

BBIS

Behavioral & Brain Sciences

An International journal of current research and theory
with open peer commentary

Volume 33 | Issue 4 | August 2010 | ISSN: 0140-525X

CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Behavioral and Brain Sciences

Editors

Paul Bloom
Barbara L. Finlay

URL: <http://www.editorialmanager.com/bbs>

E-mail: bbsjournal@cambridge.org

Behavioral and Brain Sciences
Journals Department
Cambridge University Press
32 Avenue of The Americas
New York, NY 10013-2473, U.S.A.

Editorial Administrator

Ralph DeMarco

Chief Copy Editor

Sumitra Mukerji

Proofreaders

Sylvia Elvin
Rashidah Ismaili AbuBakr

Editorial Board

Atypical Neurodevelopment

Simon Baron-Cohen/Cambridge U.

Behavioral Neurogenetics

Wim E. Crusio/CNRS UMR

Cognition and Artificial Intelligence

Zenon Pylyshyn/Rutgers U.

Cognitive and Decision Sciences

Nick Chater/University College London

Cognitive Development

Annette Karmiloff-Smith/Birbeck College

Cognitive Neuroscience

Moshe Bar/Harvard Medical School

Computational Neuroscience

Nestor A. Schmajuk/Duke U.

Evolution of Brain and Cognition

Dean Falk/Florida State U.

Evolution of Cognition

Celia Heyes/Oxford U.

Experimental Analysis of Behavior

A. Charles Catania/U. Maryland, Baltimore County

Language and Language Disorders

Max Coltheart/Macquarie U.

Linguistics

Robert A. Freidin/Princeton U.

Perception

Bruce Bridgeman/U. of California, Santa Cruz

Philosophy of Science

Massimo Piattelli-Palmarini/U. of Arizona

Primate Cognition

Laurie R. Santos/Yale U.

Social Cognition

Mahzarin R. Banaji/Harvard U.

Social Cognitive Neuroscience

Rebecca Saxe/MIT

Vision, Language and Computation

Shimon Edelman/Cornell U.

FOUNDING EDITOR (1978–2001)

Stevan Harnad

Editorial Policy *Behavioral and Brain Sciences* (BBS) is an international journal providing a special service called Open Peer Commentary™ to researchers in any area of psychology, neuroscience, behavioral biology, or cognitive science who wish to solicit, from fellow specialists within and across these BBS disciplines, multiple responses to a particularly significant and controversial piece of work. (See Instructions for Authors and Commentators, inside back cover and also at <http://journals.cambridge.org/BBSJournal/Inst>) The purpose of this service is to contribute to the communication, criticism, stimulation, and particularly the unification of research in the behavioral and brain sciences, from molecular neurobiology to artificial intelligence and the philosophy of mind.

Papers judged by the editors and referees to be appropriate for Commentary are circulated to a large number of commentators selected by the editors, referees, and author to provide substantive criticism, interpretation, elaboration, and pertinent complementary and supplementary material from a full cross-disciplinary perspective. The article, accepted commentaries, and the author's response then appear simultaneously in BBS.

Commentary on BBS articles may be provided by any qualified professional in the behavioral and brain sciences, but much of it is drawn from a large body of BBS Associates who have become formally affiliated with the project (see <http://journals.cambridge.org/BBSJournal/BBSAssoc>).

Qualified professionals are eligible to become BBS Associates if they have (1) been nominated by a current BBS Associate, (2) refereed for BBS, or (3) had a commentary or article accepted for publication. A special subscription rate is available to Associates. Individuals interested in serving as BBS Associates are asked to view the full instructions for joining at <http://journals.cambridge.org/BBSJournal/Inst/Assoc> and then email bbsjournal@cambridge.org.

© Cambridge University Press 2010. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, in any form or by any means, electronic, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission in writing from Cambridge University Press. Permission inquiries from the U.S.A., Mexico, and Canada should be addressed to the New York office of Cambridge University Press <http://www.cambridge.org/us/information/rights/contacts/newyork.htm>; permission inquiries from elsewhere should be addressed to the Cambridge office <http://www.cambridge.org/uk/information/rights/contacts/cambridge.htm>; permission inquiries from Australia and New Zealand should be addressed to the Melbourne office http://www.cambridge.org/au/information/contacts_melbourne.htm.

Permission to copy (for users in the U.S.A.) is available from Copyright Clearance Center, <http://www.copyright.com>, email: info@copyright.com. Specific written permission must be obtained for republication; contact the nearest Cambridge University Press office.

Subscriptions *Behavioral and Brain Sciences* (ISSN 0140-525X) is published bimonthly in February, April, June, August, October, and December. The subscription price of Volume 33 (2010) for institutions is US \$1061.00 for print and online, US \$894.00 for online only, and US \$957.00 for print only in the U.S.A., Canada, and Mexico; and UK £603.00 for print and online, UK £510.00 for online only, and UK £551.00 for print only elsewhere. The subscription price for individuals is US \$302.00 for print and online and US \$276.00 for print only in the U.S.A., Canada, and Mexico; and UK £177.00 for print and online and UK £161.20 for print only elsewhere. For *BBS Associates*, with proof of eligibility with order, US \$113.00 in the U.S.A., Canada, and Mexico; and UK £71.00 elsewhere. For *students*, with proof of eligibility with order, \$93.00 in the U.S.A., Canada, and Mexico; and UK £56.00 elsewhere. Subscription price includes surface postage. Single parts cost US \$218.00 (UK £94.00) plus postage. *Institutional* orders may be sent to a bookseller, or, in the U.S.A., Canada, and Mexico direct to: Cambridge University Press, 32 Avenue of The Americas, New York, NY 10013-2473 email: journals_subscriptions@cambridge.org; in the U.K. and rest of the world to: Cambridge University Press, The Edinburgh Building, Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge CB2 8RU, England, e-mail: journals_subscriptions@cambridge.cam.ac.uk. *Individuals* must order direct from the Press. You may also subscribe through the Cambridge Journals website, <http://journals.cambridge.org/bbs>.

Postmaster: Send address changes in the U.S.A., Canada, and Mexico to *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, Cambridge University Press, Journals Dept., 100 Brook Hill Drive, West Nyack, NY 10994-2133, U.S.A. Send address change elsewhere to *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, Cambridge University Press, The Edinburgh Building, Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge CB2 8RU, England.

Online availability *Behavioral and Brain Sciences* is part of the Cambridge Journals Online (CJO) service at <http://journals.cambridge.org>.

Institutional subscribers: Access to full-text articles online is currently included with the cost of the print subscription. Subscription must be activated; see <http://cambridge.journals.org>.

Advertising Inquiries about advertising should be sent to the Journals Advertising Department of the Cambridge or New York Office of Cambridge University Press.

*Modelled on the "CA Comment" service of the journal *Current Anthropology*.

Contents Volume 33:4 August 2010

Anderson, M. L. Neural reuse: A fundamental organizational principle of the brain		245
Open Peer Commentary		
Aisenberg, D. & Henik, A. Reuse or re-function?	266	
Bargh, J. A., Williams, L. E., Huang, J. Y., Song, H. & Ackerman, J. M. From the physical to the psychological: Mundane experiences influence social judgment and interpersonal behavior	267	
Bergeron, V. Neural reuse and cognitive homology	268	
Bridgeman, B. Neural reuse implies distributed coding	269	
Brincker, M. Sensorimotor grounding and reused cognitive domains	270	
Dekker, T. M. & Karmiloff-Smith, A. The importance of ontogenetic change in typical and atypical development	271	
Donnarumma, F., Prevede, R. & Trautteur, G. How and over what timescales does neural reuse actually occur?	272	
Fishbein, W., Lau, H., DeJesús, R. & Alger, S. E. Sleep, neural reuse, and memory consolidation processes	273	
Foglia, L. & Grush, R. Reuse (neural, bodily, and environmental) as a fundamental organizational principle of human cognition	274	
Gomila, A. & Calvo, P. Understanding brain circuits and their dynamics	274	
Immordino-Yang, M. H., Chiao, J. Y. & Fiske, A. P. Neural reuse in the social and emotional brain	275	
Iriki, A. Neural reuse: A polysemous and redundant biological system subserving niche-construction	276	
Jungé, J. A. & Dennett, D. C. Multi-use and constraints from original use	277	
Katz, P. S. Comparative studies provide evidence for neural reuse	278	
Kiverstein, J. No bootstrapping without semantic inheritance		279
Klein, C. Redeployed functions versus spreading activation: A potential confound		280
Lia, B. Implications of neural reuse for brain injury therapy: Historical note on the work of Kurt Goldstein		281
Lindblom, B. Reuse in the brain and elsewhere		282
Michaux, N., Pesenti, M., Badets, A., Di Luca, S. & Andres, M. Let us redeploy attention to sensorimotor experience		283
Moore, D. S. & Moore, C. Neural reuse as a source of developmental homology		284
Niven, J. E. & Chittka, L. Reuse of identified neurons in multiple neural circuits		285
Petrov, A. A., Jilk, D. J. & O'Reilly, R. C. The Leabra architecture: Specialization without modularity		286
Rabaglia, C. D. & Marcus, G. F. Neural reuse and human individual differences		287
Reimers, M. Reuse of molecules and of neural circuits		288
Ritchie, J. B. & Carruthers, P. Massive modularity is consistent with most forms of neural reuse		289
Rozin, P. More than modularity and metaphor: The power of preadaptation and access		290
Speed, A., Verzi, S. J., Wagner, J. S. & Warrender, C. Optical holography as an analogue for a neural reuse mechanism		291
Toskos Dils, A. & Flusberg, S. J. Massive redeployment or distributed modularity?		292
Villarroya, O. Belling the cat: Why reuse theory is not enough		293
Author's Reponse		
Anderson, M. L. Cortex and context: Response to commentaries on neural reuse		294
Knobe, J. Person as scientist, person as moralist		315
Open Peer Commentary		
Alexander, J., Mallon, R. & Weinberg, J. M. Competence: What's in? What's out? Who knows?	329	
Alicke, M. & Rose, D. Culpable control or moral concepts?	330	
Baldo, M. V. C. & Barberousse, A. Person as moralist and scientist	331	
Bartsch, K. & Young, T. N. Reasoning asymmetries do not invalidate theory-theory	331	
Brogaard, B. "Stupid people deserve what they get": The effects of personality assessment on judgments of intentional action		332
Carpendale, J. I. M., Hammond, S. I. & Lewis, C. The social origin and moral nature of human thinking		334
Cova, F., Dupoux, E. & Jacob, P. Moral evaluation shapes linguistic reports of others' psychological states, not theory-of-mind judgments		334

Egré, P. Qualitative judgments, quantitative judgments, and norm-sensitivity	335	Mandelbaum, E. & Ripley, D. Expectations and morality: A dilemma	346
Gintis, H. Modalities of word usage in intentionality and causality	336	Menzies, P. Norms, causes, and alternative possibilities	346
Girotto, V., Surian, L. & Siegal, M. Morals, beliefs, and counterfactuals	337	Nanay, B. Neither moralists, nor scientists: We are counterfactually reasoning animals	347
Guglielmo, S. Questioning the influence of moral judgment	338	Scanlon, T. M. Ambiguity of “intention”	348
Hindriks, F. Person as lawyer: How having a guilty mind explains attributions of intentional agency	339	Sinnott-Armstrong, W. Alternatives and defaults: Knobe’s two explanations of how moral judgments influence intuitions about intentionality and causation	349
Humphrey, N. Person as moral scientist	340	Spurrett, D. & Martin, J. “Very like a whale”: Analogies about the mind need salient similarity to convey information	350
Kang, M. J. & Glassman, M. The cultural capital of the moralist and the scientist	340	Stich, S. & Wysocki, T. Are we really moralizing creatures through and through?	351
Kreps, T. A. & Monin, B. Are mental states assessed relative to what most people “should” or “would” think? Prescriptive and descriptive components of expected attitudes	341	Terroni, L. & Fraguas, R. Depression affecting moral judgment	352
Kushnir, T. & Chernyak, N. Understanding the adult moralist requires first understanding the child scientist	343	Ulatowski, J. & Johnson, J. Fixing the default position in Knobe’s competence model	352
Levy, N. Scientists and the folk have the same concepts	344		
Lombrozo, T. & Uttich, K. Putting normativity in its proper place	344	Author’s Response	
		Knobe, J. The person as moralist account and its alternatives	353