to highlight the potential for confusion in the interpretation of serological results of testing for HBV if patients have received commercial immunoglobulin preparations as outlined above. In addition, we wish to highlight the lack of agreement between international hemodialysis guidelines. At the time of the incident, most Irish units followed UK guidelines² and did not test for anti-HBc. This is in contrast to practice in the United States, where HBsAg and anti-HBc are tested for on admission.5 The Irish guidelines were revised in the light of this incident and now recommend anti-HBc testing prior to dialysis.6 However, no international guidance addresses the role of HBV DNA testing for HBsAg-negative, anti-HBcpositive patients and their subsequent management. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention guidelines⁵ address the performance of a single DNA test, but do not comment on the potential cross-infection risk posed by HBV DNA-positive patients, nor do the guidelines recommend follow-up DNA testing of these patients. Occult HBV infection has been detected in dialysis units7,8 and transmission has been described in recipients of donated blood and organs. 9,10 To date there has been no evidence of transmission in dialysis units, but the potential remains.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Potential conflicts of interest. All authors report no conflicts of interest relevant to this article.

F. Fitzpatrick, MD; M. Crean, MSc; G. Kaminski, MSc;
J. Connell, PhD; L. Thornton, MB; N. Murphy, MSc;
A. Brennan, MPhil; J. Walsh, MB; H. Humphreys, MD;
E. Smyth, MB

From the National Virus Reference Laboratory, University College Dublin (F.F., M.C., G.K., J.C.), the Health Protection Surveillance Centre (L.T., N.M., A.B.), the Departments of Nephrology (J.W.) and Clinical Microbiology (H.H., E.S.), Beaumont Hospital Dublin, and the Royal College of Surgeons in Ireland (H.H.), Dublin, Ireland.

Address reprint requests to Fidelma Fitzpatrick, MD, MRCP, MRCPath, Consultant Microbiologist, Health Protection Surveillance Centre, 25-27 Middle Gardiner Street, Dublin 1, Ireland (fidelma.fitzpatrick@mailx.hse.ie).

Presented in part: Hospital Infection Society International Conference; Amsterdam, The Netherlands; October 2006 (poster P1.07). The national public health management of this incident was published recently: Thornton L, Fitzpatrick F, de la Harpe D, et al. Hepatitis B reactivation in an Irish dialysis unit, 2005. Euro Surveill 2007;12 [Epub ahead of print]. Available online: http://www.eurosurveillance.org/em/v12n04/1204-224.asp.

Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2007; 28:1417-1418 © 2007 by The Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America. All rights reserved. 0899-823X/2007/2812-0021\$15.00. DOI: 10.1086/524832

REFERENCES

- Thornton L, Fitzpatrick F, de la Harpe D, et al. Hepatitis B reactivation in an Irish dialysis unit, 2005. Euro Surveill 2007; 12 [Epub ahead of print]. Available online: http://www.eurosurveillance.org/em/v12n04/ 1204-224.asp. Accessed October 22, 2007.
- 2. Department of Health, England. Good practice guidelines for renal di-

- alysis/transplantation units. Prevention and control of blood-borne virus infection: Recommendations of a Working Group convened by the Public Health Laboratory Service on behalf of the Department of Health. Available at: http://www.dh.gov.uk/en/Publicationsandstatistics/Publications/PublicationsPolicyAndGuidance/DH_4005752. Accessed October 22, 2007
- Janzen L, Minuk GY, Fast M, Bernstein KNJ. Vaccine-induced hepatitis
 B surface antigen positivity in adult hemodialysis patients: incidental and
 surveillance data. J Am Soc Nephrol 1996; 7:1228-1234.
- 4. Ly D, Yee HF Jr, Brezina M, Martin P, Gitnick G, Saab S. Hepatitis B surface antigenemia in chronic hemodialysis patients: effect of hepatitis B immunization. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2002; 97:138-141.
- Recommendations for preventing transmission of infections among chronic hemodialysis patients. MMWR Recomm Rep 2001; 50(RR-5): 1-43.
- Department of Health and Children, Ireland. The prevention of transmission of blood-borne diseases in the health-care setting. Available at: http://www.dohc.ie/publications/transmission_of_blood_borne_diseases_2006.html. Accessed October 22, 2007.
- Siagris D, Christofidou M, Triga K, et al. Occult hepatitis B virus infection in hemodialysis patients with chronic HCV infection. J Nephrol 2006; 19:327-333.
- Minuk GY, Sun DF, Greenberg R, et al. Occult hepatitis B virus infection in a North American adult hemodialysis patient population. *Hepatology* 2004; 40:1072-1077.
- Dickson RC, Everhart JE, Lake JR, et al. Transmission of hepatitis B by transplantation of livers from donors positive for antibody to hepatitis B core antigen. The National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases Liver Transplantation Database. Gastroenterology 1997; 113:1668-1674.
- Hoofnagle JH, Seeff LB, Bales ZB, Zimmermann HJ. Type B hepatitis after transfusion with blood containing antibody to hepatitis B core antigen. N Engl J Med 1978; 298:1379-1383.

Understanding Why Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus Control Measures Are Successful in Different Countries

To the Editor—I read with interest, and no little envy, about the successful efforts of van Trijp and colleagues¹ in successfully controlling an outbreak of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) in a large teaching hospital in The Netherlands. The commitment to bringing this outbreak under control, and by implication, the provision of the necessary resources, is impressive. In particular, those controlling the outbreak were quickly able to create an additional laboratory specifically to process MRSA screening cultures, provide a separate outpatient department for MRSA-colonized patients, isolate all new patients with MRSA colonization and/or infection, and screen nearly 100% of staff (see the Table in van Trijp et al.¹).

In The Netherlands, MRSA infection is epidemic; outbreaks occur from time to time and they can usually be controlled and MRSA eradicated. In Ireland, the United Kingdom, and many other countries, MRSA is endemic, and management efforts largely focus on control rather than erad-

ication of MRSA from a hospital. In these countries, attempts to eradicate MRSA are frustrated by inadequate facilities and resources. Recent UK guidelines outline the general principles of MRSA control,2 and these are not hugely different from the general approach used by van Trijp et al. However, the commitment to control outbreaks and to prevent the spread of MRSA, and the level of resources provided for these aims, is greater in The Netherlands. We have recently described our own difficulties in a hospital where MRSA is endemic, and where, because of inadequate facilities, it is not possible to isolate or cohort almost a third of our MRSA patients.³ In such circumstances, MRSA eradication is well nigh impossible.

Bootsma and colleagues⁴ have recently used mathematical modeling to outline what is possible in countries such as The Netherlands, which practice "search and destroy" control strategies, and in countries where MRSA in endemic.4 They argue that the application of "search and destroy" strategies together with rapid diagnostic testing can considerably improve prevalence rates even in settings where MRSA is highly endemic. This is well illustrated in a recent report in this journal from Melbourne, Australia,⁵ in which a combination of the aggressive introduction of antimicrobial hand hygiene gels into the intensive care unit and hospitalwide MRSA surveillance feedback through statistical process control charts resulted in a decrease in the number of patients with MRSA infection and/or colonization in the intensive care unit. However, there are a number of issues not explicitly specified in the report by Harrington et al.5 or that of Van Trijp and colleagues. Is the control and prevention of MRSA in these institutions accorded equal priority with meeting certain predetermined healthcare targets, such as patient throughput, and does the mean bed occupancy rate affect cohorting and isolating patients with MRSA infection and/or colonization? When comparing the success of interventions in different countries or even in different hospitals, bed occupancy levels; relative nursing staff ratios; and the priority given to the control and prevention of MRSA infection and/or colonization, relative to other issues, are likely to have a major bearing on outcome.6

It may be that the "search and destroy" approach in The Netherlands during the past 3 decades has been successful because MRSA is not endemic or, more likely, it may be that MRSA is not endemic in The Netherlands because the "search and destroy" approach has been implemented for many years with the necessary institutional and national support. Underlying aspects of the health service have a major bearing on the control and prevention of healthcare-associated infection, including that caused by MRSA. This should be clearly outlined in reports of outbreaks so that we can truly assess the context in which such success has been achieved, as well as the specific infection control measures used.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Potential conflicts of interest. The author reports no conflicts of interest relevant to this article.

Hilary Humphreys, MD, FRCPI, FRCPath, Dip HIC

From the Department of Clinical Microbiology, Royal College of Surgeons in Ireland Education and Research Centre, Beaumont Hospital, Dublin, Ireland.

Address reprint requests to Hilary Humphreys, MD, FRCPI, FRCPath, Dip HIC, Department of Clinical Microbiology, RCSI Education and Research Centre, Beaumont Hospital, PO Box 9063, Dublin 9, Ireland (hhumphreys@rcsi.ie).

Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2007; 28:1418-1419

© 2007 by The Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America. All rights reserved. 0899-823X/2007/2812-0022\$15.00.DOI: 10.1086/524832

REFERENCES

- 1. Van Trijp MJCA, Melles C, Hendriks WDH, Parlevliet GA, Gommans M, Ott A. Successful control of widespread methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus colonization and infection in a large teaching hospital in The Netherlands. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2007; 28:970-975.
- 2. Coia JE, Duckworth GJ, Edwards DI, et al. Guidelines for the control and prevention of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) in healthcare facilities. J Hosp Infect 2006; 63S:S1-S44.
- 3. Doherty T, Thomas T, Walsh J, et al. Isolation facilities for patients with methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA): how adequate are they? J Hosp Infect 2007; 65:274-275.
- 4. Bootsma MCJ, Diekmann O, Bonten MJM. Controlling methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus: quantifying the effects of interventions and rapid diagnostic testing. Proc Nat Acad Sci 2006; 103:5620-5625.
- 5. Harrington G, Watson K, Bailey M, et al. Reduction in hospitalwide incidence of infection or colonization with methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus with use of antimicrobial hand-hygiene gel and statistical process control charts. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2007; 28:837-844.
- 6. Humphreys H. Implementing guidelines for the control and prevention of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus and vancomycin-resistant enterococci: how valid are international comparisons of success? J Hosp Infect 2006; 62:133-135.