

BBS

Behavioral & Brain Sciences

An International journal of current research and theory
with open peer commentary
Volume 36 | Issue 3 | June 2013 | ISSN: 0140-525X

CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Behavioral and Brain Sciences

Editors

Paul Bloom
Barbara L. Finlay

URL: <http://www.editorialmanager.com/bbs>

E-mail: bbsjournal@cambridge.org

Behavioral and Brain Sciences
Journals Department
Cambridge University Press
32 Avenue of The Americas
New York, NY 10013-2473, U.S.A.

Managing Editor

Gennifer Levey

Chief Copy Editor

Sumitra Mukerji

Proofreaders

Sylvia Elvin
Rashidah Ismaili AbuBakr

Editorial Board

Affective Neuroscience

Stephanie D. Preston/U. of Michigan

Atypical Neurodevelopment

Simon Baron-Cohen/Cambridge U.

Behavioral Neurogenetics

Wim E. Crusio/CNRS UMR

Cognition and Artificial Intelligence

Zenon Pylyshyn/Rutgers U.

Cognitive and Decision Sciences

Nick Chater/University College London

Cognitive Development

Annette Karmiloff-Smith/Birbeck College

Cognitive Neuroscience

Moshe Bar/Harvard Medical School

Computational Neuroscience

Nestor A. Schmajuk/Duke U.

Evolution of Brain and Cognition

Dean Falk/Florida State U.

Evolution of Cognition

Celia Heyes/Oxford U.

Experimental Analysis of Behavior

A. Charles Catania/U. Maryland, Baltimore County

Language and Language Disorders

Max Coltheart/Macquarie U.

Linguistics

Robert A. Freidin/Princeton U.

Perception

Bruce Bridgeman/U. of California, Santa Cruz

Philosophy of Science

Massimo Piattelli-Palmarini/U. of Arizona

Primate Cognition

Laurie R. Santos/Yale U.

Social Cognition

Mahzarin R. Banaji/Harvard U.

Social Cognitive Neuroscience

Rebecca Saxe/MIT

Vision, Language and Computation

Shimon Edelman/Cornell U.

FOUNDING EDITOR (1978–2001)

Stevan Harnad

Editorial Policy *Behavioral and Brain Sciences* (BBS) is an international journal providing a special service called Open Peer Commentary* to researchers in any area of psychology, neuroscience, behavioral biology, or cognitive science who wish to solicit, from fellow specialists within and across these BBS disciplines, multiple responses to a particularly significant and controversial piece of work. (See Instructions for Authors and Commentators, inside back cover and also at <http://journals.cambridge.org/BBSJournal/Inst>) The purpose of this service is to contribute to the communication, criticism, stimulation, and particularly the unification of research in the behavioral and brain sciences, from molecular neurobiology to artificial intelligence and the philosophy of mind.

Papers judged by the editors and referees to be appropriate for Commentary are circulated to a large number of commentators selected by the editors, referees, and author to provide substantive criticism, interpretation, elaboration, and pertinent complementary and supplementary material from a full cross-disciplinary perspective. The article, accepted commentaries, and the author's response then appear simultaneously in BBS.

Commentary on BBS articles may be provided by any qualified professional in the behavioral and brain sciences, but much of it is drawn from a large body of BBS Associates who have become formally affiliated with the project (see <http://journals.cambridge.org/BBSJournal/BBSAssoc>).

Qualified professionals are eligible to become BBS Associates if they have (1) been nominated by a current BBS Associate, (2) refereed for BBS, or (3) had a commentary or article accepted for publication. A special subscription rate is available to Associates. Individuals interested in serving as BBS Associates are asked to view the full instructions for joining at <http://journals.cambridge.org/BBSJournal/Inst/Assoc> and then email bbsjournal@cambridge.org.

© Cambridge University Press 2013. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, in any form or by any means, electronic, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission in writing from Cambridge University Press. Policies, request forms, and contacts are available at: <http://www.cambridge.org/rights/permissions/permission.htm>.

Permission to copy (for users in the U.S.A.) is available from Copyright Clearance Center, <http://www.copyright.com>, email: info@copyright.com.

Subscriptions *Behavioral and Brain Sciences* (ISSN 0140-525X) is published bimonthly in February, April, June, August, October, and December. The subscription price of Volume 36 (2013) for *institutions* is US \$1288.00 for print and online and US \$1055.00 for online only in the U.S.A., Canada, and Mexico; and UK £759.00 for print and online and UK £624.00 for online only elsewhere. The subscription price for *individuals* is US \$374.00 for print and online and US \$337.00 for online only in the U.S.A., Canada, and Mexico; and UK £219.00 for print and online and UK £199 for online only elsewhere. For *BBS Associates*, with proof of eligibility with order, US \$139.00 in the U.S.A., Canada, and Mexico; and UK £87.00 elsewhere. For *students*, with proof of eligibility with order, \$116.00 in the U.S.A., Canada, and Mexico; and UK £68.00 elsewhere. Subscription price includes surface postage. Single parts cost US \$250.00 (UK £124.00) plus postage. *Institutional* orders may be sent to a bookseller, or, in the U.S.A., Canada, and Mexico direct to: Cambridge University Press, 32 Avenue of The Americas, New York, NY 10013-2473 email: journals_subscriptions@cambridge.org; in the U.K. and rest of the world to: Cambridge University Press, The Edinburgh Building, Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge CB2 8RU, England, e-mail: journals_subscriptions@cambridge.cam.ac.uk. *Individuals* must order direct from the Press. You may also subscribe through the Cambridge Journals website, <http://journals.cambridge.org/bbs>.

Postmaster: Send address changes in the U.S.A., Canada, and Mexico to *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, Cambridge University Press, Journals Dept., 100 Brook Hill Drive, West Nyack, NY 10994-2133, U.S.A. Send address change elsewhere to *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, Cambridge University Press, The Edinburgh Building, Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge CB2 8RU, England.

Online availability *Behavioral and Brain Sciences* is part of the Cambridge Journals Online (CJO) service at <http://journals.cambridge.org>.

Institutional subscribers: Access to full-text articles online is currently included with the cost of the print subscription. Subscription must be activated; see <http://cambridge.journals.org>.

Advertising Inquiries about advertising should be sent to the Journals Advertising Department of the Cambridge or New York Office of Cambridge University Press.

*Modelled on the "CA Comment" service of the journal *Current Anthropology*.

Contents Volume 36:3 June 2013

Clark, A. Whatever next? Predictive brains, situated agents, and the future of cognitive science	181
Open Peer Commentary:	
Anderson, M. L. & Chemero, T. The problem with brain GUTs: Conflation of different senses of “prediction” threatens metaphysical disaster	204
Block, N. & Siegel, S. Attention and perceptual adaptation	205
Bowman, H., Filetti, M., Wyble, B. & Olivers, C. Attention is more than prediction precision	206
Bridgeman, B. Applications of predictive control in neuroscience	208
Buckingham, G. & Goodale, M. A. When the predictive brain gets it really wrong	208
Dennett, D. C. Expecting ourselves to expect: The Bayesian brain as a projector	209
Egner, T. & Summerfield, C. Grounding predictive coding models in empirical neuroscience research	210
Farmer, T. A., Brown, M. & Tanenhaus, M. K. Prediction, explanation, and the role of generative models in language processing	211
Friston, K. Active inference and free energy	212
Froese, T. & Ikegami, T. The brain is not an isolated “black box,” nor is its goal to become one	213
Gerrans, P. Unraveling the mind	214
Gowaty, P. A. & Hubbell, S. P. Bayesian animals sense ecological constraints to predict fitness and organize individually flexible reproductive decisions	215
Hirsh, J. B., Mar, R. A. & Peterson, J. B. Personal narratives as the highest level of cognitive integration	216
Holm, L. & Madison, G. Whenever next: Hierarchical timing of perception and action	217
Khalil, E. L. Two kinds of theory-laden cognitive processes: Distinguishing intransigence from dogmatism	218
König, P., Wilming, N., Kaspar, K., Nagel, S. K. & Onat, S. Predictions in the light of your own action repertoire as a general computational principle	219
Little, D. Y.-J. & Sommer, F. T. Maximal mutual information, not minimal entropy, for escaping the “Dark Room”	220
Muckli, L., Petro, L. S. & Smith, F. W. Backwards is the way forward: Feedback in the cortical hierarchy predicts the expected future	221
Paton, B., Skewes, J., Frith, C. & Hohwy, J. Skull-bound perception and precision optimization through culture	222
Phillips, W. A. Neuronal inference must be local, selective, and coordinated	222
Rasmussen, D. & Eliasmith, C. God, the devil, and the details: Fleshing out the predictive processing framework	223
Roepstorff, A. Interactively human: Sharing time, constructing materiality	224
Ross, D. Action-oriented predictive processing and the neuroeconomics of sub-cognitive reward	225
Schaefer, R. S., Overy, K. & Nelson, P. Affect and non-uniform characteristics of predictive processing in musical behaviour	226
Seth, A. K. & Critchley, H. D. Extending predictive processing to the body: Emotion as interoceptive inference	227
Shea, N. Perception versus action: The computations may be the same but the direction of fit differs	228
Silverstein, S. M. Schizophrenia-related phenomena that challenge prediction error as the basis of cognitive functioning	229
Sloman, A. What else can brains do?	230
Spratling, M. W. Distinguishing theory from implementation in predictive coding accounts of brain function	231
Trappenberg, T. & Hollensen, P. Sparse coding and challenges for Bayesian models of the brain	232
Author’s Response:	
Clark, A. Are we predictive engines? Perils, prospects, and the puzzle of the porous perceiver	233

Pothos, E. M. & Busemeyer, J. R. Can quantum probability provide a new direction for cognitive modeling?

255

Open Peer Commentary:

Aerts, D., Broekaert, J., Gabora, L. & Sozzo, S. Quantum structure and human thought	274	Kusev, P. & van Schaik, P. The cognitive economy: The probabilistic turn in psychology and human cognition	294
Atmanspacher, H. At home in the quantum world	276	Lee, M. D. & Vanpaemel, W. Quantum models of cognition as Orwellian newspeak	295
Baldo, M. V. C. Signal detection theory in Hilbert space	277	Love, B. C. Grounding quantum probability in psychological mechanism	296
Banerjee, A. & Horwitz, B. Can quantum probability help analyze the behavior of functional brain networks?	278	MacLennan, B. J. Cognition in Hilbert space	296
Behme, C. Uncertainty about the value of quantum probability for cognitive modeling	279	Marewski, J. N. & Hoffrage, U. Processes models, environmental analyses, and cognitive architectures: Quo vadis quantum probability theory?	297
Blutner, R. & beim Graben, P. The (virtual) conceptual necessity of quantum probabilities in cognitive psychology	280	Mender, D. The implicit possibility of dualism in quantum probabilistic cognitive modeling	298
de Castro, A. On the quantum principles of cognitive learning	281	Navarro, D. J. & Fuss, I. What are the mechanics of quantum cognition?	299
Corr, P. J. Cold and hot cognition: Quantum probability theory and realistic psychological modeling	282	Newell, B. R., van Ravenzwaaij, D. & Donkin, C. A quantum of truth? Querying the alternative benchmark for human cognition	300
Dzhafarov, E. N. & Kujala, J. V. Beyond quantum probability: Another formalism shared by quantum physics and psychology	283	Noori, H. R. & Spanagel, R. Quantum modeling of common sense	302
Franceschetti, D. R. & Gire, E. Quantum probability and cognitive modeling: Some cautions and a promising direction in modeling physics learning	284	Oaksford, M. Quantum probability, intuition, and human rationality	303
Gelman, A. & Betancourt, M. Does quantum uncertainty have a place in everyday applied statistics?	285	Pleskac, T. J., Kvam, P. D. & Yu, S. What's the predicted outcome? Explanatory and predictive properties of the quantum probability framework	303
Gonzalez, C. & Lebiere, C. Cognitive architectures combine formal and heuristic approaches	285	Rakow, T. If quantum probability = classical probability + bounded cognition; is this good, bad, or unnecessary?	304
Grace, R. C. & Kemp, S. Quantum probability and comparative cognition	287	Ross, D. & Ladyman, J. Quantum probability, choice in large worlds, and the statistical structure of reality	305
Hameroff, S. R. Quantum mathematical cognition requires quantum brain biology: The "Orch OR" theory	287	Shanteau, J. & Weiss, D. J. Physics envy: Trying to fit a square peg into a round hole	306
Hampton, J. A. Quantum probability and conceptual combination in conjunctions	290	Stewart, T. C. & Eliasmith, C. Realistic neurons can compute the operations needed by quantum probability theory and other vector symbolic architectures	307
Houston, A. I. & Wiesner, K. Is quantum probability rational?	291	Tentori, K. & Crupi, V. Why quantum probability does not explain the conjunction fallacy	308
Kaznatcheev, A. & Shultz, T. R. Limitations of the Dirac formalism as a descriptive framework for cognition	292		
Khalil, E. L. Disentangling the order effect from the context effect: Analogies, homologies, and quantum probability	293	Authors' Response:	
		Pothos, E. M. & Busemeyer, J. R. Quantum principles in psychology: The debate, the evidence, and the future	310