Correspondence 799

there is great pressure put on trainees to supplement the inadequate consultant numbers. This is understandable and acceptable to a point, but it has been the view that it is not acceptable when it impinges on academic activities. There is also pressure put on senior registrars in some places to substitute regularly for consultants acting on committees or when doing private practice, but I am sure these things never happen at the Joint Hospitals.

We would all join in the aspiration to provide the best training and service. I think that 'supernumerary' is not the ideal word for describing the way the Joint Committee views a senior registrar post. A better term might be sought. It is used to imply that the trainees are not permanent members of the team. While they must be integrally involved with the work of the service to which they are attached, they preserve the flexibility to move to other posts as their training needs require. Because the service is not dependent on them its quality is not impaired when they move. The Maudsley group ought perhaps to travel a little more outside London where most of the schemes in the country now accept and work well with the system of more training slots than salaries, enabling senior registrars to choose their posts. My own service will be without a senior registrar from October because none of our trainees needs to do liaison psychiatry at this time. The service I hope will not be impaired, although the consultants will have to take back some of the work load they shared with the senior registrar in exchange for his teaching time. A senior registrar must play as full a part in the service as is reasonably possible, substituting from time to time for the consultant and taking over from the consultant responsibility for a reasonable proportion of the work. The consultant gains time to teach the trainee. If senior registrars did not share in the full responsibility for the service, how else could they learn their job?

Regarding "acting down", it is regular and expected acting down which is objected to. It happened particularly in the old mental hospitals when difficult to fill registrar posts were converted to senior registrar posts to make them more attractive. In those days the job description did not change, only the money. Such traditions still linger in some places.

The JCHPT has set rules and guidelines but has rarely been rigid about their application when they judged that the spirit behind the training was right. I hope they have been determined when it was judged the spirit was not right.

The final paragraph of the letter seems more by way of an advertisement and I think I have dealt with the only point it makes

J.R.M. COPELAND

Royal Liverpool University Hospital PO Box 147 Liverpool L69 3BX

Clinical independence

I submit that Dr T. D. Scannell's letter (Psychiatric Bulletin August 1992, 16, 509) merits placement under the vision of those holding power in the College. His final sentence "a doctor nowadays who questions, who tackles the system, who says "what of my patient though" is told he is shroud waving or that he is awkward, and he can now be threatened with dismissal" is as true as it is damning of the pass we are now in. This is a pass that is mortally threatening to proper standards for patients. It is a pass that those with power and preferment should be exploring for a juster future for those who dare speak unpopular thoughts, displeasing to the politics of mental health. If our leaders can honourably get the profession out of the morass, they will deserve recognition like those at Thermopylae!

HARRY JACOBS

The Coach House Wormingford Colchester, Essex CO6 3AH

DEAR SIRS

Thank you for letting me see Dr Jacobs' letter. It is quite clear from the Supplemental Charter and Bye-Laws of the Royal College of Psychiatrists that Fellows and Members have a duty "the achievement and maintenance of the highest possible standards of professional competence and practice ... to give consideration to improve methods of hospital and other medical administration ... to consider, pronounce and make representations upon all questions affecting ... the promotion of improvements in the principles and administration of the law relating to mental disorder and to the treatment of persons suffering from mental and connected illnesses". Pointing out what is detrimental to the care of our patients is not only sanctioned, it is obligatory.

I know of no Fellow or Member of this College practising in the United Kingdom or Ireland who in carrying out this duty has been threatened with dismissal.

Professor A.C.P. SIMS
President

The Royal College of Psychiatrists
17 Belgrave Square
London SW1X 8PG

Psychotherapy in the reorganised NHS

DEAR SIRS

I practised as a psychotherapist in the NHS for nearly 25 years. My new patient waiting list was similar in length to those of my colleagues; medical students' attendance was good, and, so far as clinical