APT (2001), vol. 7, p. 252

- 3. In borderline personality disorder:
 - a. level-one states are more numerous than in normal behaviour
 - b. level-two switching displays a 'hair-trigger' response
 - c. level-three self-reflection is often weak or absent
 - d. level-one and level-two difficulties explain much of the changeability characteristic of the disorder
 - e. CAT has no distinctive explanation for the affective features.
- 4. In CAT:
 - a. treatment usually lasts either 16 or 24 sessions
 - b. the therapist gives the patient a reformulation letter at about the fourth session
 - c. the therapist avoids mentioning termination
 - d. therapist and patient exchange goodbye letters at the end of therapy
 - e. follow-up sessions are discouraged.

5. CAT:

- a. is suitable only for a small range of patient problems
- b. is contraindicated if the patient is actively intoxicated
- c. should never be attempted where motivation is poor or absent
- d. has a small evidence base and urgently needs randomised controlled trials
- e. is administered by an organisation called ACAT.

| MCQ answers | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 1 a T b F c T d F e F | 2 a T b T c T d F e T | 3 bT cT dT eF | 4 bT cF dT eF | 5 a F b T c F d T e T |

Commentary

Peter Whewell

The burden of patients with borderline personality disorder on mental health services is now recognised to be considerable (e.g., Oxfordshire Mental Healthcare NHS Trust, 1998), so that the importance of developing a potentially effective brief therapy for this difficult-to-treat population can hardly be overstated. A recent cohort study of 27 patients with the disorder treated with cognitive–analytic therapy (CAT) showed improvement at 18 months for 14 patients (Ryle & Golynkina, 2000). Five-year followups are not yet available nor, crucially, has there yet been a randomised control trial of CAT (Margison, 2000). Ideally, a comprehensive service for patients with borderline personality disorder should include facilities for brief hospitalisation (to manage suicidal crises), for partial hospitalisation (for short-term containment of dangerous or very disturbed behaviour), for brief therapies of up to 6 months' duration (to stabilise impulsive behaviours and increase psychological mindedness) and for longer-term therapies (of 2 to 5 years, to allow personality change and growth) (Gunderson *et al*, 1997). CAT provides an option for brief therapy, while most long-term therapies are psychodynamically based.

Coming from a psychodynamic background, the creator of CAT, Anthony Ryle, has used psychodynamic concepts to underpin it, so that most analytically trained therapists will feel familiar with CAT theory. For instance, the important CAT concept of role reciprocity is a clear exposition of projective

Peter Whewell is a consultant psychotherapist at the Regional Department of Psychotherapy (Claremont House, Off Framlington Place, Newcastle-upon-Tyne NE2 4AA), where he has developed and co-leads a psychodynamic psychotherapy out-patient service for the treatment of borderline personality disorder. He is a psychoanalytical psychotherapist and psychoanalyst.

identification (Klein, 1946) and role responsiveness (Sandler, 1976). However, the omission of the idea of defence against intrapsychic conflict (including both repression and splitting), the minimisation of destructive attacks by the self on knowledge and linking and the lack of place afforded the unconscious would place CAT outside the usual arena of psychodynamic theory. Along a continuum of psychological views about the importance of drives *v*. cumulative trauma, Ryle would perhaps sit at the extreme traumagenic end of the spectrum. However, his attacks on the drive end of the spectrum (Ryle, 1993, 1995*a*), as represented by Kleinian theory and its drive-related formulation of aggression, echo views expressed by Sutherland (1983).

Taking into account the mental set and the practical procedures of CAT, most analytically trained therapists would not see it as belonging to the spectrum of analytical therapies (Ryle, 1995b), and perhaps there are a number of reasons for this.

First, although both CAT and analytical psychotherapy would aim to increase insight, CAT is also aiming at specific symptom change. Concentration on symptom change would, in the view of many analytical patients, take the therapist out of a state of analytical neutrality. This would in turn reduce the ability of the therapist to make an accurate transference interpretation, which would be thought to be the main mutative agent in analytical therapy. The mutative agent in CAT seems to be an increase in self-reflection; this is a cognitive function, which contrasts with the transference interpretation as an experience. Transference interpretations may occur at crucial times in CAT, but they are not a primary feature of the therapy. It should be noted that analytical neutrality does not equate with a bland, opaque or unresponsive therapist; analytical therapists strive for a neutrality that is equidistant from points of conflict (Kernberg, 1984) in the patient.

Second, analytical therapists operate optimally using two perspectives, described by Bion (1974) as binocular vision, whereby one eye views the patient and his or her material through theory, the other operating "without memory or desire". Too strong a concentration on theory may interfere with the evenly hovering reverie needed for the state of being without memory and desire, which has been described as follows:

"Whilst the analyst (actively) tries to remember what the patient told him in the previous session (memory) or to think of what the patient will do at the end of sessions or of next weekend, or of his wish for the patient to improve and be "cured" (desire), he lessens the possibility of observing and perceiving new facts which are evolving in the session at the moment." (Grinberg *et al*, 1975, pp. 78)

It is clear that in CAT the therapist is very busy forging a therapeutic relationship with the patient, a busyness that reduces the space for reflection. The need of the CAT therapist to spot certain prescribed role relationships may blind the therapist to what is unique about the patient in the room. In a state of viewing without memory or desire, the therapist is thrown back on his or her own internal world, which will include identification with his or her personal therapist and supervisors. For a therapist without a depth analytical training, such a situation may be quite frightening and may lead to counterprojective identification on to the patient. The 'scaffolding' of the therapy and activity of the therapist in CAT may allow CAT therapists to function adequately in this model without depth training.

Third, there may be, among analytical therapists, scepticism about what is internalised as a result of a brief therapy that has no guarantee of progress into a longer therapy. A core feature of borderline disorder is patients' intolerance of being alone (Gunderson, 1996), and coming into any therapy they seek a containing relationship. A brief therapy (even if this is explained at the beginning) may be a teasing, frustrating experience for a patient, with the therapist taking on the role of Fairbairn's exciting, rejecting bad object (Fairbairn, 1952) and the patient feeling (in a mental state that may be split off from an ongoing alliance-seeking state) retraumatised. Successful interpretations of a negative transference of an exciting, rejecting persecutory figure can be made only if the patient actually feels held, psychologically, by the therapist over time. Thus, in the case vignettes cited by Denman (2001, this issue), when Jenny, with a background of being abandoned as a child, explores feelings of disappointment at not being held in a longterm frame by her therapist, is the disappointing therapist internalised? When Paul, at assessment, sets a date to die, is this a retaliatory response to the therapist informing him that the therapy had a date to end? Could his changing states (of rebellion and defiance; then misery and dependence in relation to an uncaring other; then being furious and contemptuous of help offered) be understandable concomitants of the here-and-now recognition of relational disappointment? The transference link is apparently not made by the therapist, so is what is internalised at this point an actual uncaring, unthinking therapist?

Fourth, an analytical therapist might be afraid both of the use of suggestion and of closure in making a written formulation early on in a therapy. Patients with borderline personality disorder may identify with the content of a formulation (Fonaghy, 1995) without identifying with the ability to think about it. This may lead to a concrete closure around the formulation, preventing rather than promoting further psychic growth.

Some of these reservations may be resolved with more precise outcome studies, but it may also be that those most at home as CAT therapists will be those coming straight into the therapy, rather than those with a psychodynamic background.

References

Bion, W. (1974) *Bion's Brazilian Lectures*. Rio de Janeiro: Imago Editora.

- Denman, C. (2001) Cognitive-analytic therapy. Advances in Psychiatric treatment, 7, 243–252.
 Fairbairn, W. R. D. (1952) Endopsychic structure considered
- Fairbairn, W. R. D. (1952) Endopsychic structure considered in terms of object-relationships. In *Psychoanalytic Studies* of the Personality, pp. 82–136. London: Tavistock.
- Fonagy, P. (1995) Psychoanalysis, cognitive analytic therapy, mind and self. British Journal of Psychotherapy, 11, 575–584.
 Grinberg, L., Sor, D. & Tabak De Bianchedi, E. (1975)

Introduction to the Work of Bion. London: Karnac Books. Gunderson, J. G. (1996) Borderline patients' intolerance of

- aloneness: insecure attachments and therapist availability. American Journal of Psychiatry, **153**, 752–758. —, Davis T. & Youngren, V. R. (1997) Dealing with self-
- —, Davis T. & Youngren, V. R. (1997) Dealing with selfdestructiveness in borderline patients. In *Treating Difficult Personality Disorders* (eds N. Rosenbluth & D. Yalom). San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.

- Kernberg O. F. (1984) Severe Personality Disorders: Psychotherapeutic Strategies. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
- Klein, M. (1946) Notes on some schizoid mechanisms. In The Writings of Melanie Klein. Vol. 3: Envy, Gratidute and Other Works 1946–1963 (ed. R. Money-Kyrle) 1–24. London: Hogarth.
- Margison, F. (2000) Cognitive analytic therapy: a case study in treatment development (editorial). British Journal of Medical Psychology, 73, 145–150.
- Oxfordshire Mental Healthcare NHS Trust (1998) The Management of Borderline Personality Disorder. Evidence Based Clinical Practice Guideline. Oxford: Oxfordshire Mental Healthcare Trust.
- Ryle, A. (1993) Addiction to the death instinct? A critical review of Joseph's paper 'Addiction to near death'. *British Journal of Psychotherapy*, **10**, 88–92.
- (1995a) Defensive organisations or collusive interpretations? A further critique of Kleinian theory and practice. *British Journal of Psychotherapy*, **12**, 60–68.
- (1995b) CAT, psychoanalysis and psychoanalytic psychotherapy. In *Cognitive Analytic Therapy: Developments in Theory and Practice* (ed. A. Ryle), pp. 210–221. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons.
- & Golynkina, K. (2000) Effectiveness of time-limited cognitive analytical therapy of borderline personality disorder: factors associated with outcome. *British Journal* of *Medical Psychology*, **73**, 197–210.
- Sandler, J. (1976) Countertransference and role responsiveness. International Review of Psychoanalysis, 3, 43-47.
- Sutherland, J. (1983) The self and object relations: a challenge to psychoanalysis. *Bulletin of the Meninger Clinic*, **47**, 525–548.

Commentary

David Kingdon

Over the past 25 years, cognitive–behavioural therapy (CBT) and cognitive–analytic therapy (CAT) have discretely jostled for position in the competition for scientific respectability and, perhaps more important, funding. In this sibling rivalry, the slightly younger brother (CAT) has, so far, been less effective, at least in securing funding. There are concerns about its evidence base and this may underlie the reluctance of clinicians and managers to expand its availability. Ryle (2000) has described how he has recently unsuccessfully applied for research and development funding for a large-scale 24-session randomised controlled trial (RCT) of CAT with a group of patients with borderline personality disorder. There are numerous smallscale studies of CAT where successful outcomes have been achieved, but this seems to be the first attempt to substantially evaluate it. Is it unfair to ask why this has not been done before? Psychodynamic psychotherapy has eschewed such forms of scientific evaluation in the past, although some practitioners are now accepting the need for them.

David Kingdon is Professor of Mental Health Care Delivery at the University of Southampton (Royal South Hants Hospital, Brintons Terrace, Southampton, Hants SO14 0YG). He is Chairman of the Committee of Experts in Human Rights and Psychiatry for the Council of Europe in Strasbourg, and was a member of the NHSE National Service Framework External Reference Group. He has published widely on development of mental health services, the Care Programme Approach and cognitive therapy.