THE SPECTRUM OF ORTHOGONAL STEINER TRIPLE SYSTEMS

CHARLES J. COLBOURN, PETER B. GIBBONS, RUDOLF MATHON, RONALD C. MULLIN AND ALEXANDER ROSA

ABSTRACT. Two Steiner triple systems (V, \mathcal{B}) and (V, \mathcal{D}) are *orthogonal* if they have no triples in common, and if for every two distinct intersecting triples $\{x, y, z\}$ and $\{u, v, z\}$ of \mathcal{B} , the two triples $\{x, y, a\}$ and $\{u, v, b\}$ in \mathcal{D} satisfy $a \neq b$. It is shown here that if $v \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{6}$, $v \geq 7$ and $v \neq 9$, a pair of orthogonal Steiner triple systems of order v exist. This settles completely the question of their existence posed by O'Shaughnessy in 1968.

1. **Background.** We assume familiarity with standard terminology and results in combinatorial design theory [1]. A *Steiner triple system* of order v, or STS(v), is a pair (V, \mathcal{B}) ; V is a v-set of elements, and \mathcal{B} is a set of 3-subsets of V called *triples* or *blocks*, with the property that each 2-subset of V occurs in exactly one triple of \mathcal{B} . Two STS(v) on the same set of elements, say (V, \mathcal{A}) and (V, \mathcal{B}) , are *orthogonal* if $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B} = \emptyset$, and if $\{\{u, v, w\}, \{x, y, w\}\} \subset \mathcal{A}$ and $\{\{u, v, s\}, \{x, y, t\}\} \subset \mathcal{B}$ then $s \neq t$. We denote a pair of orthogonal Steiner triple systems of order v as OSTS(v).

Orthogonal Steiner triple systems were introduced in 1968 by O'Shaughnessy [9] as a means of constructing Room squares. O'Shaughnessy constructed OSTS(v) for orders $v \in \{7, 13, 19\}$. He conjectured that OSTS(v) exist whenever $v \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$, and further conjectured that none exists when $v \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$. It is trivial that no OSTS(3) can exist. Mullin and Nemeth [6, 7] established that no OSTS(9) exists. They further established that OSTS exist whenever the order v is a prime power congruent to 1 modulo 6. However, Rosa [10] disproved O'Shaughnessy's conjecture by establishing the existence of OSTS(27). Subsequently, Gibbons [2] found OSTS of order 15, and in fact enumerated all nonisomorphic OSTS(15).

A pairwise balanced design (or PBD) (V, \mathcal{A}) is a set V of elements, together with a set \mathcal{A} of subsets of V each having size at least two, with the property that each 2-subset of elements occurs in exactly one of the sets in \mathcal{A} . The PBD is a (v, K)-PBD if |V| = v, and for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$, $|A| \in K$. Now define $B(K) = \{v : \exists (v, K)$ -PBD}. A set K is PBD-closed when B(K) = K. It is easy to see that the set $OSTS = \{v : \exists OSTS(v)\}$ is PBD-closed. Thus Wilson's techniques [12] ensure the existence of a finite v_0 so that if $v \ge v_0$ and $v \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{6}$, an OSTS(v) exists. A major step in determining the spectrum for OSTS of orders congruent to 1 modulo 6 came when Mullin and Stinson [8] and Zhu and Chen (see [8]) examined the spectrum for pairwise balanced designs whose block

Received by the editors August 13, 1992.

AMS subject classification: 05B07.

[©] Canadian Mathematical Society, 1994.

sizes are prime powers congruent to 1 modulo 6. Their results ensure that an OSTS(v) exists for $v \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ for all $v \ge 1927$, and for all but 31 values less than 1927.

A similar closure result was obtained for the $v \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$ class by examining PBD that permit blocks of sizes 15 and 27 in addition to prime powers congruent to 1 modulo 6. Stinson and Zhu [11] showed that an OSTS(*v*) exists for all $v \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$, $v \ge 27369$, and for all but at most 917 orders in the range $15 \le v \le 27363$. In this class, the smallest unresolved case was that of OSTS(21).

Since that time, Greig [4] has improved the PBD result for the 1 (mod 6) class; he produces PBD whose block sizes are prime powers congruent to 1 (mod 6) for orders 295, 655, 1243, 1255, 1795, 1819 and 1921. Stinson and Zhu [11] eliminated two further cases for OSTS. Nevertheless, progress on the problem has been slow. In fact, the existence question for Room squares, originally a main motivation for defining OSTS, has been long settled [1].

In this paper, we settle the existence question for OSTS(v) completely, using a combination of results obtained by computational techniques [3], and a number of recursive techniques.

We employ definitions and results from Stinson and Zhu [11] without further comment, but state some definitions and their results upon which we rely most heavily here.

The key ingredient that we use repeatedly is a generalization of OSTS(v) suggested by Stinson and Zhu [11]. A group-divisible design, or GDD, is a triple (V, G, A) where V is a set of elements, G is a partition of V whose classes are called *groups*, and \mathcal{A} is a set of subsets of V called blocks, with the property that every 2-subset appears either in a group of G or a block of \mathcal{A} , but not both. We consider here only GDD in which every block has size three. An orthogonal group-divisible design (OGDD) $(X, G, \mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2)$ is a set X and a partition G of X into classes (again called *groups*), and two disjoint sets \mathcal{B}_1 and \mathcal{B}_2 of 3-subsets of X, so that each pair $\{x, y\}$ of elements of X appears once in a 3-subset of \mathcal{B}_1 and once in a 3-subset of \mathcal{B}_2 if x and y are from different groups, and does not appear in a 3-subset of either if x and y are from the same group. Moreover, if $\{x, y, a\} \in \mathcal{B}_1$ and $\{x, y, b\} \in \mathcal{B}_2$, then a and b are in different groups; and for two distinct intersecting triples $\{x, y, z\}$ and $\{u, v, z\}$ of \mathcal{B}_1 , the triples $\{x, y, a\}$ and $\{u, v, b\}$ of \mathcal{B}_2 satisfy $a \neq b$. We abuse the notation somewhat by referring to the pair of GDD (X, G, \mathcal{B}_1) and (X, G, \mathcal{B}_2) as an OGDD, and also saying that one of the GDD is *orthogonal* to the other. It is easy to see that an OSTS(v) is precisely the same as an OGDD in which there are v groups, each having a single element. Adopting usual notation, we say that an OGDD has type $(g_1)^{u_1} \cdots (g_s)^{u_s}$ if the OGDD has u_i groups of size g_i for $1 \le i \le s$, and no other groups. Thus an OSTS(v) is the same as an OGDD of type 1^{v} .

We shall in addition use conjugate orthogonal quasigroups (COQ), but use them in a very standard way. Two quasigroups are *conjugate orthogonal* if each conjugate of one is orthogonal to each conjugate of the other. We refer the reader to [11] for more details concerning COQ.

The following lemmas summarize basic constructions from [11].

LEMMA 1.1. Suppose that there exists an OGDD of type g^{u} . Further suppose that v = 1 or that a COQ(v) exists. Then there exists an OGDD of type $(gv)^{u}$. If in addition there exists an OSTS(gv) (OSTS(gv+1)) then there exists an OSTS(guv) (OSTS(guv+1), respectively).

LEMMA 1.2 (DIRECT PRODUCT). If there exists an OSTS(u) and a COQ(v), then there exists an OGDD of type v^{u} . If, in addition, there exists an OSTS(v), there exists an OSTS(uv).

LEMMA 1.3 (SINGULAR DIRECT PRODUCT). If there exists an OSTS(u), a COQ(v-1) and an OSTS(v), then there exists an OSTS(u(v-1)+1).

To apply these results, COQ are needed. These are produced by the following two lemmas without further comment:

LEMMA 1.4. If v is a prime power and $v \notin \{2,3\}$, then there exists a COQ(v).

LEMMA 1.5. If there exists a COQ(u) and a COQ(v), then there exists a COQ(uv).

We require a small extension of the results of [11] for use with the Main construction that we introduce later.

LEMMA 1.6 (FILLING IN GROUPS). If there exists an OGDD of type $u^g v^h$ and there exists an OSTS(u + 1) and an OSTS(v + 1), then there exists an OSTS(gu + hv + 1).

PROOF. This is implicit in Section 6 of [11].

2. Constructions and uses of OGDD. In this section, we first develop some constructions for OGDD, and then describe applications of Wilson's Fundamental Construction [12] to produce OSTS from the OGDD found.

Let *G* be a finite abelian group of order *v* and let *H* be a subgroup of *G*. Then a (v, k, λ) -relative difference set based on *G* and *H* is a triple (G, H, F) where *F* is a family of *k*-subsets of *G* which has the property that every element of $G \setminus H$ occurs exactly λ times as a difference of elements in the subsets of *F*, and no member of *H* appears as such a difference. Further, let *d* be a fixed element of $G \setminus H$. Then a (v, k, λ) -near relative difference set based on *G*, *H* and *d* is a quadruple (G, H, F, d) where *F* is a family of *k*-subsets which has the property that every element of $G \setminus (H \cup \{\pm d\})$ occurs exactly λ times as a difference of elements in the subsets of *F*, and no member of $H \cup \{\pm d\}$ occurs as such a difference.

Let $T = \{x, y, z\}$ be a triple of elements of an abelian group *G*. Then the three pairs $\{x - z, y - z\}$, $\{x - y, z - y\}$ and $\{y - x, z - x\}$ are the *fundamental pairs* of *T*. Let *F* be the set of triples of a (v, 3, 1)-relative difference set or near relative difference set. The multiset of 3|F| fundamental pairs corresponding to the triples of *F* is the *set of fundamental pairs* of *F*.

Now let $S_1 = (G, H, F_1)$ and $S_2 = (G, H, F_2)$ be a pair of (v, 3, 1)-relative difference sets based on G and H. Then for each fundamental pair $P = \{x, y\}$ of S_1 there is a unique triple T(P) in S_2 having a translate T'(P) containing P, say $T'(P) = \{x, y, z(P)\}$. The

multiset $\mathcal{L} = \{z(P) : P \text{ is a fundamental pair of } S_1\}$ is an *orthogonality certificate* for the (ordered) pair (S_1, S_2) of relative difference sets if all members of \mathcal{L} are distinct and no member of \mathcal{L} lies in H.

Similarly, let $S_1 = (G, H, F_1, d_1)$ and $S_2 = (G, H, F_2, d_2)$ be (v, 3, 1)-near relative difference sets. The multiset $\mathcal{L} = \{z(P) : P \text{ is a fundamental pair of } S_1\} \cup \{\pm d_1 \pm d_2\}$ is an *orthogonality certificate* for the ordered pair (S_1, S_2) if all members of \mathcal{L} are distinct and no member of \mathcal{L} lies in H.

The significance of orthogonality certificates for pairs of relative difference sets is given in the following two lemmas.

LEMMA 2.1. Let $S_1 = (G, H, F_1)$ and $S_2 = (G, H, F_2)$ be a pair of (v, 3, 1)-relative difference sets. Let h = |H|, v = |G|, and n = v/h. If there exists an orthogonality certificate for the pair (S_1, S_2) then there exists an OGDD of type h^n .

PROOF. We consider the members of *G* to be the elements of the OGDD, and the cosets of *H* in *G* to be the groups of the OGDD. The $v|F_1|$ triples $\{T+g: T \in F_1, g \in G\}$ forms a $\{3\}$ – GDD on *G*, and similarly the $v|F_2|$ triples $\{T+g: T \in F_2, g \in G\}$ forms a $\{3\}$ – GDD on *G*. The second GDD formed is orthogonal to the first, as is easily verified using the definition of the orthogonality certificate.

The situation for near relative difference sets is somewhat more complicated. Let S = (G, H, F, d) be a (v, 3, 1)-near relative difference set. Then *S* is *partitionable* if *d* and -d are distinct in *G*, and there exists a subset *P* of *G* containing |G|/2 elements and having the property that $\{\{0, d\} + g : g \in G\} = \{\{0, d\} + p : p \in P\} \cup \{\{0, -d\} + p : p \in P\}$. The set *P* is a *partitioning set*. We write S = (G, H, F, d, P) if (G, H, F, d) is a near relative difference set with partitioning set *P*.

LEMMA 2.2. Let $S_1 = (G, H, F_1, d_1, P_1)$ and $S_2 = (G, H, F_2, d_2, P_2)$ be a pair of partitionable (v, 3, 1)-near relative difference sets. Let h = |H|, v = |G| and n = v/h. If there exists a orthogonality certificate for (S_1, S_2) then there exists an OGDD of type $h^n 2^1$.

PROOF. We take the elements of *G*, together with two new elements ∞_1 and ∞_2 , to be the points of the OGDD. The cosets of *H* in *G* form the *n* groups each of size *h*, and $\{\infty_1, \infty_2\}$ forms a group of size 2. The set of $v|F_1|$ triples $\{T + g : T \in F_1, g \in G\}$ together with the triples $\{\{\infty_1, 0, d_1\} + p : p \in P_1\} \cup \{\{\infty_2, 0, -d_1\} + p : p \in P_1\}$ form the triples of a GDD. (Here we adopt the usual convention that $\infty_i + g = \infty_i$.)

A second GDD is defined similarly using S_2 . The second is orthogonal to the first, as is easily verified using the definition of an orthogonality certificate.

We employ these two lemmas to construct a number of OGDD, in each case taking G to be the cyclic group of integers modulo v, and the subgroup H is uniquely determined by its order. In order that a near relative difference set be partitionable, it is sufficient that $v/\gcd(v, d)$ be even and that $d \neq v/2$. The following arrays are to be read as follows. The first line gives the set of starter blocks for the first system, and the second line those

of the orthogonal system. The third line gives the orthogonality certificate, specifically what occurs in the second system with the fundamental pairs of the starter blocks of the first system. In the cases of near relative difference sets, the triple $\{\infty, 0, d\}$ stands for both $\{\infty_1, 0, d\}$ and $\{\infty_2, 0, -d\}$, and the entries of the orthogonality certificate are $\pm d_1 \pm d_2$.

 $2^{11}2^1 = 2^{12}$ in Z_{22} with two infinite points 0,1,3 0.4.10 0.5.13 $\infty .0.7$ 0,2,18 0.3.15 0.1.9 $\infty.0.5$ 18,13,16 14,4,3 $19, \infty, 8$ 12,2,20,10 2^{13} in Z_{26} 0,1,3 0,4,10 0.5.14 0.7.15 0,1,7 0,2,10 0,3,15 0.4.9 23,14,22 20,4,12 21,2,15 18,17,1 $2^{14}2^1 = 2^{15}$ in Z_{28} with two infinite points 0,1,3 0,4,9 0,6,18 0,7,20 $\infty, 0, 11$ 0,1,10 0,2,8 0,3,7 0,5,17 $\infty, 0, 13$ 5,15,21 20,13,19 12,17,22 27,∞,7 24,26,2,4 2^{16} in Z_{32} 0.1.3 0.4.9 0.6.17 0.7.19 0.8.18 0,1,4 0,2,21 0.5.25 0.6.23 0,8,22 2,8,31 9,13,10 18,7,3 12,21,26 30,6,28 3^{11} in Z_{33} 0,1,3 0,4,10 0,5,18 0,7,19 0,8,24 0,1,8 0,2,20 0,3,9 0,4,14 0,5,17 20,23,28 7,13,12 32,14,25 8,17,29 31,4,1 3^{17} in Z_{51} 0,8,28 0,1,3 0,4,9 0,6,16 0,7,26 0,11,24 0,1,4 0.5.12 0,8,36 0,9,27 0,2,13 0,6,26 2,8,50 26,38,37 31,25,35 49,1,41 16,14,43 48,29,28 0.12.30 0.14.29 0,10,32 0.14.35 46,15,13 47,27,45 4^92^1 in Z₃₆ with two infinite points 0,1,3 0,4,10 0,5,16 0,7,19 0.8.21 $\infty .0.14$ 0,1,17 0.2.24 0,3,7 0,5,11 0.8.23 $\infty, 0, 10$ 6,23,22 $\infty, 15, 11$ 13,16,30 1,26,5 31,7,34 24,4,32,12 4^{10} in Z_{40} 0,1,3 0,4,9 0,6,18 0,7,21 0,8,23 0,11,24 0,1,4 0,2,14 0,5,27 0,6,31 0,7,23 0.8.19 2,8,39 36,31,25 23,29,27 32,18,4 33,11,9 34,1,38

6 ⁸ in Z ₄₈						
0,1,3	0,4,9	0,6,18	0,7,26	0,10,25	0,11,28	0,13,27
0,1,20	0,2,41	0,3,34	0,4,22	0,5,42	0,10,35	0,12,33
42,30,31	45,17,6	23,18,15	10,25,35	22,7,2	20,4,38	46,11,9
6 ⁹ in Z ₅₄						
0,1,3	0,4,10	0,5,16	0,7,28	0,8,30	0,12,25	
0,1,5	0,2,12	0,3,23	0,6,19	0,7,33	0,8,38	
11,15,52	6,23,41	38,34,32	48,33,31	40,19,49	16,35,46	5
	0,14,31	0,15,34				
	0,11,25	0,15,37				
	42,1,24	7,8,51				

In addition to the OGDD produced using relative difference sets, we produce three more OGDD by employing smaller groups of automorphisms. On $Z_7 \times \{0, 1\}$, define a GDD of type 2⁷ by taking $\{\{i \times \{0, 1\}\}: i \in Z_7\}$ to form the seven groups, and develop the starter blocks

 $\{\{0_0, 1_0, 4_1\}, \{0_0, 2_0, 1_1\}, \{0_0, 3_0, 5_1\}, \{0_1, 1_1, 3_1\}\}$

modulo (7, -) to form the triples. Form a second GDD by developing

 $\{\{0_0, 3_1, 4_1\}, \{0_0, 1_1, 6_1\}, \{0_0, 2_1, 5_1\}, \{0_0, 1_0, 3_0\}\}.$

It is easily verified that the two GDD are orthogonal.

Similarly, on $Z_9 \times \{0, 1\}$, form two GDD by developing the following starter blocks modulo (9, -):

GDD # 1	GDD # 2
$0_0, 2_0, 8_1$	$0_1, 2_1, 8_0$
$0_0, 4_0, 7_1$	$0_1, 4_1, 7_0$
$0_0, 1_0, 5_1$	$0_1, 1_1, 5_0$
$0_0, 1_1, 2_1$	$0_1, 1_0, 2_0$
$0_1, 2_1, 5_1$	$0_0, 2_0, 5_0$
$0_0, 3_0, 6_0$	$0_1, 3_1, 6_1$

Each of the first five starter blocks develops into nine blocks, while the sixth generates only three distinct blocks. It is an easy exercise to verify that these two GDD form OGDD of type 2^9 .

Finally, on $Z_5 \times \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, we present OGDD of type 2^{10} having groups $\{\{i \times \{0, 1\}\}: i \in Z_5\} \cup \{\{i \times \{2, 3\}\}: i \in Z_5\}$.

The starter blocks for the two GDD to be developed modulo (5, -) are:

GDD # 1	GDD # 2
$0_0, 3_0, 4_1$	$0_0, 3_0, 2_2$
$0_0, 4_0, 2_1$	$0_0, 1_0, 1_3$
$0_0, 1_2, 4_2$	$0_0, 3_1, 2_1$
$0_0, 2_2, 3_2$	$0_0, 1_1, 3_2$
$0_0, 0_2, 2_3$	$0_0, 4_1, 3_3$
$0_0, 1_3, 3_3$	$0_0, 0_2, 1_2$
$0_0, 4_3, 0_3$	$0_0, 2_3, 4_3$
$0_1, 2_1, 4_2$	$0_1, 3_1, 0_3$
$0_1, 1_1, 2_3$	$0_1, 3_2, 1_2$
$0_1, 3_2, 4_3$	$0_1, 4_2, 3_3$
$0_1, 1_2, 0_3$	$0_1, 0_2, 1_3$
$0_1, 0_2, 3_3$	$0_2, 2_3, 3_3$

We summarize the constructions given thus far:

LEMMA 2.3. There exist OGDD of type 1. 2^n for $n \in \{7, 9, 10, 12, 13, 15, 16\};$ 2. 3^n for $n \in \{11, 17\};$

- 3. 4^{10} and $4^{9}2^{1}$;
- 4. 6^n for $n \in \{8, 9\}$.

We have in fact found many more OGDD than those presented here, but have chosen to include here just those that assist us in settling the existence problem for OSTS.

Now we turn to constructions that use OGDD to produce OSTS. Our main construction is an application of Wilson's Fundamental Construction [12]:

LEMMA 2.4 (MAIN CONSTRUCTION). If there exist OGDD of type $g^n u^1$, OGDD of type $g^n v^1$, and a TD(n + 1, m), then there exists an OGDD of type $(mg)^n ((m - t)u + tv)^1$ for all $0 \le t \le m$.

PROOF. Let G_1, \ldots, G_{n+1} be the groups of the TD(n + 1, m). Apply Wilson's Fundamental Construction, giving each point of groups G_1, \ldots, G_n weight g, m - t points of G_{n+1} weight u and the remaining t points of G_{n+1} weight v. The result is a pair of GDD of type $(mg)^n ((m-t)u+tv)^1$ whose orthogonality can be verified easily using the definition of OGDD.

Next we consider some applications of the Main construction.

LEMMA 2.5. Let $X = \{18, 24, 30\}$. Let $x \in X$ and suppose that there exists a TD((x/2) + 1, m), an OSTS(2m + 1) and an OSTS(2t + 1), where $0 \le t \le m$. Then there exists an OSTS(xm + 2t + 1).

PROOF. For each $x \in X$, there exist OGDD of type $2^{x/2}$ and of type $2^{(x/2)+1}$, by Lemma 2.3. Apply Lemma 2.4 with u = 0 and v = 2 to obtain OGDD of type $(2m)^{x/2}(2t)^1$. Apply Lemma 1.6 to complete the proof.

LEMMA 2.6. Suppose that there exists a TD(10, m), an OSTS(4m + 1) and an OSTS(2m + 2t + 1) where $0 \le t \le m$. Then there exists an OSTS(38m + 2t + 1).

246 C. J. COLBOURN, P. B. GIBBONS, R. MATHON, R. C. MULLIN AND A. ROSA

PROOF. There exists an OGDD of type 4^92^1 and of type 4^{10} . Apply Lemma 2.4 with u = 2 and v = 4, and then apply Lemma 1.6.

In order to apply Lemma 2.5, we require that $m \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{3}$ and that $t \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{3}$, $t \notin \{1, 4\}$. In order to apply Lemma 2.6, we require that $m \equiv 0, 2 \pmod{3}$ and that $t \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{3}$; moreover, when $m \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, we require that $t \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ for an OSTS(2m + 2t + 1) to exist. In particular, the smallest order obtained when $m \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ is 38m + 3.

For dealing with the case when $v \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$, one further construction of this type is useful:

LEMMA 2.7. Suppose that there exists a TD(9, m), an OSTS(6m + 1) and an OSTS(6t + 1) where $0 \le t \le m$. Then there exists an OSTS(48m + 6t + 1).

PROOF. There exist OGDD of types 6^8 and 6^9 by Lemma 2.3. Apply Lemma 2.4 with u = 0 and v = 6, and then apply Lemma 1.6.

Each of Lemmas 2.5, 2.6 and 2.7 require transversal designs. Fortunately, all of the transversal designs that we require are produced by a single classical construction due to MacNeish [5]:

LEMMA 2.8. Let $n \ge 2$ be an integer. Suppose that $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_s^{\alpha_s}$ where p_1, \ldots, p_s are distinct primes. Then there exists a TD(m, n) for all $2 \le m \le \min_i (p_i^{\alpha_i}) + 1$.

In the following, Lemma 2.8 is used to produce all of the needed transversal designs.

3. OSTS with $v \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$. In this section, we show that if $v \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$, there is an OSTS(v). In the process, we consider a number of smaller cases for the class $v \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$, but for the most part we treat the two classes separately.

We require two direct constructions of Gibbons and Mathon [3]:

LEMMA 3.1. There exists an OSTS(v) for $v \in \{115, 145\}$.

In [8], it is shown that if $v \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ and $v \ge 1927$, there exists an OSTS(v). Some of the remaining cases were handled by Stinson and Zhu [11], who show:

LEMMA 3.2. For $v \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$, there exists an OSTS(v) with the possible exception of $v \in A$, where

 $\mathcal{A} = \{55, 115, 145, 205, 235, 265, 295, 319, 355, 391, 415, 445, 451, 493, 649, 655, 679, 697, 745, 781, 799, 805, 1243, 1255, 1315, 1585, 1795, 1819, 1921\}.$

Recently, Greig [4] has shown the existence of OSTS(v) for eight values in A.

LEMMA 3.3. For $v \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$, $v \leq 307$, there exists an OSTS(v).

PROOF. By Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.1, we need only consider $v \in \{55, 205, 235, 265, 295\}$. For these values, apply Lemmas 1.2 and 1.1 using the following ingredients:

Order	OGDD	COQ	OSTS
55	6 ⁹	-	7
205	317	4	13
235	213	9	19
265	311	8	25

Greig [4] gives a PBD on 295 points having a unique block of size 49 and all other blocks of size 7, producing an OSTS(295). This establishes the lemma.

LEMMA 3.4. For $v \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$, $15 \le v \le 201$, there exists an OSTS(v).

PROOF. Rosa [10] constructed an OSTS(27), and Gibbons [2] constructed an OSTS(15). Stinson and Zhu [11] constructed OSTS(v) for $v \in \{105, 189, 195\}$. Gibbons and Mathon [3] have constructed OSTS(v) for $v \in \{21, 33, 39, 45, 51, 57, 63, 69, 75, 81, 87, 93, 99, 111, 117, 123, 129, 135, 153, 159, 171\}$. This leaves the values $v \in \{141, 147, 165, 177, 183, 201\}$. The values $v \in \{141, 147\}$ are handled by Lemmas 1.2 and 1.3 using the following ingredients:

Order	OSTS	COQ	Construction
141	7	20	Lemma 1.3
147	7	21	Lemma 1.2

The values $v \in \{165, 183, 201\}$ are handled by Lemma 1.1 using the following ingredients:

Order	OGDD	COQ	OSTS
165	311	5	15
183	213	7	15
201	410	5	21

For v = 177, apply Lemma 2.5 with x = 18, m = 9 and t = 7 to form an OGDD of type $(18)^9(14)^1$, and apply Lemma 1.6 using OSTS(19) and OSTS(15).

This completes the proof.

LEMMA 3.5. Suppose that $v \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{6}$ and that v satisfies one of

I. $301 \le v \le 381$; *2*. $397 \le v \le 441$; *3*. $463 \le v \le 541$; *4*. $571 \le v \le 741$; *5*. $757 \le v \le 865$; *6*. $877 \le v \le 993$; *7*. $1027 \le v \le 1743$; or *8*. $1759 \le v \le 1941$.

Then there exists an OSTS(v).

248 C. J. COLBOURN, P. B. GIBBONS, R. MATHON, R. C. MULLIN AND A. ROSA

PROOF. Two tables are given below for applications of Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.6, respectively. In employing the first table, the OSTS(2m + 1) exists as a consequence of Lemmas 3.4 and Lemma 3.3. Similarly, for the second table, an OSTS(4m + 1) exists by Lemmas 3.4 and Lemma 3.3.

Applications of Lemma 2.5			
т	x	mx + 13	m(x+2) + 1
16	18	301	321
13	24	325	339
19	18	355	381
16	24	397	417
25	18	463	501
27	18	499	541
31	18	571	621
25	24	613	651
37	18	679	741
31	24	757	807
43	18	787	861
27	30	823	865
49	18	895	981
31	30	943	993
43	24	1045	1119
61	18	1113	1221
67	18	1219	1341
73	18	1327	1461
79	18	1435	1581
64	24	1549	1665
67	24	1621	1743
97	18	1759	1941
	Applic	ations of l	Lemma 2.6
т	38 <i>m</i> -	+1 38m	+3 40m+1
9	34.	3	361
11		42	21 441
17		64	681
23		87	921
27	102	27	1081
of			

This completes the proof.

Now we are in a position to state the definitive result for $v \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$.

THEOREM 3.6. If $v \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$, there exists an OSTS(*v*).

PROOF. In view of Lemma 3.3, we need only consider $v \ge 313$, and in view of Lemma 3.2, we need only consider $v \le 1921$. By Lemma 3.5, if $301 \le v \le 1941$ and $v \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{6}$, then there exists an OSTS(v) except possibly when

1. $385 \le v \le 393$;

2. $445 \le v \le 459;$

- 3. $543 \le v \le 567;$
- 4. 867 $\leq v \leq$ 873;
- 5. 997 $\leq v \leq 1023$; or
- 6. $1747 \le v \le 1755$.

Upon considering the set \mathcal{A} of Lemma 3.2, it suffices to consider values in the first two of these intervals. We cover these intervals using Lemma 2.7 as follows:

Applications of Lemma 2.7			
т	48 <i>m</i> + 1	54m + 1	
8	385	433	
9	433	487	

This completes the proof of the theorem.

4. OSTS with $v \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$. In this section, we complete the proof of the Main Theorem, showing that if $v \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$ and $v \ge 15$, there is an OSTS(v). We shall require a few more direct constructions due to Gibbons and Mathon [3]:

LEMMA 4.1. If $v \in \{207, 213, 219, 237, 243, 279, 291, 387, 447, 453, 543, 549, 1011, 1017\}$ then there exists an OSTS(v).

LEMMA 4.2. If $v \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$ and $15 \le v \le 297$, there exists an OSTS(*v*).

PROOF. In view of Lemma 3.4, we need only consider 207 $\leq v \leq$ 297. By Lemma 4.1, we need only consider

 $v \in \{225, 231, 249, 255, 261, 267, 273, 285, 297\}.$

Applying Lemma 2.5 with x = 18, m = 13 and $t \in \{7, 10, 13\}$ yields OSTS(v) for $v \in \{249, 255, 261\}$. Then applying Lemmas 1.2 and 1.3 gives OSTS(v) for $v \in \{225, 231, 273, 285\}$, using ingredients as follows:

Order	OSTS	COQ	Construction
225	7	32	Lemma 1.3
231	7	33	Lemma 1.2
273	21	13	Lemma 1.2
285	15	19	Lemma 1.2

The final value, v = 297, is handled by Lemma 1.1 using the following ingredients:

Order	OGDD	COQ	OSTS
297	311	9	27

This completes the proof.

Now we extend the interval of orders for which OSTS are known:

LEMMA 4.3. If $v \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$ and $15 \le v \le 1941$, there exists an OSTS(v).

PROOF. By Lemma 4.2, we need only consider $v \ge 303$. The following list of intervals is what remains to consider after applying Lemma 3.5 (as summarized in the proof of Theorem 3.6), restricting the intervals to those values congruent to 3 (mod 6):

1. $387 \le v \le 393;$

- 2. $447 \le v \le 459;$
- 3. $543 \le v \le 567$;
- 4. 867 $\leq v \leq$ 873;
- 5. 999 $\leq v \leq 1023$; or
- 6. $1749 \le v \le 1755$.

Employing Lemma 4.1, what remains is then only

 $v \in \{393, 459, 555, 561, 567, 867, 999, 1005, 1011, 1023, 1749, 1755\}.$

For $v \in \{459, 867\}$, we apply Lemma 1.1 using the following ingredients:

Order	OGDD	COQ	OSTS
459	317	9	27
867	317	17	51

For the remaining cases, we apply Lemmas 1.2 and 1.3, using the following ingredients:

Order	OSTS	COQ	Construction
393	7	56	Lemma 1.3
555	15	37	Lemma 1.2
561	7	80	Lemma 1.3
567	7	81	Lemma 1.2
999	37	27	Lemma 1.2
1005	15	67	Lemma 1.2
1023	33	31	Lemma 1.2
1749	135	13	Lemma 1.2
1755	19	92	Lemma 1.3

This completes the proof.

Although we have already settled all cases when $v \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$, in the remainder we treat both classes $v \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{6}$ because it is convenient to do so.

LEMMA 4.4. Let $(m_1, m_2, ..., m_u)$ be a sequence of positive integers, and let s be a positive integer, satisfying

1. $m_i \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ for $1 \le i \le u$;

2. there exists a TD(10, m_i) for $1 \le i \le u$;

3. $0 < m_{i+1} - m_i \le 6s$ for $1 \le i < u$; and

4. $m_i \ge 54s + 6$.

Suppose further that if $v \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{6}$ and $13 \leq v \leq 18m_1 + 9$, there exists an OSTS(v).

Then there exists an OSTS(v) for $v \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{6}$ and $13 \le v \le 20m_u + 1$.

PROOF. Apply a straightforward induction based on Lemma 2.5 with x = 18. The fact that $m \ge 54s + 6$ implies $20m + 1 \ge 18(m + 6s) + 13$ ensures that all values are produced.

COROLLARY 4.5. Let $(m_1, m_2, ...)$ be an infinite sequence of positive integers, and let s be a positive integer, satisfying

1. $m_i \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ for $1 \leq i \leq u$;

2. there exists a TD(10, m_i) for $1 \le i \le u$;

3. $0 < m_{i+1} - m_i \le 6s$ for $1 \le i < u$; and

4. $m_i \ge 54s + 6$.

Suppose further that if $v \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{6}$ and $13 \leq v \leq 18m_1 + 9$, there exists an OSTS(v).

Then there exists an OSTS(v) for $v \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{6}$, $v \ge 13$.

Corollary 4.5 provides the vehicle to complete the solution.

MAIN THEOREM 4.6. If $v \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{6}$, $v \ge 7$, and $v \ne 9$, there exists an OSTS(v).

PROOF. First, if $m \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ and (m, 35) = 1, there exists a TD(10, m) by Lemma 2.8. Since at least one of 6m, 6m + 6 and 6m + 12 is relatively prime to 35, we can apply Corollary 4.5 with s = 3 to the sequence whose entries are elements of $M = \{m : m \ge 169, m \equiv 1 \pmod{6}, (m, 35) = 1\}$, provided that an OSTS(v) exists for all $v \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{6}$, $13 \le v \le 3051$. We begin by applying Lemma 4.4 with s = 1 to the sequence (103,109), noting that $18 \cdot 103 + 9 = 1863$ and that $20 \cdot 109 + 1 = 2181$. Thus, together with Lemma 4.3 and Theorem 3.6, we have the result for $13 \le v \le 2181$. Extend this interval to include 2185 and 2187 by noting that $2185 \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$, and $2187 = 3^7$, so we can apply Lemma 1.2 to an OSTS(27) and a COQ(81) to obtain an OGDD of type 81^{27} , and thus an OSTS(2187).

Now apply Lemma 4.4 with s = 2 to the sequence (121, 127, 139, 151, 157), noting that $121 \cdot 18 + 9 = 2187$ and $157 \cdot 20 + 1 = 3141$. Since 3141 > 3041, we can now apply the corollary as stated to complete the proof.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. This research was undertaken while the second author was visiting the Department of Computer Science at the University of Toronto. Research of the authors is supported by NSERC Canada under grants numbered A0579 (CJC), A8651 (RAM), A3071 (RCM) and A7268 (AR).

REFERENCES

- 1. J. H. Dinitz and D. R. Stinson, *Contemporary Design Theory: A Collection of Surveys*, Wiley, New York, 1992.
- P. B. Gibbons, A census of orthogonal Steiner triple systems of order 15, Annals Discrete Math. 26(1987), 165–182.
- **3.** P. B. Gibbons and R. A. Mathon, *The use of hill-climbing to construct orthogonal Steiner triple systems*, J. Combin. Designs, to appear.
- 4. M. Greig, Designs from projective planes, and PBD bases, preprint, 1992.
- 5. H. F. MacNeish, Euler squares, Ann. Math. 23(1922), 221-227.
- 6. R. C. Mullin and E. Nemeth, On furnishing Room squares, J. Combin. Theory 7(1969), 266-272.

252 C. J. COLBOURN, P. B. GIBBONS, R. MATHON, R. C. MULLIN AND A. ROSA

- 7. _____, On the nonexistence of orthogonal Steiner systems of order 9, Canad. Math. Bull. 13(1970), 131–134.
- 8. R. C. Mullin and D. R. Stinson, *Pairwise balanced designs with block sizes* 6t + 1, Graphs Combin. 3(1987), 365–377.
- 9. C. D. O'Shaughnessy, A Room design of order 14, Canad. Math. Bull. 11(1968), 191-194.
- A. Rosa, On the falsity of a conjecture on orthogonal Steiner triple systems, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 16(1974), 126–128.
- 11. D. R. Stinson and L. Zhu, Orthogonal Steiner triple systems of order 6t + 3, Ars Combin. 31(1991), 33–64.
- **12.** R. M. Wilson, *Constructions and uses of pairwise balanced designs*, Math. Centre Tracts **55**(1974), 18–41.

Combinatorics and Optimization University of Waterloo Waterloo, Ontario N2L 3G1

Computer Science University of Auckland Auckland New Zealand

Computer Science University of Toronto Toronto, Ontario M5S 1A4

Combinatorics and Optimization University of Waterloo Waterloo, Ontario N2L 3G1

Mathematics and Statistics McMaster University Hamilton, Ontario L8S 4K1