

BOUNDS FOR MOMENTS OF QUADRATIC DIRICHLET CHARACTER SUMS

PENG GAO  and LIANGYI ZHAO  

(Received 29 February 2024; accepted 29 March 2024; first published online 6 May 2024)

Abstract

We establish upper bounds for moments of smoothed quadratic Dirichlet character sums under the generalized Riemann hypothesis, confirming a conjecture of M. Jutila [‘On sums of real characters’, *Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova* **132** (1973), 247–250].

2020 *Mathematics subject classification*: primary 11L40; secondary 11M06.

Keywords and phrases: quadratic Dirichlet character, moments.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we are interested in estimating the moments of quadratic Dirichlet character sums given by

$$S_m(X, Y) := \sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{S}(X)} \left| \sum_{n \leq Y} \chi(n) \right|^{2m},$$

where $\mathcal{S}(X)$ denotes the set of all nonprincipal quadratic Dirichlet characters of modulus at most X . Here, $m > 0$ is any real number.

The case $m = 1$ was first studied by Jutila [6] and the best known estimation is given by Armon [1, Theorem 2], who showed that

$$S_1(X, Y) \ll XY(\log X). \tag{1.1}$$

For general m , a conjecture of Jutila [7] asserts that for a positive integer m , there are constants $c_1(m)$, $c_2(m)$, with values depending on m only, such that

$$S_m(X, Y) \leq c_1(m)XY^m(\log X)^{c_2(m)}.$$

In [12], Virtanen established a weaker version of this conjecture for the case $m = 2$ with the expression $(\log X)^{c_2(m)}$ replaced by X^ε for any $\varepsilon > 0$. Other related bounds can be found in [8, 11].

The first author is supported in part by NSFC grant no. 11871082 and the second author by the FRG Grant PS71536 at the University of New South Wales.

© The Author(s), 2024. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of Australian Mathematical Publishing Association Inc.



It is the aim of this paper to confirm a smoothed version of the conjecture of Jutila under the assumption of the generalised Riemann hypothesis (GRH). More precisely, we consider a sum of the form

$$S_m(X, Y; W) := \sum_{\substack{d \leq X \\ (d, 2) = 1}} \mu^2(d) \left| \sum_n \left(\frac{8d}{n} \right) W\left(\frac{n}{Y}\right) \right|^{2m}, \quad (1.2)$$

where W is any nonnegative, smooth function compactly supported on the set of positive real numbers and (\cdot) denotes the Jacobi symbol. Here we point out (see [10]) that the character (\cdot) is primitive modulo $8d$ for any positive, odd and square-free d . Our result gives estimations for $S_m(X, Y; W)$ in terms of the conjectured size.

THEOREM 1.1. *With the notation as above and truth of GRH, we have, for large $X, Y, \varepsilon > 0$ and any real $m \geq 1/2$,*

$$S_m(X, Y; W) \ll XY^m (\log X)^{m(2m+1)}. \quad (1.3)$$

Using Hölder's inequality and the estimation in (1.1), we can further improve the result in Theorem 1.1 as follows.

THEOREM 1.2. *With the notation as above and the truth of GRH, we have, for large $X, Y, \varepsilon > 0$ and any real $m \geq 0$,*

$$S_m(X, Y; W) \ll \begin{cases} XY^m (\log X)^m, & 0 \leq m < 1, \\ XY^m (\log X)^{9m-8}, & 1 \leq m < 2, \\ XY^m (\log X)^{m(2m+1)}, & m \geq 2. \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

Here the estimation for the case $0 \leq m \leq 1$ holds unconditionally.

Our proof of Theorem 1.1 is rather simple and makes use of sharp upper bounds on moments of quadratic Dirichlet L -functions. Note that this enables our result to be valid for all real $m \geq 1/2$ instead of just positive integers. Our approach here certainly can be applied to bound moments of various other character sums as well. We also point out that by applying the methods in [2] or [10] to evaluate the moment of $|L(s, \chi_{8d})|^2$ twisted by a Dirichlet character for $\text{Re}(s) = 1/2$ and arguing as in [3], one may establish (1.3) for $1/2 \leq m \leq 1$ unconditionally.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

We apply the Mellin inversion to obtain

$$S_m(X, Y; W) \ll \sum_{\substack{d \leq X \\ (d, 2) = 1}} \mu^2(d) \left| \int_{(2)} L(s, \chi_{8d}) Y^s \widehat{W}(s) ds \right|^{2m}, \quad (2.1)$$

where \widehat{W} stands for the Mellin transform of W given by

$$\widehat{W}(s) = \int_0^\infty W(t)t^s \frac{dt}{t}.$$

Observe that integration by parts implies that for any integer $E \geq 0$,

$$\widehat{W}(s) \ll \frac{1}{(1 + |s|)^E}. \tag{2.2}$$

Further observe by [5, Corollary 5.20] that under GRH, for $\text{Re}(s) \geq 1/2$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$L(s, \chi_{8d}) \ll |ds|^\varepsilon. \tag{2.3}$$

We note here that a bound weaker than (2.3) would be sufficient and GRH is not indispensable here.

The bounds in (2.3) and (2.2) allow us to shift the line of integration in (2.1) to $\text{Re}(s) = 1/2$ to obtain

$$S_m(X, Y; W) \ll \sum_{\substack{d \leq X \\ (d,2)=1}} \mu^2(d) \left| \int_{(1/2)} L(s, \chi_{8d}) Y^s \widehat{W}(s) ds \right|^{2m}. \tag{2.4}$$

Applying (2.2) and Hölder’s inequality (note that this requires the condition that $m \geq 1/2$) yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{d \leq X \\ (d,2)=1}} \mu^2(d) \left| \int_{(1/2)} L(s, \chi_{8d}) Y^s \widehat{W}(s) ds \right|^{2m} \\ & \ll \sum_{\substack{d \leq X \\ (d,2)=1}} \mu^2(d) \left(\int_{(1/2)} |\widehat{W}(s)|^{m/(2m-1)} |ds| \right)^{2m-1} \int_{(1/2)} |L(s, \chi_{8d}) Y^s|^{2m} |\widehat{W}(s)|^m |ds| \\ & \ll Y^m \int_{(1/2)} \sum_{\substack{d \leq X \\ (d,2)=1}} \mu^2(d) |L(s, \chi_{8d})|^{2m} \cdot |\widehat{W}(s)|^m |ds|. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Now, by (2.2) and (2.3) again, we may truncate the integral in (2.5) to $|\text{Im}(s)| \leq X^\varepsilon$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$ with a negligible error. Thus, we see from (2.4) and (2.5) that

$$S_m(X, Y; W) \ll Y^m \int_{|\text{Im}(s)| \leq X^\varepsilon} \sum_{\substack{d \leq X \\ (d,2)=1}} \mu^2(d) |L(s, \chi_{8d})|^{2m} \cdot |\widehat{W}(s)|^m |ds|. \tag{2.6}$$

We modify the proof of [4, Theorem 2] and the proof of [9, Theorem 2.4] (particularly [9, (8.1)]) to see that under GRH, we have for $|t| \leq X^\varepsilon$ and all $m \geq 0$,

$$\sum_{\substack{d \leq X \\ (d,2)=1}} \mu^2(d) |L(s, \chi_{8d})|^{2m} \ll_m X (\log X)^{m(2m+1)}. \quad (2.7)$$

Note that by applying the argument of Harper [4], one can remove the ε -power on the logarithm in the above-mentioned results in [9]. Now upon inserting (2.7) into (2.6), we immediately obtain the desired result given in (1.3). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

We first note that the estimation given in (1.1) is still valid with $S_1(X, Y)$ being replaced by $S_1(X, Y; W)$ for any compactly supported W by going through the proof of [1, Theorem 2]. We apply Hölder's inequality to see from this and (1.2) that for $0 \leq m < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} S_m(X, Y; W) &= \sum_{\substack{d \leq X \\ (d,2)=1}} \mu^2(d) \left(1 \cdot \left| \sum_n \left(\frac{8d}{n} \right) W\left(\frac{n}{Y}\right) \right|^{2m} \right) \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{\substack{d \leq X \\ (d,2)=1}} \mu^2(d) \right)^{1-m} \left(\sum_{\substack{d \leq X \\ (d,2)=1}} \mu^2(d) \left| \sum_n \left(\frac{8d}{n} \right) W\left(\frac{n}{Y}\right) \right|^2 \right)^m \ll XY^m (\log X)^m. \end{aligned}$$

This gives the estimation for the case $0 \leq m < 1$ in (1.4).

Similarly, if $1 \leq m < 2$, we deduce from (1.1) and (1.3) that for any $p \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} S_m(X, Y; W) &= \sum_{\substack{d \leq X \\ (d,2)=1}} \mu^2(d) \left(\left| \sum_n \left(\frac{8d}{n} \right) W\left(\frac{n}{Y}\right) \right|^{2/p} \cdot \left| \sum_n \left(\frac{8d}{n} \right) W\left(\frac{n}{Y}\right) \right|^{2m-2/p} \right) \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{\substack{d \leq X \\ (d,2)=1}} \mu^2(d) \left| \sum_n \left(\frac{8d}{n} \right) W\left(\frac{n}{Y}\right) \right|^2 \right)^{1/p} \\ &\quad \times \left(\sum_{\substack{d \leq X \\ (d,2)=1}} \mu^2(d) \left| \sum_n \left(\frac{8d}{n} \right) W\left(\frac{n}{Y}\right) \right|^{(2m-2/p)/(1-1/p)} \right)^{1-1/p} \\ &\ll XY^m (\log X)^{1/p+(m-1/p)(2m-2/p)(1-1/p)^{-1}+1}. \end{aligned}$$

We optimize the exponent of $\log X$ by setting $1/p = 2 - m$ to obtain the desired estimation given in (1.4) for the case $1 \leq m < 2$. Note that when $0 \leq m \leq 1$, our estimations above are valid unconditionally since (1.1) holds unconditionally. As the case $m \geq 2$ in (1.4) is just that given in (1.3), this completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Acknowledgement

The authors wish to thank the anonymous referee for a careful inspection of the paper and helpful comments.

References

- [1] M. V. Armon, 'Averages of real character sums', *J. Number Theory* **77**(2) (1999), 209–226.
- [2] M. Čech, 'Moments of real Dirichlet L -functions and multiple Dirichlet series', Preprint, 2024, [arXiv:2402.07473](https://arxiv.org/abs/2402.07473).
- [3] P. Gao, 'Sharp upper bounds for moments of quadratic Dirichlet L -functions', Preprint, 2021, [arXiv:2101.08483](https://arxiv.org/abs/2101.08483).
- [4] A. J. Harper, 'Sharp conditional bounds for moments of the Riemann zeta function', Preprint, 2013, [arXiv:1305.4618](https://arxiv.org/abs/1305.4618).
- [5] H. Iwaniec and E. Kowalski, *Analytic Number Theory*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, 53 (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004).
- [6] M. Jutila, 'On character sums and class numbers', *J. Number Theory* **5** (1973), 203–214.
- [7] M. Jutila, 'On sums of real characters', *Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova* **132** (1973), 247–250.
- [8] H. L. Montgomery and R. C. Vaughan, 'Mean values of character sums', *Canad. J. Math.* **31**(3) (1979), 476–487.
- [9] Q. Shen, 'The fourth moment of quadratic Dirichlet L -functions', *Math. Z.* **298**(1–2) (2021), 713–745.
- [10] K. Soundararajan, 'Nonvanishing of quadratic Dirichlet L -functions at $s = \frac{1}{2}$ ', *Ann. of Math. (2)* **152**(2) (2000), 447–488.
- [11] B. Szabó, 'High moments of theta functions and character sums', *Mathematika* **70**(2) (2024), Article no. e12242, 37 pages.
- [12] H. Virtanen, 'The mean fourth power of real character sums', *Acta Arith.* **103**(3) (2002), 249–257.

PENG GAO, School of Mathematical Sciences,
Beihang University, Beijing 100191, PR China
e-mail: penggao@buaa.edu.cn

LIANGYI ZHAO, School of Mathematics and Statistics,
University of New South Wales, Sydney, NSW 2052, Australia
e-mail: l.zhao@unsw.edu.au