Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. Vol. 49 (1994) [159-164]

ON WEAKLY SI-MODULES

NGUYEN VAN SANH

In this note we characterise finitely generated self-projective R-modules M satisfying the property that every non-zero M-singular R-module contains a non-zero M-injective submodule.

1. Introduction

Rings characterised by the property that every singular right R-module is injective, briefly SI-rings, have been introduced and investigated by Goodearl [2]. Later Rizvi, Yousif [5] and Sanh [4] have studied the class of rings for which every singular right R-module is continuous (briefly, SC-rings). A right R-module is called an SI-module [1] (respectively SC-module) if every M-singular right R-module is M-injective (respectively continuous). In this note we study a class of rings characterised by the property that every non-zero singular right R-module contains a non-zero injective submodule. We call them weakly SI-rings (briefly, WSI-rings). Similarly, an R-module M is called a WSI-module if every M-singular R-module contains a non-zero M-injective submodule. Clearly every SI-module is a WSI-module. We present here some characterisations of finitely generated self-projective WSI-modules.

2. RESULTS

Throughout this note R is an associative ring with identity and $\operatorname{Mod} - R$ the category of unitary right R-modules. For $M \in \operatorname{Mod} - R$, we denote by $\sigma[M]$ the full subcategory of $\operatorname{Mod} - R$ whose objects are submodules of M-generated modules (see Wisbauer [7]). A module M is called self-projective if it is M-projective. $\operatorname{Soc}(M)$, $\operatorname{Rad}(M)$ and $\operatorname{Z}(M)$ denote the socle, radical and singular submodule of the module M, respectively.

Let M and N be R-modules. Then N is called singular in $\sigma[M]$ or M-singular if there exists a module L in $\sigma[M]$ containing an essential submodule K such that $N \simeq L/K$ (see [6]).

Received 30th March, 1993

I would like to thank my supervisor, Professor Dinh van Huynh, for many useful discussions and helpful comments.

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9729/94 \$A2.00+0.00.

By definition, every M-singular right R-module belongs to $\sigma[M]$. For M=R the notion of R-singular is identical to the usual definition of singular R-modules (see [2]).

The class of all M-singular modules is closed under submodules, homomorphic images and direct sums (see Wisbauer [7, 17.3 and 17.4]). Hence every module $N \in \sigma[M]$ contains a largest M-singular submodule which we denote by $Z_M(N)$. The following properties of M-singular modules are shown in [6, 1.1] and [8, 2.4].

LEMMA 1. Let M be an R-module.

- (1) A simple R-module E is M-singular or M-projective.
- (2) If Soc(M) = 0, then every simple module in $\sigma[M]$ is M-singular.
- (3) If M is self-projective and $Z_M(M) = 0$, then the M-singular modules form a hereditary torsion class in $\sigma[M]$.

A ring R (respectively a module M) is called a right V-ring (respectively V-module) if every simple right R-module is injective (respectively M-injective). By using an argument similar to that given in [3], we have:

LEMMA 2. Let M be a finitely generated right R-module with $Z_M(M) = 0$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) Every simple M-singular module is M-injective;
- (2) Rad(N) = 0 for every M-singular module N;
- (3) Every proper essential submodule N of M is an intersection of maximal submodules of M.

PROOF: (1) \Rightarrow (2). Let N be a M-singular right R-module. If $0 \neq x \in N$, then by Zorn's Lemma there is a submodule Y of N which is maximal among the submodules X of N with $x \notin X$. Let D denote the intersection of all submodules S of N with $S \supset Y$ but $S \neq Y$. Then $x \in D$ and D/Y is simple. Since D/Y is also M-singular, it is M-injective. Therefore $N/Y = D/Y \oplus K/Y$, where K is a submodule of N containing Y. Since x cannot be contained in K, it follows that Y is a maximal submodule of N. Hence Rad(N) = 0 because for every $x \in N$ there is a maximal submodule Y of N such that $x \notin Y$.

- (2) \Rightarrow (3). Since for every proper essential submodule N of M, M/N is M-singular, we have Rad(M/N) = 0 by (2). This shows that the intersection of all maximal submodules containing N equals N, proving (3).
- (3) \Rightarrow (1). Now let S be a simple M-singular right R-module and $\rho: X \to M$ be a monomorphism and $\alpha \in \operatorname{Hom}_R(X,S)$. Without loss of generality we may assume that α is nonzero, $\rho(X) = X \subset M$ and X is essential in M. If $Y = \ker(\alpha)$, then, since $Z_M(M) = 0$ and S is M-singular, Y must be essential in X and therefore by (3) there is a maximal submodule Q of M such that $Q \supset Y$, and $Q \not\supseteq X$. Since X/Y

is a simple R-module, $Q \cap X = Y$. Therefore

$$M/Y = (Q + X)/Y = Q/Y \oplus X/Y.$$

Thus α can be extended to an R-module homomorphism $\widetilde{\alpha} \in \operatorname{Hom}_R(M, S)$. Hence S is M-injective. This completes the proof of the Lemma.

From this Lemma we have:

COROLLARY 3. Let R be a right non-singular ring. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) Every simple singular right R-module is injective;
- (2) Rad(M) = 0 for every singular right R-module M;
- (3) Every proper essential right ideal of R is an intersection of maximal right ideals of R.

PROPOSITION 4. Let M be a finitely generated, self-projective WSI-module. Then

- $(1) \quad Z_M(M)=0;$
- (2) Every simple M-singular right R-module is M-injective;
- (3) Rad(N) = 0 for every M-singular right R-module N;
- (4) Every proper essential submodule of M is an intersection of maximal submodules of M;
- (5) Every simple right R-module is M-injective or M-projective;
- (6) Soc(M) is M-projective;
- (7) $Rad(M) \subset Soc(M)$.

PROOF: (1) If $Z_M(M) \neq 0$ then $Z_M(M)$ contains a non-zero M-injective submodule which is then M-projective, a contradiction. Hence we must have $Z_M(M) = 0$, proving (1).

(2) Clearly, if N is simple and M-singular, then N is M-injective.

From Lemma 2 we have (3), (4) and from [6, Proposition 2.1] we have (5).

- (6) Let S be a simple submodule of M. Since by (1), $Z_M(M) = 0$, then S is not M-singular, hence S is M-projective by Lemma 1. Therefore Soc(M) is M-projective.
- (7) For every essential submodule A of M, M/A is M-singular and hence Rad(M/A) = 0, by Lemma 2. This implies $Rad(M) \subset A$, that is, $Rad(M) \subset Soc(M)$, since Soc(M) is the intersection of all essential submodules of M.

COROLLARY 5. Let R be a right WSI-ring. Then

- $(1) \quad Z(R_R) = 0;$
- (2) Every simple singular right R-module is injective;
- (3) Rad(M) = 0 for every singular right R-module M;

- (4) Every proper essential right ideal of R is an intersection of maximal right ideals of R;
- (5) Every simple right R-module is injective or projective;
- (6) $Soc(R_R)$ is projective;
- (7) $Rad(R) \subset Soc(R_R);$
- (8) $(Rad(R))^2 = 0;$
- (9) $I^2 = I$ for every essential right ideal I of R.

PROOF: The statements from (1) to (7) are clear by Proposition 4.

- (8). It follows from (7) that $(Rad(R))^2 \subset [Soc(R_R)][Rad(R)] = 0$.
- (9). Suppose on the contrary that for some essential right ideal I of R, there exists an $x \in I \setminus I^2$. First we see that if I and J are essential in R, then R/I and I/IJ are singular. Since R/IJ is an extension of I/IJ by R/I it must be singular, hence IJ is essential in R (see [2, Proposition 1.7]). In particular, I^2 is essential in R for every essential right ideal I of R. Then by (4) above, there exists a maximal right ideal M of R with $M \supset I^2$ but $x \notin M$. Observing that M + xR = R, we infer that $x \in Mx + xRx$. However, since $xRx \subset I^2 \subset M$, this leads to the contradiction that $x \in M$.

COROLLARY 6. If R/Rad(R) is semisimple, then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) R is a right WSI-ring;
- (2) R is a right SI-ring;
- (3) R is a left SI-ring;
- (4) R is a left WSI-ring.

PROOF: By Corollary 5, if R is right WSI, then R is right non-singular and $(Rad(R))^2 = 0$. Then [2, Proposition 3.5] applies.

PROPOSITION 7. Let M be a finitely generated WSI-module. Then M/Soc(M) is a V-module.

PROOF: We see from Lemma 2 and its proof that if M is a finitely generated WSI-module then every essential proper submodule of M is an intersection of maximal submodules of M. Therefore by [9, Lemma 4] we see that M/Soc(M) is a V-module. \square

THEOREM 8. Let M be a finitely generated self-projective right R-module. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) M is an SI-module;
- (2) M is an SC-module with $Z_M(M) = 0$;
- (3) M/Soc(M) is a V-module, $Z_M(M) = 0$ and for every essential proper submodule K of M, M/K is finitely cogenerated;

(4) M is a WSI-module and for every essential submodule K of M, M/K has finite uniform dimension.

PROOF: (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) \Leftrightarrow (3) from [4, Proposition 6 and Theorem 3].

- $(1) \Rightarrow (4)$ is clear.
- $(4)\Rightarrow (1)$. Let K be an essential submodule of M. Then by (4), M/K has finite uniform dimension, say m. From this there exist finitely many independent uniform submodules, say $\overline{U}_1,\ldots,\overline{U}_m$, of M/K such that $\overline{U}_1\oplus\cdots\oplus\overline{U}_m$ is essential in M/K. Since M is WSI and M/K is M-singular, we easily see that $\overline{U}_1\oplus\cdots\oplus\overline{U}_m$ is semisimple and M-injective. It follows that M/K is semisimple. This shows that M/K is semisimple for every essential submodule K of M, therefore M is an SI-module by [1, Proposition 1.3], since we have $Z_M(M)=0$ by Proposition 4.

From this Theorem we obtain the following Corollary:

COROLLARY 9. Let R be a ring, the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) R is a right SI-ring;
- (2) R is a right SC-ring with $Z_r(R) = 0$;
- (3) $R/Soc(R_R)$ is a right V-ring, $Z_M(M) = 0$ and for every essential proper right ideal K of R, R/K is finitely cogenerated;
- (4) R is a right WSI-ring and for every essential right ideal K of R, R/K has finite uniform dimension.

QUESTION. Is every right WSI-ring necessarily right SI?

REFERENCES

- D. van Huynh and R. Wisbauer, 'A structure theorem for SI-modules', Glasgow Math. J. 34 (1992), 83-89.
- [2] K.R. Goodearl, Singular torsion and the splitting properties (Memoirs of the Amer. Math. Soc., No 124, 1972).
- [3] G.O. Michler and O.E. Villamayor, 'On rings whose simple modules are injective', J. Algebra 25 (1973), 185-201.
- [4] N. van Sanh, 'On SC-modules', Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 48 (1993), 251-255.
- [5] S.T. Rizvi and M.F. Yousif, 'On continuous and singular modules', in Non-commutative ring theory, Lecture Notes Math. 1448, Proc. Conf. Athens/OH (USA) 1989 (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1990), pp. 116-124.
- [6] R. Wisbauer, 'Generalized co-semisimple modules', Comm. Algebra 18 (1990), 4235-4253.
- [7] R. Wisbauer, Foundations of module and ring theory (Gordon and Breach, London, Tokyo, 1991).
- [8] R. Wisbauer, 'Localization of modules and the central closure of rings', Comm. Algebra 9 (1981), 1455-1493.

[9] M.F. Yousif, 'V-modules with Krull dimension', Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 37 (1988), 237-240.

Department of Mathematics Hue Teachers' Training College Hue Vietnam