

## Original Article

**Cite this article:** Vos M, Wang R, Rommelse NNJ, Snieder H, Larsson H, Hartman CA (2024). Familial co-aggregation and shared familiarity among neurodevelopmental problems and with aggressive behavior, depression, anxiety, and substance use. *Psychological Medicine* **54**, 4820–4832. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S003329172400309X>

Received: 26 March 2024  
Revised: 18 October 2024  
Accepted: 28 October 2024  
First published online: 16 December 2024


**Keywords:**

ADHD; ASD; familial co-aggregation; multigenerational family study; shared familiarity

**Corresponding author:**

Melissa Vos;  
Email: [m.vos03@umcg.nl](mailto:m.vos03@umcg.nl)

# Familial co-aggregation and shared familiarity among neurodevelopmental problems and with aggressive behavior, depression, anxiety, and substance use

Melissa Vos<sup>1</sup> , Rujia Wang<sup>2</sup>, Nanda N. J. Rommelse<sup>3,4</sup>, Harold Snieder<sup>2</sup>, Henrik Larsson<sup>5,6</sup> and Catharina A. Hartman<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Psychiatry, Interdisciplinary Center Psychopathology and Emotion Regulation, University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands; <sup>2</sup>Department of Epidemiology, University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands; <sup>3</sup>Department of Psychiatry, Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, The Netherlands; <sup>4</sup>Karakter Child and Adolescent Psychiatry University Center, Nijmegen, The Netherlands; <sup>5</sup>Department of Medical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden and <sup>6</sup>School of Medical Sciences, Örebro University, Örebro, Sweden

**Abstract**

**Objective.** To refine the knowledge on familial transmission, we examined the (shared) familial components among neurodevelopmental problems (i.e. two attention-deficit/hyperactivity-impulsivity disorder [ADHD] and six autism spectrum disorder [ASD] subdomains) and with aggressive behavior, depression, anxiety, and substance use.

**Methods.** Data were obtained from a cross-sectional study encompassing 37 688 participants across three generations from the general population. ADHD subdomains, ASD subdomains, aggressive behavior, depression, anxiety, and substance use were assessed. To evaluate familial (co-)aggregation, recurrence risk ratios ( $\lambda_R$ ) were estimated using Cox proportional hazards models. The (shared) familiarity ( $f^2$ ), which is closely related to (shared) heritability, was assessed using residual maximum likelihood-based variance decomposition methods. All analyses were adjusted for sex, age, and age<sup>2</sup>.

**Results.** The familial aggregation and familiarity of neurodevelopmental problems were moderate ( $\lambda_R = 2.40\text{--}4.04$ ;  $f^2 = 0.22\text{--}0.39$ ). The familial co-aggregation and shared familiarity among neurodevelopmental problems ( $\lambda_R = 1.39\text{--}2.56$ ;  $r_F = 0.52\text{--}0.94$ ), and with aggressive behavior ( $\lambda_R = 1.79\text{--}2.56$ ;  $r_F = 0.60\text{--}0.78$ ), depression ( $\lambda_R = 1.45\text{--}2.29$ ;  $r_F = 0.43\text{--}0.76$ ), and anxiety ( $\lambda_R = 1.44\text{--}2.31$ ;  $r_F = 0.62\text{--}0.84$ ) were substantial. The familial co-aggregation and shared familiarity between all neurodevelopmental problems and all types of substance use were weak ( $\lambda_R = 0.53\text{--}1.57$ ;  $r_F = -0.06\text{--}0.35$ ).

**Conclusions.** Neurodevelopmental problems belonging to the same disorder were more akin than cross-disorder problems. That said, there is a clear (shared) familial component to neurodevelopmental problems, in part shared with other psychiatric problems (except for substance use). This suggests that neurodevelopmental disorders, disruptive behavior disorders, and internalizing disorders share genetic and environmental risk factors.

**Introduction**

Neurodevelopmental disorders typically manifest early in development and are characterized by learning difficulties, deficits in executive functioning, and/or reduced social skills that result in impairments of personal, social, academic, or occupational functioning (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). Attention-deficit/hyperactivity-impulsivity disorder (ADHD) and autism spectrum disorder (ASD) are among the most common neurodevelopmental disorders. The prevalence of ADHD in childhood is estimated between 5% and 7% (Polanczyk, de Lima, Horta, Biederman, & Rohde, 2007; Polanczyk, Salum, Sugaya, Caye, & Rohde, 2015). In adulthood the prevalence is estimated around 3.5% (Fayyad et al., 2007). ASD is highly persistent with an estimated prevalence of around 1% throughout the lifespan (Lai, Lombardo, & Baron-Cohen, 2014; McManus et al., 2011). Neurodevelopmental disorders often co-occur with each other and with other psychiatric disorders, both within individuals and within families (American Psychiatric Association, 2013; Chen et al., 2017; Ghirardi et al., 2018). Twin and molecular genetic studies have established that shared additive genetic factors play a key role in both the comorbidity and familial co-aggregation of psychiatric disorders (Ask et al., 2021; Friedman, Banich, & Keller, 2021; Posthuma & Polderman, 2013; Tick, Bolton, Happé, Rutter, & Rijdsdijk, 2016). Compared to these studies, the number of family studies examining the inter-generational transmission of psychiatric disorders is limited. Shedding light on the familial

© The Author(s), 2024. Published by Cambridge University Press. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.

component of psychopathology aids in enhancing etiological understanding. When psychiatric disorders co-occur, the negative consequences that patients and their families experience are worse than the consequences that they experience from each condition alone (Miranda, Berenguer, Colomer, & Rosello, 2014). Knowledge on the inter-generational transmission of ADHD and ASD can thus also be used for early diagnosis in children and effective prevention of these disorders and their negative consequences (e.g. low educational attainment and social isolation). In a similar way, sound knowledge of familial transmission of neurodevelopmental disorders and psychiatric disorders that have their onset later in life can aid in prevention of the latter.

ADHD and ASD often co-occur (Ghirardi et al., 2018; Ottosen et al., 2019; Polderman, Hoekstra, Posthuma, & Larsson, 2014; van Steijn et al., 2012). It has been shown that a shared genetic liability at least partly explains the comorbidity and familial co-aggregation of neurodevelopmental disorders (Consortium C-DG of the PG, 2019; Rommelse & Hartman, 2016; Solberg et al., 2019). The genetic correlation between ADHD and ASD has been estimated around 0.40 (Consortium C-DG of the PG, 2014, 2019; Demontis et al., 2019; Grove et al., 2019; Solberg et al., 2019). This knowledge may be refined by focusing on more homogeneous neurodevelopmental problems. Both ADHD and ASD are highly heterogeneous and it has already been indicated that subdomains within these disorders show different patterns of within-person co-occurrence (Panagiotidi, Overton, & Stafford, 2017). In addition, two studies have examined the shared heritability of neurodevelopmental problems. Both focused on the inattention and hyperactivity-impulsivity subdomains of ADHD and social and communication difficulties and repetitive and restricted behavior subdomains of ASD. The findings indicated that the genetic overlap is strongest between the hyperactivity-impulsivity and the repetitive and restricted behavior subdomain (Ghirardi et al., 2019; Polderman et al., 2014). While these studies distinguished two ASD subdomains, the current study will differentiate six subdomains of ASD (i.e. reduced contact, reduced empathy, violation of social conventions, reduced social insight, stereotyped behavior, and resistance to change). The inclusion of these additional subdomains increases the specificity of our findings compared to previous research.

Besides occurring together, ADHD and ASD co-occur with other psychiatric disorders. In childhood, they are often comorbid with disruptive behavior disorders, in particular ADHD (Azeredo, Moreira, & Barbosa, 2018; Mandy, Roughan, & Skuse, 2014; Maughan, Rowe, Messer, Goodman, & Meltzer, 2004; Simonoff et al., 2008). The genetic correlation between ADHD and disruptive behavior disorders is also substantial (Azeredo et al., 2018; Faraone & Larsson, 2019; Mandy et al., 2014). In recent years it has become increasingly clear that children with a neurodevelopmental disorder are also at high risk of developing psychiatric disorders that have their onset later in life. In adulthood, ADHD and ASD regularly co-occur with mood, anxiety, and substance use disorders (Chen et al., 2018; Libutzki et al., 2019; Ottosen et al., 2019; Solberg et al., 2018). It is reasonable to assume that, as for neurodevelopmental and disruptive behavior disorders, a large part of this comorbidity can be attributed to a shared genetic liability, but knowledge on the shared familiarity of neurodevelopmental disorders with other psychiatric disorders is limited, while a more detailed focus on neurodevelopmental problems is altogether absent (Consortium C-DG of the PG, 2014, 2019;

Consortium TB, 2018; Demontis et al., 2021; Derks, Vink, Willemsen, van den Brink, & Boomsma, 2014; Solberg et al., 2018; Wang, Snieder, & Hartman, 2022). In addition, the shared heritability between these disorders has mainly been established by studies of same aged twins and not by multigenerational family studies. Considering that neurodevelopmental, mood, anxiety, and substance use disorders have their onset at different stages of the lifespan, their shared heritability might have been underestimated until now.

All in all, the aims of this study were: (1) to evaluate the familial aggregation of neurodevelopmental problems, the familial co-aggregation among neurodevelopmental problems, and the familial co-aggregation of neurodevelopmental problems with aggressive behavior, depression, anxiety, and substance use and (2) to assess the familiarity of neurodevelopmental problems, shared familiarity among neurodevelopmental problems, and shared familiarity of neurodevelopmental problems with aggressive behavior, depression, anxiety, and substance use. The current study used data from a cross-sectional study implemented in the Lifelines cohort that included 37 688 participants across three generations with an age range from 5 to 91 years. For each participant ADHD subdomains, ASD subdomains, aggressive behavior, depression, anxiety, and substance use were assessed.

## Methods

### Sample

In the current paper, we analyzed the parent and/or self-report data of an add-on study that was implemented in the Lifelines Cohort Study as part of the EU-funded CoCA consortium research: comorbid conditions of ADHD (Scholtens et al., 2015; Stolk et al., 2008). Every participant with internet access of the Lifelines Cohort Study was invited to participate in this add-on study. In total, 1643 children (5–12 years old; parent-report), 853 adolescents (13–17 years old; parent and/or self-report), and 39 216 adults (18+ years old; self and/or other-report) filled in a digital survey that assessed the severity, age of onset, and impairment of various psychiatric problems. Compared to the original Lifelines Cohort Study (at baseline), participants in the CoCA add-on study are older (i.e. this is consistent with CoCA being performed around 10 years after the Lifelines baseline assessment) and more often male. Additionally, participants in the add-on study have a higher educational attainment level and socio-economic status, and less often indicate having ADHD themselves and using ADHD medication. However, as all effects are negligible (i.e. the Cohen's  $d$  effect sizes range between 0.01 and 0.13; except for a small effect size of  $d = 0.29$  for educational attainment), the CoCA add-on study should still be largely representative of the Northern part of the Netherlands. The Lifelines Cohort Study and the CoCA add-on study were approved by the ethics committee of the University Medical Centre Groningen and all participants signed an informed consent form (Scholtens et al., 2015; Stolk et al., 2008). The Lifelines Cohort Study is further described in Online Resource 1.

Concerning the CoCA family pedigree structure, for 11 356 (27.2%) participants, it was possible to determine psychopathology status of at least one first-degree relative (FDR). The FDR data contained 2595 sibling pairs and 4399 parent-child pairs. Psychopathology status of a second-degree relative could be assessed for at least 2597 (15.6%) participants. The second-degree

relative data contained 243 grandparent–grandchild pairs, 632 aunt/uncle–niece/nephew pairs, and 707 halfsibling pairs.

## Measures

### Attention-deficit/hyperactivity–impulsivity disorder

Among all participants, ADHD symptoms were assessed with the Dutch version of the ADHD DSM-IV questionnaire (DuPaul, Power, Anastopoulos, & Reid, 1998; Kooij et al., 2005). The questionnaire indicates the presence or absence of each of the 18 DSM-IV ADHD symptoms during the past 6 months. The Dutch version of the ADHD DSM-IV questionnaire has shown good psychometric properties (Kooij et al., 2005).

### Autism spectrum problems

In childhood and adolescence, autism spectrum disorder problems were assessed with the Child Social Behaviour Questionnaire (CSBQ) (Hartman, Luteijn, Moorlag, de Bildt, & Minderaa, 2008). The CSBQ indicates problems among seven subdomains during the past three months: reduced contact, reduced social insight, reduced empathy, violation of social conventions, resistance to change, stereotyped behavior, and violation of communication rules. In adulthood, autism spectrum disorder problems were assessed with the Adult Social Behaviour Questionnaire (ASBQ) (Horwitz et al., 2016). The ASBQ indicates problems among six subdomains during the past 3 months: reduced contact, reduced social insight, reduced empathy, violation of social conventions, resistance to change, and stereotyped behavior. The CSBQ and ASBQ have been shown good psychometric properties (Hartman et al., 2008; Hartman, Luteijn, Serra, & Minderaa, 2006; Horwitz et al., 2016).

In short, aggressive behavior was assessed with the aggressive behavior subscale of the Child Behaviour Checklist (CBCL) and the Adult Self Report (ASR) (Achenbach, Ivanova, & Rescorla, 2017; Achenbach & Rescorla, 2001). In childhood and adolescence, depression and anxiety were also assessed with the CBCL, in adulthood the Dutch version of the Mini-international Neuropsychiatric Interview (MINI-S) was used (Overbeek & Schruers, 2019). The frequency of substance use was directly assessed as present or absent. Assessments of neurodevelopmental and psychiatric problems are fully described in Online Resource 2.

For part of the statistical analyses (i.e. recurrence risk; see below) binary measures were needed. More information about how participants were classified as having neurodevelopmental and/or psychiatric problems can be found in Online Resource 3.

## Analysis

Familial (co-)aggregation was evaluated by estimating the recurrence risk ratio ( $\lambda_R$ ) introduced by Risch (1990). The recurrence risk ratio is defined as the ratio between the risk in those with an affected FDR and the risk of the total Lifelines population, with  $\lambda_R > 1$  indicating positive familial (co-)aggregation (i.e. elevated risk in those with positive family history). The  $\lambda_R$  was estimated using a conditional Cox proportional hazards model, adapted according to Breslow (1974). The modified model can be used to estimate prevalence ratios in a cross-sectional study by applying an equal follow-up time for all participants and has been shown to produce consistent estimates close to true limits (Barros & Hirakata, 2003; Skov, Deddens, Petersen, & Endahl, 1998). Specifically, we used the modified marginal model which can handle correlated observations due to familial clustering.

This model estimates the mean population hazard function and uses a robust sandwich method to estimate confidence intervals. The modified marginal Cox proportional hazards model has been applied and validated in previous Lifelines studies (Triatn et al., 2023; Wang et al., 2022; Zhang, Thio, Gansevoort, & Snieder, 2021). Our model was estimated using R3.5.3 software and adjusted for sex, age, and age<sup>2</sup> (to account for non-linear age effects).

The terms heritability and genetic correlation imply that familial transmission can solely be attributed to genetics. Unlike twin studies, our study cannot disentangle genetic from shared environmental influences. To address this conflation and the resulting mismatch with heritability estimates from twin studies, we use the terms familiarity and familial correlation instead of heritability and genetic correlation (Kendler & Neale, 2009).

Familiarity of one continuous phenotype and shared familiarity of two continuous phenotypes were assessed using, respectively, univariate and bivariate residual maximum likelihood-based variance decomposition in linear mixed models implemented in ASReml 4.2 (Gilmour, Gogel, Cullis, & Thompson, 2016). We assumed a linear mixed model as follows:  $y = Xb + Za + e$ , where  $y$  is the dependent variable,  $X$  is the design matrix of the fixed effects,  $b$  are the regression coefficients for the fixed effects,  $Z$  is the design matrix of the random effects,  $a$  are the familial effects with variance  $\sigma_a^2$ , and  $e$  are the residuals with variance  $\sigma_e^2$ . The variance components of univariate linear mixed models were subsequently used to calculate the familiarity for a single phenotype as  $f^2 = \sigma_a^2 / (\sigma_a^2 + \sigma_e^2)$ . The variance components of bivariate models were used to calculate phenotypic and familial correlations between two phenotypes as  $r_P = \sigma_{p1p2} / \sqrt{\sigma_{p1}^2 + \sigma_{p2}^2}$  and  $r_F = \sigma_{a1a2} / \sqrt{\sigma_{a1}^2 + \sigma_{a2}^2}$ , where  $\sigma_{pa1pa2}$  is the phenotypic or estimated familial covariance between phenotype one and two, and  $\sigma_{pa1}^2$  and  $\sigma_{pa2}^2$  are the phenotypic or estimated familial variance of phenotype one and two, respectively. The familial effects are estimated using the CoCA family pedigree which includes all family relations among the three generations of CoCA participants.

Singletons (i.e. participants without any relatives in the Lifelines population) were included in the analyses to contribute to the variance estimations and phenotypic correlations, but not to the familial correlations. The significance level of our (shared) familiarity estimates was derived from likelihood ratio tests, comparing the (shared) familiarity model to a model in which familial variances were constrained to zero.

## Results

### Familial aggregation and familiarity

Familial aggregation and familiarity estimates of neurodevelopmental problems are displayed in Table 1. The FDRs recurrence risk ratios ( $\lambda_R = 2.67$  [CI 1.65–4.34] and 4.01 [1.56–10.26]) and familiarity estimates ( $f^2 = 0.33$  [s.e. = 0.02] and 0.39 [0.02]) for ADHD and ASD, respectively, were higher than those of their corresponding subdomains. The recurrence risk ratio among the neurodevelopmental problems was lowest for reduced social insight ( $\lambda_R = 0.96$  [CI 0.14–6.76]) and highest for reduced contact ( $\lambda_R = 3.76$  [CI 1.58–8.94]), with similar estimates for inattention ( $\lambda_R = 2.40$  [CI 1.69–3.41]) and hyperactivity–impulsivity ( $\lambda_R = 2.41$  [CI 1.74–3.33]). The familiarity of all neurodevelopmental problems was moderate, ranging from 0.22 (reduced empathy) to 0.38 (resistance to change) for ASD, and from 0.28 (inattention) to 0.29 (hyperactivity–impulsivity) for ADHD.

**Table 1.** Familial aggregation and familiarity of neurodevelopmental problems

	ADHD	Inattention	Hyperactivity-impulsivity	ASD	Reduced contact	Reduced empathy	Violation of social conventions	Reduced social insight	Stereotyped behavior	Resistance to change
Same problem	2.67 (1.65–4.34)*	2.40 (1.69–3.41)*	2.41 (1.74–3.33)*	4.01 (1.56–10.25)*	3.76 (1.58–8.94)*	2.06 (0.52–8.11)	1.00 (0.14–7.04)	0.96 (0.14–6.76)	2.94 (1.14–7.60)*	2.71 (1.40–5.25)*
Recurrence risk ratio (95% CI)										
Familiarity (s.e.)	0.33 (0.02)*	0.29 (0.02)*	0.28 (0.02)*	0.39 (0.02)*	0.33 (0.02)*	0.22 (0.02)*	0.27 (0.02)*	0.34 (0.02)*	0.27 (0.02)*	0.38 (0.02)*

ADHD, attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder; ASD, autism spectrum disorder; CI, confidence interval; s.e., standard error.

\*Significant at 0.05 level.

**Familial co-aggregation and shared familiarity among neurodevelopmental problems**

The comorbidity, familial co-aggregation, and shared familiarity between neurodevelopmental problems ordered per problem are displayed in Table 2 (see ST2 for the table ordered per type of index). Associations were strongest between neurodevelopmental problems belonging to the same disorder. Specifically, the strongest within-disorder associations were between inattention and hyperactivity-impulsivity ( $r_p = 0.64$  [s.e. = 0.003];  $\lambda_R = 1.97$  [CI 1.46–2.66] and  $2.00$  [1.48–2.69];  $r_F = 0.94$  [s.e. = 0.03]), and reduced contact, reduced empathy, and reduced social insight ( $r_p = 0.53$ – $0.61$ ;  $\lambda_R = 1.52$ – $4.62$ ;  $r_F = 0.90$ – $0.91$ ). The weakest within-disorder association was between reduced empathy and stereotyped behavior ( $r_p = 0.35$  [s.e. = 0.005];  $\lambda_R = 1.48$  [CI 0.55–3.94] and  $2.08$  [0.78–5.53];  $r_F = 0.73$  [s.e. = 0.06]).

The association between ADHD and ASD was stronger than any associations between their corresponding subdomains ( $r_p = 0.51$  [s.e. = 0.004];  $\lambda_R = 2.32$  [CI 1.30–4.18] and  $2.22$  [1.23–3.99];  $r_F = 0.72$  [s.e. = 0.03]). When looking at the subdomains, the strongest cross-disorder associations were between hyperactivity-impulsivity on the one hand and stereotyped behavior ( $r_p = 0.39$  and  $0.47$ ;  $\lambda_R = 1.78$ – $2.40$ ;  $r_F = 0.55$  and  $0.69$ ) and resistance to change ( $r_p = 0.38$  and  $0.41$ ;  $\lambda_R = 1.86$ – $2.29$ ;  $r_F = 0.67$  and  $0.75$ ) on the other hand. The weakest cross-disorder associations included inattention and hyperactivity-impulsivity with reduced contact ( $r_p = 0.53$  and  $0.61$ ;  $\lambda_R = 1.18$ – $1.56$ ;  $r_F = 0.90$  and  $0.91$ ), and inattention with violation of social conventions ( $r_p = 0.29$  [s.e. = 0.005];  $\lambda_R = 1.69$  [CI 0.98–2.89] and  $2.02$  [1.18–3.46];  $r_F = 0.52$  [s.e. = 0.05]).

**Familial co-aggregation and shared familiarity of neurodevelopmental problems with other psychiatric problems**

The comorbidity, familial co-aggregation, and shared familiarity of neurodevelopmental problems with other psychiatric problems are displayed in Table 3 (see ST3 for the table ordered per type of index). All neurodevelopmental problems had the strongest association with aggressive behavior ( $r_p = 0.35$ – $0.56$ ;  $\lambda_R = 1.50$ – $2.52$ ;  $r_F = 0.60$ – $0.78$ ) and anxiety ( $r_p = 0.13$ – $0.41$ ;  $\lambda_R = 0.83$ – $2.31$ ;  $r_F = 0.62$ – $0.84$ ), closely followed by depression ( $r_p = 0.13$ – $0.37$ ;  $\lambda_R = 1.06$ – $2.29$ ;  $r_F = 0.43$ – $0.76$ ). The associations between all neurodevelopmental problems and all types of substance use were weak ( $r_p = 0.01$ – $0.12$ ;  $\lambda_R = 0.53$ – $1.57$ ;  $r_F = -0.06$  to  $0.35$ ). Inattention and hyperactivity-impulsivity had the strongest association with drug use ( $r_p = 0.10$ – $0.12$ ;  $\lambda_R = 0.80$ – $1.47$ ;  $r_F = 0.13$ – $0.25$ ). Reduced empathy had the strongest association with smoking ( $r_p = 0.04$  [s.e. = 0.005];  $\lambda_R = 1.48$  [CI 0.88–2.50];  $r_F = 0.35$  [s.e. = 0.11]).

**Discussion**

The current study examined the familial (co-)aggregation and (shared) familiarity of neurodevelopmental problems (i.e. two ADHD and six ASD subdomains) among each other and with aggressive behavior, depression, anxiety, and substance use. The data were obtained from a cross-sectional study that included 37 688 participants across three generations with an age range from 5 to 91 years. Our study yielded five key findings. First, the familiarity of neurodevelopmental problems was moderate ( $f^2 = 0.22$ – $0.39$ ) and the shared familiarity among neurodevelopmental problems and with other psychiatric problems (i.e. except



**Table 2.** Comorbidity, familial co-aggregation, and shared familiarity among neurodevelopmental problems

		ADHD	Inattention	Hyperactivity-impulsivity	ASD	Reduced contact	Reduced empathy	Violation of social conventions	Reduced social insight	Stereotyped behavior	Resistance to change
ADHD	Phenotypic correlation (s.e.)		0.90 (0.001)*	0.91 (0.001)*	0.51 (0.004)*	0.31 (0.005)*	0.26 (0.005)*	0.35 (0.005)*	0.42 (0.004)*	0.47 (0.004)*	0.44 (0.004)*
	Recurrence risk ratio (95% CI)		2.65 (1.70–4.15)*	2.21 (1.49–3.28)*	2.32 (1.30–4.18)*	1.13 (0.52–2.49)	1.20 (0.57–2.54)	1.49 (0.73–3.04)	1.51 (0.73–3.12)	2.61 (1.45–4.71)*	1.97 (1.11–3.48)*
	Familial correlation (s.e.)		0.98 (0.01)*	0.98 (0.01)*	0.72 (0.03)*	0.59 (0.04)*	0.59 (0.06)*	0.53 (0.05)*	0.69 (0.04)*	0.64 (0.04)*	0.68 (0.03)*
Inattention	Phenotypic correlation (s.e.)	0.90 (0.001)*		0.64 (0.003)*	0.46 (0.004)*	0.30 (0.005)*	0.23 (0.005)*	0.29 (0.005)*	0.40 (0.004)*	0.39 (0.004)*	0.38 (0.004)*
	Recurrence risk ratio (95% CI)	2.80 (1.79–4.39)*		1.97 (1.46–2.66)*	2.96 (1.76–5.01)*	1.51 (0.89–2.58)	1.86 (1.13–3.05)*	1.69 (0.98–2.89)	1.87 (1.12–3.12)*	2.40 (1.49–3.86)*	2.29 (1.57–3.31)*
	Familial correlation (s.e.)	0.98 (0.01)*		0.94 (0.03)*	0.70 (0.03)*	0.58 (0.05)*	0.64 (0.07)*	0.52 (0.05)*	0.70 (0.04)*	0.55 (0.05)*	0.67 (0.04)*
Hyperactivity-impulsivity	Phenotypic correlation (s.e.)	0.91 (0.001)*	0.64 (0.003)*		0.47 (0.004)*	0.27 (0.005)*	0.21 (0.005)*	0.33 (0.005)*	0.36 (0.005)*	0.47 (0.004)*	0.41 (0.004)*
	Recurrence risk ratio (95% CI)	2.27 (1.54–3.35)*	2.00 (1.48–2.69)*		1.90 (1.15–3.11)*	1.18 (0.65–2.14)	1.23 (0.69–2.19)	2.03 (1.29–3.20)*	1.33 (0.74–2.40)	2.27 (1.37–3.77)*	1.99 (1.31–3.02)*
	Familial correlation (s.e.)	0.98 (0.01)*	0.94 (0.03)*		0.73 (0.03)*	0.63 (0.05)*	0.76 (0.08)*	0.66 (0.06)*	0.73 (0.05)*	0.69 (0.05)*	0.75 (0.04)*
ASD	Phenotypic correlation (s.e.)	0.51 (0.004)*	0.46 (0.004)*	0.47 (0.004)*		0.81 (0.002)*	0.73 (0.002)*	0.67 (0.003)*	0.82 (0.002)*	0.70 (0.003)*	0.84 (0.002)*
	Recurrence risk ratio (95% CI)	2.22 (1.23–3.99)*	2.65 (1.55–4.53)*	1.78 (1.08–3.00)*		2.52 (1.03–6.18)*	3.97 (2.10–7.47)*	2.24 (0.96–5.23)	2.91 (1.16–7.27)*	3.03 (1.35–6.79)*	2.84 (1.35–5.97)*
	Familial correlation (s.e.)	0.72 (0.03)*	0.70 (0.03)*	0.73 (0.03)*		0.92 (0.01)*	0.88 (0.02)*	0.84 (0.02)*	0.93 (0.01)*	0.84 (0.02)*	0.92 (0.01)*
Reduced contact	Phenotypic correlation (s.e.)	0.31 (0.005)*	0.30 (0.005)*	0.27 (0.005)*	0.81 (0.002)*		0.61 (0.003)*	0.40 (0.004)*	0.55 (0.004)*	0.42 (0.004)*	0.64 (0.003)*
	Recurrence risk ratio (95% CI)	1.19 (0.55–2.60)	1.56 (0.91–2.68)	1.20 (0.66–2.19)	2.63 (1.07–6.50)*		1.52 (0.58–4.02)	1.57 (0.60–4.12)	0.85 (0.21–3.39)	3.83 (2.03–7.23)*	2.27 (1.13–4.54)*
	Familial correlation (s.e.)	0.59 (0.04)*	0.58 (0.05)*	0.63 (0.05)*	0.92 (0.01)*		0.91 (0.04)*	0.69 (0.04)*	0.83 (0.03)*	0.71 (0.05)*	0.83 (0.03)*
Reduced empathy	Phenotypic correlation (s.e.)	0.26 (0.005)*	0.23 (0.005)*	0.21 (0.005)*	0.73 (0.002)*	0.61 (0.003)*		0.42 (0.004)*	0.53 (0.004)*	0.35 (0.005)*	0.44 (0.004)*
	Recurrence risk ratio (95% CI)	1.78 (0.82–3.85)	2.55 (1.55–4.19)*	1.70 (0.94–3.07)	5.42 (2.88–10.20)*	2.02 (0.78–5.23)		3.69 (1.63–8.35)*	4.62 (2.32–9.20)*	2.08 (0.78–5.53)	3.08 (1.65–5.73)*
	Familial correlation (s.e.)	0.59 (0.06)*	0.64 (0.07)*	0.76 (0.08)*	0.88 (0.02)*	0.91 (0.04)*		0.85 (0.06)*	0.90 (0.04)*	0.73 (0.06)*	0.78 (0.05)*
Violation of social conventions	Phenotypic correlation (s.e.)	0.35 (0.005)*	0.29 (0.005)*	0.33 (0.005)*	0.67 (0.003)*	0.40 (0.004)*	0.42 (0.004)*		0.51 (0.004)*	0.47 (0.004)*	0.43 (0.004)*
	Recurrence risk ratio (95% CI)	1.81 (0.89–3.66)	2.02 (1.18–3.46)*	2.34 (1.45–3.76)*	2.81 (1.22–6.42)*	1.90 (0.74–4.91)	3.49 (1.56–7.81)*		3.32 (1.52–7.25)*	2.43 (1.04–5.68)*	2.89 (1.57–5.33)*
	Familial correlation (s.e.)	0.53 (0.05)*	0.52 (0.05)*	0.66 (0.06)*	0.84 (0.02)*	0.69 (0.04)*	0.85 (0.06)*		0.79 (0.04)*	0.77 (0.05)*	0.75 (0.04)*

Reduced social insight	Phenotypic correlation (s.e.)	0.42 (0.004)*	0.40 (0.004)*	0.36 (0.005)*	0.82 (0.002)*	0.55 (0.004)*	0.53 (0.004)*	0.51 (0.004)*		0.51 (0.004)*	0.59 (0.003)*
	Recurrence risk ratio (95% CI)	1.56 (0.75–3.23)	2.06 (1.19–3.54)*	1.37 (0.75–2.53)	3.04 (1.22–7.53)*	0.85 (0.21–3.38)	3.51 (1.76–6.99)*	2.80 (1.27–6.17)*		1.75 (0.66–4.66)	1.45 (0.61–3.45)
	Familial correlation (s.e.)	0.69 (0.04)*	0.70 (0.04)*	0.73 (0.05)*	0.93 (0.01)*	0.83 (0.03)*	0.90 (0.04)*	0.79 (0.04)*		0.76 (0.04)*	0.83 (0.03)*
Stereotyped behavior	Phenotypic correlation (s.e.)	0.47 (0.004)*	0.39 (0.004)*	0.47 (0.004)*	0.70 (0.003)*	0.42 (0.004)*	0.35 (0.005)*	0.47 (0.004)*	0.51 (0.004)*		0.51 (0.004)*
	Recurrence risk ratio (95% CI)	2.33 (1.28–4.24)*	2.17 (1.34–3.53)*	1.78 (1.06–3.00)*	2.97 (1.35–6.55)*	3.57 (1.89–6.73)*	1.48 (0.55–3.94)	1.93 (0.84–4.47)	1.60 (0.61–4.22)		1.92 (0.80–4.57)
	Familial correlation (s.e.)	0.64 (0.04)*	0.55 (0.05)*	0.69 (0.05)*	0.84 (0.02)*	0.71 (0.05)*	0.73 (0.06)*	0.77 (0.05)*	0.76 (0.04)*		0.75 (0.04)*
Resistance to change	Phenotypic correlation (s.e.)	0.44 (0.004)*	0.38 (0.004)*	0.41 (0.004)*	0.84 (0.002)*	0.64 (0.003)*	0.44 (0.004)*	0.43 (0.004)*	0.59 (0.003)*	0.51 (0.50–0.52)*	
	Recurrence risk ratio (95% CI)	1.86 (1.04–3.30)*	2.17 (1.48–3.19)*	1.86 (1.21–2.84)*	2.66 (1.26–5.61)*	2.22 (1.11–4.45)*	2.31 (1.21–4.42)*	2.47 (1.32–4.62)*	1.38 (0.57–3.36)	2.04 (0.85–4.89)	
	Familial correlation (s.e.)	0.68 (0.03)*	0.67 (0.04)*	0.75 (0.04)*	0.92 (0.01)*	0.83 (0.03)*	0.78 (0.05)*	0.75 (0.04)*	0.83 (0.03)*	0.75 (0.04)*	

ADHD, attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder; ASD, autism spectrum disorder; CI, confidence interval; s.e., standard error.

\*Significant at 0.05 level. Recurrence risk ratios of two different conditions can be estimated in two 'directions', i.e. these estimates are not symmetric. First, the risk of having neurodevelopmental problem one when having a first-degree relative with neurodevelopmental problem two, and second, the risk of having neurodevelopmental problem two when having a first-degree relative with neurodevelopmental problem one. Since correlations do not have a direction, this part of the table is symmetric and phenotypic and familial correlations are displayed twice.

**Table 3.** Comorbidity, familial co-aggregation, and shared familiarity between neurodevelopmental problems and aggressive behaviour, depression, anxiety, and substance use

		Aggressive behavior	Depression	Anxiety	Smoking	Alcohol consumption	Soft drug use	Hard drug use
ADHD	Phenotypic correlation (s.e.)	0.51 (0.004)*	0.39 (0.004)*	0.41 (0.000)*	0.04 (0.006)*	0.06 (0.005)*	0.13 (0.005)*	0.11 (0.005)*
	Recurrence risk ratio (95% CI)	2.56 (1.86–3.51)*	1.33 (0.93–1.89)	1.52 (1.14–2.02)*	1.08 (0.70–1.67)	0.83 (0.59–1.17)	1.28 (0.78–2.09)	0.75 (0.31–1.80)
	Familial correlation (s.e.)	0.78 (0.03)*	0.74 (0.05)*	0.82 (0.06)*	0.08 (0.07)*	0.12 (0.05)*	0.24 (0.06)*	0.14 (0.06)*
Inattention	Phenotypic correlation (s.e.)	0.47 (0.004)*	0.37 (0.005)*	0.37 (0.005)*	0.03 (0.005)*	0.04 (0.005)*	0.12 (0.005)*	0.11 (0.005)*
	Recurrence risk ratio (95% CI)	2.52 (1.90–3.35)*	1.45 (1.08–1.96)*	1.39 (1.07–1.89)*	1.05 (0.75–1.49)	1.02 (0.79–1.31)	0.93 (0.59–1.48)	1.14 (0.61–2.15)
	Familial correlation (s.e.)	0.76 (0.04)*	0.73 (0.06)*	0.82 (0.06)*	0.16 (0.08)*	0.15 (0.06)*	0.25 (0.07)*	0.16 (0.07)
Hyperactivity–impulsivity	Phenotypic correlation (s.e.)	0.51 (0.004)*	0.34 (0.005)*	0.37 (0.005)*	0.05 (0.005)*	0.05 (0.005)*	0.12 (0.005)*	0.10 (0.005)*
	Recurrence risk ratio (95% CI)	2.27 (1.70–3.03)*	1.10 (0.80–1.52)	1.44 (1.14–1.82)*	1.08 (0.78–1.50)	0.86 (0.66–1.12)	1.47 (1.01–2.15)*	0.80 (0.42–1.54)
	Familial correlation (s.e.)	0.78 (0.04)*	0.73 (0.06)*	0.78 (0.06)*	0.32 (0.09)*	0.12 (0.07)*	0.25 (0.07)*	0.13 (0.08)*
ASD	Phenotypic correlation (s.e.)	0.58 (0.004)*	0.37 (0.005)*	0.40 (0.004)*	0.07 (0.006)*	0.05 (0.005)*	0.09 (0.005)*	0.06 (0.005)*
	Recurrence risk ratio (95% CI)	1.93 (1.08–3.43)*	1.61 (1.00–2.58)	1.15 (0.68–1.93)	1.24 (0.69–2.23)	0.82 (0.50–1.35)	1.59 (0.78–3.22)	1.18 (0.45–3.10)
	Familial correlation (s.e.)	0.76 (0.02)*	0.72 (0.05)*	0.73 (0.05)*	0.20 (0.06)*	0.03 (0.04)*	0.16 (0.05)*	0.09 (0.05)*
Reduced contact	Phenotypic correlation (s.e.)	0.44 (0.004)*	0.30 (0.005)*	0.28 (0.005)*	0.06 (0.005)*	0.02 (0.005)*	0.06 (0.005)*	0.03 (0.005)*
	Recurrence risk ratio (95% CI)	1.61 (0.92–2.80)	1.06 (0.61–1.83)	1.33 (0.88–2.02)	1.35 (0.83–2.21)	0.85 (0.53–1.37)	0.76 (0.32–1.81)	1.51 (0.69–3.30)
	Familial correlation (s.e.)	0.60 (0.04)*	0.55 (0.06)*	0.62 (0.08)*	0.11 (0.09)*	−0.02 (0.06)*	0.23 (0.07)*	0.19 (0.08)*
Reduced empathy	Phenotypic correlation (s.e.)	0.35 (0.005)*	0.13 (0.005)*	0.13 (0.005)*	0.04 (0.005)*	0.02 (0.005)*	0.03 (0.005)*	0.01 (0.005)
	Recurrence risk ratio (95% CI)	2.08 (1.15–3.74)*	2.29 (1.51–3.49)*	2.31 (1.62–3.30)*	1.48 (0.88–2.50)	0.59 (0.32–1.09)	0.56 (0.18–1.74)	1.14 (0.43–3.01)
	Familial correlation (s.e.)	0.66 (0.06)*	0.43 (0.08)*	0.64 (0.11)*	0.35 (0.11)*	0.01 (0.08)*	0.10 (0.10)*	0.09 (0.10)*

Violation of social conventions	Phenotypic correlation (s.e.)	0.38 (0.005)*	0.17 (0.005)*	0.18 (0.005)*	0.07 (0.005)*	0.07 (0.005)*	0.05 (0.005)*	0.03 (0.005)*
	Recurrence risk ratio (95% CI)	2.66 (1.63–4.34)*	1.46 (0.87–2.46)	1.98 (1.34–2.92)*	1.28 (0.72–2.26)	0.98 (0.60–1.58)	1.57 (0.79–3.11)	0.64 (0.16–2.54)
	Familial correlation (s.e.)	0.69 (0.05)*	0.44 (0.07)*	0.66 (0.09)*	0.26 (0.09)*	−0.04 (0.07)*	0.12 (0.08)*	0.13 (0.09)*
Reduced social insight	Phenotypic correlation (s.e.)	0.48 (0.004)*	0.29 (0.005)*	0.30 (0.005)*	0.04 (0.005)*	0.02 (0.005)*	0.05 (0.005)*	0.03 (0.005)*
	Recurrence risk ratio (95% CI)	1.50 (0.80–2.84)	1.39 (0.84–2.30)	0.83 (0.47–1.46)	1.33 (0.79–2.26)	0.66 (0.38–1.15)	0.89 (0.32–2.48)	0.58 (0.15–2.29)
	Familial correlation (s.e.)	0.76 (0.04)*	0.71 (0.06)*	0.82 (0.07)*	0.29 (0.08)*	−0.03 (0.06)*	0.19 (0.07)*	0.13 (0.07)*
Stereotyped behavior	Phenotypic correlation (s.e.)	0.46 (0.004)*	0.26 (0.005)*	0.30 (0.005)*	0.05 (0.005)*	0.05 (0.005)*	0.11 (0.005)*	0.09 (0.005)*
	Recurrence risk ratio (95% CI)	2.45 (1.51–3.97)*	1.26 (0.78–2.04)	1.56 (1.06–2.32)*	0.80 (0.42–1.52)	0.72 (0.44–1.18)	1.24 (0.62–2.45)	0.53 (0.14–2.09)
	Familial correlation (s.e.)	0.66 (0.04)*	0.54 (0.06)*	0.84 (0.08)*	0.24 (0.10)*	0.10 (0.08)*	0.18 (0.08)*	0.16 (0.08)*
Resistance to change	Phenotypic correlation (s.e.)	0.56 (0.004)*	0.35 (0.005)*	0.41 (0.004)*	0.07 (0.005)*	0.03 (0.005)*	0.08 (0.005)*	0.06 (0.005)*
	Recurrence risk ratio (95% CI)	1.79 (1.06–3.03)*	1.40 (0.96–2.04)	1.16 (0.78–1.72)	1.29 (0.84–1.98)	0.87 (0.59–1.27)	1.07 (0.58–1.94)	0.54 (0.18–1.68)
	Familial correlation (s.e.)	0.73 (0.03)*	0.76 (0.05)*	0.75 (0.06)*	0.26 (0.08)*	−0.06 (0.06)	0.14 (0.06)*	0.04 (0.07)

ADHD, attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder; ASD, autism spectrum disorder; CI, confidence interval; s.e., standard error.

\*Significant at 0.05 level.



for substance use) substantial ( $r_F = 0.98-0.43$ ). Second, all results for the ADHD and ASD subdomains were rather homogenous with stronger similarities among subdomains belonging to one disorder compared to cross-disorder subdomains. Third, at the family level ASD can be split into reduced contact, reduced empathy, and reduced social insight on the one hand and stereotyped behavior and resistance to change on the other hand, in line with the DSM, with violation of social conventions as a connecting subdomain. Fourth, the comorbidity and shared familiarity between ADHD and ASD originates from substantial phenotypic and familial links among all ADHD and ASD subdomains, with the strongest link between the ADHD hyperactivity-impulsivity and the ASD stereotyped behavior and resistance to change subdomains. Fifth, all neurodevelopmental problems had both strong phenotypic and familial links with aggressive behavior, while the links of ADHD and ASD with depression and anxiety mainly existed at the familial level, and the links between neurodevelopmental problems and substance use were weak overall.

We use the terms familiarity and familial correlation instead of heritability and genetic correlation because in our study we cannot disentangle genetic from shared environmental effects. However, evidence from twin studies indicates that most familiarity is based on heritability, with limited impact from shared environmental factors (Bailey et al., 1995; Hetteema, Neale, & Kendler, 2001; Larsson, Larsson, & Lichtenstein, 2004; Levy, Hay, McStephen, Wood, & Waldman, 1997; Ronald et al., 2006; Sullivan, Neale, & Kendler, 2000; Wade, Prime, & Madigan, 2015). Moreover, the familiarity of neurodevelopmental problems reported here (0.22–0.39) is lower than the heritability of ADHD (~0.70) and ASD (~0.80) reported by twin studies (Faraone & Larsson, 2019; Ronald & Hoekstra, 2011; Tick et al., 2016). This would be unlikely if there were strong shared environmental effects. There are multiple factors that may contribute to the lower familiarity estimates in our study compared to the heritability estimates reported by twin studies. First, twin studies assume that environmental sharing is the same for monozygotic and dizygotic twins, the equal environment assumption. There is an ongoing debate whether this assumption actually holds. Most previous studies indicate that it does (Derks, Dolan, & Boomsma, 2006; Kendler, Neale, Kessler, Heath, & Eaves, 1994). Yet, a number of more recent studies (often reanalyzing data of previous studies) have shown that it may not (Dalmaijer, 2020; Felson, 2014; Fosse, Joseph, & Richardson, 2015; Richardson & Norgate, 2005; Wolfram & Morris, 2023). If the equal environment assumption is violated, this may contribute to the higher heritability reported by twin studies. Two other plausible contributors are rooted in twins having the same age, in contrast to the participants in our multigenerational family study. One contributing factor would be that partly different genetic variants influence neurodevelopmental problems at different ages (i.e. age-by-genotype interaction) (Hardy et al., 2009; Thapar, 2018). The same genetic variants influence neurodevelopmental problems in same aged twins, while partly different genetic variants influence neurodevelopmental problems in differently aged children and parents. Consequently, the heritability that is captured by twin studies is likely larger than the familiarity that is captured by family studies. A second contributor would be that circumstances are more variable across differently aged relatives than across same aged twins (i.e. age and cohort effects). As a result, the genetic and shared environmental effects that are estimated in twin studies are likely larger than the genetic and shared environmental effects that are captured by family studies. Although the

three previous factors may contribute to the lower familiarity estimates in our study compared to the heritability estimates reported by twin studies, the moderate familiarity of ADHD in our study matches the moderate heritability (~0.35) reported by twin studies based on self-reported data in adults (Boomsma et al., 2010; Larsson et al., 2013). This points to the fourth, and in our opinion strongest, contributing factor: the informant switch from parent-report to self-report from adolescence or young adulthood onwards. The influence of this factor is additionally supported by findings that ADHD symptoms showed a similarly low heritability in children if based on self-report and findings that heritability estimates of clinically diagnosed ADHD in adults (which are only partly based on self-report) are similarly high as those based on parent-reported ADHD in children (Chang, Lichtenstein, Asherson, & Larsson, 2013; Larsson, Chang, D'Onofrio, & Lichtenstein, 2015).

The homogenous results for subdomains belonging to the same disorder are in line with previous findings on the phenotypic and genetic links of neurodevelopmental problems (Ghirardi et al., 2019; Larsson et al., 2013; Polderman et al., 2014). Our results additionally support current views that, although ADHD and ASD are strongly linked, the disorders have more unique than shared features, warranting separate diagnostic classifications (Antshel & Russo, 2019; van der Meer et al., 2012). The two previous studies examining the association between inattention, hyperactivity-impulsivity, social and communication difficulties, and repetitive and restricted behavior found strong phenotypic and genetic associations between hyperactivity-impulsivity and repetitive and restricted behavior and interests (Ghirardi et al., 2019; Polderman et al., 2014). Likewise, our results indicated that the link between ADHD and ASD is strongest for the ADHD hyperactivity-impulsivity and ASD stereotyped behavior and resistance to change subdomains, the latter together comprising repetitive and restricted behavior and interests. It has been suggested that reduced inhibitory control which is involved in both hyperactive-impulsive and stereotyped behavior may explain the strong association between ADHD and ASD (Craig et al., 2016; Polderman et al., 2014; Rommelse, Geurts, Franke, Buitelaar, & Hartman, 2011).

The familial correlations among neurodevelopmental problems and with other psychiatric problems, albeit currently somewhat larger in size, are broadly comparable to the genetic correlations found in previous (behavioral) genetic studies (Azeredo et al., 2018; Consortium C-DG of the PG, 2014, 2019; Demontis et al., 2019; Pettersson et al., 2019; Solberg et al., 2019). The higher familiarity in the current study compared to family studies using registered diagnoses may be due to the biases that are inherent to register studies (e.g. failure to register comorbid [secondary] conditions and underdiagnosis of neurodevelopmental disorders in adults) leading to an underestimation of the familial co-aggregation and shared familiarity. The higher shared familiarity estimates in our study compared to the shared heritability estimates reported by twin studies are likely a result of our family design. Firstly, since we cannot disentangle genetic from shared environmental effects, our familial correlations may include more shared variance. Secondly, as neurodevelopmental disorders and common adult disorders have their peak prevalence in different parts of the lifespan, the predominant use of twin studies when assessing the genetic correlation between disorders may have resulted in an underestimation of the shared heritability among these disorders in previous research (Larsson et al., 2013; Polderman et al., 2015; Posthuma & Polderman, 2013). For

example, most twin studies focused on ADHD include children, and in these children the prevalence of substance use is by definition low, meaning that the genetic correlation between ADHD and substance use is underestimated compared to family studies in which the prevalence of both ADHD and substance use approximate the prevalence in the general population. Our multigenerational approach covered the full lifespan, ensuring variation in all studied problems, and hereby facilitating the evaluation of their shared familiarity.

In contrast to the phenotypic and familial links among the neurodevelopmental problems (i.e. including aggressive behavior), neurodevelopmental problems were associated with depression and anxiety at the familial but not the phenotypic level (Eyre et al., 2019). It should first be noted that anxiety, and depression even more, are episodic and we measured their presence during the past months rather than a lifetime prevalence. Although most of our analyses used continuous data, fluctuations in symptoms over time still lead to an underestimation of co-aggregation. That said, the co-occurrence of neurodevelopmental disorders with internalizing disorders may etiologically be more heterogeneous than the co-occurrence of ADHD and ASD or the co-occurrence of depression and anxiety (i.e. the genetic correlations between depression and anxiety are as high as 0.80) (Pettersson et al., 2019; Solberg et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2022). The past decades have shown that the etiology of a single disorder is very complex (i.e. the same condition can arise from entirely different pathways and pathways itself comprise many different risk factors and mechanisms with small effects). This etiological complexity increases when different disorders co-occur and, presumably, increases even further when disorders have phenotypically less in common. Future research should establish whether genes shared between ADHD, ASD, depression, and anxiety are involved in more complicated etiological mechanisms than genes shared between ADHD, ASD, and aggressive behavior.

The links of ADHD and ASD with substance use were weak compared to previous findings (Demontis et al., 2019; Grove et al., 2019; Solberg et al., 2019). A fundamental difference between the current study and previous studies is that we investigated substance use (i.e. number of cigarettes per day or daily alcohol consumption), whereas most of the previous literature has reported on formally diagnosed substance use disorders. These findings suggest that ADHD co-aggregates more strongly with substance use disorders than substance use, which is more common and accepted in the general population. In line with this explanation, the current study and other studies have found that the link of ADHD with alcohol consumption and smoking is weaker than with more extreme soft and hard drugs use (Demontis et al., 2019; Grove et al., 2019; Solberg et al., 2019). The use of more extreme substances may be linked to the high reward and thrill seeking behavior associated with ADHD (Graziano et al., 2015).

An important asset of the current study is that all conditions were measured in all participants, irrespective of whether they received healthcare for the conditions studied here or not. This makes the study representative of the general population, in contrast to more severely affected referred patients used in most multigenerational genetic studies that rely on register data. Our study also had some limitations. First, individuals with ADHD and ASD problems tend to underestimate their symptoms and functional impairments (Adler et al., 2008; Owens, Goldfine, Evangelista, Hoza, & Kaiser, 2007). It is unlikely that this has had a substantial influence on our findings. That is, the recurrence

risk ratio will not have been impacted as we set the sample prevalence to reflect the prevalence in the general population making the prevalence and maximum score of neurodevelopmental problems in the sample independent. Similarly, the variance decomposition method used to estimate familiarity and familial correlations mainly depends on the rank order of participants which is stable and independent on the maximum score in the sample. Second, unlike twin studies, our study cannot disentangle genetic from shared environmental influences. To the extent that shared environment plays a role, our (shared) familiarity estimates are inflated compared to (shared) heritability reported in twin studies. Third, our neurodevelopmental problems and psychiatric disorders were assessed over the past months. In contrast, most previous studies used a lifetime diagnosis. As already noted above, familial co-aggregation might thus be underestimated in the current study, especially in relation to episodic conditions (e.g. depression) and recurrence risk analyses with dichotomous outcomes (i.e. as this is a yes/no cut-off at one specific moment in time). Finally, affected family members who did not participate in Lifelines may have induced an underestimation of our familial component. For example, more severely affected patients are less likely to participate in research which does not hold for previous studies that were based on whole population registers.

Our study used a multigenerational population cohort and showed that there is a clear (shared) familial component to neurodevelopmental problems, in part shared with other psychiatric problems (except for substance use). This suggests that neurodevelopmental disorders, disruptive behavior disorders, and internalizing disorders share genetic and environmental risk factors. While the familial transmission identified in the current study only hints at shared etiological mechanisms, parsing the heterogeneity of ADHD and ASD into more homogeneous subdomains has yielded findings that could guide future genetic and environmental research.

**Supplementary material.** The supplementary material for this article can be found at <https://doi.org/10.1017/S003329172400309X>.

**Funding statement.** This study made use of the ADHD add-on study of the Lifelines initiative. The Lifelines initiative has been made possible by subsidy from the Dutch Ministry of Health, Welfare and Sport, the Dutch Ministry of Economic Affairs, the University Medical Center Groningen (UMCG), Groningen University and the Provinces in the North of the Netherlands (Drenthe, Friesland, Groningen). The ADHD add-on study has been funded by the European Community's Horizon 2020 Programme under grant agreement number 667302 (CoCA).

**Competing interests.** H. L. has served as a speaker for Eli-Lilly and has received research grants from Shire. C. A. H. declares honoraria as a speaker for Medice. All other authors report no biomedical financial interests or potential conflicts of interest.

## References

- Achenbach, T. M., Ivanova, M. Y., & Rescorla, L. A. (2017). Empirically based assessment and taxonomy of psychopathology for ages 1½–90+ years: Developmental, multi-informant, and multicultural findings. *Comprehensive Psychiatry*, 79, 4–18. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comppsy.2017.03.006>
- Achenbach, T. M., & Rescorla, L. A. (2001). *Manual for the ASEBA school-age forms and profiles*. Burlington, VT: University of Vermont, Research Center for Children, Youth and Families.
- Adler, L. A., Faraone, S. V., Spencer, T. J., Michelson, D., Reimherr, F. W., Glatt, S. J., ... Biederman, J. (2008). The reliability and validity of self-

- and investigator ratings of ADHD in adults. *Journal of Attention Disorders*, 11, 711–719. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1087054707308503>
- American Psychiatric Association (2013). *DSM-V*. Washington, DC: Author.
- Antshel, K. M., & Russo, N. (2019). Autism spectrum disorders and ADHD: Overlapping phenomenology, diagnostic issues, and treatment considerations. *Current Psychiatry Reports*, 21, 21–34. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11920-019-1020-5>
- Ask, H., Cheesman, R., Jami, E. S., Levey, D. F., Purves, K. L., & Weber, H. (2021). Genetic contributions to anxiety disorders: Where we are and where we are heading. *Psychological Medicine*, 51, 2231–2246. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291720005486>
- Azaredo, A., Moreira, D., & Barbosa, F. (2018). ADHD, CD, and ODD: Systematic review of genetic and environmental risk factors. *Research in Developmental Disabilities*, 82, 10–19. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ridd.2017.12.010>
- Bailey, A., Le Couteur, A., Gottesman, I., Bolton, P., Simonoff, E., Yuzda, E., & Rutter, M. (1995). Autism as a strongly genetic disorder: Evidence from a British twin study. *Psychological Medicine*, 25, 63–77. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291700028099>
- Barros, A. J., & Hirakata, V. N. (2003). Alternatives for logistic regression in cross-sectional studies: An empirical comparison of models that directly estimate the prevalence ratio. *BMC Medicine*, 3, 21–37.
- Boomsma, D. I., Saviouk, V., Hottenga, J. J., Distel, M. A., de Moor, M. H. M., Vink, J. M., ... Willemsen, G. (2010). Genetic epidemiology of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD index) in adults. *PLoS ONE*, 5, 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0010621>
- Breslow, N. (1974). Covariance analysis of censored survival data. *Biometrics*, 30, 89–99.
- Chang, Z., Lichtenstein, P., Asherson, P., & Larsson, H. (2013). Developmental twin study of attention problems: High heritabilities throughout development. *JAMA Psychiatry*, 70, 311–318. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2013.287>
- Chen, Q., Brikell, I., Lichtenstein, P., Serlachius, E., Kuja-Halkola, R., Sandin, S., ... Larsson, H. (2017). Familial aggregation of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, and Allied Disciplines*, 58, 231–239. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpp.12616>
- Chen, Q., Hartman, C. A., Haavik, J., Harro, J., Klungsoyr, K., Hegvik, T. A., ... Larsson, H. (2018). Common psychiatric and metabolic comorbidity of adult attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: A population-based cross-sectional study. *PLoS ONE*, 13, 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0204516>
- Consortium C-DG of the PG (2014). Genetic relationship between five psychiatric disorders estimated from genome-wide SNPs cross-disorder group of the psychiatric genomics consortium. *Nature Genetics*, 45, 984–994. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.2711>
- Consortium C-DG of the PG (2019). Genomic relationships, novel loci, and pleiotropic mechanisms across eight psychiatric disorders. *Cell*, 179, 1469–1482. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2019.11.020>
- Consortium TB (2018). Analysis of shared heritability in common disorders of the brain. *Science*, 360, 1–40. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aap8757>
- Craig, F., Margari, F., Legrottaglie, A. R., Palumbi, R., de Giambattista, C., & Margari, L. (2016). A review of executive function deficits in autism spectrum disorder and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment*, 12, 1191–1202. <https://doi.org/10.2147/NDT.S104620>
- Dalmajér, E. S. (2020). Twin studies with unmet assumptions are biased towards genetic heritability. *BioArXiv*. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.08.27.270801>
- Demontis, D., Walters, R. K., Martin, J., Mattheisen, M., Als, T. D., Agerbo, E., ... Neale, B. (2019). Discovery of the first genome-wide significant risk loci for attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Nature Genetics*, 51, 63–75. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-018-0269-7>
- Demontis, D., Walters, R. K., Rajagopal, V. M., Waldman, I. D., Grove, J., Als, T. D., ... Børglum, A. D. (2021). Risk variants and polygenic architecture of disruptive behavior disorders in the context of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Nature Communications*, 12, 569–576. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-20443-2>
- Derks, E. M., Dolan, C. V., & Boomsma, D. I. (2006). A test of the equal environment assumption (EEA) in multivariate twin studies. *Twin Research and Human Genetics: The Official Journal of the International Society for Twin Studies*, 9, 403–411. <https://doi.org/10.1375/twin.9.3.403>
- Derks, E. M., Vink, J. M., Willemsen, G., van den Brink, W., & Boomsma, D. I. (2014). Genetic and environmental influences on the relationship between adult ADHD symptoms and self-reported problem drinking in 6024 Dutch twins. *Psychological Medicine*, 44, 2673–2683. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291714000361>
- DuPaul, G. J., Power, T. J., Anastopoulos, A. D., & Reid, R. (1998). *ADHD rating scale-IV: Checklists, norms, and clinical interpretation*. New York, NY, USA: Guilford Press.
- Eyre, O., Riglin, L., Leibenluft, E., Stringaris, A., Collishaw, S., & Thapar, A. (2019). Irritability in ADHD: Association with later depression symptoms. *European Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 28, 1375–1384. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00787-019-01303-x>
- Faraone, S. V., & Larsson, H. (2019). Genetics of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Molecular Psychiatry*, 24, 562–575. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41380-018-0070-0>
- Fayyad, J., de Graaf, R., Kessler, R. C., Alonso, J., Angermeyer, M. C., Demyttenaere, K., ... Jin, R. (2007). Cross-national prevalence and correlates of adult attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 190, 402–409.
- Felson, J. (2014). What can we learn from twin studies? A comprehensive evaluation of the equal environments assumption. *Social Science Research*, 43, 184–199. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssresearch.2013.10.004>
- Fosse, R., Joseph, J., & Richardson, K. (2015). A critical assessment of the equal-environment assumption of the twin method for schizophrenia. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 6(62), 1664–1684. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsy.2015.00062>
- Friedman, N. P., Banich, M. T., & Keller, M. C. (2021). Twin studies to GWAS: There and back again. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 25, 855–869. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2021.06.007>
- Ghirardi, L., Brikell, I., Kuja-Halkola, R., Freitag, C. M., Franke, B., Asherson, P., ... Larsson, H. (2018). The familial co-aggregation of ASD and ADHD: A register-based cohort study. *Molecular Psychiatry*, 23, 257–262. <https://doi.org/10.1038/mp.2017.17>
- Ghirardi, L., Pettersson, E., Taylor, M. J., Freitag, C. M., Franke, B., Asherson, P., ... Kuja-Halkola, R. (2019). Genetic and environmental contribution to the overlap between ADHD and ASD trait dimensions in young adults: A twin study. *Psychological Medicine*, 49, 1713–1721. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S003329171800243X>
- Gilmour, A. R., Gogel, B. J., Cullis, B. R., & Thompson, R. (2016). *ASReml 4.2 user guide*. Hemel Hempstead, UK: VSN International Ltd.
- Graziano, P. A., Reid, A., Slavec, J., Paneto, A., McNamara, J. P., & Geffken, G. R. (2015). ADHD symptomatology and risky health, driving, and financial behaviors in college: The mediating role of sensation seeking and effortful control. *Journal of Attention Disorders*, 19, 179–190. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1087054714527792>
- Grove, J., Ripke, S., Als, T. D., Mattheisen, M., Walters, R. K., & Børglum, A. D. (2019). Identification of common genetic risk variants for autism spectrum disorder. *Nature Genetics*, 51, 431–444. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-019-0344-8.Identification>
- Hardy, R., Wills, A. K., Wong, A., Elks, C. E., Wareham, N. J., Loos, R. J. F., ... Ong, K. K. (2009). Life course variations in the associations between FTO and MC4R gene variants and body size. *Human Molecular Genetics*, 19, 545–552. <https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddp504>
- Hartman, C. A., Luteijn, E., Serra, M., & Minderaa, R. B. (2006). Refinement of the children's social behavior questionnaire (CSBQ): An instrument that describes the diverse problems seen in milder forms of PDD. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 36, 325–342. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-005-0072-z>
- Hartman, C. A., Luteijn, E., Moorlag, H., de Bildt, A., & Minderaa, R. B. (2008). *CSBQ, revised manual 2007. Children's social behavior questionnaire*. Amsterdam: Harcourt Test Publishers.
- Hettema, J. M., Neale, M. C., & Kendler, K. S. (2001). A review and meta-analysis of the genetic epidemiology of anxiety disorders. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 158, 1568–1578. <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.158.10.1568>



- Horwitz, E. H., Schoevers, R. A., Ketelaars, C. E. J., Kan, C. C., van Lammeren, A. M. D. N., Meesters, Y., ... Hartman, C. A. (2016). Clinical assessment of ASD in adults using self- and other-report: Psychometric properties and validity of the adult social behavior questionnaire (ASBQ). *Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders*, 24, 17–28. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rasd.2016.01.003>
- Kendler, K. S., & Neale, M. C. (2009). 'Familiarity' or heritability. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 66, 452–453. <https://doi.org/10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2009.14>
- Kendler, K. S., Neale, M. C., Kessler, R. C., Heath, A. C., & Eaves, L. J. (1994). Parental treatment and the equal environment assumption in twin studies of psychiatric illness. *Psychological Medicine*, 24, 579–590. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s0033291700027732>
- Kooij, J. J. S., Buitelaar, J. K., van den Oord, E. J., Furer, J. W., Rijnders, C. A. T., & Hodiament, P. P. G. (2005). Internal and external validity of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder in a population-based sample of adults. *Psychological Medicine*, 35, 817–827. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S003329170400337X>
- Lai, M.-C., Lombardo, M. V., & Baron-Cohen, S. (2014). Autism. *Lancet*, 383, 896–910. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-3474-4\\_91](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-3474-4_91)
- Larsson, J.-O., Larsson, H., & Lichtenstein, P. (2004). Genetic and environmental contributions to stability and change of ADHD symptoms between 8 and 13 years of age: A longitudinal twin study. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 43, 1267–1275. <https://doi.org/10.1097/01.chi.0000135622.05219.bf>
- Larsson, H., Chang, Z., D'Onofrio, B. M., & Lichtenstein, P. (2015). The heritability of clinically diagnosed attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder across the life span. *Psychological Medicine*, 44, 2223–2229. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291713002493>
- Larsson, H., Asherson, P., Chang, Z., Ljung, T., Friedrichs, B., Larsson, J. O., & Lichtenstein, P. (2013). Genetic and environmental influences on adult attention deficit hyperactivity disorder symptoms: A large Swedish population-based study of twins. *Psychological Medicine*, 43, 197–207. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291712001067>
- Levy, F., Hay, D. A., McStephen, M., Wood, C., & Waldman, I. (1997). Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: A category or a continuum? Genetic analysis of a large-scale twin study. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 36, 737–744. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00004583-199706000-00009>
- Libutski, B., Ludwig, S., May, M., Jacobsen, R. H., Reif, A., & Hartman, C. A. (2019). Direct medical costs of ADHD and its comorbid conditions on basis of a claims data analysis. *European Psychiatry*, 58, 38–44. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eurpsy.2019.01.019>
- Mandy, W., Roughton, L., & Skuse, D. (2014). Three dimensions of oppositionality in autism spectrum disorder. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 42, 291–300. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10802-013-9778-0>
- Maughan, B., Rowe, R., Messer, J., Goodman, R., & Meltzer, H. (2004). Conduct disorder and oppositional defiant disorder in a national sample: Developmental epidemiology. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, and Allied Disciplines*, 45, 609–621. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7610.2004.00250.x>
- McManus, S., Bankart, J., Scott, F., Purdon, S., Smith, J., Bebbington, P., ... Meltzer, H. (2011). Epidemiology of autism spectrum disorders in adults in the community in England. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 68, 459–465.
- Miranda, A., Berenguer, C., Colomer, C., & Rosello, R. (2014). Influence of the symptoms of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) and comorbid disorders on functioning in adulthood. *Psicothema*, 26, 471–476. <https://doi.org/10.7334/psicothema2014.121>
- Ottosen, C., Larsen, J. T., Faraone, S. V., Chen, Q., Hartman, C. A., Larsson, H., ... Dalsgaard, S. (2019). Sex differences in comorbidity patterns of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 58, 412–422. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaac.2018.07.910>
- Overbeek, T., & Schruers, K. (2019). *MINI-S for DSM-5 Dutch version*. Maastricht: University of Maastricht.
- Owens, J. S., Goldfine, M. E., Evangelista, N. M., Hoza, B., & Kaiser, N. M. (2007). A critical review of self-perceptions and the positive illusory bias in children with ADHD. *Clinical Child and Family Psychology Review*, 10, 335–351. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10567-007-0027-3>
- Panagiotidi, M., Overton, P. G., & Stafford, T. (2017). Co-occurrence of ASD and ADHD traits in an adult population. *Journal of Attention Disorders*, 23, 1407–1415. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1087054717720720>
- Pettersson, E., Lichtenstein, P., Larsson, H., Song, J., Agrawal, A., Børglum, A. D., ... Polderman, T. J. C. (2019). Genetic influences on eight psychiatric disorders based on family data of 4 408 646 full and half-siblings, and genetic data of 333 748 cases and controls. *Psychological Medicine*, 49, 1166–1173. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291718002945>
- Polaczyk, G. V., de Lima, M. S., Horta, B. L., Biederman, J., & Rohde, L. A. (2007). The worldwide prevalence of ADHD: A systematic review and meta-regression analysis. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 164, 942–948. <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.164.6.942>
- Polaczyk, G. V., Salum, G. A., Sugaya, L. S., Caye, A., & Rohde, L. A. (2015). Annual research review: A meta-analysis of the worldwide prevalence of mental disorders in children and adolescents. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, and Allied Disciplines*, 56, 345–365. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpp.12381>
- Polderman, T. J. C., Hoekstra, R. A., Posthuma, D., & Larsson, H. (2014). The co-occurrence of autistic and ADHD dimensions in adults: An etiological study in 17 770 twins. *Translational Psychiatry*, 4, e435–e437. <https://doi.org/10.1038/tp.2014.84>
- Polderman, T. J. C., Benyamin, B., de Leeuw, C. A., Sullivan, P. F., van Bochoven, A., Visscher, P. M., ... Posthuma, D. (2015). Meta-analysis of the heritability of human traits based on fifty years of twin studies. *Nature Genetics*, 47, 702–709. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3285>
- Posthuma, D., & Polderman, T. J. C. (2013). What have we learned from recent twin studies about the etiology of neurodevelopmental disorders? *Current Opinion in Neurology*, 26, 111–121. <https://doi.org/10.1097/WCO.0b013e32835f19c3>
- Richardson, K., & Norgate, S. (2005). The equal environments assumption of classical twin studies may not hold. *The British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 75, 339–350. <https://doi.org/10.1348/000709904X24690>
- Risch, N. (1990). Linkage strategies for genetically complex traits. I. multilocus models. *American Journal of Human Genetics*, 46, 222–228.
- Rommelse, N. N. J., & Hartman, C. A. (2016). Review: Changing (shared) heritability of ASD and ADHD across the lifespan. *European Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 25, 213–215. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00787-016-0830-9>
- Rommelse, N. N. J., Geurts, H. M., Franke, B., Buitelaar, J. K., & Hartman, C. A. (2011). A review on cognitive and brain endophenotypes that may be common in autism spectrum disorder and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and facilitate the search for pleiotropic genes. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 35, 1363–1396. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2011.02.015>
- Ronald, A., & Hoekstra, R. A. (2011). Autism spectrum disorders and autistic traits: A decade of new twin studies. *American Journal of Medical Genetics*, 156, 255–274. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajmg.b.31159>
- Ronald, A., Happé, F., Bolton, P., Butcher, L. M., Price, T. S., Wheelwright, S., ... Plomin, R. (2006). Genetic heterogeneity between the three components of the autism spectrum: A twin study. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 45, 691–699. <https://doi.org/10.1097/01.chi.0000215325.13058.9d>
- Scholten, S., Smidt, N., Swertz, M. A., Bakker, S. J. L., Dottinga, A., Vonk, J. M., ... Stolk, R. P. (2015). Cohort profile: LifeLines, a three-generation cohort study and biobank. *International Journal of Epidemiology*, 44, 1172–1180. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyu229>
- Simonoff, E., Pickles, A., Charman, T., Chandler, S., Loucas, T., & Baird, G. (2008). Psychiatric disorders in children with autism spectrum disorders: Prevalence, comorbidity, and associated factors in a population-derived sample. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 47, 921–929. <https://doi.org/10.1097/CHI.0b013e318179964f>
- Skov, T., Deddens, J., Petersen, M. R., & Endahl, L. (1998). Prevalence proportion ratios: Estimation and hypothesis testing. *International Journal of Epidemiology*, 27, 91–95.
- Solberg, B. S., Halmøy, A., Engeland, A., Igland, J., Haavik, J., & Klungsoyr, K. (2018). Gender differences in psychiatric comorbidity: A population-based study of 40 000 adults with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*, 137, 176–186. <https://doi.org/10.1111/acps.12845>

- Solberg, B. S., Zayats, T., Posserud, M., Halmøy, A., Haavik, J., & Klungsoyr, K. (2019). Patterns of psychiatric comorbidity and genetic correlations provide new insights into differences between attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and autism spectrum disorder. *Biological Psychiatry*, *86*, 587–598. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2019.04.021>
- Stolk, R. P., Rosmalen, J. G. M., Postma, D. S., de Boer, R. A., Navis, G., Slaets, J. P. J., ... Wolffenbuttel, B. H. R. (2008). Universal risk factors for multifactorial diseases: LifeLines: A three-generation population-based study. *European Journal of Epidemiology*, *23*, 67–74. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10654-007-9204-4>
- Sullivan, P. F., Neale, M. C., & Kendler, K. S. (2000). Genetic epidemiology of major depression: Review and meta-analysis. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, *157*, 1552–1562. <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.157.10.1552>
- Thapar, A. (2018). Discoveries on the genetics of ADHD in the 21st century: New findings and their implications. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, *175*, 943–950. <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2018.18040383>
- Tick, B., Bolton, P., Happé, F., Rutter, M., & Rijdsdijk, F. (2016). Heritability of autism spectrum disorders: A meta-analysis of twin studies. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, and Allied Disciplines*, *57*, 585–595. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpp.12499>
- Triatin, R. D., Chen, Z., Ani, A., Wang, R., Hartman, C. A., Nolte, I. M., ... Snieder, H. (2023). Familial co-aggregation and shared genetics of cardiometabolic disorders and traits: Data from the multi-generational Lifelines Cohort Study. *Cardiovascular Diabetology*, *22*, 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12933-023-02017-w>
- van der Meer, J. M. J., Oerlemans, A. M., van Steijn, D. J., Lappenschaar, M. G. A., de Sonnevle, L. M. J., Buitelaar, J. K., ... Rommelse, N. N. J. (2012). Are autism spectrum disorder and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder different manifestations of one overarching disorder? Cognitive and symptom evidence from a clinical and population-based sample. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, *51*, 1160–1172. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaac.2012.08.024>
- van Steijn, D. J., Richards, J. S., Oerlemans, A. M., de Ruiter, S. W., van Aken, M. A. G., Franke, B., ... Rommelse, N. N. J. (2012). The co-occurrence of autism spectrum disorder and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder symptoms in parents of children with ASD or ASD with ADHD. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, and Allied Disciplines*, *53*, 954–963. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7610.2012.02556.x>
- Wade, M., Prime, H., & Madigan, S. (2015). Using sibling designs to understand neurodevelopmental disorders: From genes and environments to prevention programming. *Biomed Research International*, *2015*, 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/672784>
- Wang, R., Snieder, H., & Hartman, C. A. (2022). Familial co-aggregation and shared heritability between depression, anxiety, obesity and substance use. *Translational Psychiatry*, *12*, 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41398-022-01868-3>
- Wolfram, T., & Morris, D. (2023). Conventional twin studies overestimate the environmental differences between families relevant to educational attainment. *NPJ Science of Learning*, *8*(24), 1–30. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41539-023-00173-y>
- Zhang, J., Thio, C. H. L., Gansevoort, R. T., & Snieder, H. (2021). Familial aggregation of CKD and heritability of kidney biomarkers in the general population: The lifelines cohort study. *American Journal of Kidney Diseases: The Official Journal of the National Kidney Foundation*, *77*, 869–878. <https://doi.org/10.1053/j.ajkd.2020.11.012>