Detection and characterization of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* in faeces and lymphatic tissue of free-ranging deer

M. EGGERT¹, E. STÜBER^{2*}, M. HEURICH³, M. FREDRIKSSON-AHOMAA⁴, Y. BURGOS⁵, L. BEUTIN⁵ and E. MÄRTLBAUER²

¹ Institute of Human Genetics, University Hospital, Ludwig-Maximilians-University Munich, Germany ² Institute of Food Science, Department of Veterinary Sciences, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Ludwig-Maximilians-University Munich, Germany

⁸ Department of Research and Documentation, Bavarian Forest National Park, Grafenau, Germany

⁴ Department of Food Hygiene and Environmental Health, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Helsinki, Finland

⁵ National Reference Laboratory for Escherichia coli, Federal Institute for Risk Assessment (BfR), Berlin, Germany

Received 12 October 2011; Final revision 26 January 2012; Accepted 1 February 2012; first published online 28 February 2012

SUMMARY

Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC) have led to outbreaks worldwide and are considered emerging pathogens. Infections by STEC in humans have been reported after consumption of mainly beef, but also deer. This study investigated the occurrence of STEC in deer in Germany. The virulence genes *eae*, *e-hlyA* and *saa*, the *stx* subtypes, pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) patterns and serovars were studied. In total, 120 samples of 60 animals were screened by real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR). The PCR results showed a high detection rate of *stx* genes (83%). Mainly faecal samples, but also some lymphatic tissue samples, tested *stx*-positive. All isolates carried *stx2*, were *eae*-negative and carried *e-hlyA* in 38% and *saa* in 9% of samples. Serovars (O88:[H8], O174:[H8], O146:H28) associated with human diseases were also identified. In some animals, isolates from lymphatic tissue and faecal samples showed undistinguishable PFGE patterns. The examined deer were shown to be relevant reservoirs of STEC with subtype *stx2b* predominating.

Key words: Bacterial typing, Shiga toxin-producing E. coli.

INTRODUCTION

More than 3500 Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC) cases in humans were confirmed in European countries in 2009 [1]. The pathogenicity of *E. coli* for humans probably depends on the virulence

profile of the bacteria. The Shiga toxins (Stx) 1 and 2, encoded by their respective genes, rank among the most potent bacterial toxins. The *stx* genes can be classified into subtypes *stx1a*, *stx1c*, *stx1d* and *stx2a-stx2g* [2]. Stx2 compared to Stx1 seems to lead to more severe diseases in humans [3]. Another major virulence factor of STEC is intimin, encoded by the *eae* gene. In patients suffering from haemorrhagic colitis (HC) or the life-threatening haemolytic uraemic syndrome (HUS), it is mostly STEC isolates

^{*} Author for correspondence: Dr E. Stüber, Institute of Food Science, Ludwig-Maximilians-University Munich, Germany, Schönleutnerstraße 8, D-85764 Oberschleißheim. (Email: Elisabeth.Stueber@lmu.de)

carrying the *eae* gene as well as the *e-hlyA* gene, encoding the pore-building cytolysin enterohaemolysin, that have been detected [4]. The STEC auto-agglutinating adhesin gene (*saa*) was first discovered 2001 in relation to a HUS outbreak in Australia and might represent an additional virulence gene particularly for *eae*-negative STEC [5].

Not only is the virulence profile of great interest, but also the serovar of STEC strains. Currently, a large number of different serovars have been determined to be associated with human diseases [6]. The serovar O157:H7 was found to be the main serovar causing STEC outbreaks in the USA and Europe [7]. However, the importance of non-O157 serovars for human diseases has been underestimated due to unawareness of the involvement of non-O157 in human diseases and the lack of appropriate culturing methods [8].

Transmission of STEC strains is possible via direct contact with humans or animals, but mainly occurs via consumption of STEC-contaminated food products [9]. So far, STEC strains have been detected in many animals, e.g. turkeys, pigs and horses [7, 10]. However, ruminants, in particular cattle are regarded as the main reservoir of this pathogen [7, 8]. More than 400 different STEC serovars have been isolated from healthy cattle, indicating that STEC belong to the intestinal flora of cattle [11, 12]. Beutin et al. were able to isolate strains of the same serovar from cattle as well as from patients with diarrhoea or HUS, thus confirming the role of cattle in human infections [13]. However, other ruminants may also represent important reservoirs [6, 14]. Thus far, few studies have dealt with the occurrence and characterization of STEC in cervids in Europe [14-18] and no study has ever investigated STEC in lymphatic tissue of deer. The objective of our study was to investigate the occurrence of STEC in lymphatic tissue and faeces of red and roe deer and to characterize the virulence profile, serovar and the pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) pattern of the isolates.

METHODS

Samples

In total, 120 samples from healthy red (*Cervus elaphus*) and roe (*Capreolus capreolus*) deer were taken in the Bavarian Forest National Park. Red deer sampling comprised 30 faecal samples, 30 mesenterial lymph node samples and 30 tonsil samples from 30 animals, shot for livestock control. To minimize the

risk of cross-contamination the lymph nodes and tonsils were aseptically removed with sterile instruments and separately stored in sterile bags, before collecting the corresponding faecal sample. Thirty faecal samples were collected from 30 live roe deer from the ground of a live catch trap. Of the 30 red deer 20 were female and 10 male with an age range from 4 months to 12 years. Data concerning the sex and age of the 30 roe deer could not be obtained from all animals. Ten female and 10 male roe deer with an age range from a few months to 8 years were registered. A description of the research area and the wildlife management can be found in Heurich *et al.* [19].

Bacterial strains

E. coli reference strains were used as positive controls for the investigated virulence genes. The strains C600J1 (*stx1a*), C600W34 (*stx2a*) and LGL 2010/02 (*saa*) were kindly provided by the National Reference Laboratory for *Escherichia coli* at the BfR, Berlin, Germany, and by the Bavarian Health and Food Safety Agency, Oberschleißheim, Germany. The remaining strains WK 184ON (*stx1c*), MHI 813 (*stx1d*), MHI 830 (*stx2b*), MHI 829 (*stx2c*), MHI 831 (*stx2e*), MHI 832 (*stx2f*), MHI 834 (*eae*) and MHI 820 (*e-hlyA*) were obtained from the collection of the Institute of Food Science, Munich, Germany.

Primers for real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR)

The primers used in this work are listed in Table 1. Primers for *stx1c*, *stx1d*, *stx2b*, *stx2c*, *stx2e* and *stx2f* had been designed for conventional PCR. In this study, protocols for these primers were established for real-time PCR.

Detection of stx genes

The mesenterial lymph nodes (10 g), tonsils (10 g) and faecal samples (1 g) were each enriched in 90 ml buffered peptone water (BPW; Merck, Germany) for 20 h at 37 °C. After overnight enrichment DNA extraction was performed from 100 μ l of lymph node and tonsil broth with InstaGeneTM Matrix (Bio-Rad, Germany) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Direct DNA extraction from the overnight enrichment of faecal samples was not possible due to inhibitory factors. Hence, one loop of the faecal overnight enrichment was streaked onto sorbitol-MacConkey agar plate (SMAC; Merck) and incubated for 24 h at

Targets	Primer	Oligonucleotide sequence $(5'-3')$	PCR product size (bp)	Ref.
stx1	stx1f	GACTGCAAAGACGTATGTAGATTCG	150	[20]
	stx1r	ATCTATCCCTCTGACATCAACTGC		
stx2	stx2f	ATTAACCACACCCCACCG	210	[20]
	stx2r	GTCATGGAAACCGTTGTCAC		
stx1c	Lin-up	GAACGAAATAATTTATATGT	555	[21]
	1_{OX3}	CTCATTAGGTACAATTCT		
stx1d	VT1AvarF	CTTTTCAGTTAATGCGATTGCT	192	[22]
	VT1AvarR	AACCCCATGATATCGACTGC		
stx2b	stx2d-a	GGTAAAATTGAGTTCTCTAAGTAT	175	[23]
	stx2d-b	CAGCAAATCCTGAACCTGACG		
stx2c	stx2c-a	GCGGTTTTATTTGCATTAGT	124	[23]
	stx2c-b	AGTACTCTTTTCCGGCCACT		
stx2e	stx2e-a	ATGAAGTGTATATTGTTAAAGTGGA	303	[23]
	stx2e-b	AGCCACATATAAATTATTTCGT		
stx2f	stx2f-a	TGTCTTCAGCATCTTATGCAG	150	[23]
	stx2f-b	CATGATTAATTACTGAAACAGAAAC		
eae	eae-F2	CATTGATCAGGATTTTTCTGGTGATA	102	[24]
	eae-R	CTCATGCGGAAATAGCCGTTA		
e-hlyA	ehec-F	CGTTAAGGAACAGGAGGTGTCAGTA	142	[24]
	ehec-R	ATCATGTTTTCCGCCAATGAG		
saa	Saa-F	TGCCGCTGGTATAATTTTTCG	85	[24]
	Saa-R	AACGCCTGTTCCATGTTGTG		

Table 1. Primers for the detection of STEC virulence genes by real-time PCR

41.5 °C. The DNA from the faecal samples was extracted from the first streaking area of each SMAC agar plate. Next, the material was suspended in 100 μ l molecular biology grade water and DNA was extracted by heating (99 °C, 10 min). After centrifugation, the supernatant was used as DNA template. The multiplex real-time PCR for the detection of stx1 and stx2 was conducted in 20 μ l volumes containing 10 µl SsoFastTM EvaGreen[®] Supermix (Bio-Rad), 200 nm of each primer pair (stx1f and stx1r; stx2f and stx2r), $4 \mu l$ molecular biology grade water and $2 \mu l$ template from overnight enrichment (lymph nodes and tonsils), and 5 μ l molecular biology grade water and $1\,\mu$ template from bacterial culture (faeces). DNA from the strains C600J1 and C600W34 were used as positive controls and molecular biology grade water was used as no template control. The PCR was performed in an iQ5 Cycler (Bio-Rad). Thermal cycling consisted of denaturation (98 °C for 5 s), annealing and extension (58 °C for 15 s), performed in 40 cycle steps. Melting curve analysis ranged from 65 °C to 95 °C with 0.5 °C intervals.

Isolation of STEC

Corresponding lymph node and tonsil enrichment cultures of *stx*-positive samples were diluted 1:10000

and faecal cultures 1:100000. Next, $100 \,\mu$ l of the dilution was plated onto SMAC agar and incubated for 24 h at 41.5 °C. From SMAC agar plates, 4–20 colonies (sorbitol-positive and sorbitol-negative) were randomly chosen and analysed for stx1 and stx2 by multiplex real-time PCR. Colonies which were stxpositive were further subcultivated. Pure cultures were investigated for *stx1c*, *stx1d*, *stx2b*, *stx2c*, *stx2e*, stx2f, eae, e-hlyA and saa by real-time PCR. The assays were conducted in 20 μ l volumes containing 10 μ l SsoFastTM EvaGreen[®] Supermix, 200 nm of the respective primer pair (Table 1), 7μ l molecular biology grade water and 1 μ l template from pure *stx*-positive cultures. Thermal cycling consisted of denaturation (98 °C for 5 s), annealing and extension [56 °C (*stx1c*: 54 °C) for 15 s], performed in 40 cycle steps. Melting curve analysis ranged from 65 °C to 95 °C with 0.5 °C intervals. Stx-positive isolates were confirmed as E. coli by API[®] 20E (bioMérieux, Germany).

Serotyping

The isolates were serotyped at the National Reference Laboratory for *Escherichia coli* at the BfR, Berlin, Germany, as described previously [25]. The flagellin gene (*fliC*) was used for typing non-motile isolates. These H antigens are typed in square brackets.

PFGE

DNA was isolated using CHEF Genomic DNA plug kit (Bio-Rad). The plugs were lysed for 4–6 h at 37 °C in lysozyme solution and overnight at 50 °C in proteinase K solution. The plugs were washed six times in wash buffer before restriction digestion. The DNA was digested overnight with 20 U XbaI (New England Biolabs, Germany) enzyme according to the manufacturer's instructions. The restriction fragments were separated through a 1.0% gel (pulsed field certified agarose; BioRad) in $0.5 \times$ Tris-borate EDTA buffer with a CHEF Mapper XA system (Bio-Rad). Lambda Ladder PFG marker (New England Biolabs) was used as a size standard. Pulse times were ramped from 1 to 22 s over 22 h. The gels were stained with ethidium bromide, destained with the running buffer, and photographed with a Gel Doc EQ system (Bio-Rad). The obtained data were analysed by BioNumerics version 6.5 (Applied Maths, Belgium). Percentages of similarity between fingerprints were determined using the band-based Dice coefficient and a 2% band position tolerance. The unweighted pair-group method with arithmetic mean (UPGMA) on a matrix resulting from comparison of PFGE XbaI patterns was used for generating the dendrogram.

Statistical analysis

The data were analysed using the program R version 2.11.1 (open source software by R. Ihaka and R. Gentleman) using Fisher's exact test. The test was performed with a bidirectional null hypothesis. A *P* value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Occurrence of stx genes

In total, 28 (93%) of 30 red deer were positive for stx (Table 2). In 28 stx-positive red deer, seven had only stx-positive faecal samples. In eight animals stx gene was detected in both faecal and lymph node samples, and in two animals in both faecal and tonsil samples. In four animals stx was detected in all samples. In four cases, only the lymph node samples, and in two cases lymph node and tonsil samples were positive. In one animal stx was only detected in the tonsils. Comparing the three types of samples, in both male and female red deer, stx was detected most frequently in faecal samples (8/10 male red deer; 13/20 female

Table 2. Detection of stx in red and roe deer byreal-time PCR

Species	No. of animals	Sample	No. of samples	No. of <i>stx-</i> positives	Detection rate
Red	30			28	93%
deer		Tonsil	30	9	30 %
		Lymph node	30	18	60 %
		Faecal	30	21	70 %
Roe deer	30	Faecal	30	22	73 %
Total	60			50	83%

red deer). Similarly, red deer aged <3 years showed the highest frequency of stx in faeces (13/18; 72%), followed by lymph nodes (9/18; 50%) and tonsils (5/18; 28%). Animals aged >3 years tested positive for stx in 75% of lymph node samples (9/12), in 67% of faecal samples (8/12) and in 33% of tonsil samples (4/12). In roe deer, stx was detected in 22 (73%) out of 30 faecal samples. Seven of 10 male and 9/10 female roe deer carried the stx genes. The sex and age of the remaining six stx-positive roe deer could not be ascertained. The detection rate of stx was not significantly correlated to sex, age or animal species (P > 0.05).

Isolation of STEC

In total, 32 *stx*-positive isolates were recovered from 25 *stx*-positive red and roe deer. From 15 *stx*-positive red deer, 21 isolates were obtained from faeces, lymph nodes or tonsils (13, 5, 3, respectively). From 10 *stx*-positive roe deer, 11 isolates were obtained from faeces. All isolates were sorbitol-positive.

Virulence gene profile

All 32 *stx*-positive isolates were positive for the *stx2* gene. Two of the 32 isolates also tested positive for *stx1* gene. A clearly higher frequency was shown by *stx2* variant genes compared to *stx1* variant genes. The two *stx1*-positive isolates obtained from red deer could be further subtyped to *stx1c*. Subtyping of the *stx2*-positive isolates resulted in the detection of two different subtypes: *stx2b* and *stx2c*. Of these, *stx2c* was only found in one isolate obtained from roe deer faeces, while *stx2b* was detectable in the remaining 31 (97%) *stx*-positive isolates. None of the isolates tested positive for the subtypes *stx1d*, *stx2e* and *stx2f*.

Table 3. Gene combinations and servars of the 32stx-positive isolates obtained from red and roe deer

Gene serovar	Total	Isolates obtained from red deer	Isolates obtained from roe deer
stx2b	19	16	3
Orough:H28	6	3	3
O130:[H30]	5	5	0
O130:H30	1	1	0
O146:H28	4	4	0
O21:H21	3	3	0
stx2b + hlyA	8	3	5
O110:[H31]	2	0	2
O146:H28	1	0	1
O18:[H49]	1	1	0
O18:H49	1	1	0
O88:[H8]	1	1	0
O21:H21	1	0	1
Orough:H21	1	0	1
stx1c + stx2b + hlyA	2	2	0
O174:H8	1	1	0
O174:[H8]	1	1	0
stx2b + saa	1	0	1
Orough:H28	1	0	1
stx2b + hlyA + saa	1	0	1
Orough:H21	1	0	1
stx2c + hlyA + saa	1	0	1
O142:H16	1	0	1

[...]=Non-motile strain, *fliC* type analysed by PCR.

The virulence gene *e-hlyA* was present in 12 (38%) isolates. *saa* was detected in three (9%) isolates. None of the isolates carried the virulence gene *eae*. With regard to the gene combination, stx2b without any other tested gene was detected most frequently (59%), followed by the combination stx2b + e-*hlyA* (25%). In total, the 21 isolates obtained from red deer comprised three different gene combinations and the 11 isolates obtained from roe deer shared five gene combinations (Table 3).

Analysis of serovars

The 32 *stx*-positive isolates could be assigned to ten different serovars. Here, eight different O types (O18, O21, O88, O110, O130, O142, O146, O174) and seven different H types (H8, H16, H21, H28, H30, H31, H49) were detected. Orough was found in nine isolates. The combination Orough:H28 was detected most frequently, in seven (22%) of 32 isolates. Six (19%) isolates harboured the serovar O130:H30, with five

isolates being non-motile. O146:H28 and O21:H21 were detectable in five and four isolates, respectively. O174:H8 was found twice with one isolate being non-motile. Two isolates were serotyped as O110:H31, both non-motile. O18:H49 and Orough:H21 were each detected in two isolates, with one of the O18:H49 isolate being non-motile. An isolate with serovar O142:H16 and a non-motile isolate with the serovar O88:H8 were each found once (Table 3).

PFGE patterns

The 32 isolates yielded 26 different *Xba*I profiles. The 21 isolates recovered from 15 red deer resulted in 16 different profiles. The 11 isolates from 10 roe deer exhibited 10 different patterns. Two red deer possessed isolates with undistinguishable patterns in faeces and lymph nodes or in faeces and tonsils, respectively. Similarly, another red deer harboured isolates with undistinguishable patterns in faecal and lymph node isolates, but the tonsil isolate differed. Twice, isolates with undistinguishable patterns were present in faeces of different animals. In another case, faecal and tonsil isolates of different animals were undistinguishable with *Xba*I (Fig. 1). The isolates could be divided into 11 different groups, based on a similarity of >70% and corresponded to the O types.

DISCUSSION

In contrast to previous studies focusing on the occurrence of the serovar O157:H7 [26, 27], this study deals with the occurrence of STEC in deer irrespective of the serovar. Over 70% of the deer excreted stxpositive faeces and of 42% of the deer STEC could be isolated. In a previous German investigation, STEC isolates were recovered from 52% of roe, red, and fallow deer faecal samples. The samples were taken from five different hunting grounds [17]. In Argentina, faeces of captive wild deer were found to be stxpositive in 38% of samples [28]. Studies of STEC in faeces from non-captive deer performed in other countries mainly revealed clearly lower occurrences. In Spain, STEC were identified in 25% of red deer and in 5% of roe deer [14] by testing randomly chosen colonies from the samples. In Belgium, STEC were only present in 12% of roe and red deer [15]. Furthermore, only 10% of free-ranging deer and 16% of wild deer in Japan excreted STEC in their faeces [29, 30]. Lillehaug et al. were unable to detect STEC in Norwegian roe deer, and in red deer only

PFGE Xbal	Isolate	Sample	Animal	Serovar	Virulence genes			
60 70 80 90 100								
	CEF28	Faeces	Red deer #28	Orough:H28		stx2b		
	CEL28	Lymph node	Red deer #28	Orough:H28		stx2b		
_	CCF5	Faeces	Roe deer #5	Orough:H28		stx2b		
	CCF8	Faeces	Roe deer #8	Orough:H28		stx2b		
	CCF2	Faeces	Roe deer #2	O146:H28		stx2b	e-hlyA	
	CEL27	Lymph node	Red deer #27	O146:H28		stx2b		
	CCF18	Faeces	Roe deer #18	Orough:H28		stx2b		
	CCF11.1	Faeces	Roe deer #11	O142:H16		stx2c	e-hlyA	saa
	CEF6	Faeces	Red deer #6	O88:[H8]		stx2b	e-hlyA	
	CCF22	Faeces	Roe deer #22	Orough:H28		stx2b		saa
	CEL14	Lymph node	Red deer #14	O146:H28		stx2b		
	CEF17.1	Faeces	Red deer #17	O146:H28		stx2b		
	CEF8	Faeces	Red deer #8	O146:H28		stx2b		
	CEF21	Faeces	Red deer #21	Orough:H28		stx2b		
14 1	CEF11	Faeces	Red deer #11	O130:[H30]		stx2b		
	CET12	Tonsil	Red deer #12	O130:[H30]		stx2b		
	CEF22	Faeces	Red deer #22	O130:H30		stx2b		
	CEF18	Faeces	Red deer #18	O130:[H30]		stx2b		
	CET18	Tonsil	Red deer #18	O130:[H30]		stx2b		
	CEF25	Faeces	Red deer #25	O130:[H30]		stx2b		
	CEF12	Faeces	Red deer #12	O174:[H8]	stx1c	stx2b	e-hlyA	
	CEL12	Lymph node	Red deer #12	O174:H8	stx1c	stx2b	e-hlyA	
	CCF11.2	Faeces	Roe deer #11	Orough:H21		stx2b	e-hlyA	saa
	CCF3	Faeces	Roe deer #3	O21:H21		stx2b	e-hlyA	
	CCF12	Faeces	Roe deer #12	Orough:H21		stx2b	e-hlyA	
	CEL11	Lymph node	Red deer #11	O21:H21		stx2b		
	CEF29	Faeces	Red deer #29	O21:H21		stx2b		
	CET3	Tonsil	Red deer #3	O21:H21		stx2b		
	CCF6	Faeces	Roe deer #6	O110:[H31]		stx2b	e-hlyA	
	CCF9	Faeces	Roe deer #9	O110:[H31]		stx2b	e-hlyA	
	CEF17.2	Faeces	Red deer #17	O18:H49		stx2b	e-hlyA	
	CEF24	Faeces	Red deer #24	O18:[H49)		stx2b	e-hlyA	

Fig. 1. Dendrogram analysis of STEC strains isolated from red and roe deer in Germany. The isolate names, serovars, and virulence genes are indicated. The tree was constructed by BioNumerics software v. 6.5 using the Dice coefficient (tolerance 2%) and UPGMA on a matrix resulting from comparison of PFGE *XbaI* patterns. CC, *Capreolus capreolus* (roe deer); CE, *Cervus elaphus* (red deer). The scales at the top indicate the similarity indices (in percentages). Isolates with undistinguishable PFGE *XbaI* patterns are highlighted in grey.

2/135 individuals tested *stx*-positive, after screening with immunomagnetic separation for the O types: O26, O103, O145, O111 and O157 [18].

The 60 investigated deer originated from the Bavarian Forest National Park. As these deer are sometimes fed during winter, the high level of *stx*-positive animals could be due to a crowding effect at the feeding sites. In this way, a transmission of STEC is likely via oral ingestion of food contaminated with *stx*-positive faeces. This suggestion is supported by the isolation of identical isolates in tonsils and faeces of both the same and different animals. Another explanation for the high occurrence of STEC in deer could be that the sampled animals belonged mainly

to the same flock. However no data are available to assign each sampled deer to its flock. In spite of sampling the deer randomly and at different places in the national park, a selection bias cannot be ruled out due to the small sample size. All sampled animals showed no clinical symptoms, implying that deer function as asymptomatic STEC carriers and excretors, like cattle. No cases of disease or death in deer caused by STEC could be found in the literature.

Three different sample types (faeces, lymph nodes, tonsils) were obtained from red deer. To our knowledge, this is the first study investigating the occurrence of STEC in lymph nodes and tonsils of deer. In an Italian study, lymph nodes and tonsils of cattle were tested for the serovars O26, O103, O111, O145 and O157. One of 89 lymph node and 1/93 tonsil samples were positive for O157 [31]. In this study, 18 (60%) of 30 and nine (30%) of 30 red deer were *stx*-positive in lymph nodes or tonsils, respectively. As a consequence, our study demonstrates that STEC can also be found in lymphatic tissue of deer, indicating that STEC in deer is not restricted to the intestinal tract. Previous studies in animal models proved that bacteria, e.g. E. coli and Salmonella Gallinarum, are able to translocate from the intestine to mesenteric lymph nodes [23, 32]. Thus, an explanation for STEC being present in mesenteric lymph nodes could be that STEC might possess the ability of migrating across the intestinal barrier. This suggestion is supported by the finding that in most cases, the STEC isolated from faeces and lymph nodes of the same animal were of the same serovar and exhibited an identical PFGE profile.

Isolates with undistinguishable PFGE patterns indicate that different samples and animals can harbour the same strains. However, these strains were each only found within the same species, whereas Leotta *et al.* described an inter-species transmission of STEC strains between different species of captive non-domestic ruminants [28]. This could be due to differences in habitats or due to the limited number of isolates in this study.

All 32 isolates carried stx2, mostly stx2b. Asakura et al. also detected only stx2-positive deer in Japan, but did not differentiate between *stx2* subtypes [29]. However, another Japanese study revealed a higher rate of stx1 (48%) than of stx2 (24%) in deer without differentiating between the stx gene subtypes [30]. With respect to the *stx2* subtypes, *stx2b* predominated with 97% in our samples. This result corroborates a previously published German study [17]. The subtype stx2c was identified in one isolate of roe deer. stx2c is associated with HUS and HC cases in humans, hence being discussed as a virulence gene of high pathogenicity for humans [3, 33]. stx1c, harboured by two red deer isolates, had seldom been detected in deer before [28, 34]. Thus, STEC carrying stx1c seem to occur rarely in deer. Comparable to the e-hlyA detection rate of 38% found in this study, the e-hlyA gene had previously been described in 33% of German deer [17]. These findings correspond to our results and indicate that STEC possessing e-hlyA can frequently be found in deer. Only three (9%)isolates carried the saa gene in this study. However, in

a previous study *saa* had been detected at a much higher rate in deer [34]. All isolates lacked the *eae* gene. Similarly, STEC isolates of deer investigated by Leotta *et al.* [28] and Sánchez *et al.* [14] were devoid of *eae* and *saa* genes. According to our results, red and roe deer in this region of Germany may mainly serve as a reservoir for *saa*-negative and *eae*-negative STEC.

The serovar combinations O146:H28, O21:H21, O174:H8, O110:H31 and O88:H8 found in this study, had sporadically been recovered from deer and deer meat before [17, 34, 35]. With regard to the pathogenicity for humans, the serovars O88:H8 and O146:H28 have been isolated from HUS and HC patients in Germany [4]. The serogroups O157 and O26 which mainly account for STEC infections in Germany were not detected in this study [36]. However, serogoups O142, O146 and O174 have been recorded with STEC infections in Germany in the last 2 years [36]. Therefore, considering both the serovar and the virulence gene profile of the 32 isolates, it cannot be ruled out that some isolates carry a risk for humans and could be emerging pathogens. The isolate O142:H16, possessing the *stx2c*, *e-hlyA* and *saa* genes, might particularly be considered as potentially pathogenic.

It is known that food is a crucial transmission vehicle leading to STEC infection in humans. As our work revealed a high occurrence of STEC in deer, great care is required during evisceration and deer meat processing. Tonsil and especially faecal contamination of deer meat carries the risk of transmitting STEC. Some STEC outbreaks and sporadic cases of HC in humans have already been traced back to STEC-contaminated deer meat as the source of infection [37–39].

In conclusion, our results support the findings of previous studies that, in addition to cattle, deer can be regarded as a relevant reservoir for STEC. Furthermore, this work demonstrates that STEC cannot only be detected and isolated from faeces, but also from lymphatic tissue of deer. Regarding the gene profile of isolated STEC, our findings reveal that stx2b alone or in combination with *e*-hlyA possibly predominate in deer in Germany. Some isolates possess serovars and gene combinations which have been associated with STEC infections in humans. With the detection of the subtype stx2c in deer, our study provides further evidence that deer can be considered as a potential source of STEC infection in humans.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Dr Ute Messelhäußer for providing the LGL 2010/02 strain. We thank the team from the Bavarian Forest National Park, Germany, especially M. Penn, H. Burghart and L. Ertl.

DECLARATION OF INTEREST

None.

REFERENCES

- European Food Safety Authority (EFSA). The European Union summary report on trends and sources of zoonoses, zoonotic agents and food-borne outbreaks in 2009. EFSA Journal 2011; 9: 2090.
- Feng PC, et al. Specificity of PCR and serological assays in the detection of Escherichia coli Shiga toxin subtypes. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 2011; 77: 6699–6702.
- Lefebvre B, et al. Relative cytotoxicity of *Escherichia* coli O157:H7 isolates from beef cattle and humans. *Foodborne Pathogens and Disease* 2009; 6: 357–364.
- Beutin L, et al. Characterization of Shiga toxinproducing Escherichia coli strains isolated from human patients in Germany over a 3-year period. Journal of Clinical Microbiology 2004; 42: 1099–1108.
- 5. Paton AW, et al. Characterization of Saa, a novel autoagglutinating adhesin produced by locus of enterocyte effacement-negative Shiga-toxigenic Escherichia coli strains that are virulent for humans. Infection and Immunity 2001; 69: 6999–7009.
- World Health Organisation. Zoonotic non-O157 shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC) Report of a WHO Scientific working Group Meeting, 1998, Berlin, 23–26 June 1998.
- Lim JY, Yoon J, Hovde CJ. A brief overview of Escherichia coli O157:H7 and its plasmid O157. Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology 2010; 20: 5–14.
- Bettelheim KA. The non-O157 shiga-toxigenic (verocytotoxigenic) *Escherichia coli*; under-rated pathogens. *Critical Reviews in Microbiology* 2007; 33: 67–87.
- 9. Pennington H. Escherichia coli O157. Lancet 2010; 376: 1428–1435.
- Pichner R, et al. Occurrence of Salmonella spp. and shigatoxin-producing Escherichia coli (STEC) in horse faeces and horse meat products [in German]. Berliner und Münchener Tierärztliche Wochenschrift 2005; 118: 321–325.
- Blanco M, et al. Serotypes, virulence genes, and intimin types of Shiga toxin (verotoxin)-producing Escherichia coli isolates from cattle in Spain and identification of a new intimin variant gene (eae-xi). Journal of Clinical Microbiology 2004; 42: 645–651.
- Naylor SW, Gally DL, Low JC. Enterohaemorrhagic E. coli in veterinary medicine. International Journal of Medical Microbiology 2005; 295: 419–441.

- Beutin L, et al. Investigation of human infections with verocytotoxin-producing strains of *Escherichia coli* (VTEC) belonging to serogroup O118 with evidence for zoonotic transmission. *Epidemiology and Infection* 2000; 125: 47–54.
- Sánchez S, et al. Detection and characterisation of Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli other than Escherichia coli O157:H7 in wild ruminants. Veterinary Journal 2009; 180: 384–388.
- 15. Bardiau M, et al. Enteropathogenic (EPEC), enterohaemorragic (EHEC) and verotoxigenic (VTEC) Escherichia coli in wild cervids. Journal of Applied Microbiology 2010; 109: 2214–2222.
- 16. Kemper N, Aschfalk A, Holler C. Campylobacter spp., Enterococcus spp., Escherichia coli, Salmonella spp., Yersinia spp., and Cryptosporidium oocysts in semidomesticated reindeer (Rangifer tarandus tarandus) in Northern Finland and Norway. Acta Veterinaria Scandinavica 2006; 48: 7.
- Lehmann S, et al. Detection of STEC in faecal samples of free-ranging wild and in wild meat samples [in German]. Fleischwirtschaft 2006; 4: 93–96.
- Lillehaug A, et al. Campylobacter spp., Salmonella spp., verocytotoxic Escherichia coli, and antibiotic resistance in indicator organisms in wild cervids. Acta Veterinaria Scandinavica 2005; 46: 23–32.
- Heurich M, et al. Management and Conservation of large mammals in the Bavarian Forest National Park. Silva Gabreta 2011; 17: 1–18.
- Sharma VK, Dean-Nystrom EA. Detection of enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 by using a multiplex real-time PCR assay for genes encoding intimin and Shiga toxins. *Veterinary Microbiology* 2003; 93: 247–260.
- Koch C, et al. Isolation of a lysogenic bacteriophage carrying the stx(1(OX3)) gene, which is closely associated with Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* strains from sheep and humans. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* 2001; 39: 3992–3998.
- Bürk C, et al. Identification and characterization of a new variant of Shiga toxin 1 in Escherichia coli ONT:H19 of bovine origin. Journal of Clinical Microbiology 2003; 41: 2106–2112.
- 23. Wells CL, Erlandsen SL. Localization of translocating *Escherichia coli, Proteus mirabilis,* and *Enterococcus faecalis* within cecal and colonic tissues of mono-associated mice. *Infection and Immunity* 1991; **59**: 4693–4697.
- Nielsen EM, Andersen MT. Detection and characterization of verocytotoxin-producing *Escherichia coli* by automated 5' nuclease PCR assay. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* 2003; 41: 2884–2893.
- 25. Beutin L, et al. Identification of human pathogenic strains of shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* from food by a combination of serotyping and molecular typing of shiga toxin genes. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 2007; **73**: 4769–4775.
- Garcia-Sanchez A, et al. Presence of Shiga toxinproducing E. coli O157:H7 in a survey of wild artiodactyls. Veterinary Microbiology 2007; 121: 373–377.

- Renter DG, et al. Escherichia coli O157:H7 in freeranging deer in Nebraska. Journal of Wildlife Diseases 2001; 37: 755–760.
- Leotta GA, et al. Detection and characterization of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* in captive nondomestic mammals. *Veterinary Microbiology* 2006; 118: 151–157.
- 29. Asakura H, et al. Detection and genetical characterization of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* from wild deer. *Microbiology and Immunology* 1998; 42: 815–822.
- Fukuyama M, et al. Study on the verotoxin-producing Escherichia coli – isolation of the bacteria from deer dung [in Japanese]. Kansenshogaku Zasshi 1999; 73: 1140–1144.
- Bonardi S, et al. Detection of verocytotoxin-producing Escherichia coli serogroups O157 and O26 in the cecal content and lymphatic tissue of cattle at slaughter in Italy. Journal of Food Protection 2007; 70: 1493– 1497.
- 32. Paulin SM, et al. Analysis of Salmonella enterica serotype-host specificity in calves: avirulence of S. enterica serotype gallinarum correlates with bacterial dissemination from mesenteric lymph nodes and persistence in vivo. Infection and Immunity 2002; 70: 6788–6797.
- 33. Friedrich AW, et al. Escherichia coli harboring Shiga toxin 2 gene variants: frequency and association with

clinical symptoms. *Journal of Infectious Diseases* 2002; **185**: 74–84.

- Ishii S, Meyer KP, Sadowsky MJ. Relationship between phylogenetic groups, genotypic clusters, and virulence gene profiles of *Escherichia coli* strains from diverse human and animal sources. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 2007; 73: 5703–5710.
- Miko A, et al. Assessment of Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli isolates from wildlife meat as potential pathogens for humans. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 2009; 75: 6462–6470.
- Robert Koch-Institut (RKI). SurvStat@RKI database. Surveillance status of EHEC/STEC listed by Serovars reported from 2009–2011 (http://www3.rki. de/SurvStat/QueryForm.aspx). Accessed 15 September 2011.
- Ahn CK, et al. Deer sausage: a newly identified vehicle of transmission of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7. *Journal of Pediatrics* 2009; 155: 587–589.
- Keene WE, et al. An outbreak of Escherichia coli O157:H7 infections traced to jerky made from deer meat. Journal of the American Medical Association 1997; 277: 1229–1231.
- Rabatsky-Ehr T, et al. Deer meat as the source for a sporadic case of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 infection, Connecticut. *Emerging Infectious Diseases* 2002; 8: 525–527.