Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society (2009) **52**, 171–180 © DOI:10.1017/S0013091507000665 Printed in the United Kingdom

MULTIPLICITY THEOREMS FOR SEMILINEAR ELLIPTIC PROBLEMS DEPENDING ON A PARAMETER

ALEXANDRU KRISTÁLY¹ AND NIKOLAOS S. PAPAGEORGIOU²

¹Department of Economics, University of Babeş-Bolyai, 400591 Cluj Napoca, Romania (alexandrukristaly@yahoo.com) ²Department of Mathematics, National Technical University, Zografou Campus, Athens 15780, Greece (npapg@math.ntua.gr)

(Received 23 May 2007)

Abstract We consider semilinear elliptic problems in which the right-hand-side nonlinearity depends on a parameter $\lambda > 0$. Two multiplicity results are presented, guaranteeing the existence of at least three non-trivial solutions for this kind of problem, when the parameter λ belongs to an interval $(0, \lambda^*)$. Our approach is based on variational techniques, truncation methods and critical groups. The first result incorporates as a special case problems with concave–convex nonlinearities, while the second one involves concave nonlinearities perturbed by an asymptotically linear nonlinearity at infinity.

Keywords: critical point; local minimizer; truncated functional; critical groups

2000 Mathematics subject classification: Primary 35J20 Secondary 35J60; 58E50

1. Introduction

Let $Z \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a bounded domain with C^2 -boundary ∂Z . We consider the following semilinear elliptic problem depending on a parameter $\lambda > 0$:

$$\begin{array}{c} -\Delta x(z) = f(z, x(z), \lambda), \quad z \in Z, \\ x|_{\partial Z} = 0. \end{array} \right\}$$
 $(P)_{\lambda}$

This paper deals with the existence of multiple solutions for problem $(P)_{\lambda}$. More precisely, we prove the existence of at least *three* non-trivial solutions of $(P)_{\lambda}$ for sufficiently small values of $\lambda > 0$, whenever the nonlinearity $f : Z \times \mathbb{R} \times (0, \overline{\lambda}) \to \mathbb{R}$, with $\overline{\lambda} > 0$, involves either

- concave-convex type nonlinearities (see Theorem 2.1), or
- concave terms perturbed by an asymptotically linear nonlinearity at infinity (see Theorem 2.3).

We emphasize that we do not impose any symmetry hypothesis on the nonlinearity f. As we know, if $f(z, x, \cdot)$ is odd, under further assumptions, one can usually produce infinitely many solutions for problem $(P)_{\lambda}$.

Problems with concave-convex nonlinearities (i.e. $f(z, x, \lambda) = \lambda |x|^{p-2}x + |x|^{r-2}x$, with 1) were studied by Ambrosetti and coauthors [2, 3], Bartsch and Willem [4], Adimurthi*et al.* $[1], Tang [20] and others. In particular, Bartsch and Willem [4] proved, for every <math>\lambda > 0$, the existence of a sequence of solutions for $(P)_{\lambda}$ for which the energy level tends to 0. Wang [22] considered the nonlinearity $\lambda |x|^{p-2}x + f(z, x)$ with $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ on $Z \times \mathbb{R}$, odd in $x \in \mathbb{R}$ for small |x|, and $f(z, x) = o(|x|^p)$ at x = 0 and no condition on $f(z, \cdot)$ for large $x \in \mathbb{R}$; Wang still guarantees, for every $\lambda > 0$, a whole sequence of solutions of $(P)_{\lambda}$ with the same properties as in [4]. In [17], Perera deals with problems where the nonlinearity has the form $f(z, x, \lambda) = \lambda |x|^{p-2}x + ax + |x|^{r-2}x + o(|x|^{r-1})$ at x = 0 and at $x = \infty$, with $1 . When Z is the unit ball and <math>f(z, x, \lambda) = \lambda |x|^{p-2}x + |x|^{r-2}x$, with 1 , Adimurthi*et al.* $[1] and Tang [20] proved that <math>(P)_{\lambda}$ has exactly two positive solutions whenever $\lambda > 0$ is sufficiently small. Taking into account these facts, our first result (see Theorem 2.1, below) can be fitted into the works [1, 20] and [2-4, 22], respectively.

Semilinear problems involving concave terms perturbed by an asymptotically linear nonlinearity at infinity has been investigated by several authors (see [10, 11] and references therein). To the best of our knowledge, there is no multiplicity result that guarantees at least three non-trivial solutions in this context. The aim of our second result (see Theorem 2.3, below) is to give a contribution in this direction.

Our approach uses variational techniques based on critical point theory, suitable truncation methods and Morse theory. The strategy of the proofs can be described as follows. First, we construct two local minimizers of the energy functional associated with problem $(P)_{\lambda}$: one of them is positive, the other is negative. In order to find these elements, we exploit the result of Brézis and Nirenberg [5] and the strong maximum principle of Vázquez [21], as well as a result of Guedda and Véron [8]. Next, we may construct a mountain-pass-type solution for $(P)_{\lambda}$. An argument based on critical groups shows that this last element cannot be zero (see [9, 14]).

Multiplicity results for elliptic problems depending on a parameter $\lambda > 0$ were also established by Delgado and Suárez [7], Maya and Shivaji [13], Motreanu *et al.* [15], Mugnai [16], Ricceri [18] and Shi [19], using different hypotheses and methods.

2. Multiplicity theorems

In the rest of the paper, let

$$C_0^1(\bar{Z}) = \{ x \in C^1(\bar{Z}) : x |_{\partial Z} = 0 \}$$

This is an ordered Banach space with order the (positive) cone

$$K_{+} = \{ x \in C_0^1(\overline{Z}) : x(z) \ge 0 \text{ for all } z \in \overline{Z} \}.$$

It is well known that

Int
$$K_+ = \left\{ x \in K_+ : x(z) > 0 \text{ for all } z \in Z \text{ and } \frac{\partial x}{\partial n}(z) < 0 \text{ for all } z \in \partial Z \right\}.$$

Here, we denote by n(z) the unit outward normal at $z \in \partial Z$. If $u_1 \in H_0^1(Z)$ is the L^2 -normalized principal eigenfunction of $(-\Delta, H_0^1(Z))$, then we know that $u_1 \in \text{Int } K_+$.

For the first multiplicity theorem, the hypotheses on the nonlinearity $f(z, x, \lambda)$ are as follows.

- (H1) $f: Z \times \mathbb{R} \times (0, \overline{\lambda}) \to \mathbb{R}$, with $\overline{\lambda} > 0$, is a function such that
 - (i) for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and all $\lambda \in (0, \overline{\lambda})$, the function $z \mapsto f(z, x, \lambda)$ is measurable;
 - (ii) for almost all $z \in Z$ and all $\lambda \in (0, \overline{\lambda})$, the function $x \mapsto f(z, x, \lambda)$ is continuous and $f(z, 0, \lambda) = 0$;
- (iii) for almost all $z \in Z$ and all $(x, \lambda) \in \mathbb{R} \times (0, \overline{\lambda})$, we have

$$|f(z, x, \lambda)| \leq a(z, \lambda) + c|x|^{r-1}$$

with $a(\cdot, \lambda) \in L^{\infty}(Z)_+ = \{\eta \in L^{\infty}(Z) : \eta(x) \ge 0 \text{ a.e. } x \in Z\}, \|a(\cdot, \lambda)\|_{\infty} \to 0 \text{ as } \lambda \to 0^+, c > 0, 2 < r < 2^*;$

(iv) for every $\lambda \in (0, \overline{\lambda})$ there exist $M = M(\lambda) > 0$ and $\theta = \theta(\lambda) > 2$ such that

$$0 < \theta F(z, x, \lambda) \leq f(z, x, \lambda) x$$
 for a.a. $z \in Z$ and all $|x| \geq M$,

with $F(z, x, \lambda) = \int_0^x f(z, s, \lambda) \, \mathrm{d}s;$

- (v) for every $\lambda \in (0, \overline{\lambda})$ there exist $\mu = \mu(\lambda) \in (0, 2), \ \delta = \delta(\lambda) > 0$ and $\eta = \eta(\lambda) \in L^{\infty}(Z)_+$, with $\eta(z) \ge \lambda_1$ a.e. on Z (λ_1 denotes the principal eigenvalue of $(-\Delta, H_0^1(Z))), \ \eta \ne \lambda_1$ such that $\mu F(z, x, \lambda) \ge f(z, x, \lambda)x$ and $F(z, x, \lambda) \ge \frac{1}{2}\eta(z)x^2$ for a.a. $z \in Z$ and all $|x| \le \delta$;
- (vi) for every $\lambda \in (0, \overline{\lambda})$ we have $f(z, x, \lambda)x > 0$ for a.a. $z \in Z$, all $x \neq 0$ (sign condition).

Our first result can be read as follows.

Theorem 2.1. If the hypotheses of (H1) hold, then there exists $\lambda^* \in (0, \overline{\lambda})$ such that for all $\lambda \in (0, \lambda^*)$ problem $(P)_{\lambda}$ has three distinct solutions: $x_0 \in \text{Int } K_+, v_0 \in -\text{Int } K_+$, and $y_0 \in C_0^1(\overline{Z}), y_0 \neq 0$.

Remark 2.2. The classical concave–convex nonlinearity $f(z, x, \lambda) = \lambda |x|^{p-2}x + |x|^{r-2}x, 1 , satisfies Hypotheses (H1). Or, let <math>f(z, x, \lambda) = (2+\operatorname{sgn}(x))(\lambda |x|^{p-2}x+|x|^{r-2}x)$, which also verifies the hypotheses; in addition, it is not an odd function. Another possibility is the function $f(z, x, \lambda) = \lambda |x|^{p-2}x + \xi(z)x + |x|^{r-2}x + g(z, x)$, with $\xi \in L^{\infty}(Z)_+$, $\xi(z) \ge \lambda_1$ a.e. on $Z, \xi \ne \lambda_1$ and g(z, x) a Carathéodory function such that there exist $\mu \in (0, 2)$ and $\delta > 0$ for which we have $\mu G(z, x) \ge g(z, x)x$ for a.a. $z \in Z$ and all $|x| \le \delta, g(z, x)x \ge 0$ for a.a. $z \in Z$, all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and g(z, 0) = 0 a.e. on Z. (Note that $g(z, \cdot)$ can be a non-odd function.)

In what follows, $\{\lambda_m\}_{m \ge 1}$ are the distinct eigenvalues of $(-\Delta, H_0^1(Z))$. For the second multiplicity theorem, we impose the following conditions on the nonlinearity $f(z, x, \lambda)$.

(H2) $f: Z \times \mathbb{R} \times (0, \overline{\lambda}) \to \mathbb{R}$, with $\overline{\lambda} > 0$, is a function such that (i), (ii), (v), (vi) are the same as those in (H1), and

(iii) for almost all $z \in Z$ and all $(x, \lambda) \in \mathbb{R} \times (0, \overline{\lambda})$, we have

$$|f(z, x, \lambda)| \leq a(z, \lambda) + c \min\{|x|, |x|^{r-1}\}$$

with $a(\cdot, \lambda) \in L^{\infty}(Z)_+$, $||a(\cdot, \lambda)||_{\infty} \to 0$ as $\lambda \to 0^+$, $c > 0, 2 < r < 2^*$;

(iv) for every $\lambda \in (0, \overline{\lambda})$, there exist functions $\theta = \theta(\lambda)$, $\hat{\theta} = \hat{\theta}(\lambda) \in L^{\infty}(Z)_{+}$ such that for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\lambda_m \leqslant \theta(z) \leqslant \hat{\theta}(z) \leqslant \lambda_{m+1}$$
 for a.a. $z \in Z, \ \theta \neq \lambda_m, \ \hat{\theta} \neq \lambda_{m+1},$

and

$$\theta(z) \leqslant \liminf_{x \to \pm \infty} \frac{f(z, x, \lambda)}{x} \leqslant \limsup_{x \to \pm \infty} \frac{f(z, x, \lambda)}{x} \leqslant \hat{\theta}(z)$$

uniformly for a.a. $z \in Z$.

Theorem 2.3. If the hypotheses of (H2) hold, then there exists $\lambda^* \in (0, \overline{\lambda})$ such that for all $\lambda \in (0, \lambda^*)$ problem $(P)_{\lambda}$ has three distinct solutions $x_0 \in \text{Int } K_+$, $v_0 \in -\text{Int } K_+$, and $y_0 \in C_0^1(\overline{Z}), y_0 \neq 0$.

Remark 2.4. Hypotheses (iv) and (v) of (H2) imply that our setting incorporates problems with a concave nonlinearity $\lambda |x|^{p-2}x$ perturbed by an asymptotically linear term at infinity. A concrete (odd) function verifying the hypotheses of (H2) is $f(x, \lambda) = \lambda |x|^{p-2}x + \theta \min\{|x|^{r-2}, 1\}x$, where $1 , and <math>\lambda_m < \theta < \lambda_{m+1}$. Or we may consider $f(x, \lambda) = \lambda (2 + \operatorname{sgn} x) |x|^{p-2}x + \theta \min\{|x|^{r-2}, 1\}x$, which is not odd but also verifies (H2).

3. Proof of Theorem 2.1

Before starting the proof, we recall the notion of critical groups. Let X be a Hilbert space and let $\varphi \in C^1(X)$ be a functional which satisfies the Palais–Smale (PS) condition. Let $x_0 \in X$ be an isolated critical point of φ with $\varphi(x_0) = c_0$. For any $c \in \mathbb{R}$, let $\varphi^c = \{x \in X : \varphi(x) \leq c\}$ and let U be a neighbourhood of x_0 . The *n*th-order critical group (over \mathbb{Z}) of φ at x_0 is defined by

$$C_n(\varphi, x_0) = H_n(\varphi^{c_0} \cap U, \varphi^{c_0} \cap U \setminus \{x_0\}),$$

where $H_n(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the *n*th singular relative homology group with integer coefficients, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let $e \in \text{Int } K_+$ be the unique solution of the Dirichlet problem

$$-\Delta x(z) = 1$$
 a.e. on Z, $x|_{\partial Z} = 0$.

We claim that we can find $\lambda^* \in (0, \overline{\lambda})$ such that, if $\lambda \in (0, \lambda^*)$, then we can choose $\gamma_1 = \gamma_1(\lambda) > 0$ such that

$$||a(\cdot,\lambda)||_{\infty} + c(\gamma_1 ||e||_{\infty})^{r-1} < \gamma_1.$$
(3.1)

We argue indirectly. Suppose that (3.1) is not true. Then we can find a sequence $\lambda_n \to 0^+$ such that

$$\gamma \leq ||a(\cdot, \lambda_n)||_{\infty} + c(\gamma ||e||_{\infty})^{r-1}$$
 for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $\gamma > 0$.

We let $n \to \infty$ and, on account of (H1) (iii), we obtain

$$1 \leqslant c\gamma^{r-2} \|e\|_{\infty}^{r-1} \quad \text{for all } \gamma > 0$$

Since r > 2, letting $\gamma \to 0^+$, we have a contradiction. This shows that (3.1) is true.

Fix $\lambda \in (0, \lambda^*)$ and set $\bar{x} = \gamma_1 e \in \text{Int } K_+$, with $\gamma_1 > 0$ given by (3.1). We define the truncated Carathéodory nonlinearity as follows:

$$f^{+}(z, x, \lambda) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x < 0, \\ f(z, x, \lambda) & \text{if } 0 \le x \le \bar{x}(z), \\ f(z, \bar{x}(z), \lambda) & \text{if } \bar{x}(z) < x. \end{cases}$$
(3.2)

We introduce the functional $\varphi_{\lambda}^{+}: H_{0}^{1}(Z) \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\varphi_{\lambda}^{+}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \|Dx\|_{2}^{2} - \int_{Z} F^{+}(z, x(z), \lambda) dz \text{ with } F^{+}(z, x, \lambda) = \int_{0}^{x} f^{+}(z, s, \lambda) ds.$$

Clearly, $\varphi_{\lambda}^{+} \in C^{1}(H_{0}^{1}(Z))$ and, exploiting the compact embedding of $H_{0}^{1}(Z)$ into $L^{2}(Z)$, we can easily check that φ_{λ}^{+} is sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous. Moreover, because of (3.2) we have

$$\varphi_{\lambda}^{+}(x) \ge \frac{1}{2} \|Dx\|_{2}^{2} - c_{1}(\lambda)\|Dx\|_{2}$$

for some $c_1(\lambda) > 0$, i.e. φ_{λ}^+ is coercive and bounded from below. Therefore, we can find $x_0 = x_0(\lambda) \in H_0^1(Z)$ such that

$$\varphi_{\lambda}^{+}(x_{0}) = \inf_{H_{0}^{1}(Z)} \varphi_{\lambda}^{+}.$$

Consequently, $(\varphi_{\lambda}^{+})'(x_{0}) = 0$ and

$$-\Delta x_0(z) = f^+(z, x_0(z), \lambda) \quad \text{a.e. on } Z, \qquad x_0|_{\partial Z} = 0.$$
(3.3)

From regularity theory, we have $x_0 \in C_0^1(\overline{Z})$. Moreover, using $-x_0^- \in H_0^1(Z)$ as a test function in (3.3), we obtain

$$\|Dx_0^-\|_2^2 = -\int_Z f^+(z, x_0(z), \lambda) x_0^-(z) \, \mathrm{d}z = 0.$$

Therefore, $x_0^- = 0$, i.e. $x_0 \ge 0$.

Next, we show that $x_0 \neq 0$. To this end, let $\delta > 0$ be as in Hypothesis (H1) (v). Due to the fact that $\bar{x} \in \text{Int } K_+$, one may choose t > 0 small enough such that $tu_1 \leq \bar{x}$ and $tu_1(z) \in [0, \delta]$ for all $z \in \bar{Z}$. Then

$$\begin{split} \varphi_{\lambda}^{+}(tu_{1}) &= \frac{1}{2}t^{2}\|Du_{1}\|_{2}^{2} - \int_{Z}F^{+}(z,tu_{1}(z),\lambda)\,\mathrm{d}z\\ &= \frac{1}{2}t^{2}\|Du_{1}\|_{2}^{2} - \int_{Z}F(z,tu_{1}(z),\lambda)\,\mathrm{d}z\\ &\leqslant \frac{1}{2}t^{2}\|Du_{1}\|_{2}^{2} - \frac{1}{2}t^{2}\int_{Z}\eta u_{1}^{2}(z)\,\mathrm{d}z \quad (\text{see Hypothesis (H1) (v)})\\ &= \frac{1}{2}t^{2}\int_{Z}(\lambda_{1} - \eta(z))u_{1}^{2}(z)\,\mathrm{d}z \quad (\text{since }\|Du_{1}\|_{2}^{2} = \lambda_{1}\|u_{1}\|_{2}^{2})\\ &< 0 \quad (\text{recall the hypothesis on }\eta \text{ and that }u_{1} \in \mathrm{Int}\,K_{+}). \end{split}$$

Therefore, we have $\varphi_{\lambda}^{+}(x_0) \leq \varphi_{\lambda}^{+}(tu_1) < 0 = \varphi_{\lambda}^{+}(0)$. Consequently, $x_0 \neq 0$, and $x_0 \geq 0$. Due to (H1) (vi), we have

$$\Delta x_0(z) = -f^+(z, x_0(z), \lambda) \leqslant 0 \quad \text{a.e. on } Z.$$

Invoking the strong maximum principle of Vázquez [21], we conclude that

$$x_0 \in \operatorname{Int} K_+. \tag{3.4}$$

On the other hand, if $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the duality bracket for the pair $(H^{-1}(Z), H^1_0(Z))$, then, from (3.3), (H1) (iii) and (3.1), we have

$$\langle -\Delta x_0 + \Delta \bar{x}, (x_0 - \bar{x})^+ \rangle = \int_Z (f^+(z, x_0, \lambda) - \gamma_1)(x_0 - \bar{x})^+ dz \leq 0$$

Therefore, $||D(x_0 - \bar{x})^+||_2^2 \leq 0$, i.e. $(x_0 - \bar{x})^+ = 0$. Consequently, $x_0 \leq \bar{x}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta x_0(z) &= f^+(z, x_0(z), \lambda) \\ &= f(z, x_0(z), \lambda) \\ &\leqslant \|a(\cdot, \lambda)\|_{\infty} + c \|\bar{x}\|_{\infty}^{r-1} \quad \text{(see Hypothesis (H1) (iii))} \\ &< \gamma_1 \quad \text{(see (3.1))} \\ &= -\Delta \bar{x}(z) \quad \text{a.e. on } Z. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, one can see that $x_0 \in \text{Int } K_+$ is a solution of $(P)_{\lambda}$ (see the second equality). Moreover, by [8, Proposition 2.2], we infer that

$$\bar{x} - x_0 \in \operatorname{Int} K_+. \tag{3.5}$$

In addition, if $\varphi_{\lambda} : H_0^1(Z) \to \mathbb{R}$ denotes the Euler functional for the problem $(P)_{\lambda}$, defined by

$$\varphi_{\lambda}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \|Dx\|_2^2 - \int_Z F(z, x(z), \lambda) \,\mathrm{d}z \quad \text{for all } x \in H^1_0(Z),$$

then, using (3.4) and (3.5), the element x_0 is a local minimizer not only of φ_{λ}^+ but also of φ_{λ} in the $C_0^1(\bar{Z})$ -topology. Hence, by [5], it is also a local minimizer of φ_{λ} in the $H_0^1(Z)$ -topology.

Analogously to the previous case, we find an element $v_0 \in -\text{Int } K_+$ that is a solution for the problem $(P)_{\lambda}$ and a local minimizer of φ_{λ} .

Because of Hypotheses (H1) (iii) and (iv), the functional φ_{λ} satisfies the (PS) condition (see, for example, [12, p. 100]). Since $x_0 \in \text{Int } K_+$ and $v_0 \in -\text{Int } K_+$ are local minimizers of φ_{λ} , we have

$$C_n(\varphi_{\lambda}, x_0) = C_n(\varphi_{\lambda}, v_0) = \delta_{n,0} \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}$$
(3.6)

(see [6, Example 1, p. 33]). On the other hand, by (H1) (iii), one can find $r = r(\lambda) > 0$ small enough such that $r < \|Dx_0\|_2$, and

$$\varphi_{\lambda}(x) > 0$$
 for all $x \in H_0^1(Z)$, $||Dx||_2 = r$.

Moreover, by construction, $\varphi_{\lambda}(x_0) < \varphi_{\lambda}(0) = 0$. Since $\{0, x_0\} \subset H_0^1(Z)$ and $\{x \in H_0^1(Z) : \|Dx\|_2 = r\}$ are homologically linked, we have $H_1(\varphi_{\lambda}^b, \varphi_{\lambda}^0) \neq 0$, where $b > \max\{\varphi_{\lambda}(tx_0) : t \in [0, 1]\}$ (see [**6**, Theorem 1.1', p. 84]). Taking into account [**6**, Theorem 1.5, p. 89], we can find a mountain-pass-type critical point $y_0 \in H_0^1(Z)$ of φ_{λ} , and hence a solution of $(P)_{\lambda}$, such that

$$C_1(\varphi_\lambda, y_0) \neq 0. \tag{3.7}$$

By (3.6), $x_0 \neq y_0 \neq v_0$. Moreover, by Hypotheses (H1) (v) and (vi) and [14] or [9, Proposition 2.1], we have

$$C_n(\varphi_{\lambda}, 0) = 0 \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$
(3.8)

By (3.7) and (3.8), we conclude that $y_0 \neq 0$ and by regularity theory one has $y_0 \in C_0^1(\overline{Z})$.

Remark 3.1. Theorem 2.1 extends [3, Theorem 2.1] and [17, Theorem 4.1.1].

4. Proof of Theorem 2.3

Proposition 4.1. If the hypotheses of (H2) hold and $\lambda \in (0, \overline{\lambda})$, then φ_{λ} satisfies the (PS) condition.

Proof. Let $\{x_n\}_{n \ge 1} \subset H_0^1(Z)$ be a sequence such that $|\varphi_\lambda(x_n)| \le M_1$ for some $M_1 > 0$, all $n \ge 1$, and $\varphi'_\lambda(x_n) \to 0$ in $H^{-1}(Z)$ as $n \to \infty$. As is well known, it suffices to show that $\{x_n\}_{n \ge 1} \subset H_0^1(Z)$ is bounded. We proceed by contradiction. Suppose that $\|x_n\| \to \infty$. Let $y_n = x_n/\|x_n\|$, $n \ge 1$. We may assume that

$$y_n \xrightarrow{w} y \in H_0^1(Z), \quad y_n \to y \in L^2(Z), \quad y_n(z) \to y(z) \text{ a.e. on } Z$$

and

$$|y_n(z)| \leq k(z)$$
 a.e. on Z for all $n \geq 1$ with $k \in L^2(Z)_+$.

Let $N_{\lambda} : L^2(Z) \to L^2(Z)$ be the Nemytskii operator corresponding to the function $(z, x) \mapsto f(z, x, \lambda)$, i.e.

$$N_{\lambda}(x)(\cdot) = f(\cdot, x(\cdot), \lambda)$$
 for all $x \in L^2(Z)$.

By virtue of Hypotheses (H2) (iii) and (iv) and Krasnosel'skii's theorem, N_{λ} is continuous and bounded. From the choice of the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \ge 1} \subset H_0^1(Z)$, we have

$$|\langle -\Delta x_n - N_\lambda(x_n), v \rangle| \leq \varepsilon_n ||v||$$
 for all $v \in H_0^1(Z)$ with $\varepsilon_n \to 0^+$.

Consequently,

$$\left|\left\langle -\Delta y_n - \frac{N_{\lambda}(x_n)}{\|x_n\|}, v\right\rangle\right| \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\|x_n\|} \|v\| \quad \text{for all } v \in H^1_0(Z).$$

$$(4.1)$$

Let $v := y_n - y$. By using (4.1) and the fact that $\{N_{\lambda}(x_n)/||x_n||\}_{n \ge 1} \subset L^2(Z)$ is bounded (see (H2) (iv)), we obtain

$$\langle -\Delta y_n, y_n - y \rangle \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Therefore, $||Dy_n||_2 \to ||Dy||_2$. Since $Dy_n \xrightarrow{w} Dy$ in $L^2(Z, \mathbb{R}^N)$, from the Kadec–Klee property of Hilbert spaces, we have $Dy_n \to Dy$ in $L^2(Z, \mathbb{R}^N)$; hence, $y_n \to y$ in $H_0^1(Z)$, and so ||y|| = 1.

Based on the above observation, we may assume that

$$\frac{N_{\lambda}(x_n)}{\|x_n\|} \xrightarrow{w} h \in L^2(Z) \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

Clearly, we have that $x_n(z) \to +\infty$ a.e. on $\{y > 0\}$, $x_n(z) \to -\infty$ a.e. on $\{y < 0\}$ and, from the linear growth of $f(z, \cdot, \lambda)$ (see Hypotheses (H2) (iii) and (iv)), we see that h(z) = 0 a.e. on $\{y = 0\}$. Therefore, again using Hypothesis (H2) (iv) we can easily check that

$$h(z) = g(z)y(z)$$
 a.e. on Z,

with $g \in L^{\infty}(Z)_+$, $\theta(z) \leq g(z) \leq \hat{\theta}(z)$ a.e. on Z.

If in (4.1) we pass to the limit as $n \to \infty$ and since $v \in H_0^1(Z)$ is arbitrary, we obtain

$$-\Delta y(z) = g(z)y(z) \quad \text{a.e. on } Z, \qquad y|_{\partial Z} = 0.$$
(4.2)

Exploiting the monotonicity of the eigenvalues of $(-\Delta, H_0^1(Z))$ on the weight function, we have

$$\hat{\lambda}_m(g) < \hat{\lambda}_m(\lambda_m) = 1 \tag{4.3}$$

and

$$\hat{\lambda}_{m+1}(g) > \hat{\lambda}_{m+1}(\lambda_{m+1}) = 1.$$
(4.4)

Comparing the expressions in (4.2)–(4.4), we conclude that y = 0, a contradiction to the fact that ||y|| = 1. This proves that $\{x_n\}_{n \ge 1} \subset H_0^1(Z)$ is bounded and so φ_{λ} satisfies the (PS) condition.

Proof of Theorem 2.3. Arguing exactly as we did in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we may construct $x_0, v_0 \in H_0^1(Z)$, two local minima of φ_{λ} . (Note that Hypothesis (H2) (iii) implies (H1) (iii).) Since φ_{λ} verifies the (PS) condition (see Proposition 4.1), the mountain-pass-type element $y_0 \in H_0^1$ can be obtained as above.

Acknowledgements. The research of A.K. was supported by CNCSIS Grant no. IDEI 527/2007.

References

- 1. ADIMURTHI, F. PACELLA AND S. YADAVA, On the number of positive solutions of some semilinear Dirichlet problems in a ball, *Diff. Integ. Eqns* **10** (1997), 1157–1170.
- A. AMBROSETTI, H. BRÉZIS AND G. CERAMI, Combined effects of concave and convex nonlinearities in some elliptic problems, J. Funct. Analysis 122 (1994), 519–543.
- 3. A. AMBROSETTI, J. GARCIA AZORERO, I. PERAL ALONSO, Multiplicity results for some nonlinear elliptic equations, J. Funct. Analysis 137 (1996), 219–242.
- 4. T. BARTSCH AND M. WILLEM, On an elliptic equation with concave and convex nonlinearities, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **123** (1995), 3555–3561.
- H. BRÉZIS AND L. NIRENBERG, H¹ versus C¹ local minimizers, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I 317 (1993), 465–472.
- K.-C. CHANG, Infinite-dimensional Morse theory and multiple solution problems (Birkhäuser, Boston, 1993).
- M. DELGADO AND A. SUÁREZ, On the existence and multiplicity of positive solutions for some indefinite nonlinear eigenvalue problem, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* 132 (2004), 1721– 1728.
- 8. M. GUEDDA AND L. VÉRON, Quasilinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents, *Nonlin. Analysis* **13** (1989), 879–902.
- 9. Q. JIU AND J. SU, Existence and multiplicity results for Dirichlet problems with *p*-Laplacian, *J. Math. Analysis Applic.* **281** (2003), 587–601.
- S. LI, S. WU AND H. S. ZHOU, Solutions to semilinear elliptic problems with combined nonlinearities, J. Diff. Eqns 185 (2002), 200–224.
- S. LIU AND S. LI, Existence of solutions for asymptotically 'linear' p-Laplacian equations, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 36 (2004), 81–87.
- J. MAWHIN, Problèmes de Dirichlet variationnels non linèaires, Séminaire de Mathématiques Supérieures, Volume 104 (Presses de l'Université de Montréal, 1987).
- C. MAYA AND R. SHIVAJI, Multiple positive solutions for a class of semilinear elliptic boundary-value problems, *Nonlin. Analysis* 38 (1999), 497–504.
- V. MOROZ, Solutions of superlinear at zero elliptic equations via Morse theory, Topolog. Meth. Nonlin. Analysis 10 (1997), 387–398.
- D. MOTREANU, V. V. MOTREANU AND N. S. PAPAGEORGIOU, Multiple nontrivial solutions for nonlinear eigenvalue problems, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* 135 (2007), 3649–3658.
- D. MUGNAI, Multiplicity of critical points in presence of a linking: application to a superlinear boundary-value problem, *Nonlin. Diff. Eqns Applic.* 11 (2004), 379–391.
- K. PERERA, Critical groups of pairs of critical points produced by linking subsets, J. Diff. Eqns 140 (1997), 142–160.
- B. RICCERI, A general multiplicity theorem for certain nonlinear equations in Hilbert spaces, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 133 (2005), 3255–3261.
- J. SHI, Exact multiplicity of solutions to superlinear and sublinear problems, Nonlin. Analysis 50 (2002), 665–687.

- 20. M. TANG, Exact multiplicity for semilinear elliptic Dirichlet problems involving concave and convex nonlinearities, *Proc. R. Soc. Edinb.* A **133** (2003), 705–717.
- J. L. VÁZQUEZ, A strong maximum principle for some quasilinear elliptic equations, Appl. Math. Optim. 12 (1984), 191–202.
- 22. Z.-Q. WANG, Nonlinear boundary-value problems with concave nonlinearities near the origin, *Nonlin. Diff. Eqns Applic.* 8 (2001), 15–33.