho_{\rm w} - \rho_{\rm i}}{\rho_{\rm w}} Z + \frac{\rho_{\rm i}}{\rho_{\rm w}} \delta, \tag{5}$$

$$Z = \frac{\rho_{\rm w}}{\rho_{\rm w} - \rho_{\rm i}} h - \frac{\rho_{\rm i}}{\rho_{\rm w} - \rho_{\rm i}} \delta.$$
(6)

In the common case that an ice shelf consists of a mixture of pure fresh-water ice and air, the meaning of δ is plausible: a (fictive) rearrangement of the ice shelf into a body of solid ice and a layer of air would result in the solid ice thickness

$$Z_{\rm i} = Z - \delta \tag{7}$$

and the air layer thickness δ . Since most of the air is contained in the upper firn layers, δ depends on the accumulation and densification regime but has little or no dependence on total ice thickness. The mean density $\bar{\rho}$, in contrast, depends on both. Values of air layer thicknesses δ reported in the literature cited in this section vary between 10 and 20 m

Unless the ice shelf consists only of fresh-water ice and air, δ is not the air layer thickness. Therefore, δ is called the 'apparent air layer thickness' in the following. If, for example, a marine ice layer of thickness Z_{mar} and density $\rho_{mar} > \rho_i$ is frozen to the bottom of a meteoric ice part of thickness Z_{met} , Equation (3) reads

$$\delta = \int_0^{Z_{\text{met}}} \frac{\rho_i - \rho(z)}{\rho_i} \, \mathrm{d}z + \int_0^{Z_{\text{mar}}} \frac{\rho_i - \rho_{\text{mar}}}{\rho_i} \, \mathrm{d}z = \delta_{\text{air}} + \delta_{\text{mar}}.$$
(8)

 δ is now the sum of the air layer thickness δ_{air} and a negative correction δ_{mar} . However, since $\rho_{mar} \approx 925 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$ exceeds $\rho_{\rm i}$ only slightly (see section 2.4), $\delta_{\rm mar} \approx -0.02 Z_{\rm mar}$ tends to be small. Sediments enclosed in the lower ice-shelf layers are another possible phenomenon that adds a negative contribution to δ and may even cause δ to be negative in total. The apparent air layer thickness δ when computed from surface height and ice thickness using Equation (4) is a useful indication of density structures and of the validity of the free-float assumption. At the same time, it is sensitive to errors in the input data, in particular in Z and h. Gross errors in Z may arise if a marine ice layer is not recorded in the measurement, as may occur with radar techniques. Biases in the freeboard height h may arise from uncertainties in the geoid and sea surface topography (SST) data needed to reduce ellipsoidal heights to freeboard heights. Therefore, δ is frequently treated as a combination of the air layer thickness and an unknown height bias.

Once δ is known for a certain region of free floating, the relation between ice thickness and freeboard height is established and can be utilized.

1.3. Mass flux and mass balance on ice shelves

The mass conservation equation for a vertical column of an ice shelf (Paterson, 1994) can be written as

$$b_{\rm s} + b_{\rm b} - \rho_{\rm i} \frac{\partial Z_{\rm i}}{\partial t} = \operatorname{div}(\rho_{\rm i} Z_{\rm i} \boldsymbol{u})$$
$$= \rho_{\rm i} \left[Z_{\rm i} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right) + u \frac{\partial z_{\rm i}}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial z_{\rm i}}{\partial y} \right]. \tag{9}$$

Here, b_s and b_b (in units of mass per surface area per time, positive for mass gain) are the surface mass balance and the basal mass balance, respectively, Z_i is the solid ice thickness (Equation (7)), $\rho_i \partial Z_i / \partial t$ is the local ice mass change with time, and u is the depth-independent horizontal flow velocity vector with components u and v in the x and y coordinate directions, respectively. According to Bamber and Payne (2003), we call $b_s + b_b$ the specific mass balance and $\rho_i \partial Z_i / \partial t$ the local mass balance. Their integral effect over a certain region A can be computed through Gauss' theorem by balancing the ice in-flux and out-flux across the region boundaries:

$$\iint_{A} \left(b_{s} + b_{b} - \rho_{i} \frac{\partial z_{i}}{\partial t} \right) d\sigma = \int_{K} |\rho_{i} Z_{i} \boldsymbol{u}| \sin \beta \, ds, \quad (10)$$

where *K* is the boundary curve oriented anticlockwise, and β is the (anticlockwise) angle between *K* and the velocity *u*. Conveniently, some sections of *K* follow flowlines where the integral vanishes, and other sections form the flux gates.

The basal balance b_b is commonly the most uncertain mass-balance term. The above equations may constrain it based on the geometric magnitudes of ice thickness, freeboard height and ice-flow velocity.

2. DATA

2.1. Ice thickness

Figure 2 gives an overview of ice-thickness observations on Nivlisen. In this study, we use data from the three sources shown by tracks in Figure 2. A radar system operating from a helicopter was used by the German Federal Institute for Geosciences and Natural Resources (BGR) during the GeoMaud expedition in 1995/96 (Damm and Eisenburger, in press). A similar instrumentation, but mounted on an airplane, was used by the Alfred Wegener Institute (AWI), Bremerhaven, in the same summer season (Steinhage and others, 1999; Meyer and others, 2005). Finally, radar measurements along a ground traverse crossing the east of the ice shelf were carried out by the AWI in 1992 (Fritzsche, 2005).

The relative precision of the ice-thickness measurements was estimated to be 1–2%. In a crossover analysis for all radar tracks (including grounded ice), crossover differences at 61 positions, divided by the absolute thicknesses, showed an rms value of 4.5%. This value is affected by errors in the measurement positions and in the interpolation to crossover points which both, in turn, depend on along-track variations of the measurements. The ice-thickness tracks on Nivlisen seem to have two fluctuation regimes, with a distinct border along approximately –70.35° latitude (see Fig. 8 for an example). In the south, fluctuations are high, with typically 10–20 m differences between track points 300 m apart. In the north, the thickness curves are smooth. Accordingly, the



Fig. 2. Ice-thickness and firn observations. The drill-site positions are after Korotkevich and others (1978). The positions of 15 m density profiles are estimates after the description by Khokhlov (1984).

rms of nine relative crossover differences in the northern part is 1.9%, indicating a measurement precision of 1.9%/ $\sqrt{2} = 1.4\%$, i.e. typically $1.4\% \times 350 \text{ m} = 4.9 \text{ m}$. In what follows, we will rely on thickness data with a reduced resolution of 3.7 km. For these data a relative precision of 1.4% seems realistic over the entire ice shelf.

Despite this precision, biases in the thickness data must be considered. The along-track fluctuations in the southern part may indicate a complex (e.g. crevassed) structure of the ice-shelf bottom, with associated ambiguities in the radar echo. The estimated inaccuracy resulting from these effects is up to 4.4% of ice thickness. Variance combination with the above 1.4% precision leads to a total uncertainty of $\sqrt{4.4^2 + 1.4^2}\% = 4.6\%$ in the southern part, i.e. typically $4.6\% \times 450 \text{ m} = 21 \text{ m}$. Another bias in the initial icethickness data results from using the signal propagation velocity for ice ($168 \times 10^6 \text{ m s}^{-1}$) in the radar processing. In section 3.2 we apply the correction for the higher velocities in firn.

Ice-thickness data from other measurements (see Fig. 2) were analyzed, but not included in the further computations. During the 1995/96 GeoMaud expedition, ice thickness was measured at ten ground positions on Nivlisen by radar sounding and partly also by seismic sounding (Reitmayr, 1996). For part of the data the interpretation of the signal return from only a single point measurement is ambiguous. In 1975/76, Soviet Antarctic Expedition groups performed two ice-core drillings through the ice shelf, and a third through the nearby grounded ice (Korotkevich and others, 1978). In the same year, radio-echo soundings were performed from airplanes (Kozlovskii and Fedorov, 1983) and along ground traces (Boyarskii and others, 1983; Eskin and Boyarskii, 1985). Differences between these historical data and the models derived hereafter are further addressed in section 4.3.

2.2. Ice surface height

2.2.1. Ellipsoidal height

Ellipsoidal heights were observed by satellite altimetry and by GPS measurements. Figure 3 gives an overview.



Fig. 3. Ellipsoidal height observations. The thin dashed line indicates the domain of the model derived from these observations.

We performed a dedicated analysis of ERS-1 data of the geodetic mission phase E/F (April 1994–March 1995) processed by the Centre Nationale d'Etudes Spatiales, France (Rémy and others, 1999). The data contain geophysically corrected and retracked ellipsoidal heights at satellite subtrack positions, together with the waveform parameters of the leading edge, the trailing edge and the backscatter obtained in the retracking procedure.

At the steep transition between floating and grounded ice, the altimeter tracker fails to follow the surface. This leads to an interruption of tracks along the grounding zone. In contrast to continental-scale processings, no attempt was made to interpolate heights across this gap, and only measurements on the ice shelf were used. Moreover, to avoid slope errors due to the poorly known slopes of the adjacent grounded ice, positions near the grounding line were excluded. The minimum distance was set to 8 km, allowing for a 0.02 rad slope beyond the grounding line.

Strongly reflecting targets such as meltwater surfaces can divert the on-board tracker from the nearest surface points. Such instances are indicated by exceptionally high back-scattering coefficients σ° and steep trailing-edge slopes Fl of the altimeter waveform. Hence, measurements exceeding $\sigma^{\circ} = 30$ dB or Fl = -0.024 dB per gate (1 gate = 3 ns) were rejected and their neighbouring positions were checked for obvious corruptions.

Static GPS measurements were carried out during the GeoMaud expedition in 1995/96 (Reitmayr, 1996). Their vertical precision is better than 10 cm. Kinematic GPS measurements along a ground traverse through the eastern part of Nivlisen were carried out in 1998 by Technische Universität Dresden (Korth and others, 2000). The accuracy of the processed heights is better than 5 cm.

2.2.2. Reductions to freeboard height: geoid, sea surface topography and tides

The transformation from ellipsoidal heights H to freeboard heights h required in the hydrostatic balance relation reads

$$h = H - (N + \zeta_{\text{SST}} + \zeta_{\text{Tides}}), \tag{11}$$

where the geoid height *N* is the height of a (hypothetical) ocean at rest, ζ_{SST} is the deviation from the geoid due to ocean dynamics and density variations, and ζ_{Tides} is the tidal displacement of the sea surface and, correspondingly, of the freely floating ice.



Fig. 4. The geoid (in metres) in the region of Schirmacheroasen according to (a) EGM96 (Lemoine and others, 1997); (b) the CHAMP model EIGEN-3p (Reigber and others, 2005); (c) the GRACE model EIGEN-GRACE01S (Tapley and others, 2004); and (d) the regional geoid model.

The geoid height *N* is the largest correction term. Thanks to the new satellite gravity missions CHAMP (CHAllenging Mini-Satellite Payload, launched in 2000), GRACE (Gravity Recovery and Climate Experiment, launched in 2002) and GOCE (Gravity Field and Steady-State Ocean Circulation Explorer, to be launched in 2006), the accuracy of global geoid models is rapidly improving, in particular over Antarctica. Further refinements may be achieved with airborne and ground observations. For Nivlisen, such a refinement is available by means of a regional geoid model (Korth and others, 1998) derived from surface gravimetry together with airborne and ground-based ice-thickness measurements. Its estimated accuracy is 50 cm for the absolute geoid level and 7 cm for the geoid variations within the model domain. Figure 4 shows a regional comparison between the geoids according to the Earth Geopotential Model 1996 (EGM96, a state-of-the-art geopotential model before the new missions), preliminary CHAMP and GRACE models and the regional model. All the models show an average level of 15-18 m over the region, with EGM96 deviating most from the regional model. The larger-scale variations of the regional model are comparably well reproduced by the GRACE model and by EGM96, although the preliminary GRACE model uses only 39 days of satellite observations while EGM96 includes virtually all information available by 1996. However, even with its full performance, GRACE will not resolve small-scale geoid variations, due to their attenuation at satellite height. In the following, we use the regional geoid model as the best available source. Adding the permanent tide effect of -0.19 m transforms it from the tide-free to the mean-tide system to be consistent with the ellipsoidal height data.

For ζ_{SST} , we use the large-scale model estimated simultaneously with the EGM96 geopotential model from a combination of satellite altimetry, satellite tracking data and gravimetric data (Lemoine and others, 1997), which gives a

Table 1. Error budget (1σ errors in metres) of the ellipsoidal height and freeboard height models (representing 3.7 km scale averages). Numbers in parentheses refer to positions near the model domain boundary and the ice rumple about -70.4° S, 10.7° E

	Spatial variations	Absolute level	Total
Altimetric data	0.4		
Temporal SST variations	0.2		
Limited resolution and coverage of measurements	0.5 (1.5)		
Ellipsoidal height model	0.67 (1.6)		
Geoid	0.07	0.5	0.505
Mean SST	0.14	0.3	0.33
Freeboard height model	0.69 (1.6)	0.58	0.90 (1.7)

value of -1.7 m for Nivlisen. The geoid to which the EGM96 SST refers has a different reference gravity potential ($W_0 = 6.263685857 \times 10^7 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-2}$) than our regional geoid model ($W_0 = 6.263686085 \times 10^7 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-2}$, according to the Geodetic Reference System 1980). Hence, the SST height was corrected by the respective height difference (23 cm) to a value of -1.47 m (see, e.g., Smith, 1998, for the subtleties of geoid heights). Smaller-scale features of the SST are not corrected but do enter the error budget. The uncertainties assigned to the SST model are 0.3 m for its absolute level and 0.14 m for its spatial variations.

Temporal variations of SST are not corrected. Their largest part is the ocean's inverse barometric effect. This was verified from tide gauge observations and had an rms in the order of 13 cm (Dietrich and others, 1995, 1998). The estimated total rms of temporal variations is 0.2 m

The tidal displacements ζ_{Tides} of the freely floating ice shelf are predicted from a precise tidal model. It was derived from 15 months of tide gauge observations in an epishelf lake in Schirmacheroasen which is linked to the ocean (Dietrich and others, 1995, 1998). The applicability of this model to the whole of Nivlisen was validated by kinematic GPS observations at several positions on the ice shelf (Korth and others, 2000). After reduction of the inverse barometric effect, the residual rms error of predicted tides was 4 cm.

2.2.3. Compiled elevation models

From the altimetry data that passed the selection described in section 2.2.1 (see Fig. 3) a model of the temporal mean ellipsoidal heights on Nivlisen was generated with a continuous curvature spline algorithm (Wessel and Smith, 1991). Heights on floating ice were corrected in advance for their instantaneous tidal displacements. The slope error was corrected by the simple slope correction method (Brenner and others, 1983). Since computation of surface slopes already requires a topography model, the model generation was iterated. The resulting topography model has a resolution of $6' \times 2'$, i.e., about 3.7×3.7 km². It thus reflects heights averaged in a 3.7 km scale. Values at arbitrary positions within the model domain are obtained by bicubic interpolation.

A rough error estimation of the model is summarized in Table 1. We distinguish several kinds of errors. First, errors in the altimetric data arise from orbit errors, errors in the tropospheric delay correction, instrument noise and, most



Fig. 5. Comparison of heights from kinematic GPS measurements (dots) and from the altimetric model (line) along the traverse.

importantly, the complex effects of surface roughness and penetration on the retracked heights (Rémy and others, 1999). The rms of these errors is estimated to be 0.4 m. Second, uncorrected temporal SST variations may not fully average out. They were assigned with an rms of 0.2 m in section 2.2.2. Third, the limited spatial resolution and coverage of the measurements affects the model's accuracy. The resolution results not only from the sampling but also from the 3 km scale pulse-limited footprint of the ERS altimeter. The applied slope correction does not consider surface curvature effects, but an additional curvature correction (Brisset and Rémy, 1996) would exceed 10 cm only in the area north of the ice rumple about -70.4° S, 10.7° E, where the topography is probably too complex for this correction to apply. Near the boundary of the model domain, interpolation of heights turns into extrapolation, providing an additional error source. Based on the information available on smaller-scale slopes and curvatures (Wiehl and Dietrich, 2000), the estimated rms error due to the limited spatial sampling is 0.8 m for point heights and 0.5 m for the 3.7 km scale averages represented by the model, except for positions near the domain boundary and the above-mentioned ice rumple where our estimate is 1.5 m. Combining the three estimated errors in the sense of variance combination, the estimated rms error of the model is 0.67 m (0.92 m for point heights) in general and 1.6 m near the domain boundary and the ice rumple.

For internal validation, a crossover analysis of the selected data revealed an rms crossover difference of 0.51 m, supporting the error estimate for the altimetric data plus uncorrected temporal variations. For external validation, the altimetric model was compared to the GPS heights. The rms difference to the nine static GPS measurements in the altimetric model domain was 0.82 m, supporting the estimated 0.92 m error for point heights. A comparison with the kinematic GPS measurements along the traverse is shown in Figure 5. It shows agreement within 1 m except for the two margins. In the northwestern part near the ice front, the altimetric model smooths the topographic details of the ice rumples. In the southern part, extrapolation near the altimetric domain boundary causes larger errors.

The GPS heights (after their tidal correction at floating ice positions) were then added to the altimetric data to yield the ellipsoidal height model with extended data support and coverage.

Finally, the modelled ellipsoidal heights at floating positions were reduced by the geoid height and the constant part of the SST to obtain freeboard heights. The errors of this



Fig. 6. SAR processing steps. (a) Amplitude image. The flowline structures were used to infer flow direction. (b) Phase gradients of interferogram I_1 . They indicate that internal deformations of the ice shelf are low, except at grounding zones. Coloured lines show approximate borders of deformation zones. At some places they are different for low tide (green) and high tide (blue). (c) The corrected interferograms I_1 showing clear fringe belts at the grounding zones. Drawn-out fringes over the shelf area reflect the horizontal velocity. (d) An error estimate of the derived horizontal flow velocity is obtained by error propagation.

Table 2. Analyzed SAR scenes for interferograms I_1 and I_2 (cf. Fig. 1) consisting of three frames each

Date	Satellite	Orbit	Track	Base	Pass	Interfer.
8 May 1996 9 May 1996 20 May 1996 21 May 1996	ERS-1 ERS-2 ERS-1 ERS-2	25168 5495 25354 5681	130 316	51 m 6 m	Asc. Asc.	l ₁ l ₂

freeboard height model (Table 1) arise from variance combination of the ellipsoidal height model errors and the errors in the freeboard height corrections discussed in section 2.2.2.

2.3. Ice-flow velocity and grounding zone

2.3.1. Observations

Interferometric processing of satellite SAR data was applied to infer a two-dimensional high-resolution dataset of horizontal flow velocities as well as the limits of the grounding zones. Two ascending, partly overlapping ERS-1/-2 stripes were acquired over Nivlisen during the tandem mission in May 1996 (Table 2). The temporal baseline of exactly 1 day between subsequent acquisitions as well as a relatively short interferometric baseline yields good coherence in both interferograms. In addition to the SAR data, ice-flow velocities were observed by repeated GPS observations in 1992 and 1993 in the northeast of the ice shelf (arrows in Fig. 7). The velocities and flow directions have an accuracy of 2 m a⁻¹ and 2.5°, respectively, (Korth and Dietrich, 1996) which is suitable for calibration purposes.

2.3.2. SAR processing

The SAR sensors of the ERS-1/-2 satellites peer perpendicular to the flight direction with an approximate incidence angle of 23°. Due to the sensor's operating principle, only changes in its line of sight can be observed, so additional information is needed to infer the three-dimensional flow vector or even its two horizontal components. Using the different views of ascending and descending passes is a typical way to overcome this problem. However, even if interferograms from descending passes were available, this method would be difficult to apply over floating ice because different vertical displacements between acquisitions cause significant phase changes. Although a precise tide model exists for Nivlisen (see section 2.2.2), air-pressure differences and, hence, the inverse barometer reaction are unknown. However, if flow directions are known they can be deployed in conjunction with the assumption of surface-parallel flow to add the required information. Of course, this technique is also sensitive to ocean-induced vertical changes, but, assuming that these are uniform over the ice shelf, their effect cancels out when tying the velocity field to an absolute reference. Phase gradients of the interferogram can be used to verify this assumption and, moreover, can be exploited to discover regions of stronger non-uniform deformation.

For most parts of Nivlisen, flowlines were identified in the low-pass filtered geocoded SAR amplitude image (see Fig. 6a). They were digitized and their directional information was interpolated to a grid of flow azimuths. By combination with surface slopes calculated from the digital elevation model (DEM) derived in this study (sections 2.2.3 and 3.2), three-dimensional flow directions were obtained.

The interferograms were calculated and their phase gradients were determined (Fig. 6b). They support the assumption of a nearly uniform vertical displacement of the floating ice. Moreover, grounding zones can be clearly detected by the larger gradients induced by inhomogeneous vertical deformations. Upper and lower limits of flexure were mapped and used to mask out areas of non-uniform vertical deformation.

The effects of Earth curvature and topography were corrected by subtracting a synthetic interferogram calculated using precise ERS orbits (Scharroo and Visser, 1998) and our DEM. The remaining interferogram (Fig. 6c) should include deformation effects only. After masking out grounded areas, grounding zones and regions with disrupted phase values, the modulo 2π phase was unwrapped by the branch cut method (Goldstein and others, 1988) to obtain absolute phase differences which represent the projection of flow velocity differences onto the SAR line of sight. Then, using the three-dimensional flow direction, all three components of the displacement vectors were calculated. Each interferogram was processed separately in this way. In the region of overlap, the final product was generated by an average with linearly changing weights. Then the relative velocity field obtained so far was referenced to absolute values by the GPS ground-truth observations.

2.3.3. Results

Figure 7 presents the horizontal velocity field obtained for Nivlisen.

To assess the accuracy of the applied technique, we consider that the horizontal velocity $|\boldsymbol{u}|$ is a function of three observations: the range change in the satellite's line of sight (divided by the temporal baseline), *r*, the angle between the flow direction and the satellite's line of sight azimuth, α , which is inferred from the digitized flow directions; and the surface slope, β , inferred from the DEM employed. Neglecting the comparatively small effect of β , the functional relation is

$$|\boldsymbol{u}| = r\sin^{-1}\theta\cos^{-1}\alpha,\tag{12}$$

where the radar incidence angle θ is precisely known. Those regions where 74° < α < 106°, i.e. where the factor $\cos^{-1}\alpha$ leads to >350% error magnification, were masked out a priori. An error propagation from *r* and α to $|\boldsymbol{u}|$ was then computed by

$$\sigma_{|\boldsymbol{u}|}^{2} = \left(\frac{\partial|\boldsymbol{u}|}{\partial r}\right)^{2} \sigma_{r}^{2} + \left(\frac{\partial|\boldsymbol{u}|}{\partial \alpha}\right)^{2} \sigma_{\alpha}^{2}.$$
 (13)

The error σ_{α} of the digitized flowlines was estimated to be 5°. An evaluation of σ_r is more difficult since any errors in the interferometric analysis (e.g. co-registration and interpolation errors, DEM and baseline errors or atmospheric or ionospheric influences) propagate into *r*. With reference to the precise orbits of Scharroo and Visser (1998) and to the stochastic model for radar interferometry by Hanssen (2001), an estimated range change error of one-quarter of a fringe, leading to $\sigma_r = 2.6 \text{ m a}^{-1}$, seems reasonable. Figure 6d depicts the resulting velocity error $\sigma_{|u|}$. Its variations are predominantly induced by variations in α .

These error estimates were supported by a comparison between the two SAR tracks in their region of overlap, which indicated even better precision. However, the only absolute



Fig. 7. Horizontal velocity field of Nivlisen derived from interferometric SAR processing. Black lines are flowlines deduced from the amplitude image and used to define the flow direction. Arrows show the GPS measurements used for the calibration.

reference for the entire velocity field is the three GPS points in the northeast, matching with $\pm 3.1 \text{ m a}^{-1}$. Since the assumption of uniform vertical displacement of the ice shelf is only an approximation, residual deformations wrongly interpreted as ice flow may cause additional errors.

The detected grounding-zone limits (see section 2.3.2; Fig. 6) are used as criteria for free float later in this study. Seaward from the flexure zones the ice is considered to be in hydrostatic balance. Low tide limits are used where they differ from high tide limits. In our maps, however, we depict the most landward flexure limits to indicate the grounding line.

2.4. Surface mass-balance and density data

As mentioned in section 1.1, Nivlisen is divided into an ablation zone in the southeast and an accumulation zone in its remaining parts. Accumulation is due to both precipitation and drift snow. Part of the accumulated snow melts in summer and percolates into deeper horizons, forming ice layers and lenses (Bormann and Fritzsche, 1995).

Ablation rates were observed over up to 5 years (1988–93) at stakes along two traverses through the grounded part of the ablation area (Korth and Dietrich, 1996). The mean ablation at 47 stakes was 230 kg m⁻² a⁻¹ with a 100 kg m⁻² a⁻¹ standard deviation of the spatial variations. For the close vicinity of Schirmacheroasen, Simonov (1971) reported 340 kg m⁻² a⁻¹ ablation. Accumulation rates along the traverse crossing the ice shelf (cf. Fig. 2) were measured at seven stakes in 1992/93. The mean value is 316 kg m⁻² a⁻¹. Simonov (1971) reported a mean accumulation rate of 230–240 kg m⁻² a⁻¹ in the years 1959–65. Summing up these references, the surface mass balance is typically -250 ± 100 kg m⁻² a⁻¹ in the ablation zone and $+300 \pm 100$ kg m⁻² a⁻¹ in the accumulation zone.

Density information is available down to 610 m depth from a deep core drilling in the grounded accumulation area



Fig. 8. (a) Measured ice thicknesses, (b) freeboard heights interpolated from the model in section 2.2.3, and (c) derived values of the 'apparent air layer thickness' δ , along the AWI airplane radar track going to the ice tongue at 11.2° E (cf. Fig. 2).

south of Schirmacheroasen (Kislov and others, 1983). These data together with densities from a nearby shallow ice core (Fritzsche, 1996) may be fitted by the exponential relation (Paterson, 1994)

$$\rho(z) = \rho_{\rm i} - (\rho_{\rm i} - \rho_{\rm s}) \exp\left(-Cz\right) \tag{14}$$

with the depth *z*, the surface snow density $\rho_{\rm s} = 420 \,{\rm kg} \,{\rm m}^{-3}$ and the densification parameter $C = 0.029 \,{\rm m}^{-1}$. The resulting air layer thickness from this fit is $\delta \approx (\rho_{\rm i} - \rho_{\rm s})/(\rho_{\rm i}C) = 18.7 \,{\rm m}$. On the ice shelf itself, densities down to 15 m depth were observed from three ice cores (see Fig. 2 for locations) by the 1982/83 Soviet Antarctic Expedition (Khokhlov, 1984). To the south, in the ablation area, vertically homogeneous ice with a large amount of air bubbles and a mean density of 879 kg m⁻³ was found. In the transition zone from the ablation to the accumulation regime, the ice below 12 m resembled the ice in the ablation zone, while the upper 12 m consisted of both ice and consolidated firn. The third core near the ice front contained frozen firn of 640 kg m^{-3} density at 10–15 m depth. In the upper 10 m, ice layers dominated in the interchange with firn layers. These cores may illustrate the complexity and spatial variability of meteorological influences on the firn and ice structure.

For the densities of ocean water, ρ_{wv} and pure fresh-water ice, ρ_i , required for hydrostatic balance considerations, we use the values $\rho_w = 1029 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$ and $\rho_i = 917 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$. Ocean water densities applied, for example, by Shabtaie and Bentley (1982), Jenkins and Doake (1991) and Vaughan and others (1995) at different ice shelves vary slightly between 1027.5 and 1030 kg m⁻³, indicating an uncertainty of 1.5 kg m⁻³ in our value. The pure ice density, ρ_i , applied in the works cited above, varies between 915 and 920 kg m⁻³. We assign an uncertainty of 3 kg m⁻³ to our pure ice density value. In hypothetical computations assuming a marine ice layer (sections 1.2 and 4.3) we use the marine ice density $\rho_{mar} = 925 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$ according to Jenkins and Doake (1991).

3. DERIVED GLACIOLOGICAL INFORMATION

For the combined analysis of the various kinds of data compiled in section 2 we assume that all the observations included represent one and the same epoch (say, 1 January 1996). Although they are obtained in a certain time-span, mainly 1992–98, temporal variations of the observables are considered to be small compared to errors in the observations themselves. The issue of temporal changes is further discussed in section 4.2.

3.1. Spatial variations of the hydrostatic balance parameter δ

To exploit the hydrostatic balance relation between ice thickness and freeboard height, we selected those thickness measurements lying in the domain of our freeboard height model and on the freely floating ice shelf according to the limits explained in section 2.3.3. For these positions we applied Equation (4) to compute the apparent air layer thickness δ .

Figure 8 illustrates the result for the data along one radar track. From the irregularly distributed values of δ a gridded model was derived by a continuous curvature spline algorithm (Wessel and Smith, 1991). This model, shown in

Table 3. Error budget (1 σ errors, propagated from the input errors) for the model of the 'apparent air layer thickness' δ . Pairs of values represent different values for north and south of -70.35° S

Input, or error source	Error of input		Referred to in	Resulting error for the δ model		
	Spatial variations	Absolute level		Spatial variations	Absolute level	Total
				m	m	m
Z	4.9 m/21 m		Section 2.1	0.6/2.5		0.6/2.5
h	0.69 m	0.58 m	Section 2.2.3	0.77	0.65	1.0
$ ho_{ m w}$		1.5 kg m ⁻³	Section 2.4	0.15	0.48	0.5
$\rho_{\rm i}$		3 kg m^{-3}	Section 2.4	0.34	1.1	1.3
Inter- and extrapolation		Ū.	Section 3.1	2.0/1.0		2.0/1.0
Total error				2.3/2.8	1.4	2.7/3.2



Fig. 9. Model of the 'apparent air layer thickness' δ (in metres) derived from ice-thickness and freeboard height data at the positions indicated in grey.

Figure 9, is intended to represent δ in the sense of 3.7 km scale averages.

Clearly, δ shows a large spatial variation with a distinct pattern. In the southeast of the ice shelf, values generally vary between 1 and 4 m. To the northwest of this region, δ increases from 4 to 14 m within a distance of about 22 km. Northwest of this zone of increase, values are generally 14–20 m.

Table 3 summarizes an error assessment for δ obtained by variance propagation from the errors of the individual inputs of Equation (4). We consider the total error as a sum of the error of the spatial variations and the error of the absolute level. In particular, errors in ρ_w and ρ_i induce errors in δ which are proportional to Z-h, i.e. positive everywhere. Therefore, the error propagated from ρ_w and ρ_i is the sum of a constant part associated with the mean value of Z-h and a spatially varying part associated with the spatial variations of Z-h. Errors of interpolating and slightly extrapolating δ are roughly estimated from the local variability of δ . The resulting estimated errors in the spatial variations of δ are 2.8 m or less. The discovered variation of δ is, hence, much larger than its uncertainty and must reflect a geophysical signal.

3.2. Improved ice-thickness and height information

In section 4.1 we argue that the obtained model for δ can in fact be considered to represent the air layer thickness. In anticipation of this outcome, we use the information on firm density inherent in δ to determine the firm correction ΔZ for the ice-thickness measurements (see section 2.1). It can be given as

$$\Delta Z = \frac{n_{\rm i} - 1}{n_{\rm i}} \delta, \tag{15}$$

(Jenkins and Doake, 1991), with the pure ice refractive index $n_i = 1.78$. Strictly speaking, the values for δ computed with the uncorrected ice thicknesses must also be corrected. With δ_m denoting the values computed with the uncorrected ice thicknesses, we have

$$\delta = \delta_{\rm m} + \Delta \delta, \tag{16}$$



Fig. 10. Ice-thickness model (in metres) derived from direct icethickness observations and from height observations converted to ice thickness through the hydrostatic balance relation. Contour interval is 25 m.

where the correction $\Delta \delta$ is given through Equation (4) by

$$\Delta \delta = \frac{\rho_{\rm w} - \rho_{\rm i}}{\rho_{\rm i}} \Delta Z. \tag{17}$$

From the last three equations we find

$$\Delta Z = \left(\frac{n_{\rm i}}{n_{\rm i}-1} + \frac{\rho_{\rm w} - \rho_{\rm i}}{\rho_{\rm i}}\right)^{-1} \delta_{\rm m} = 0.416\delta_{\rm m} \tag{18}$$

$$\Delta \delta = -\left(\frac{n_{\rm i}}{n_{\rm i} - 1} \frac{\rho_{\rm i}}{\rho_{\rm w} - \rho_{\rm i}} + 1\right)^{-1} \delta_{\rm m} = -0.051 \delta_{\rm m}.$$
 (19)

That is, in the northwestern part of Nivlisen, ΔZ is about 7 m. Corrected values for Z and δ are used in the further analyses as well as in Figures 8 and 9.

Now, by the parameter δ , we know the spatially varying relationship between ice thickness and ice surface height and can use this knowledge to transform heights into ice thicknesses and vice versa, thus improving our information on both.

Thus, an ice-thickness model (Fig. 10) was generated merging direct observations and height data at floating positions converted to ice thicknesses (Equation (6)) using the established model of δ . The model domain was extended to the grounded ice east of Nivlisen, where a satisfyingly dense coverage with original thickness measurements is available. The resolution is again about 3.7 km.

For an error estimation of the obtained ice thicknesses, a simple error propagation from all inputs of Equation (6) would mean an overestimation because, by the genesis of the δ model, systematic errors of δ are correlated with errors in ρ_{w} , ρ_{i} and the absolute level of the freeboard correction $(N + \zeta_{SST} + \zeta_{Tides})$ such that they largely cancel out in Equation (6). Therefore, only the errors in the spatial variations of *h* and δ (see Tables 1 and 3) were propagated. The resulting estimated error in ice thicknesses derived from heights is 23 m in general and 27 m near the model margin and the ice rumple at about -70.4° S, 10.7° E. The latter value is also reasonable for the eastern ice-shelf margin where thicknesses were interpolated over larger distances. For a model of the solid ice thickness $Z_i = Z - \delta$ the accuracy is practically the same as for *Z*.



Fig. 11. Ellipsoidal height model (in metres) derived from direct height observations and ice-thickness observations converted to heights. Contour interval is 2.5 m.

Analogously, an ellipsoidal height model (Fig. 11) was generated from both direct height measurements and icethickness data converted to heights using Equation (5). In this way the model described in section 2.2.3 was extended, in particular at the eastern ice-shelf margin. At gridcells without original thickness observations, we used the icethickness model.

Concerning the errors of heights converted from thicknesses, an analogous argumentation applies as for thicknesses obtained from heights. Propagating only the errors of Z and the errors in the spatial variations of δ , we estimate the error of the obtained heights to be 3.8 m

3.3. Mass flux and mass balance

Figure 12 shows the horizontal ice mass flux on Nivlisen computed from the models of solid ice thickness and of horizontal flow velocity. Visual inspection suggests that the ice body loses mass while flowing northwards.

For a quantitative assessment of this loss, the net effect of specific mass balance and local mass balance, $b_{\rm s} + b_{\rm b} - \rho_{\rm i} \partial Z_{\rm i} / \partial t$, was evaluated using Equation (9). Figure 13a shows the result. Its spatially varying accuracy is indicated by dashed brown contours.

For this accuracy estimation, we expressed the velocity components *u* and *v* of Equation (9) through the two original observables *r* (velocity in the radar line of sight) and α (flow direction; see section 2.3.3). Then we performed an error propagation from the errors in *r*, α and Z_i (23 m, 2.6 m a⁻¹ and 5°, respectively, according to sections 2.3.3 and 3.2) and from the errors in their spatial derivatives. Those errors were roughly estimated to be 2 m km⁻¹ for $\partial Z_i/\partial x$, $\partial Z_i/\partial y$, $0.2 \text{ m a}^{-1} \text{ km}^{-1}$ for $\partial r/\partial x$, $\partial r/\partial y$, and $0.7^{\circ} \text{ km}^{-1}$ for $\partial \alpha/\partial x$, $\partial \alpha/\partial y$. The largest contributions to the error in $b_s + b_b - \rho_i \partial Z_i/\partial t$ originate from the spatial derivatives of flow direction and of velocity.

The mass-balance magnitudes in Figure 13a are negative in most places, but show a variable pattern and have large uncertainties. To obtain more significant information, we performed a mass-flux evaluation (Equation (10)) over areas A–C shown in Figure 13b. Table 4 lists the results. Accounting for the known surface mass balance (section 2.4),



Fig. 12. Horizontal ice mass flux (absolute value in 10^6 kg m⁻¹ a⁻¹) computed from the ice-thickness model and the ice-flow velocity field.

we find that, on average over the three areas, the combined effect of basal mass balance and temporal change is $-654 \pm 170 \text{ kg m}^{-2} \text{ a}^{-1}$.

A rigorous error propagation for the integration in Equation (10) would require spatial correlation information on the error of the integrand $|\rho_i Z_i \boldsymbol{u}| \sin \beta$. Our error assessment for each area assumes uncorrelated errors of the fluxes through its four boundary sections: upstream, downstream and the two lateral flowline boundaries where zero cross-flux is expected but subject to flow direction errors ('lateral in- and out-flux' in Table 4). Along each section, we compute the cross-flux error as the integral of the one-sigma error $\sigma_{|\rho_i Z_i u| \sin \beta}$, thus allowing for full error correlation within the section. $(\sigma_{|\rho_i Z_i \boldsymbol{u}| \sin \beta} \text{ equals } |\rho_i Z_i \boldsymbol{u}| \sigma_{\beta}$ for flowline sections and $\sigma_{|\rho_i Z_i u|}$ for the perpendicular flux gates.) Area C covers part of the flow across the grounding line. Surface velocities of the grounded ice are known with 5 m a⁻¹ accuracy from the interferometric analysis of SAR observations from the ERS-1/-2 tandem mission (Baessler and others, 2003). Here, the depth dependence introduces an additional uncertainty in the depth-averaged velocity. Since likely values are 80-100% of the surface velocity (Paterson, 1994, p. 252) we applied $90\% \pm 10\%$.

4. INTERPRETATION AND DISCUSSION

4.1. Firn structure

In section 1.2 we explained that the magnitude δ , derived from Equation (4) at free-floating positions, represents the amount of air contained in the ice shelf (the 'air layer thickness') if, first, the ice shelf consists of a mixture of pure ice and air only, and second, there are no gross errors in the observations used. There is no indication of a violation of these assumptions. On the contrary, the values of δ and their spatial variations as shown in Figure 9 are in remarkable agreement with external information.

For comparison, we estimate δ from density information via Equation (3). In the bare ice region, the upper 15 m contribute only 0.6 m to δ , based on the 879 kg m⁻³ mean density cited in section 2.4. For the density below 15 m we apply two different models: a density profile of the Ross Ice



Fig. 13. Net effect of specific mass balance and local mass balance, $b_s + b_b - \rho_i \partial/\partial t Z_i$. (a) Map computed from the local ice mass-flux divergence. Dashed brown contours show the spatially varying accuracy. (b) Areas A–C of the integrated mass-balance evaluation with areally mean values colour-coded. Areas I–III (dashed brown lines) are referred to in section 4.4.

Shelf, Antarctica, (Gow, 1963) starting from 879 kg m⁻³, and an exponential densification analogous to Equation (14): $\rho(z) = \rho_i - (\rho_i - \rho_0) \exp \left[-C(z - z_0)\right]$, with $z_0 = 15$ m, $\rho_0 = 879$ kg m⁻³ and C = 0.029 as obtained in section 1.2. The resulting total air layer thicknesses are 3.6 and 2.0 m, respectively, and compare well with our model.

The increase of δ to the north corresponds to the reported northward-growing firn layer (section 1.1). Moreover, the isolines of δ appear parallel to the blue-ice area boundary as seen in satellite imagery (e.g. Figs 1 and 2). Our δ values north of the increasing zone are in the 10–20 m range reported by other authors (cf. section 1.2) and they compare with the 18.7 m value derived from grounded ice-core data from the region (section 2.4).

We conclude that δ can be interpreted as air layer thickness to reflect the variations of firn conditions over Nivlisen.

4.2. Mass balance and basal processes

Although the spatial distribution of the mass balance calculated in section 3.3 (Fig. 13a) is uncertain so that its interpretation would be speculative, we have found that on average over a 2400 km² subregion of Nivlisen (34% of its total area) the net effect of basal mass balance and temporal ice mass change is -654 ± 170 kg m⁻² a⁻¹ or, equivalently, millimetres water equivalent per year. Under the steady-state

assumption $\partial Z_i/\partial t=0$, this would mean average basal melting of 654 kg m⁻² a⁻¹. Basal melt rates at other Antarctic ice shelves reach several metres per year (Rignot, 2002), so our value is within the expected range. An additional temporal change would change the basal melt rate. For example, a thinning by $100\,kg\,m^{-2}\,a^{-1}$ would enhance the melt rate by another $100\,kg\,m^{-2}\,a^{-1}$, while a thickening would accordingly reduce it.

A dominance of bottom melting appears likely from our results. Melting is also reported from the two Soviet ice cores on Nivlisen (see Fig. 2). Texture analysis of the basal ice at drilling site 2 showed that no bottom freezing took place and that the ice was of meteoric origin (Korotkevich and others, 1978). For site 3 also, texture and isotope analyses indicated lasting thawing processes (Gordienko and Savatyugin, 1980; Borman and Fritzsche, 1995, ch.6). On the other hand, basal processes may vary considerably over small scales. It has been estimated (Borman and Fritzsche, 1995, ch. 4) that $13.4 \times 10^6\,m^3\,a^{-1}$ of summer meltwater runs off into the lakes in Schirmacheroasen which are linked to the ocean water beneath the ice shelf. A similar meltwater entry may occur at the tidal fractures along the grounding zone. This fresh water, being lighter than sea water, is likely to refreeze quickly on the ice-shelf bottom. Bottom melting in other zones may then overcompensate this local freezing and lead to the negative net effect.

Table 4. Ice mass-balance components and their uncertainties for areas A-C marked in Figure 12

	А	В	С	Total
In-flux (10^9 kg a^{-1})	844 ± 150	709 ± 130	1229 ± 160	2073 ± 220
Out-flux (10^9 kg a^{-1})	647 ± 130	331 ± 50	709 ± 130	978 ± 140
Lateral in- and out-flux (10^9 kg a^{-1})	0 ± 200	0 ± 90	0 ± 170	0 ± 220
$\iint (b_{\rm s} + b_{\rm b} - \rho_{\rm i} \partial Z_{\rm i} / \partial t) \mathrm{d}\sigma (10^9 \mathrm{kg a^{-1}})$	-197 ± 280	-378 ± 170	-520 ± 270	-1095 ± 340
Area (grounded part) (km ²)	1102	735	724 (122)	2561 (122)
Mean of $b_{\rm s} + b_{\rm b} - \rho_{\rm i} \partial Z_{\rm i} / \partial t ~(\text{kg m}^{-2} \text{ a}^{-1})$	-179 ± 260	-515 ± 230	-719 ± 370	-427 ± 130
Mean of b_s (from section 2.4) (kg m ⁻² a ⁻¹)	300 ± 100	300 ± 100	$-67^{*} \pm 100$	196 ± 100
Mean of $b_{\rm b} - \rho_{\rm i} \partial Z_{\rm i} / \partial t \; (\text{kg m}^{-2} \text{ a}^{-1})$	-479 ± 280	-815 ± 250	$-783^\dagger\pm460$	$-\!654^\dagger\pm170$

*Resulting from accumulation (+300) in the northern third and ablation (-250) in the southern two-thirds of area C.

[†]The integral mass balance is divided by the floating area only. For example, for area C, $[-520 \times 10^9 \text{ kg a}^{-1} - (-67 \text{ kg m}^{-2} \text{ a}^{-1} \times 724 \text{ km}^2)]/(724 - 122) \text{ km}^2$.

Independent observations also indicate a possible ice thinning with time. The bare ice area on its grounded part next to the ice shelf showed a negative mass imbalance of -100 to $-200 \text{ kg m}^{-2} \text{ a}^{-1}$ in the years 1991–98 (Korth and others, 2000). In Schirmacheroasen, a decrease in snowpatches on a decadal scale has been observed (Bormann and Fritzsche, 1995, ch. 6). Extrapolation of these signals to the ice shelf, with its peculiar regimes of ice dynamics, meteorology and ice-ocean interaction, is of course not justified. On the other hand, ice shelves may respond even more rapidly to mass imbalances than grounded ice (Van der Veen, 1986). If temporal changes in the ice-shelf thickness occurred, their implications for the overall evolution of the ice shelf would be an interesting question. For example, Shepherd and others (2003) observed a progressive thinning of Larsen Ice Shelf, Antarctica, prior to its partial collapse. A comparison of the present icethickness data to historical observations is tempting but very questionable (see section 4.3).

In conclusion, no quantification can be given from the present data on how bottom melting and temporal ice-mass changes contribute to their negative net effect. A dominance of bottom freezing, however, seems unlikely, at least for central and eastern Nivlisen.

4.3. Discrepancies with historical ice-thickness observations

In 1975/76, Soviet Antarctic Expedition groups performed three ice-core drillings (see Fig. 2) to the bottom of the ice (Korotkevich and others, 1978). The ice thickness reported at core 1 (374 m) agrees well with our nearby radar measurements, but the reported thicknesses at cores 2 (357 m) and 3 (447 m) are 65 and 61 m larger, respectively, than in our ice-thickness model. This discrepancy is striking. On the one hand, drilling ought to be a direct and accurate thickness observation, but on the other, the differences far exceed the uncertainties estimated for our data.

Geophysical variations alone are an unlikely explanation. Temporal variations of >60 m in 20 years would be very large and are not supported by comparison of our data to radar observations during the ice drilling (Kozlovskii and Fedorov, 1983). Spatial variations at smaller scales than our model resolution (as assessed from variations along our radar tracks) rarely exceed 20 m in the vicinity of core 3 and are much smaller near core 2.

While we have tried to thoroughly assess the uncertainties in our data, it is difficult to assess the reliability of the historical ice-drilling observations. Tilted boreholes may have led to core lengths exceeding the ice thickness (e.g. a 65 m excess length at core 2 could result from a 35° tilt). Some discrepancies within the reports on the 1975/76 ice drilling and radar activities remain unexplained. Drilling-site positions reported by Korotkevich and others (1978) and by Eskin and Boyarskii (1985) differ by about 6 and 4 km for cores 1 and 3, respectively. These two publications agree on the position of core 2, but Kozlovskii and Fedorov (1983), in their ice-thickness map derived from radar observations, mark it about 14 km away. Otherwise they would have revealed a difference in the order of -100 m between their mapped thickness and the drilled thickness.

Accepting, nonetheless, the reported ice thicknesses at drilling sites 2 and 3 leads us to two questions which we cannot plausibly answer: how are those large ice thicknesses compatible with our observed freeboard heights in view of the hydrostatic balance? and what is the horizon observed by various radar observations? A hypothesis on a marine ice bottom layer does not answer either question. First, the meteoric origin of the bottom ice was reported from ice-core analyses (see section 4.2). Second, with a marine ice layer of, say, 65 m (corresponding to the difference between icecore and radar observations), the resulting higher total ice thickness would be incompatible with the observed freeboard height because the marine ice reduces δ only slightly (cf. Equation (8)) and, accordingly, only slightly changes the hydrostatic thickness-to-height relation (Equation (5)). Denser material transported by the ice (e.g. sediments) could resolve the problem of the hydrostatic balance but would not explain the internal horizon seen by radar observations.

We conclude that if no gross error is responsible for the discrepancy described, it is most likely a peculiar coincidence of several effects such as borehole tilts, position errors, errors in our ice-thickness model, small-scale variations not included in this model, and temporal changes. In any case, we are sceptical about including the reported borehole thicknesses in a geophysical interpretation.

4.4. Snow accumulation and compaction

The evolution of the air layer thickness δ along the ice flow can be used to constrain snow accumulation and firn compaction parameters. Air contained in the ice shelf is added in accumulating snow. For example, with the accumulation rate $b_{\rm s}=300\,{\rm kg\,m^{-2}\,a^{-1}}$ (section 2.4) and a typical fresh snow density $\rho_s = 300 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$, the air layer thickness added by snow accumulation can be derived from Equation (3) to be $b_s(\rho_s^{-1} - \rho_i^{-1}) = 0.67 \text{ m a}^{-1}$. On the other hand, contained air escapes from the ice shelf when snow and firn are compacted, either by the continuous transformation of snow to ice or by melting with subsequent refreezing. Much of the compaction occurs shortly after accumulation. For example, Korth and Dietrich (1996) use a 600 kg m⁻³ mean density of the previous year's accumulation layer. With b_s and ρ_s as above, this implies that at the end of a year the accumulated snow has already been compacted by 0.5 m and retains no more than 0.17 m of air.

In analogy to the ice mass-flux and -balance methodology (section 1.3), the net effect of contained air gain and loss is described by the divergence of the horizontal flux of contained air, div(δu). We computed the integral effect of this divergence for three areas by balancing the in- and outflow of contained air through their boundaries. We chose areas I–III marked in Figure 13b since they represent different glaciological regimes (cf. section 4.1). The obtained average divergence of contained air was 0.01, 0.04 and 0.02 m a⁻¹, respectively. That is, the effect of accumulation slightly predominates over the effect of compaction, and this dominance is largest in the central area II. The compaction effect in area II is in the order of $0.04 - 0.67 = -0.63 \text{ m a}^{-1}$, or, excluding the first-year compaction, $0.04 - 0.17 = -0.13 \text{ m a}^{-1}$.

We speculate that the obtained qualitative pattern, irrespective of its uncertainties, reveals a geophysical signal induced by spatial variations of accumulation and compaction. A higher accumulation rate in area II could result from drift snow transported by katabatic winds from further south across the ablation area. A more rapid compaction in areas I and III could result from enhanced melting and refreezing in the vicinity of the ablation area (area I) and the coast (area III). In addition, area III has a thicker firn body with a larger air content, so there is more firn to be compacted.

4.5. Ice-dynamical features

The maps of flow velocity, ice thickness and ice mass flux (Figs 7, 10 and 12) show clearly how the ice flow across Nivlisen is channelled between areas of local grounding or, conversely, how these grounding areas form obstacles to the ice flow, leading to lower ice thicknesses in their lee. This underlines the complexity of the ice-dynamical regime of Nivlisen which may be sensitive to changing grounding conditions induced by changes in either ice thickness or sea level.

5. CONCLUSIONS

Among the ice shelves along Antarctica's Atlantic coast, Nivlisen is remarkable for having a peculiar glaciological regime, a long history of exploration and a wealth of observations. The compilation and combined analysis of these data yield a consistent geometrical and glaciological description of Nivlisen that is more comprehensive, accurate and detailed than previous descriptions. Among other things, we constrained the spatially varying firn conditions by utilizing the hydrostatic balance relation of floating ice, and we estimated from mass-flux considerations that, under a steady-state assumption, basal melting with an average rate of 654 ± 170 mm a⁻¹ w.e. occurs in a large part of the ice shelf.

Our results may be valuable for ice-dynamics modelling efforts like those of Paschke and Lange (2003) either for validating models or for providing new and improved constraints.

Our study may also serve as a starting point for investigating temporal variations. Whether and how the negative local mass balance observed upstream on the grounded ice continues on the ice shelf remains an open question relevant for understanding the dynamics of the whole Nivlisen drainage system. Additional observations and analyses could address this question. Apart from the possibility of repeated ice-thickness measurements subject to the logistic constraints of Antarctic fieldwork, a further exploitation of satellite altimetry should certainly be considered. Repeated observations will soon be available from a whole sequence of altimeter missions - ERS-1, ERS-2, Envisat, ICESat and, from 2005, CryoSat - spanning about 15 years. Advanced methods of radar altimeter analysis may use the full along-track resolution and include several altimetric waveform parameters, thus facilitating the separation of real surface height changes from changes in firn conditions (Legrésy and others, 2000). Repeated GPS measurements could serve as ground truth. In such analyses of originally ellipsoidal height data, exact knowledge of vertical displacements due to ocean tides and the inverse barometric effect is of great value. New information on bottom processes could be gained from more sophisticated radar applications (Corr and others, 2002). An extension of mass-flux and mass-balance observations at western Nivlisen, including a mass-flux estimation across the southwestern grounding line, is another open issue.

In view of the extensive knowledge already available, and the favourable logistic conditions, it seems worth pursuing the open questions on Nivlisen and its drainage basin as a case study of the complex interactions of land ice, bedrock, ice shelf, ocean and atmosphere.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The main parts of this research were supported by the German Research Foundation (DFG). We acknowledge B. Legrésy and G. Vinay for supplying processed altimeter data. We also thank B. Legrésy for valuable discussions on radar altimeter data analysis. C. Walter is acknowledged for the elaboration of initial studies about the hydrostatic equilibrium on Nivlisen performed for her diploma thesis. Comments by B. Breuer, H. Rott and A. Wendt helped to improve the manuscript. CHAMP and GRACE geopotential models were provided by the GeoForschungsZentrum Potsdam.

REFERENCES

- Baessler, M., R. Dietrich and C.K. Shum. 2003. Investigations of ice dynamics at the grounding zone of an Antarctic ice shelf utilizing SAR-interferometry. In Jekeli, C. and C.K. Shum, eds. Proceedings of the Weikko A. Heiskanen Symposium in Geodesy, October 1–4, 2002, Columbus, Ohio, USA. Columbus, OH, Ohio State University. Digital media.
- Bamber, J.L. and C.R. Bentley. 1994. A comparison of satellitealtimetry and ice-thickness measurements of the Ross Ice Shelf, Antarctica. *Ann. Glaciol.*, **20**, 357–364.
- Bamber, J.L. and A.J. Payne, eds. 2003. Mass balance of the cryosphere. Cambridge, etc., Cambridge University Press.
- Bormann, P. and D. Fritzsche, eds. 1995. The Schirmacher Oasis, Queen Maud Land, East Antarctica, and its surroundings. Gotha, Justus Perthes Verlag.
- Boyarskii, V.I., B.A. Nikitin and V.P. Stepanov. 1983. Determination of the grounding line of the Novolazarevskaya Ice Shelf by radio echo sounding. *Trudy AANII* 379, 54–58.
- Brenner, A.C., R.A. Bindschadler, R.H. Thomas and H.J. Zwally. 1983. Slope-induced errors in radar altimetry over continental ice sheets. J. Geophys. Res., 88(C3), 1617–1623.
- Brisset, L. and F. Rémy. 1996. Antarctic topography and kilometrescale roughness derived from ERS-1 altimetry. *Ann. Glaciol.*, 23, 374–381.
- Budd, W.F., M.J. Corry and T.H. Jacka. 1982. Results from the Amery Ice Shelf Project. Ann. Glaciol., 3, 36–41.
- Corr, H.F.J., A. Jenkins, K.W. Nicholls and C.S.M. Doake. 2002. Precise measurement of changes in ice-shelf thickness by phasesensitive radar to determine basal melt rates. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **29**(8), 1232. (10.1029/2001GL014618.)
- Coslett, P.H., M. Guyatt and R.H. Thomas. 1975. Optical levelling across an Antarctic ice shelf. Brit. Antarc. Surv. Bull., 40, 55–63.
- Damm, V. and D. Eisenburger. In press. Ice thickness and subice morphology in central Queen Maud Land deduced by radio echo soundings (RES). *Geologische Jahrbuch*.
- Dietrich, R., G. Liebsch, H.-J. Dittfeld and G. Noack. 1995. Ocean tide and attempt of Earth tide recordings at Schirmacher Oasis/ Dronning Maud Land (Antarctica). In Proceedings of the 12th International Symposium on Earth Tides, Beijing, August 4–7, 1993. Beijing, Science Press, 563–569.
- Dietrich, R., R. Dach, W. Korth, J. Polzin and M. Scheinert. 1998. Gravimetric earth tide observations in Dronning Maud Land/ Antarctica to verify ocean tidal loading. *Proceedings of the 13th International Symposium on Earth Tides, Brussels, July 22–25, 1997.* Brussels, Observatoire Royal de Belgique, 529–536.
- Eskin, L.I. and V.I. Boyarskii. 1985. [In Russian.] [Some morphometric characteristics of the Novolazarevskaya Ice Shelf.] *Problemy Arktiki i Antarktiki*, **59**, 56–60.
- Fritzsche, D. 1996. Geophysikalische Untersuchungen in der Umgebung der Schirmacheroase, Februar bis April 1995. In Wand, U., ed. Arbeiten der AWI-Forschungsstelle Potsdam in Antarktika, 1994/95. Bremerhaven, Alfred-Wegener-Institut für Polar- und Meeresforschung, 127–136. (Reports on Polar Research 215.)

- Fritzsche, D. 2005. Gravimetric profiling in central Dronning Maud Land, East Antarctica. *Geologisches Jahrbuch*, **B97**, 157–167.
- Goldstein, R.M., H.A. Zebker and C.L. Werner. 1988. Satellite radar interferometry: two-dimensional phase unwrapping. *Radio Science*, **23**(4), 713–720.
- Gordienko, V.G. and S.M. Savatyugin. 1980. [In Russian.] [Results of the oxygen isotope analysis of an ice core from the Novolazarevskaya Ice Shelf.] *Inf. Byull. Sov. Antarkt. Eksped.*, **100**, 85–90.
- Gow, A.J. 1963. The inner structure of the Ross Ice Shelf at Little America V, Antarctica, as revealed by deep core drilling. *Int. Assoc. Sci. Hydrol. Publ.* 61 (General Assembly of the International Union of Geodesy and Geophysics at Berkeley, CA, 1963), 272–284.
- Hanssen, R.F. 2001. *Radar interferometry: data interpretation and error analysis.* Dordrecht, Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Jenkins, A. and C.S.M. Doake. 1991. Ice–ocean interaction on Ronne Ice Shelf, Antarctica. J. Geophys. Res., 96(C1), 791–813.
- Jezek, K. and the RAMP Product Team. 2002. *RAMP AMM-1 SAR image mosaic of Antarctica*. Fairbanks, AK, Alaska SAR facility, in association with the National Snow and Ice Data Center, Boulder, CO.
- Khokhlov, G.P. 1984. Distribution of electrical parameters in upper layers of shelf, land, and fast ice near Novolazarevskaya Station. *IEEE J. Ocean. Eng.*, **9**(5), 360–365.
- Kislov, B.V., L.N. Manevskii and L.M. Savatyugin. 1983. [In Russian.] [Glaciological research in the area of Novolazarevskaya Station.] *Trudy Sov. Antarkt. Eksped.*, **76**, 125–130.
- Korotkevich, E.S., L.M. Savatyugin and V.A. Morev. 1978. [In Russian.] [Drilling through the ice shelf in the vicinity of Novolazarevskaya Station]. *Inf. Byull. Sov. Antarkt. Eksped.*, 98, 49–52.
- Korth, W. and R. Dietrich. 1996. Ergebnisse geodätischer Arbeiten im Gebiet der Schirmacher Oase /Antarktika 1988–1993.
 Munich, Bayerische Akademie der Wissenschaften. Deutsche Geodätische Kommission. (Angewandte Geodäsie 301.)
- Korth, W., R. Dietrich, G. Reitmayr and V. Damm. 1998. Regional geoid improvement based on surface gravity data. In Forsberg, R., M. Feissel and R. Dietrich, eds. Geodesy on the Move: Gravity, Geoid, Geodynamics, and Antarctica. IAG Scientific Assembly, Rio de Janeiro, 1997. Heidelberg, Springer-Verlag, 523–528. (International Association of Geodesy Symposia 119.)
- Korth, W., J. Perlt and R. Dietrich. 2000. Ergebnisse geodätischglaziologischer Feldarbeiten während der Expedition 1998 in der Region der Schirmacheroase. In Dietrich, R., ed. Deutsch Beiträge zu GPS-Kampagnen des Scientific Commission on Antarctic Research (SCAR) 1995–98. Munich, Deutsche Geodätische Kommission, 219–227.
- Kozlovskii, A.M. and B.A. Fedorov. 1983. [In Russian.] [Characterizing glaciation and subglacial relief on the Princess Astrid Coast by results of radio-echo sounding.] *Trudy AANII* 379, 58–62.
- Legrésy, B., F. Rémy and P. Vincent. 2000. Is the South Greenland dome moving westward? *Geophys. Res. Abstr.* 2, OA40/19.
- Lemoine, F.G. and 14 others. 1997. The development of the NASA GSFC and NIMA joint geopotential model. *In* Segawa, J., H. Fujimoto and S. Okubo, *eds. Proceedings of the International Symposium on Gravity, Geoid and Marine Geodesy, Tokyo, September 30–October 5, 1996.* Berlin, Springer-Verlag, 461–469.
- Metzig, R., R. Dietrich, W. Korth, J. Perlt, R. Hartmann and W. Winzer. 2000. Horizontal ice velocity estimation and grounding zone detection in the surroundings of Schirmacheroase, Antarctica, using SAR interferometry. *Polarforschung*, 67(1–2), 7–14.
- Meyer, U., D. Steinhage, U. Nixdorf and H. Miller. In press. Airborne radio echo sounding measurements from Novolazarevskaya

Skiway, central Dronning Maud Land, Antarctica. *Geologisches Jahrbuch.*

- Paschke, B. and M.A. Lange. 2003. Dynamics and mass balance of the ice-sheet/ice-shelf regime at Nivlisen, Antarctica, as derived from a coupled three-dimensional numerical flow model. *Ann. Glaciol.*, **37**, 159–165.
- Paterson, W.S.B. 1994. *The physics of glaciers, Third edition*. Oxford, etc., Elsevier.
- Rabus, B.T. and O. Lang. 2002. On the representation of ice-shelf grounding zones in SAR interferograms. *J. Glaciol.*, **48**(162), 345–356.
- Reigber, C. and 13 others. 2005. Earth gravity field and seasonal variability from CHAMP. In Reigber, C., H. Lühr, P. Schwintzer and J. Wickert, eds. Earth observation with CHAMP: results from three years in orbit. Springer-Verlag, 25–30.
- Reitmayr, G. 1996. Bericht über gravimetrische Messungen und sie begleitende GPS-Ortsbestimmungen im Königin Maud Land, Antarktis, während der Expedition GeoMaud. 114900. Hannover, Bundesanstalt für Geowissenschaften und Rohstoffe.
- Rémy, F., P. Shaeffer and B. Legrésy. 1999. Ice flow physical processes derived from ERS-1 high-resolution map of Antarctica and Greenland ice sheet. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **139**(3), 645–656.
- Renner, R.G.B. 1969. Surface elevations on the Larsen Ice Shelf. Brit. Antarc. Surv. Bull., **19**, 1–8.
- Rignot, E. 2002. Mass balance of East Antarctic glaciers and ice shelves from satellite data. *Ann. Glaciol.*, **34**, 217–227.
- Scharroo, R. and P. Visser. 1998. Precise orbit determination and gravity field improvement for the ERS satellites. J. Geophys. Res., 103(C4), 8113–8127.
- Shabtaie, S. and C.R. Bentley. 1982. Tabular icebergs: implications from geophysical studies of ice shelves. *J. Glaciol.*, **28**(100), 413–430.
- Shabtaie, S. and C.R. Bentley. 1987. West Antarctic ice streams draining into the Ross Ice Shelf: configuration and mass balance. J. Geophys. Res., 92(B2), 1311–1336.
- Shepherd, A., D. Wingham, T. Payne and P. Skvarca. 2003. Larsen Ice Shelf has progressively thinned. *Science*, **302** (5646), 856–859.
- Simonov, I.M. 1971. *Oazisy Vostochnoy Antarktidy*. Leningrad, Gidrometeorologicheskoye Izdatel'stvo.
- Smith, D.A. 1998. There is no such thing as 'the' EGM96 geoid: subtle points on the use of a global geopotential model. *IGeS Bulletin*, **8**, 17–28.
- Steinhage, D., U. Nixdorf, U. Meyer and H. Miller. 1999. New maps of the ice thickness and subglacial topography in Dronning Maud Land, Antarctica, determined by means of airborne radio-echo sounding. Ann. Glaciol., 29, 267–272.
- Tapley, B.D., S. Bettardpur, M. Watkins and C. Reigber. 2004. The gravity recovery and climate experiment: mission overview and early results. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **31**(9), L09607. (10.1029/ 2004GL019920.)
- Thyssen, F. 1988. Special aspects of the central part of Filchner-Ronne Ice Shelf, Antarctica. *Ann. Glaciol.*, **11**, 173–179.
- Thyssen, F. and K. Grosfeld. 1988. Ekström Ice Shelf, Antarctica. Ann. Glaciol., **11**, 180–183.
- Van der Veen, C.J. 1986. Numerical modelling of ice shelves and ice tongues. *Ann. Geophys.*, **4B**(1), 45–54.
- Vaughan, D.G. and 9 others. 1995. Subglacial and seabed topography, ice thickness and water column thickness in the vicinity of Filchner–Ronne-Shelfeis, Antarctica. *Polarforschung*, 64(2), 75–88.
- Wessel, P. and W.H.F. Smith. 1991. Free software helps map and display data. *EOS Trans. AGU*, **72**(41), 441, 445–446.
- Wiehl, M. and R. Dietrich. 2000. Statistical analysis of snow and ice surface structures and some conclusions on microwave signal scattering. *Geophys. Res. Abstr.* 2, G4.01/9.

MS received 18 November 2004 and accepted in revised form 23 August 2005