

A CRITERION FOR THE HALL-CLOSURE OF FITTING CLASSES

OWEN J. BRISON

In a recent paper, Cusack has given a criterion, in terms of the Fitting class "join" operation, for a normal Fitting class to be closed under the taking of Hall π -subgroups. Here we show that Cusack's result can be slightly modified so as to give a criterion for *any* Fitting class of finite soluble groups to be closed under taking Hall π -subgroups.

1. Introduction

We will take our groups and classes of groups from the universe \underline{S} of all finite soluble groups. Let \underline{F} be a Fitting class and π be a set of primes, and let \underline{S}_π denote the class of all (finite, soluble) π -groups. Then \underline{F} is said to be *Hall π -closed* if whenever G belongs to \underline{F} , then the Hall π -subgroups of G also belong to \underline{F} . If we define $Y(\underline{S}_\pi, \underline{F})$ to be the class of all those groups whose Hall π -subgroups belong to \underline{F} , then it is clear that \underline{F} is Hall π -closed if and only if $\underline{F} \subseteq Y(\underline{S}_\pi, \underline{F})$. It is not hard to see that $Y(\underline{S}_\pi, \underline{F})$ is itself a Fitting class. If \underline{G} is a further Fitting class, then the *join*, $\underline{F} \vee \underline{G}$, is the smallest Fitting class to contain both \underline{F} and \underline{G} . In [6], Lockett associates with each Fitting class \underline{F} the "new" Fitting classes \underline{F}^* and \underline{F}_* , and shows that \underline{S}_* is the so-called smallest normal Fitting class introduced in [2]. Then the result of Cusack in which we are interested is the following.

Received 28 November 1980.

THEOREM [5, Theorem 5]. *Let \underline{F} be a normal Fitting class and π be a set of primes. Then \underline{F} is Hall π -closed if and only if*

$$\underline{F} = (\underline{S}_\pi \cap \underline{F}) \vee (Y(\underline{S}_\pi, \underline{S}_\star) \cap \underline{F}) .$$

2. Preliminaries

If \underline{F} and \underline{G} are Fitting classes, then \underline{FG} denotes the class

$$\underline{FG} = \{ X \in \underline{S} : X/X_{\underline{F}} \in \underline{G} \} ,$$

where $X_{\underline{F}}$ denotes the \underline{F} -radical of X . It is well-known that \underline{FG} is again a Fitting class.

We refer to [6] for the definitions of the classes \underline{F}^* and \underline{F}_\star ; the following result, which is due to Lockett, collects the properties we need of these classes.

THEOREM 2.1 [6]. *Let \underline{F} be a Fitting class and let $H \in \underline{F}$. Then*

- (a) \underline{F}^* and \underline{F}_\star are Fitting classes with $\underline{F}_\star \subseteq \underline{F} \subseteq \underline{F}^*$;
- (b) $H' \leq H_{\underline{F}_\star}$;
- (c) $(H \times H)_{\underline{F}_\star} = H_{\underline{F}_\star} \times H_{\underline{F}_\star} \langle (h^{-1}, h) : h \in H \rangle$; and
- (d) if \underline{G} is a further Fitting class then $(\underline{F} \cap \underline{G})^* = \underline{F}^* \cap \underline{G}^*$.

Recall that if G and H are groups and $N \leq G \times H$, then N is said to be *subdirect* in $G \times H$ if $N(1 \times H) = G \times H = (G \times 1)N$. It is clear that any subgroup of $H \times H$ which contains $\langle (h^{-1}, h) : h \in H \rangle$ is subdirect in $H \times H$. We need the following result of Cusack.

THEOREM 2.2 [4, Corollary 2.6]. *Let \underline{U} and \underline{V} be Fitting classes such that $\underline{U} \subseteq \underline{V}^*$. Then a group G lies in $\underline{U} \vee \underline{V}$ if and only if there exists a group $H \in \underline{U}$ such that $(G \times H)_{\underline{V}}$ is subdirect in $G \times H$.*

The following facts about $Y(\underline{S}_\pi, \underline{F})$ can be found in [3] (where $Y(\underline{S}_\pi, \underline{F})$ is called $K_\pi(\underline{F})$). Note that part (b) has also appeared in [1].

THEOREM 2.3. *Let \underline{F} be a Fitting class, π be a set of primes and G be a group. Then*

- (a) $G_Y(\underline{S}_\pi, \underline{F}) \cap H = H_{\underline{F}}$ for any Hall π -subgroup H of G ;
- (b) $Y(\underline{S}_\pi, \underline{F}^*) = (Y(\underline{S}_\pi, \underline{F}))^*$;
- (c) $Y(\underline{S}_\pi, \underline{F}) = Y(\underline{S}_\pi, \underline{F})_{\underline{S}_\pi}$; and
- (d) if \underline{F} is Hall π -closed, then so also are \underline{F}^* and \underline{F}_* .

3. The theorem

We model our proof on Cusack's; in particular, the three results below correspond, in order, to Lemma 3, Theorem 4 and Theorem 5 of [5]. The main difference is that here we use Theorem 2.3.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let π be a set of primes and \underline{W} be a Hall π -closed Fitting class. Suppose that $G \in \underline{WS}_\pi$ and that H is a Hall π -subgroup of G . Then $G_{\underline{W}H_{\underline{W}}}$ is the $Y(\underline{S}_\pi, \underline{W})$ -radical of G .*

Proof. Let \underline{Y} denote $Y(\underline{S}_\pi, \underline{W})$; then $G_{\underline{W}} \leq G_{\underline{Y}}$ since \underline{W} is Hall π -closed. Now $G = G_{\underline{W}}H$ by hypothesis, while $G_{\underline{Y}} \cap H = H_{\underline{W}}$ by Theorem 2.3 (a). Applying Dedekind's law, we find that $G_{\underline{Y}} = G_{\underline{W}}(H \cap G_{\underline{Y}}) = G_{\underline{W}}H_{\underline{W}}$, as claimed.

PROPOSITION 3.2. *Let π be a set of primes and \underline{F} be a Hall π -closed Fitting class. Then $\underline{F} = (\underline{S}_\pi \cap \underline{F}) \vee (Y(\underline{S}_\pi, \underline{F}_*) \cap \underline{F})$.*

Proof. Let \underline{Y} denote $Y(\underline{S}_\pi, \underline{F}_*)$. It follows from Theorem 2.3 (c), (d) that $\underline{F}_*\underline{S}_\pi \subseteq \underline{YS}_\pi = \underline{Y}$, and so

$$(3.3) \quad \underline{F}_*\underline{S}_\pi \cap \underline{F} \subseteq \underline{Y} \cap \underline{F} .$$

Now let $G \in \underline{F}_*\underline{S}_\pi \cap \underline{F}$, and let H be a Hall π -subgroup of G ; then $H \in \underline{F}$. Form $G \times H \in \underline{F}$. Applying Lemma 3.1 with $\underline{W} = \underline{F}_*$, and Theorem 2.1 (a) with $H \in \underline{F}$, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} (G \times H)_{\underline{Y}} &= (G \times H)_{\underline{F}_*} (H \times H)_{\underline{F}_*} \\ &\geq (G_{\underline{F}_*} H_{\underline{F}_*} \times H_{\underline{F}_*}) \langle (h^{-1}, h) : h \in H \rangle . \end{aligned}$$

But clearly $G = G_{\underline{F}_*} H$, and it follows that $(G \times H)_{\underline{Y}}$ is subdirect in

$G \times H$. Since $G \times H \in \underline{F}$, this says that $(G \times H)_{\underline{Y} \cap \underline{F}}$ is subdirect in $G \times H$.

We now wish to apply Theorem 2.2 with $\underline{U} = \underline{S}_\pi \cap \underline{F}$ and $\underline{V} = \underline{Y} \cap \underline{F}$. Note that by Theorem 2.1 (d) and Theorem 2.3 (b), (d), we have

$$\underline{V}^* = \underline{Y}^* \cap \underline{F}^* = Y(\underline{S}_\pi, \underline{F}^*) \cap \underline{F}^* = \underline{F}^* .$$

Thus $\underline{U} \subseteq \underline{V}^*$, and Theorem 2.2 implies that

$$(3.4) \quad \underline{F} * \underline{S}_\pi \cap \underline{F} \subseteq (\underline{S}_\pi \cap \underline{F}) \vee (\underline{Y} \cap \underline{F}) .$$

But it follows from Theorem 2.1 (b) that

$$\underline{F} = (\underline{F} * \underline{S}_\pi \cap \underline{F}) \vee (\underline{F} * \underline{S}_\pi, \cap \underline{F}) ,$$

and so, combining (3.3) and (3.4), we conclude that

$$\underline{F} = (\underline{S}_\pi \cap \underline{F}) \vee (\underline{Y} \cap \underline{F}) ,$$

as required.

THEOREM 3.5. *Let π be a set of primes and \underline{F} be a Fitting class. Then \underline{F} is Hall π -closed if and only if*

$$\underline{F} = (\underline{S}_\pi \cap \underline{F}) \vee (Y(\underline{S}_\pi, \underline{F}_*) \cap \underline{F}) .$$

Proof. The "only if" assertion has been proved above. Thus suppose that $\underline{F} = (\underline{S}_\pi \cap \underline{F}) \vee (Y(\underline{S}_\pi, \underline{F}_*) \cap \underline{F})$. Since $\underline{S}_\pi \cap \underline{F} \subseteq Y(\underline{S}_\pi, \underline{F})$, and since the operator $Y(\underline{S}_\pi, \)$ clearly respects inclusions, then Theorem 2.1 (a) implies that

$$\underline{F} \subseteq Y(\underline{S}_\pi, \underline{F}) \vee (Y(\underline{S}_\pi, \underline{F}) \cap \underline{F}) = Y(\underline{S}_\pi, \underline{F}) .$$

Thus \underline{F} is Hall π -closed, and the proof is complete.

References

[1] James C. Beidleman und Peter Hauck, "Über Fittingklassen und die Lockett-Vermutung", *Math. Z.* 167 (1979), 161-167.
 [2] Dieter Blossenohl und Wolfgang Gaschütz, "Über normale Schunck- und Fittingklassen", *Math. Z.* 118 (1970), 1-8.

- [3] Owen J. Brison, "Hall operators for Fitting classes", *Arch. Math. (Basel)* 33 (1979), 1-9.
- [4] Elspeth Cusack, "The join of two Fitting classes", *Math. Z.* 167 (1979), 37-47.
- [5] Elspeth Cusack, "Normal Fitting classes and Hall subgroups", *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* 21 (1980), 229-236.
- [6] F. Peter Lockett, "The Fitting class \underline{F}^* ", *Math. Z.* 137 (1974), 131-136.

Secção de Matemática Pura,
Faculdade de Ciências,
Avenida 24 de Julho, 134, 3º,
1.300 Lisboa,
Portugal.