Large-Scale Outflows from AGN: A Link Between Central Black Holes and Galaxies

Daniel Proga¹, Ryuichi Kurosawa^{1,2}, and Kentaro Nagamine¹

¹Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Nevada Las Vegas, Box 454002, 4505 Maryland Pkwy, Las Vegas, NV 891541-4002, USA Email: dproga, rk, kn@physics.unlv.edu ²Present address: Department of Astronomy, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853-6801, USA

 $\operatorname{Email:}$ kurosawa@astro.cornell.edu

Abstract. We summarize the results from numerical simulations of mass outflows from AGN. We focus on simulations of outflows driven by radiation from large-scale inflows. We discuss the properties of these outflows in the context of the so-called AGN feedback problem. Our main conclusion is that this type of outflow is efficient in removing matter but inefficient in removing energy.

Keywords. accretion, accretion disks, galaxies: jets, galaxies: kinematics and dynamics, methods: numerical, hydrodynamics

1. Introduction

AGN produce the powerful outflows of the electromagnetic radiation that in turn can drive outflows of matter. These outflows and the central location of AGN in their host galaxies imply that AGN can play a key role in determining the physical conditions in the central region of the galaxy, the galaxy as a whole, and even intergalactic scales (e.g., Ciotti & Ostriker 1997; Ciotti *et al.* 2009; Sazonov *et al.* 2005; Springel *et al.* 2005b; Fabian *et al.* 2006; Merloni & Heinz 2008, and references therein).

Outflows from AGN are an important link between the central and outer parts of the galaxy because AGN are powered by mass accretion onto a supermassive black hole (SMBH). Thus the SMBH "knows" about the galaxy through the accretion flow, whereas the galaxy knows about the SMBH through the outflows powered by accretion. To quantify this connection, let us express the radiation luminosity due to accretion as

$$L_{\rm a} = \epsilon_{\rm r} c^2 \dot{M}_{\rm a},\tag{1.1}$$

where we invoke the simplest assumption such that the luminosity is proportional to the mass accretion rate $(\dot{M}_{\rm a})$ and a radiative (or rest-mass conversion) efficiency $(\epsilon_{\rm r})$.

To estimate M_a , one often adopts the analytic formula by Bondi (1952) who considered spherically symmetric accretion from a non-rotating polytropic gas with uniform density ρ_{∞} and sound speed c_{∞} at infinity. Under these assumptions, a steady state solution to the equations of mass and momentum conservation exists with a mass accretion rate of

$$\dot{M}_{\rm B} = \lambda \, 4\pi r_{\rm B}^2 \rho_\infty c_\infty,\tag{1.2}$$

where λ is a dimensionless parameter that, for the Newtonian potential, depends only on the adiabatic index γ (cf. Bondi 1952). The Bondi radius is defined as

$$r_{\rm B} = \frac{GM}{c_{\infty}^2},\tag{1.3}$$

where G is the gravitational constant and M is the mass of the accretor.

354

To quantify AGN feedback, one can measure its efficiency in changing the flow of mass, momentum, and energy. The mass feedback efficiency $\epsilon_{\rm m}$ is defined as the ratio of the mass-outflow rate at the outer boundary $\dot{M}_{\rm out}$ to the mass-inflow rate at the inner boundary $\dot{M}_{\rm in}$, i.e.,

$$\epsilon_{\rm m} = \dot{M}_{\rm out} / \dot{M}_{\rm in} \,. \tag{1.4}$$

Here, we consider only the energy and momentum carried out by matter. Therefore, the momentum feedback efficiency ($\epsilon_{\rm p}$) is defined as the ratio of the total wind momentum $p_{\rm w}$ to the total radiation momentum $(L_{\rm a}/c)$, i.e.,

$$\epsilon_{\rm p} = p_{\rm w} / \left(L_{\rm a} / c \right) \,. \tag{1.5}$$

Finally, the total energy feedback efficiency $\epsilon_{\rm t}$ is defined as the ratio of the sum of the kinetic power (kinetic energy flux) $P_{\rm k}$ and thermal energy power (thermal energy flux) $P_{\rm th}$ to the accretion luminosity of the system $L_{\rm a}$ (equation 1.1), i.e.,

$$\epsilon_{\rm t} = \left(P_{\rm k} + P_{\rm th}\right) / L_{\rm a} \,, \tag{1.6}$$

where

$$\epsilon_{\rm k} = P_{\rm k}/L_{\rm a} \tag{1.7}$$

and

$$\epsilon_{\rm th} = P_{\rm th}/L_{\rm a} \,, \tag{1.8}$$

It follows that $\epsilon_{t} = \epsilon_{k} + \epsilon_{th}$.

Several very sophisticated simulations of feedback effects were recently performed by, for example, Springel *et al.* (2005b, hereafter SDH05), Di Matteo *et al.* (2005), and Booth & Schaye (2009, hereafter BS09). These simulations follow merging galaxies in which many processes were included, for example, star formation, radiative cooling in a complex multi-phase medium, BH accretion, and feedback. In addition, these local and global processes were connected. However, all of this was possible at the cost of crude phenomenological realizations of some processes and the spatial resolution at a level larger than $r_{\rm B}$. Consequently, the efficiencies were assumed instead of being computed.

A main result of these simulations is that the famous $M_{\rm BH}-\sigma$ relation (e.g., Ferrarese & Merritt 2000; Gebhardt et al. 2000; Tremaine et al. 2002) was reproduced. In addition, the BH mass is little affected by the details of star formation and supernova feedback.

This is a great success of the current cosmological simulation models. However, as pointed out above (see also others, e.g., Begelman & Nath 2005), the key feedback processes in the models represent "subgrid" physics. Therefore, in these cosmological and galaxy merger simulations, AGN feedback cannot be directly related to AGN physics.

On the other hand, models that aim to provide insights to AGN physics do not include galaxy-scale phenomena but rather focus on $r_{\rm B}$ or even smaller scales (e.g., Proga 2007; Proga *et al.* 2008; Kurosawa & Proga 2008; Kurosawa & Proga 2009a; Kurosawa & Proga 2009b). Thus they cannot be directly related to AGN feedback on large scales. However, these smaller scale simulations can be used directly to measure the feedback efficiencies and in turn to quantify the effects that are assumed or parameterized in large-scale simulations.

Here, we summarize the main findings from Kurosawa *et al.* (2009) where we attempted to determine if AGN can supply energy in the form and amount required by the cosmological and galaxy merger simulations. Our approach was to measure $\dot{M}_{\rm a}$, and various feedback efficiencies based on direct simulations of inflows and outflows in AGN, on sub-parsec and parsec scales, performed by Kurosawa & Proga (2009b, hereafter KP09).

2. AGN Models in Recent Cosmological Simulations

Before we present our results, we briefly summarize what is required by the cosmological and galaxy merger simulations.

2.1. Mass Accretion Rates

As we mentioned above, in cosmological and galaxy merger simulations (e.g., SDH05; Sijacki *et al.* 2007; BS09), the actual physical process of mass accretion onto the BH is not explicitly modeled because of a relatively poor resolution. These simulations rely on a separate analytical model to describe the small scale processes. The unresolved accretion process is usually described by a Bondi–Hoyle–Little formulation (e.g., Bondi 1952).

One can illustrate some important issues related to numerical realization of this process by considering a simpler Bondi accretion problem. Then, $\dot{M}_{\rm a}$ can be written as equation (1.2). The dimensionless constant λ in equation (1.2) depends on γ but is of order of unity. The Bondi formula relates $\dot{M}_{\rm a}$ of a BH located at the center to the gas density and the sound speed (or equivalently the temperature) of the gas at a large scale.

However in cosmological simulations, the Bondi accretion is evaluated as

$$\dot{M}_{\rm S} = \alpha \, \frac{4\pi G^2 M_{\rm BH}^2 \rho}{c_{\rm s}^3} \tag{2.1}$$

where ρ and $c_{\rm s}$ are the density and the sound speed estimated near the BH using the surrounding smoothed particle hydrodynamics (SPH) gas particles. Note that the expression contains "the dimensionless parameter" α which is different from λ in equation (1.2). SDH05 introduced the α parameter to overcome the gap in the scale sizes between the numerical resolution and the Bondi accretion regime. In a typical cosmological or galaxy merger SPH simulation, the smoothing length (~ 10³ pc) is much larger than the gravitational radius of influence or the Bondi radius, $r_{\rm B}$, which is ~ 2 pc. If we assume the gas located at a large distance, heated by the AGN radiation, is "Comptonized" ($T \approx 2 \times 10^7$ K), the corresponding speed of sound (assuming $\gamma = 5/3$) is relatively high (~ 500 km s⁻¹).

It was found that a very large factor of α is required for low-mass BHs to grow their masses; hence, the problem is not strictly a Bondi accretion problem. Most of the AGN feedback models in the cosmological simulations mentioned above assume a constant value of $\alpha = 100$ (see also Table 2 in BS09), except for BS09 who allow α to depend on the value of local gas density. The assumption of a very large value of α becomes inadequate when the local gas density is higher than that required by the formation of the a cold interstellar gas phase, and when the cosmological simulation does resolve the Jean length and the Bondi radius (BS09).

BS09 abandoned the assumption of constant α in equation (2.1), and introduced a parametrization of α ,

$$\alpha = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } n_{\rm H} < n_{\rm H^*} \\ (n_{\rm H}/n_{\rm H^*})^{\beta} & \text{for } n_{\rm H} \ge n_{\rm H}^* \end{cases}$$
(2.2)

where $n_{\rm H}$ and $n_{\rm H}^*$ are the number density of hydrogen and the critical hydrogen number density above which the gas is expected to become multi-phase, and star formation is expected to begin via contraction of gas due to thermo-gravitational instability (cf. Schaye 2004). The critical density is chosen as $n_{\rm H}^* = 0.1 \,{\rm cm}^{-3}$, i.e., the corresponding critical hydrogen density is $\rho_{\rm H}^* = 1.7 \times 10^{-25} \,{\rm g \, cm}^{-3}$. The best fit models of BS09 to some observations (e.g., the $M_{\rm BH}$ – σ relation) gives $\beta = 2.0$. Note that the new parametrization of α in equation (2.2) provides an additional density dependency of the mass-accretion rate in equation (2.1). In the formulation of BS09, $\dot{M}_{\rm a}$ steeply depends on the density of the surrounding gas, i.e., $\dot{M} \propto \rho^3$, for $\rho > \rho_{\rm H}^*$, while the formulation of SDH05 and the original Bondi accretion model (equation 1.2) always give a linear dependence, i.e., $\dot{M} \propto \rho$. In most AGN accretion models in cosmological simulations (e.g., SDH05; BS09), the highest $\dot{M}_{\rm a}$ is limited to the Eddington rate, i.e.,

$$\dot{M}_{\rm Edd} = \frac{4\pi G M_{\rm BH} m_{\rm p}}{\epsilon_{\rm r} \sigma_{\rm T} c} \tag{2.3}$$

where $m_{\rm p}$, $\epsilon_{\rm r}$, $\sigma_{\rm T}$, and c are the proton mass, the radiative efficiency (the rest mass to radiation conversion efficiency), the Thomson cross-section, and the speed of light, respectively. The Eddington ratio is defined as $\Gamma = \dot{M}_{\rm a}/\dot{M}_{\rm Edd}$.

To illustrate how $M_{\rm a}$ depends on the density ρ in different approaches, Figure 1 (left panel) compares results from the models by Bondi (1952), SDH05, and BS09. The mass of the BH is assumed to be $M_{\rm BH} = 10^8 M_{\odot}$. In all three models, the speed of sound $c_{\rm s}$ is set to $520 \,\mathrm{km}\,\mathrm{s}^{-2}$, which corresponds to a Comptonized gas temperature $T \approx 2 \times 10^7 \,\mathrm{K}$ with $\gamma = 5/3$. In the modified Bondi accretion models of SDH05 and BS09, $\dot{M}_{\rm a}$ is limited by the Eddington rate (equation 2.3), and the radiative efficiency $\epsilon_{\rm f}$ is set to 0.1. The dimensionless parameter $\alpha = 100$ is adopted for the model of SDH05, and $\beta = 2.0$ is adopted in the model of BS09. The figure shows that the mass-accretion rate of SDH05 is larger than the Bondi accretion rate for $\rho \lesssim 10^{-20} \,\mathrm{g \, cm^{-3}}$. On the other hand, the value of $M_{\rm a}$ computed by BS09 is similar to that of the Bondi accretion rate (off by a factor of $\lambda = 1/4$ in equation 1.2) in the low-density regime ($\rho \lesssim 10^{-25} \,\mathrm{g\,cm^{-3}}$), but it is significantly larger than the Bondi accretion rate for the density range of $10^{-25} \lesssim$ $\rho \lesssim 10^{-20} \,\mathrm{g \, cm^{-3}}$. The densities above which the accretion proceeds at the Eddington rate are $\sim 9.5 \times 10^{-23} \,\mathrm{g \, cm^{-3}}$ and $\sim 6.3 \times 10^{-24} \,\mathrm{g \, cm^{-3}}$ for the models of SDH05 and BS09, respectively. However, these values change depending on the adopted value of c_s . In the Bondi accretion model, $M_{\rm a}$ reaches the Eddington rate at much higher density $(\rho \sim 10^{-20} \,\mathrm{g} \,\mathrm{cm}^{-3}).$

2.2. AGN Feedback Models

Once $\dot{M}_{\rm a}$ is evaluated, one can estimate the amount of the accretion power that is released and deposited. In SDH05 and BS09 (also in many others, cf. Table 2 in BS09), $\epsilon_{\rm r} = 0.1$ (e.g., Shakura & Sunyaev 1973; see also Soltan 1982) is assumed and kept constant. A higher value of $\epsilon_{\rm r}$ (~ 0.2) can be achieved in an accretion model with a thin disk and a rapidly rotating BH (e.g., Thorne 1974). Recent observations suggest a wide range of $\epsilon_{\rm r} - 0.07$ (Martínez-Sansigre & Taylor 2009) and 0.30–0.35 (Wang *et al.* 2006a). On the other hand, Cao & Li (2008) find $\epsilon_{\rm r}$ is relatively low (~ 0.08) for $M_{\rm BH} < 10^8 M_{\odot}$ and relatively high ($\gtrsim 0.18$) for $M_{\rm BH} \gtrsim 10^9 M_{\odot}$. The exact mechanism coupling the accretion luminosity of a BH and the surrounding gas is not well known. Therefore, SDH05 and BS09 simply assumed that $L_{\rm a}$ couples only thermally (and isotropically) to the surrounding. Using equation (1.1), the rate of energy deposition to the surrounding gas (the AGN feedback rate) in SDH05 is

$$\dot{E}_{\rm f} = \epsilon_{\rm f} L_{\rm a} = \epsilon_{\rm f} \epsilon_{\rm r} \dot{M}_{\rm BH} c^2 \tag{2.4}$$

where $\epsilon_{\rm f}$ is the efficiency of the AGN energy deposition to the surrounding gas and is a free parameter, which is to be constrained by observations. BS09 find models with $\epsilon_{\rm f} = 0.15$ match observations (e.g., the Magorrian relation and the $M_{\rm BH}-\sigma$ relations) very well, and, similarly, SDH05 find $\epsilon_{\rm f} = 0.05$ matches observations well.



Figure 1. Left: The mass-accretion rates from various models: the Bondi accretion model (Bondi 1952; solid), the modified Bondi accretion model by SDH05 (dashed) and that by BS09 (dotted), as described in equations (1.2), (2.1), and (2.2), respectively. The mass-accretion rates are computed as a function of ρ at a radius $r_{\rm o} \gg r_{\rm B}$. In all models, the speed of sound $c_{\rm s}$ at $r_{\rm o}$ is set to $520 \,\mathrm{km \, s^{-2}}$, and the mass of the BH is set to $M_{\rm BH} = 10^8 \,M_{\odot}$. While $\alpha = 100$ is adopted in the model of SDH05, $\beta = 2.0$ is adopted in the model of BS09. In the modified Bondi accretion models of SDH05 and BS09, the mass-accretion rates are limited to the Eddington rate (equation 2.3). Right: Comparison of the mass-inflow rates found in the HD simulations with those predicted by the Bondi accretion model (Bondi 1952; solid line) and with those adopted by SDH05 and BS09 (dashed line). In the density range of the models considered here, $M_{\rm a}$ adopted by SDH05 and BS09 are limited by the Eddington rate (equation 2.3); hence, the line is flat. The mass-inflow rates at the inner boundary (circles) and those at the outer boundary (squares) of the computational domain are shown as a function of the outer boundary density ρ_{o} . The simulations predict $\dot{M}_{\rm a}$ that are very similar to the Bondi accretion rates, but the models have a less steep dependency on the density. The Bondi mass-accretion rates and those of SDH05 and BS09 are computed for gas with the Comptonized temperature $T = 2 \times 10^7$ K and with $\gamma = 5/3$. The figure is from Kurosawa *et al.* (2009).

3. Results from Our Numerical Simulations

Kurosawa *et al.* (2009) used our physical two-dimensional (2-D) and time-dependent hydrodynamical (HD) simulations of AGN flows to investigate the dependency of the BH mass-accretion rate on the surrounding gas density and to measure the AGN feedback efficiencies in converting the accretion luminosity into the outward fluxes of energy, momentum, and mass. To do this, we simply analyzed the simulations previously published by KP09. We note that 2-D simulations are consistent in many respects with their three-dimensional (3-D) counterparts (Kurosawa & Proga 2009a).

3.1. Mass-Accretion Rates

The mass-inflow rates at the inner boundary $M_{\rm in}(r_{\rm i})$ and those at outer boundary $\dot{M}_{\rm in}(r_{\rm o})$ from the HD simulations are plotted as a function of the outer boundary density $\rho_{\rm o}$ in the right panel of Figure 1. For a given value of $\rho_{\rm o}$, $\dot{M}_{\rm in}(r_{\rm i})$ and $\dot{M}_{\rm in}(r_{\rm o})$ are not equal to each other, but rather $\dot{M}_{\rm in}(r_{\rm i}) < \dot{M}_{\rm in}(r_{\rm o})$ because of an outflow. The lowest density model is an exception since no outflow is formed in this model. For the higher density models, an outflow forms, and not all the material entering from the outer boundary reaches the inner boundary. A fraction of gas experiences a strong radiation pressure and radiative heating, and the direction of flow changes, forming an outflow.

Figure 1 also shows M_a predicted by the Bondi accretion model (equation 1.2) and those computed from the formulations of SDH05 and BS09 (equations 2.1 and 2.2). The

AGN Outflows

outer radius is much smaller than that of a typical smoothing scale on a SPH cosmological simulation (~ 10³ pc), and the outer density values used in our simulations are much larger than a typical local density at the BH in the SPH simulations. The higher density at a 10-pc scale is required to produce an outflow. For example, ρ_0 must be greater than 2×10^{-21} g cm⁻³ to form an outflow with our system setup. In the density range of the models considered here, values of \dot{M}_a adopted by SDH05 and BS09 are limited by the Eddington rate (equation 2.3); hence, the line is flat (cf. left panel of Figure 1).

We do not expect our solutions to reproduce the Bondi density dependency of the mass-inflow rate because they include the effects of radiative heating and radiation force. However, the right panel of Figure 1 shows the mass-inflow rates from our models are very similar to those of the Bondi rates, i.e., the rates are of the same order of magnitude. Interestingly our $\dot{M}_{\rm in}$ ($r_{\rm i}$) and the Bondi mass-accretion rate matches around $\rho_{\rm o} = 4 \times 10^{-20} \,\mathrm{g\,cm^{-3}}$ which coincidentally corresponds to $\Gamma \approx 1$. The accretion rates from SDH05 and BS09 are Eddington (the rates corresponding to $\Gamma = 1$) in this density range. Therefore their corresponding lines also cross at the same point.

Figure 1 (right panel) shows that our models have a weaker dependence of the massinflow rates on the density than that of the Bondi accretion. The power-law fits to the data points give the slope $q = 0.52 (\pm 0.01)$ for $\dot{M}_{\rm in} (r_{\rm i})$ and $q = 0.56 (\pm 0.02)$ for $\dot{M}_{\rm in} (r_{\rm o})$, which are indeed much smaller than that of the Bondi accretion model, i.e., q = 1(cf. equation [1.2]).

3.2. Feedback Efficiencies

Let us now consider AGN feedback efficiencies in energy, momentum, and mass using the simulation results, as defined in equations (1.4)-(1.6). The models used here show some degree of variability (typically ~ 10% level). Therefore, the physical quantities used to compute the feedback efficiencies are based on the time-averaged values.

3.2.1. Energy Feedback Efficiency

Figure 2 (left panel) shows the energy feedback efficiencies, $\epsilon_{\rm t}$, $\epsilon_{\rm k}$, and $\epsilon_{\rm th}$ computed based on our models, as a function of the Eddington ratio Γ . For systems with relatively low Eddington ratio ($\Gamma \leq 0.4$), $\epsilon_{\rm th} > \epsilon_{\rm k}$. On the other hand, for systems with relatively high Eddington ratio ($\Gamma \gtrsim 0.6$), the kinetic feedback dominates the thermal feedback by a factor of ~ 10 to ~ 100. The model with $\Gamma = 0.2$ does not form an outflow, indicating an approximate Γ value below which no outflow forms (with our system setup).

The energy feedback efficiencies increase as Γ increases, but the efficiencies saturate for $\Gamma \gtrsim 1$. The total energy feedback efficiency peaks at $\Gamma \approx 1$ with $\epsilon_t \sim 10^{-4}$. The flattening of the efficiencies for $\Gamma \gtrsim 1$ is caused by the transition of the inflow-outflow morphology to a "disk wind" type of configuration for the higher Γ models (see Figure 4 of KP09). Because of the mismatch between the direction in which most of the radiation escapes (in the polar direction) and the direction in which most of the accretion occurs in the system (the equatorial direction), the radiatively driven outflows in the disk-wind-like configuration cannot increase the outflow efficiency by increasing the accretion luminosity or equivalently Γ . Similar behavior is found in the \dot{M}_{out} (r_o)– Γ relation of KP09.

3.2.2. Mass Feedback Efficiency

Figure 2 (right panel) shows $\epsilon_{\rm m}$ as a function of Γ . The dependency of $\epsilon_{\rm m}$ on Γ is very similar to that of the momentum feedback efficiency (not shown here). For $\Gamma \leq 1$, the mass feedback efficiency $\epsilon_{\rm m}$ increases as Γ increases, but it starts to decreases slightly beyond $\Gamma \approx 1$. The efficiency peaks around $\Gamma = 1$ with the maximum efficiency value ~ 0.4 . In other words, about 40% of the total inflowing mass is redirected to an outflow.



Figure 2. Left: The efficiencies of converting the BH accretion luminosity L_a to the rate of energy deposition to the surrounding gas are plotted as a function of the Eddington ratio (Γ) . The panel shows the kinetic energy feedback efficiency ϵ_k (*circles*), the thermal energy feedback efficiency $\epsilon_{\rm th}$ (squares), and the total energy feedback efficiency $\epsilon_{\rm t} = \epsilon_{\rm k} + \epsilon_{\rm th}$ (triangles), separately (see Eqs. 1.6, 1.7, and 1.8). The maximum total energy feedback efficiency is $\sim 10^{-4}$. For the models with relatively low Eddington ratio ($\Gamma \lesssim 0.4$), the thermal feedback is more efficient than the kinetic feedback ($\epsilon_{\rm th} > \epsilon_{\rm k}$). For the models with relatively high Eddington ratio ($\Gamma \gtrsim 0.6$), the kinetic feedback is more efficient than the thermal feedback by a factor of ~ 10 to ~ 100 . The model with $\Gamma = 0.2$ does not form an outflow, and the vertical line (*dashed*) at $\Gamma = 0.2$ indicates an approximate Γ value below which no outflow forms. The flattening of the efficiencies at $\Gamma \approx 1$ is caused by the transition of the inflow-outflow morphology to a "disk wind" like configuration for the larger Γ models (see Figure 4 of KP09). *Right*: The mass feedback efficiency ($\epsilon_{\rm m}$) plotted as a function the Eddington ratio (Γ). The efficiency peaks around $\Gamma = 1$ with the maximum efficiency value ~ 0.4 , i.e., 40% of the total inflowing mass is converted to the outflows. The turnaround of $\epsilon_{\rm m}$ values is caused by the transition of the outflow morphology to a "disk wind" like configuration for the larger Γ models (cf. KP09). The model with $\Gamma = 0.2$ does not form an outflow, and the vertical line (dashed) at $\Gamma = 0.2$ indicates an approximate Γ value below which no outflow forms. The figures are from Kurosawa *et al.* (2009).

The turnaround of $\epsilon_{\rm m}$ values is caused by the transition of the outflow morphology to a disk-wind-like configuration (see Figure 4 of KP09) for the larger Γ models, as in the cases for the energy and momentum feedback efficiencies (§3.2.1).

The accretion process in our model is fundamentally different from that of the Bondi accretion model and those adopted in cosmological simulations (e.g., SDH05; BS09) because in our model an outflow and an inflow can simultaneously be formed while the Bondi accretion model can only form an inflow. The model of SDH05 and others can form either an outflow or accretion, but not both simultaneously.

4. Conclusions

We performed over thirty axisymmetric, time-dependent radiation-hydrodynamical simulations of outflows from a slowly rotating (sub-Keplerian) infalling gas, driven by the energy and pressure of the radiation emitted by the AGN (KP09). These simulations follow dynamics of gas under the influence of the gravity of the central $10^8 M_{\odot}$ black hole on scales from ~ 0.01 to ~ 10 pc. They self-consistently couple the accretion-luminosity with the mass-inflow rate at the smallest radius (a proxy for \dot{M}_a). A key feature of the simulations is that the radiation field and, consequently, the gas dynamics are axisymmetric, but not spherically symmetric. Therefore, the gas inflow and outflow can occur at the same time.

AGN Outflows

The relatively large number of simulations allows us to investigate how the results depend on the gas density at the outer radius, ρ_0 . In a follow-up paper, Kurosawa et al. (2009) measure and analyze the energy, momentum, and mass feedback efficiencies of the outflows studied in KP09. We compared our $M_{\rm a}$ - $\rho_{\rm o}$ relation with that predicted by the Bondi accretion model. For high luminosities comparable to the Eddington limit, the power-law fit $(M_a \propto \rho_q^0)$ to our models yields $q \approx 0.5$ instead of q = 1.0 which is predicted by the Bondi model. This difference is caused by the outflows which are important for the overall mass budget at high luminosities. The maximum momentum and mass feedback efficiencies found in our models are $\sim 10^{-2}$ and $\sim 10^{-1}$, respectively. However, the outflows are much less important energetically: the thermal and kinetic powers in units of the radiative luminosity are $\sim 10^{-5}$ and $\sim 10^{-4}$, respectively. In addition, the efficiencies do not increase monotonically with the accretion luminosity, but rather peak around the Eddington limit beyond which a steady state disk-wind-like solution exists. Our energy feedback efficiencies are significantly lower than 0.05, which is required in some cosmological and galaxy merger simulations. The low feedback efficiencies found in our simulations could have significant implications on the mass growth of supermassive black holes in the early universe.

References

Begelman, M. C. & Nath, B. B. 2005, MNRAS, 361, 1387

Bondi, H. 1952, MNRAS, 112, 195

Booth, C. M. & Schaye, J. 2009, *MNRAS*, 398, 53 (BS09)

Cao, X. & Li, F. 2008, MNRAS, 390, 561

Ciotti, L. & Ostriker, J. P. 1997, ApJ, 487, L105

Ciotti, L., Ostriker, J. P., & Proga, D. 2009, ApJ, 699, 89

Di Matteo, T., Springel, V., & Hernquist, L. 2005, Nature, 433, 604

Fabian, A. C., Celotti, A., & Erlund, M. C. 2006, MNRAS, 373, L16

Ferrarese, L. & Merritt, D. 2000, ApJ, 539, L9

Gebhardt, K., et al. 2000, ApJ, 539, L13

Hopkins, P. F., et al. 2005, ApJ, 630, 705

Kurosawa, R. & Proga, D. 2008, $ApJ,\,674,\,97$

Kurosawa, R. & Proga, D. 2009a, $ApJ,\,693,\,1929$

Kurosawa, R. & Proga, D. 2009b, MNRAS, 397, 1791

Kurosawa, R., Proga, D., & Nagamine, K. 2009, ApJ, 707, 823

Martínez-Sansigre, A. & Taylor, A. M. 2009, ApJ, 692, 964

Merloni, A. & Heinz, S. 2008, MNRAS, 388, 1011

Proga, D. 2007, ApJ, 661, 693

Proga, D., Ostriker, J. P., & Kurosawa, R. 2008, ApJ, 676, 101

Sazonov, S. Y., Ostriker, J. P., Ciotti, L., & Sunyaev, R. A. 2005, *MNRAS*, 358, 168 Schaye, J. 2004, *ApJ*, 609, 667

Shakura, N. I. & Sunyaev, R. A. 1973, A&A, 24, 337

Sijacki, D., Springel, V., di Matteo, T., & Hernquist, L. 2007, MNRAS, 380, 877

Sołtan, A. 1982, MNRAS, 200, 115

Springel, V., Di Matteo, T., & Hernquist, L. 2005, MNRAS, 361, 776 (SDH05)

Thorne, K. S. 1974, ApJ, 191, 507

Tremaine, S., et al. 2002, ApJ, 574, 740

Wang, J.-M., Chen, Y.-M., Ho, L. C., & McLure, R. J. 2006, ApJ, 642, L111