JOURNAL OF INSTITUTIONAL ECONOMICS

VOL 9 - NO 1 - MARCH 2013



JOURNAL OF INSTITUTIONAL ECONOMICS

EDITORS

Geoffrey M. Hodgson (Editor-in-Chief)
The Business School,
University of Hertfordshire, Hatfield,
Hertfordshire AL10 9AB, UK
g.m.hodgson@herts.ac.uk

Richard N. Langlois

Department of Economics, University of Connecticut, USA richard.langlois@uconn.edu

Jason Potts

RMIT University, Melbourne, Australia jason.potts@rmit.edu.au

Esther-Mirjam Sent

Radboud University Nijmegen, The Netherlands e.m.sent@fm.ru.nl

Kathleen Thelen

Department of Political Science Massachusetts Institute of Technology, USA kthelen@mit.edu

STATEMENT OF AIMS

Institutions are the stuff of social and economic life. The importance of understanding the role of institutions in economic growth is now widely appreciated. The *Journal of Institutional Economics* is devoted to the study of the nature, role and evolution of institutions in the economy, including firms, states, markets, money, households and other vital institutions and organizations. It welcomes contributions by all schools of thought that can contribute to our understanding of the features, development and functions of real world economic

institutions and organizations.

The Journal of Institutional Economics is an interdisciplinary journal that will be of interest to all academics working in the social sciences, including in economics, business studies, sociology, politics, geography, anthropology and philosophy. The Journal of Institutional Economics aims to provide all authors with an expert verdict on their articles within 50 days of submission.

INTERNATIONAL ADVISORY BOARD

Howard Aldrich

University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

Ash Amin

University of Cambridge

Masahiko Aoki Stanford University

Margaret Archer

University of Warwick

W. Brian Arthur Sante Fe Institute

Paul Dale Bush

California State University at Fresno

John Cantwell Rutgers University

Victoria Chick

University College London

Antonio Damasio University of Iowa

Marcello de Cecco

Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa

Paul DiMaggio Princeton University

Ronald Dore

London School of Economics

Giovanni Dosi

Sant'Anna School of Advanced Studies, Pisa

Sheila Dow

University of Stirling Massimo Foidi

Massimo Egidi University of Trento

Nicolai Foss

Copenhagen Business School

John Foster

University of Queensland

Mark Granovetter Stanford University

Herbert Gintis

University of Massachusetts at Amherst

Avner Greif Stanford University Bruce Kogut INSEAD

Janos Kornai Collegium Budapest

Tony Lawson

University of Cambridge

Brian Loasby University of Stirling

Uskali Mäki

Academy of Finland

J. Stanley Metcalfe

University of Manchester

Luigi Marengo

University of Teramo

Claude Ménard Université de Paris 1

Philip Mirowski

University of Notre Dame

Douglass North

Washington University at St Louis

Malcolm Rutherford

University of Victoria

Thomas Schelling

University of Maryland

Ekkehart Schlicht

University of Munich

John R. Searle

University of California at Berkeley

Luc Soete

University of Maastricht

Robert Sugden

University of East Anglia

Marc Tool

State University of California at Sacramento

Viktor Vanberg University of Freiburg Richard Whitley

University of Manchester

H. Peyton Young Johns Hopkins University

Journal of Institutional Economics

March 2013

CONTENTS

1–26	How (Not) to measure institutions Stefan Voigt
27–29	Measuring institutions in the Trobriand Islands: a comment on Voigt's paper $J_{\rm AMES}~A.~R_{\rmOBINSON}$
31–33	Measuring institutions: how to be precise though vague $M_{\mbox{\footnotesize{ARY}}}$ $M.$ $S_{\mbox{\footnotesize{HIRLEY}}}$
35–37	How (not) to measure institutions: a reply to Robinson and Shirley $S_{\ensuremath{TEFAN}}$ $V_{\ensuremath{OIGT}}$
39–60	Property, possession and natural resource management: towards a conceptual clarification $S_{ABINE} \ H_{OFFMANN} \\$
61–80	Priority setting in health care: from arbitrariness to societal values PHILIPPE BATIFOULIER, LOUISE BRADDOCK AND JOHN LATSIS
81–108	Economic institutions: explanations for conformity and room for deviation D_{AVID} D_{EQUECH}
109–129	Reflexivity, relative autonomy and the embedded individual in economics $\ensuremath{C}_{\text{HRIS}}\ F_{\text{ULLER}}$