

SATURATED FORMATIONS AND SYLOW NORMALISERS

A. D'ANIELLO, C. DE VIVO AND G. GIORDANO

Sufficient conditions are provided in order that some classes of finite soluble groups, defined by properties of the Sylow normalisers, are saturated formations.

0. INTRODUCTION

Let $h : \mathbb{P} \rightarrow \{\text{group classes}\}$ be a function which associates with each p a (possibly empty) class of groups $h(p)$, contained in some universe \mathcal{B} of finite groups. The operation N on the functions $\mathbb{P} \rightarrow \{\text{group classes}\}$ is defined as follows:

$$Nh := (G \in \mathcal{B} \mid N_G(G_p) \in h(p), \text{ for every prime } p \text{ which divides } |G|)$$

where $G_p \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$.

In this paper we provide sufficient conditions in order that Nh is a saturated formation, we suggest a way to construct a wide class of such saturated formations and a local definition for them.

It is easy to observe that, if $h(p)$ is \mathcal{Q} -closed (closed under epimorphic images), for every prime p , then Nh is \mathcal{Q} -closed, whereas nothing analogous occurs for other frequently used closure operations. For instance, if $h(p)$ is the class \mathcal{T} of finite groups with ordered Sylow tower (for every prime p), \mathcal{T} is an \mathcal{S} -closed saturated Fitting formation, instead $N\mathcal{T} = Nh$ is neither a formation nor a Fitting class ($N\mathcal{T}$ is closed under none of the operations R_o, N_0, S_n). The classes Nh can have some interesting properties, though they do not inherit the closure properties of the classes $h(p)$, an example is provided by the class $N\mathcal{U}$, where \mathcal{U} is the formation of supersoluble groups. The class $N\mathcal{U}$ has been studied in 1988 by Fedri and Serena [5] and in 1991 by the same authors with Bryce [3].

The operation N was introduced in 1970 by Glaubermann [6], who proved that, if x is the formation function defined for each $p \in \mathbb{P}$ by $x(p) = \mathcal{S}_p = \text{class of } p\text{-groups}$, then $Nx = \bigcup_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \mathcal{S}_p$.

In 1986 Bianchi, Gillio and Hauck [2], generalising the cited result of Glaubermann, proved that $N\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}$, where \mathcal{N} is the formation of nilpotent groups. A further generalisation was obtained in 1999 by Ballester-Bolinches and Shemetkov [1], who proved

Received 15th April, 2003

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9727/04 \$A2.00+0.00.

that, if y is the formation function which associates with each prime p the formation $y(p) = \mathcal{F}_{p'}\mathcal{S}_p$ of p -nilpotent groups, then $Ny = \mathcal{N}$

As a concrete example we shall consider a saturated formation function introduced by Huppert in [7], and as corollaries we get the results of Fedri and Serena on the class $N\mathcal{U}$ and, for soluble groups only, the result of Ballester-Bolinchés and Shemetkov [1]. An interesting corollary is also the following one:

The class of soluble groups, in which normalisers of Sylow p -subgroups are p -supersoluble, is a saturated formation (see Theorem B).

Most of our notation is standard and can be found in [4]. “Group” will stand for “finite soluble group”.

1. THE MAIN RESULT

In this section we prove the following theorem:

THEOREM 1.1. *Let g be a formation function and π a set of primes such that $\mathcal{S}_{p'} \cap \mathcal{S}_{\pi'} \subseteq g(p) \subseteq \mathcal{S}_{\pi'}$, for all primes p . Then Ng is a formation. Moreover, if g is a saturated formation function, then Ng is a saturated formation.*

PROOF: We have already observed that Ng is a homomorph. Now we prove that Ng is R_0 -closed. On the assumption that it is not let G be a group in $R_0(Ng) \setminus Ng$. Since G is in $R_0(Ng)$, it has two normal subgroups K_1 and K_2 such that $G/K_i \in Ng$ ($i = 1, 2$), and $K_1 \cap K_2 = 1$.

On the other hand, since $G \notin Ng$, there exists a prime p dividing $|G|$ such that $N_G(G_p) \notin g(p)$. If p divides G/K_i (for $i = 1, 2$), we obtain

$$N_G(G_p)/K_i \cap N_G(G_p) \in g(p),$$

from which the contradiction $N_G(G_p) \in g(p)$ follows, because $g(p)$ is R_0 -closed. Suppose, without loss of generality, $G/K_1 \in \mathcal{S}_{p'}$. Since $K_1 \cap K_2 = 1$, we get $N_G(G_p)/K_2 \cap N_G(G_p) \in g(p)$. If $G/K_1 \in \mathcal{S}_{\pi'}$, we have $N_G(G_p)/K_1 \cap N_G(G_p) \in \mathcal{S}_{p'} \cap \mathcal{S}_{\pi'} \subseteq g(p)$ and so once more we have the contradiction $N_G(G_p) \in g(p)$. Let $q \in \pi$ be a prime dividing $|G/K_1|$. Since $G/K_1 \in Ng$, we have $N_G(G_q)/K_1 \cap N_G(G_q) \in g(q) \subseteq \mathcal{S}_{\pi'}$; it follows, as $q \notin \pi'$, that q does not divide $|N_G(G_q)/K_1 \cap N_G(G_q)|$ and therefore $G_q \subseteq K_1$, obtaining the contradiction that q does not divide $|G/K_1|$. Hence the assumption that Ng is not R_0 -closed is false.

Now we are going to prove that, if $g(p)$ is saturated for every prime p , then Ng is saturated. If not then let G be a group of minimal order in $E_{\Phi}(Ng) \setminus Ng$. A routine argument shows that G is monolithic and, if N is the socle of G , we have, for some prime q , $N \subseteq \Phi(G) \subset O_q(G) = \text{Fit}(G)$ and $G/N \in Ng$. On the other hand, since $G \notin Ng$, there exists a prime p dividing $|G|$ such that $N_G(G_p) \notin g(p)$. Now, since

$G/N \in Ng$ and q divides $|G/N|$ (because $N \subseteq \Phi(G)$), we have $N_G(G_q)/N \in g(q) \subseteq \mathcal{S}_{\pi'}$ and $N_G(G_p)/N \cap N_G(G_p) \in g(p) \subseteq \mathcal{S}_{\pi'}$; consequently $p, q \notin \pi$ and therefore $N_G(G_p)/G_p \in \mathcal{S}_{p'} \cap \mathcal{S}_{\pi'} \subseteq g(p)$.

If $q \neq p$, we get $N \cap G_p = 1$ and obtain the contradiction $N_G(G_p) \in g(p)$; therefore $q = p$. Then, since $O_{p'}(G) = 1$ and $N \subseteq \Phi(G)$, we have $O_{p'}(N_G(G_p)/N) = 1$, which implies that $O_{p',p}(N_G(G_p)/N) = G_p/N$; consequently G_p/N is the intersection of the centralisers of all chief p -factors of $N_G(G_p)/N$.

Now a well-known theorem of Lubeseder (see [4, IV, (4.6) Theorem]) shows that $g(p)$ is locally defined by some formation function \mathcal{F} , because, by hypothesis, $g(p)$ is a saturated formation. Then, setting $N_G(G_p)/N = \Gamma$, we have $\text{Aut}_{\Gamma}(H/K) \in \mathcal{F}(p)$ for all chief p -factors H/K of Γ , that is $\Gamma/C_{\Gamma}(H/K) \in \mathcal{F}(p)$; it follows $\Gamma/O_{p',p}(\Gamma) = \Gamma/\Gamma_p \cong N_G(G_p)/G_p \in \mathcal{F}(p)$, from which we obtain that $\text{Aut}_{N_G(G_p)}(H/K) \in \mathcal{F}(p)$, for all chief p -factors H/K of $N_G(G_p)$ such that $N \subseteq K$. On the other hand, if H/K is a chief p -factor of $N_G(G_p)$ such that $H \subseteq N$, we have $G_p \subseteq C_{N_G(G_p)}(H/K)$ and so $\text{Aut}_{N_G(G_p)}(H/K) \in \mathcal{F}(p)$, because it is a homomorphic image of $N_G(G_p)/G_p \in \mathcal{F}(p)$; thus $\text{Aut}_{N_G(G_p)}(H/K) \in \mathcal{F}(p)$, for all chief p -factors H/K of $N_G(G_p)$. Now, since $N_G(G_p)/N \in g(p) = LF(\mathcal{F})$, it is obvious that, for all primes q different from p the group of automorphisms induced by $N_G(G_p)$ on a chief q -factor belongs to $\mathcal{F}(q)$. Thus we obtain the contradiction $N_G(G_p) \in LF(\mathcal{F}) = g(p)$. □

2. THE SATURATED FORMATIONS $N\tilde{f}_{\pi}$

A function $f : \mathbb{P} \rightarrow \{\text{group classes}\}$ is called a [saturated] formation function if $f(p)$ is a [saturated] formation for all $p \in \mathbb{P}$. If f is a formation function, it is well known that the class

$$LF(f) = (G \in \mathcal{S} \mid \text{Aut}_G(H/K) \in f(p), \text{ for all chief } p\text{-factors } H/K \text{ of } G).$$

is a saturated formation, called locally defined by f . Moreover every saturated formation can be locally defined.

If π is a subset of the set \mathbb{P} of all primes, the class \mathcal{S}_{π} is the class of π -groups. If G is a group, G_{π} denotes a Hall π -subgroup of G ; in particular G_p is a Sylow p -subgroup of G (if p does not divide $|G|$, $G_p = 1$).

DEFINITION 2.1: Let f be a function $\mathbb{P} \rightarrow \{\text{group classes}\}$. The function f^* is defined as follows

$$f^*(p) = (G \in \mathcal{S} \mid N_G(G_p)/G_p \in f(p)) \quad (p \in \mathbb{P}).$$

LEMMA 2.2. *If $f : \mathbb{P} \rightarrow \{\text{group classes}\}$ is a formation function, then f^* is a formation function.*

PROOF: It is easy to observe that $f^*(p)$ is a homomorph. It remains to prove that $f^*(p)$ is R_0 -closed. Let G be a group with two normal subgroups N_1 and N_2 such that $G/N_i \in f^*(p)$ ($i = 1, 2$) and $N_1 \cap N_2 = 1$. If $G_p \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$ we have

$$\frac{N_G(G_p)}{G_p(N_1 \cap N_G(G_p))} \cong \frac{N_{G/N_i}((G/N_i)_p)}{(G/N_i)_p} \in f(p) \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

It follows, since $f(p)$ is R_0 -closed, that $N_G(G_p)/G_p \in f(p)$, observing that

$$G_p(N_1 \cap N_G(G_p)) \cap G_p(N_2 \cap N_G(G_p)) = G_p.$$

Thus $G \in f^*(p)$. □

DEFINITIONS 2.3: Let f be a formation function and π be a (possibly empty) set of primes. The formation function f/π is defined as follows:

$$(f/\pi)(p) := \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } p \in \pi \\ f(p) & \text{if } p \notin \pi \end{cases} \quad (p \in \mathbb{P}).$$

For every prime p the formation function $(f/\pi, p)$ is defined as follows:

$$(f/\pi, p)(q) := \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } q \in \pi \\ f(p) & \text{if } q = p \notin \pi \\ \mathcal{S} & \text{if } q \neq p \text{ and } q \notin \pi \end{cases} \quad (q \in \mathbb{P}).$$

The saturated formation $LF((f/\pi, p))$ locally defined by the formation function $(f/\pi, p)$ will be denoted by $\tilde{f}_\pi(p)$. Thus two formation functions are defined:

$$\tilde{f}_\pi : p \in \mathbb{P} \rightarrow \tilde{f}_\pi(p) \quad \text{and} \quad f_\pi^* : p \in \mathbb{P} \rightarrow (f/\pi)^*(p).$$

If $\pi = \emptyset$, we shall use the following notation:

$$(f, p) := (f/\emptyset, p) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{f} := \tilde{f}_\emptyset.$$

The main result is the following theorem.

THEOREM A. *Let f be a formation function and π a set of primes. Then:*

- (i) $N\tilde{f}_\pi$ is a saturated formation;
- (ii) $N\tilde{f}_\pi$ is locally defined by the formation function f_π^* .

Before we proceed with the proof, let us state some easy consequences of the definitions.

PROPOSITION 2.4. *Let f be a formation function and π a set of primes. Then, for every prime p , we have*

$$\mathcal{S}_{p'} \cap \mathcal{S}_{\pi'} \subseteq \tilde{f}_{\pi}(p) \subseteq \mathcal{S}_{\pi'}$$

and therefore $N\tilde{f}_{\pi} \subseteq \mathcal{S}_{\pi'}$. In particular:

- (i) $\tilde{f}_{\pi}(p) = \mathcal{S}_{\pi'}$, for every $p \in \pi$;
- (ii) if $\pi = \emptyset$, $\mathcal{S}_{p'} \subseteq \tilde{f}(p)$ for every prime p .

PROOF: Let $G \in \tilde{f}_{\pi}(p) = LF((f/\pi, p))$ and let q be a prime dividing $|G|$. If H/K is a chief q -factor of G , we have $\text{Aut}_G(H/K) \neq \emptyset$ and so $(f/\pi, p)(q) \neq \emptyset$; therefore, by definition, $q \notin \pi$. Hence G is a π' -group. Now let $G \in \mathcal{S}_{p'} \cap \mathcal{S}_{\pi'}$. If H/K is a chief q -factor of G , then $q \neq p$ and $q \notin \pi$; therefore, by definition, $(f/\pi, p)(q) = \mathcal{S}$ and so $\text{Aut}_G(H/K) \in (f/\pi, p)(q)$. Hence $G \in \tilde{f}_{\pi}(p)$. □

The inclusion $N\tilde{f}_{\pi} \subseteq \mathcal{S}_{\pi'}$ is an obvious consequence of the definitions and of the inclusion $\tilde{f}_{\pi}(p) \subseteq \mathcal{S}_{\pi'}$.

PROPOSITION 2.5. *Let f be a formation function and π a set of primes. Then:*

$$f_{\pi}^*(p) = \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } p \in \pi \\ f^*(p) & \text{if } p \notin \pi \end{cases} \quad (p \in \mathbb{P}).$$

In particular, if $\pi = \emptyset$, $f_{\emptyset}^* = f^*$.

PROOF: It follows easily from definitions. □

PROPOSITION 2.6. *Let f be a formation function and π a set of primes. Then, for every prime p , we have:*

$$\tilde{f}_{\pi}(p) = \mathcal{S}_{\pi'} \cap \tilde{f}(p).$$

PROOF: It follows easily from the definitions. □

PROPOSITION 2.7. *Let f be a formation function and π a set of primes. Then $LF(f_{\pi}^*) = \mathcal{S}_{\pi'} \cap LF(f^*)$.*

PROOF: Let $G \in LF(f_{\pi}^*)$ and let p be a prime dividing $|G|$. If H/K is a chief p -factor of G we have $\text{Aut}_G(H/K) \in f_{\pi}^*(p)$, that is $G/C \in f_{\pi}^*$ where $C = C_G(H/K)$. Therefore, by definition of $f_{\pi}^* = (f/\pi)^*$, we obtain that

$$N_G(G_p)/G_p(C \cap N_G(G_p)) \in (f/\pi)(p);$$

it follows $(f/\pi)(p) \neq \emptyset$ and so $p \notin \pi$ and $\text{Aut}_G(H/K) \in f^*(p)$. Thus

$$G \in \mathcal{S}_{\pi'} \cap LF(f^*).$$

The inclusion $S_{\pi'} \cap LF(f^*) \subseteq LF(f^*_\pi)$ is an easy consequence of the definitions. \square

PROPOSITION 2.8. *Let f be a formation function and π a set of primes.*

Then:

$$N\tilde{f}_\pi = S_{\pi'} \cap N\tilde{f}.$$

PROOF: It follows easily from the definitions. \square

THEOREM 2.9. (A. 1st part.) *Let f be a formation function and π a set of primes. Then $N\tilde{f}_\pi$ is a saturated formation.*

PROOF: It follows immediately from Theorem 1.1, by recalling Proposition 2.4. \square

THEOREM 2.10. *Let f be a formation function. Then $N\tilde{f}$ is a saturated formation and is locally defined by the formation function f^* .*

PROOF: First we prove the inclusion $N\tilde{f} \subseteq LF(f^*)$. Let G be a group of minimal order in $N\tilde{f} \setminus LF(f^*)$. Since $LF(f^*)$ is a saturated formation, G belongs to the Q -boundary of $LF(f^*)$ and so G is primitive. Then we have $G = KN$, where $N = \text{Soc}(G) = O_p(G)$ (for some prime p) and $K \in LF(f^*)$. Since $G \notin LF(f^*)$ we have

$$\text{Aut}_G(N) \cong G/N \cong K \notin f^*(p),$$

that is $N_K(K_p)/K_p \notin f(p)$, where $K_p = K \cap G_p$. On the other hand, since $G \in N\tilde{f}$, we have

$$N_G(G_p) = NN_K(K_p) \in \tilde{f}(p) = LF((f, p)),$$

that is $N_G(G_p)/C_{N_G(G_p)}(A/B) \in f(p)$, for all chief p -factors A/B of $N_G(G_p)$; it follows that $N_G(G_p)/G_p \in f(p)$, because $G_p = O_{p',p}(N_G(G_p))$; then, since $N_G(G_p)/G_p \cong N_K(K_p)/K_p$, we obtain the contradiction $N_K(K_p)/K_p \in f(p)$.

Now we are going to prove the inclusion $LF(f^*) \subseteq N\tilde{f}$.

Let G be a group of minimal order in $LF(f^*) \setminus N\tilde{f}$. Since $N\tilde{f}$ is a saturated formation (Theorem 2.9), G belongs to the Q -boundary of $N\tilde{f}$ and so is primitive. Then, as above, $G = KN$, where $N = \text{Soc}(G) = O_p(G)$ is a minimal normal subgroup of G and $K \in N\tilde{f}$. Since $G \notin N\tilde{f}$, there exists a prime q dividing $|G|$ such that $N_G(G_q) \notin \tilde{f}(q) = LF((f, q))$. If $q \neq p$, we may suppose $G_q = K_q$ ($G_q \subseteq K$) and therefore $N_G(G_q) = C_N(K_q)N_K(K_q) \notin \tilde{f}(q)$, so there exists a chief q -factor A/B of $N_K(K_q)$ such that $N_K(K_q)/C_{N_K(K_q)}(A/B) \notin f(q)$ and this contradicts $K \in N\tilde{f}$. Thus $q = p$. Therefore we have

$$N_G(G_p) = NN_K(K_p) \notin \tilde{f}(p) = LF((f, p))$$

(where $K_p = K \cap G_p$) and so $N_G(G_p)/O_{p,p'}(N_G(G_p)) \notin f(p)$. On the other hand, since $G/N \in N\tilde{f}$ and $O_{p',p}(N_G(G_p)) = G_p$, we get

$$N_G(G_p)/O_{p',p}(N_G(G_p)) = N_G(G_p)/G_p \in f^*(p),$$

from which we obtain the contradiction $N_G(G_p) \in \tilde{f}(p)$. □

THEOREM 2.11. (A. 2nd part.) *Let f be a formation function and π a set of primes. Then $N \tilde{f}_\pi = LF(f_\pi^*)$.*

PROOF: It follows immediately from Theorem 2.10, by recalling Propositions 2.7 and 2.8. □

3. SOME APPLICATIONS OF THEOREM A

In this section, by choosing particular formation functions and set of primes in Theorem A, we obtain some interesting examples of saturated formations, that can be defined by the operation N and for which therefore it can also be obtained a local definition.

Moreover we obtain, as corollaries, a result of Fedri and Serena on the class $N \mathcal{U}$ [5] and, for soluble groups only, the result of Ballester-Bolinches and Shemetkov cited in the introduction [1].

A class of meaningful examples is obtained if we consider the following well-known saturated formation functions \tilde{a}_n (n a positive integer), which have been introduced by B. Huppert in [7] (see [8, VI, 8]).

Let n be a positive integer. The formation function a_n is defined as follows:

$$a_n : p \in \mathbb{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{p^{n-1}}$$

where $\mathcal{A}_{p^{n-1}}$ is the formation of Abelian groups whose exponent divides $p^n - 1$.

Denote by $\pi(n)$ the set of primes which divide n . According to our definitions we have, for every prime p :

$$(a_n/\pi(n), p) : q \in \mathbb{P} \rightarrow \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } q \mid n \\ \mathcal{A}_{p^{n-1}} & \text{if } q = p \nmid n \\ \mathcal{S} & \text{if } q \neq p \text{ and } q \nmid n \end{cases}$$

Let $\tilde{a}_n := (\tilde{a}_n)_{\pi(n)}$, that is $\tilde{a}_n(p) = LF((a_n/\pi(n), p))$, ($p \in \mathbb{P}$). It is well known that

$$\tilde{a}_n(p) = \left(G \in \mathcal{S} \mid (|G|, n) = 1 \text{ and } \bar{r}_p(G) \text{ either divides } n \text{ or is } 0 \right)$$

where $\bar{r}_p(G)$ is the arithmetic p -rank of G (see [8, VI, 8.3 Hilfsatz]).

We deduce immediately the following result.

THEOREM B. *Let n be a positive integer. Then the class $N\tilde{a}_n$ is a saturated formation and it is locally defined by the formation function*

$$a_n^* : p \in \mathbb{P} \rightarrow \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } p \mid n \\ (G \in \mathcal{S} \mid N_G(G_p)/G_p \in \mathcal{A}_{p^{n-1}}) & \text{if } p \nmid n \end{cases}$$

In particular (for $n = 1$): the class $N\tilde{a}_1$ of groups, in which normalisers of Sylow p -subgroups are p -supersoluble, is a saturated formation and is locally defined by the formation function

$$a_1^* : p \in \mathbb{P} \rightarrow (G \in \mathcal{S} \mid N_G(G_p)/G_p \in \mathcal{A}_{p-1}).$$

THEOREM. (Fedri-Serena, [5, Proposition 1.2].) *Let p and q be primes. Then $\mathcal{S}_{\{p,q\}} \cap N\mathcal{U}$ is a saturated formation and is locally defined by the formation function*

$$a_{\{p,q\}}^* : t \in \mathbb{P} \rightarrow \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } t \neq p, q \\ (G \in \mathcal{S} \mid N_G(G_p)/G_p \in \mathcal{A}_{t-1}) & \text{if } t = p \text{ or } q. \end{cases}$$

PROOF: It is enough to observe that $\mathcal{S}_{\{p,q\}} \cap N\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{S}_{\{p,q\}} \cap N\tilde{a}_1$, therefore the statement follows immediately from Theorem B. □

The following result is well known.

PROPOSITION 3.3. *The (saturated) formation locally defined by the formation function*

$$c : p \in \mathbb{P} \rightarrow (G \in \mathcal{S} \mid \text{Syl}_p(G) = \text{Carter}(G))$$

coincides with the formation \mathcal{N} of nilpotent groups.

THEOREM. (Ballester-Bolinches and Shemetkov, [1, Corollary 3].) *If the normalisers of Sylow p -subgroups of a group G are p -nilpotent for every prime p , then G is nilpotent.*

PROOF: Let e be the formation function defined by $e(p) = 1$, for all primes p . In our notation we have

$$(e, p)(q) = \begin{cases} (1) & \text{if } q = p \\ \mathcal{S} & \text{if } q \neq p \end{cases} \quad (q \in \mathbb{P})$$

and so $\tilde{e}(p) = \mathcal{S}_p \mathcal{S}_p$ is the formation of p -nilpotent groups. Then from Theorem 2.3 we deduce that $N\tilde{e}$ is locally defined by the formation function

$$e^* : p \in \mathbb{P} \rightarrow e^*(p) = (G \in \mathcal{S} \mid N_G(G_p)/G_p = 1) = (G \in \mathcal{S} \mid \text{Syl}_p(G) = \text{Carter}(G)).$$

It follows, by Proposition 3.3, that $N\tilde{e} = \mathcal{N}$ is the formation of nilpotent groups. □

REFERENCES

[1] A. Ballester-Bolinches and L.A. Shemetkov, ‘On Normalizers of Sylow Subgroups in Finite Groups’, *Siberian Math. J.* **40** (1999), 1–2.

- [2] M.G. Bianchi, A. Gillio Berta Mauri and P. Hauck, 'On finite groups with nilpotent Sylow normalizers', *Arch. Math.* **47** (1986), 193–197.
- [3] R.A. Bryce, V. Fedri and L. Serena, 'Bounds on the Fitting length of finite soluble groups with supersoluble Sylow normalizers', *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **44** (1991), 19–31.
- [4] K. Doerk and T. Hawkes, *Finite soluble groups*, de Gruyter Expositions in Maths. **4** (W. de Gruyter, Berlin, 1992).
- [5] V. Fedri and L. Serena, 'Finite soluble groups with supersoluble Sylow normalizers', *Arch. Math.* **50** (1988), 11–18.
- [6] G. Glaubermann, 'Prime-power factor groups of finite groups II.', *Math. Z.* **117** (1970), 46–56.
- [7] B. Huppert, 'Zur Gaschützchen theorie der formationen', *Math. Ann.* **164** (1966), 133–141.
- [8] B. Huppert, *Endliche Gruppen I* (Springer Verlag, Berlin, New York, 1967).

Dipartimento di Matematica e Applicazioni "R. Caccioppoli"

Università di Napoli "Federico II"

Complesso Monte S. Angelo, Edificio T, via Cintia

80125 Napoli

Italy

e-mail: daniello@unina.it

clorinda.devivo@dma.unina.it

gabriele.giordano@dma.unina.it