

## AN EXTENSION THEOREM CONCERNING FRECHET MEASURES

RON C. BLEI

**ABSTRACT.** An  $F$ -measure on a Cartesian product of algebras of sets is a scalar-valued function which is a scalar measure independently in each coordinate. It is demonstrated that an  $F$ -measure on a product of algebras determines an  $F$ -measure on the product of the corresponding  $\sigma$ -algebras if and only if its *Fréchet* variation is finite. An analogous statement is obtained in a framework of fractional Cartesian products of algebras, and a measurement of  $p$ -variation of  $F$ -measures, based on Littlewood-type inequalities, is discussed.

**0. Introduction.** A scalar measure on an algebra of sets is extendible to a scalar measure on the corresponding  $\sigma$ -algebra if and only if its total variation is finite. In one direction, this cornerstone of classical measure theory is the assertion that a scalar measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra is necessarily bounded (e.g., [7, Corollary III.4.6]), and in the other, it is the Carathéodory-Hahn-Jordan theorem (e.g., [7, Theorem III.5.8, Corollary III.5.9]). In this note, we establish the multidimensional version of this basic result.

By an  $F$ -measure we shall mean a scalar-valued function on a Cartesian product of algebras of sets that is a scalar measure independently in each coordinate. In Section 1, we prove that an  $F$ -measure on a Cartesian product of algebras is extendible to an  $F$ -measure on the Cartesian product of the corresponding  $\sigma$ -algebras if and only if its *Fréchet* variation is finite. The *only if* direction, based on the Nikodym boundedness principle ([7, Theorem IV.9.8] or [5, Theorem I.3.1]), has in effect already been noted (e.g., [12, Theorem 4.4], [1, Theorem 4.3]), but the other direction has hitherto gone unnoticed (cf. [5, Theorem I.5.2], [6]).

In Section 2, we use the extension theorem established in Section 1 to obtain the corresponding result for  $F$ -measures on *fractional* Cartesian products of algebras. We then comment on the intervention of Littlewood-type inequalities and resulting measurements of  $p$ -variations of  $F$ -measures. These measurements, noted previously in more restrictive settings [1], have been recently shown to play key roles in non-adaptive stochastic integration [11].

### 1. $F$ -measures.

**DEFINITION 1.1.** Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be sets, and let  $C_1, \dots, C_n$  be algebras of subsets of  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ , respectively. A scalar-valued function  $\mu$  on the  $n$ -fold Cartesian product

---

Received by the editors January 14, 1994; revised December 15, 1994.

AMS subject classification: Primary: 28A35, 46E27; secondary: 26D15.

© Canadian Mathematical Society 1995.

$C_1 \times \dots \times C_n$  is an  $F_n$ -measure if  $\mu$  is a scalar measure separately in each coordinate. Such  $\mu$  will be generically called *Fréchet* measures or *F*-measures.

The space of  $F_n$ -measures on  $C_1 \times \dots \times C_n$  is denoted by  $F_n(C_1 \times \dots \times C_n)$ . If  $C_1, \dots, C_n$  are arbitrary or understood from the context, then  $F_n(C_1 \times \dots \times C_n)$  is denoted by  $F_n$ .  $\mu \in F_n$  is said to be *bounded* if

$$(1.1) \quad \sup\{|\mu(E_1 \times \dots \times E_n)| : E_1 \times \dots \times E_n \in C_1 \times \dots \times C_n\} < \infty.$$

The objects which I call  $F_2$ -measures arose first in Fréchet’s work [8] as bounded bilinear functions on  $C([0, 1])$ . In later studies, in a framework of two-fold topological products, these bilinear functionals were dubbed *bimeasures* (e.g., [10]). In general multi-dimensional settings, references have occasionally been made to *multimeasures* or *polymeasures* (e.g., [6]). I prefer the term  *$F_n$ -measure* in a multilinear measure-theoretic context (e.g., [1]) primarily because it registers dimensions of underlying Cartesian products, which could be fractional (see next section). *F* of course is for Fréchet.

If  $C$  is an algebra of subsets of  $X$ , then a *C-partition* of  $X$  will mean a collection of mutually disjoint elements of  $C$  whose union is  $X$ . If  $C_1, \dots, C_n$  are algebras of subsets of  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ , respectively, then  $C_1 \times \dots \times C_n$ -*grid* of  $X_1 \times \dots \times X_n$  will mean  $n$ -fold Cartesian product of finite  $C_1, \dots, C_n$ -partitions of  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ , respectively. When  $(X_1, C_1), \dots, (X_n, C_n)$  are arbitrary or understood from the context, we refer simply to *partitions* and *grids*.

A Rademacher system indexed by a set  $\tau$  is the collection of functions  $\{r_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \tau}$  defined on  $\{-1, +1\}^\tau$ , such that  $r_\alpha(\omega) = \omega(\alpha)$  for  $\alpha \in \tau$  and  $\omega \in \{-1, +1\}^\tau$ . If  $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n$  are indexing sets, then  $r_{\alpha_1} \otimes \dots \otimes r_{\alpha_n}$  denotes the function on  $\{-1, +1\}^{\tau_1} \times \dots \times \{-1, +1\}^{\tau_n}$  whose value at  $(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n)$  equals  $r_{\alpha_1}(\omega_1) \dots r_{\alpha_n}(\omega_n)$ .

If  $\mu \in F_n(C_1 \times \dots \times C_n)$ , then the  $F_n$ -norm (Fréchet variation) of  $\mu$  is defined by

$$(1.2) \quad \|\mu\|_{F_n} = \sup\left\{\left\|\sum_{E_1 \times \dots \times E_n \in \gamma} \mu(E_1 \times \dots \times E_n) r_{E_1} \otimes \dots \otimes r_{E_n}\right\|_\infty : \gamma \text{ a grid}\right\}$$

(cf. [1, (4.3)];  $r_{E_1}, \dots, r_{E_n}$  are elements of  $n$  Rademacher systems indexed respectively by the  $n$  partitions whose Cartesian product is  $\gamma$ ).

**THEOREM 1.2.** *Let  $C_1, \dots, C_n$  be algebras of sets in  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ , respectively, and let  $\mu \in F_n(C_1 \times \dots \times C_n)$ . Then,  $\mu$  is uniquely extendible to an  $F_n$ -measure on  $\sigma(C_1) \times \dots \times \sigma(C_n)$  if and only if  $\|\mu\|_{F_n} < \infty$  ( $\sigma(C) = \sigma$ -algebra generated by  $C$ ). Moreover,*

$$(1.3) \quad \|\mu\|_{F_n(C_1 \times \dots \times C_n)} = \|\mu\|_{F_n(\sigma(C_1) \times \dots \times \sigma(C_n))}.$$

The proof of Theorem 1.2 requires two elementary lemmas. The first, Lemma 1.3 below, appeared in [1] (Lemma 4.4 on p. 41) where the proof was too long; I include here a simpler and shorter proof. The second, Lemma 1.4, can be verified quickly in a context of harmonic analysis by use of Riesz products; a longer but elementary proof can be found in [9, pp. 167–168].

LEMMA 1.3. *Let  $N$  be an arbitrary positive integer, and let  $\{a_{i_1 \dots i_n}\}_{i_1, \dots, i_n=1}^N$  be an array of scalars. Then, for each  $j \in [n]$  ( $:= \{1, \dots, n\}$ ), there exist subsets  $T_j \subset [N]$  such that*

$$\left| \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in T_1 \times \dots \times T_n} a_{i_1 \dots i_n} \right| \geq \frac{1}{4^n} \left\| \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in [N]^n} a_{i_1 \dots i_n} r_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes r_{i_n} \right\|_\infty.$$

PROOF (BY INDUCTION ON  $n$ ). The case  $n = 1$  is merely the statement that for every set of scalars  $\{a_j : j \in [N]\}$  there exists  $T \subset [N]$  such that

$$\left| \sum_{j \in T} a_j \right| \geq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j \in [N]} |a_j|.$$

If  $n > 1$  and  $\{a_{i_1 \dots i_n}\}_{i_1, \dots, i_n=1}^N$  is an array of scalars, then let  $\omega_1 \in \{-1, 1\}^{[M]}, \dots, \omega_n \in \{-1, 1\}^{[M]}$  be such that

$$(1.4) \quad \left\| \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in [N]^n} a_{i_1 \dots i_n} r_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes r_{i_n} \right\|_\infty = \left| \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in [N]^n} a_{i_1 \dots i_n} r_{i_1}(\omega_1) \dots r_{i_n}(\omega_n) \right|.$$

By the assertion for  $n = 1$ , there exists  $T_1 \subset [N]$  so that

$$(1.5) \quad 4 \left| \sum_{i_1 \in T_1} \left( \sum_{(i_2, \dots, i_n) \in [N]^n} a_{i_1 \dots i_n} r_{i_2}(\omega_2) \dots r_{i_n}(\omega_n) \right) \right|$$

majorizes (1.4). Now reverse the two summations in (1.5) and apply the induction hypothesis to obtain  $T_2 \subset [N], \dots, T_n \subset [N]$  so that (1.5) is majorized by

$$4^{n-1} \left| \sum_{i_2 \in T_2, \dots, i_n \in T_n} \left( 4 \sum_{i_1 \in T_1} a_{i_1 \dots i_n} \right) \right|. \quad \blacksquare$$

LEMMA 1.4. *Suppose  $\{a_{ij} : (i, j) \in \mathbb{N}^2\}$  is an array of scalars such that  $\sup\{\|\sum_{i \in S, j \in T} a_{ij} r_i \otimes r_j\|_\infty : S \text{ and } T \text{ finite subsets of } \mathbb{N}\} < \infty$ . Then,*

$$\sum_{i=1}^\infty \sum_{j=1}^\infty a_{ij} = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \sum_{i=1}^\infty a_{ij}.$$

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2. If  $\mu \in F_n(C_1 \times \dots \times C_n)$  is a restriction of an  $F_n$ -measure on  $\sigma(C_1) \times \dots \times \sigma(C_n)$ , then an inductive application of the Nikodym boundedness principle implies  $\mu$  is bounded. Then, a standard argument based on Lemma 1.3 implies  $\|\mu\|_{F_n} < \infty$ .

Conversely, we show by induction on  $n$  that if  $\mu \in F_n(C_1 \times \dots \times C_n)$  and  $\|\mu\|_{F_n} < \infty$ , then there exists an extension of  $\mu$  to an  $F_n$ -measure on  $\mathbb{G}_1 \times \dots \times \mathbb{G}_n$ , where  $\mathbb{G}_i = \sigma(C_i)$  ( $i \in [n]$ ). It is evident that such an extension is necessarily unique.

The case  $n = 1$  is standard. Let  $n > 1$ , and assume the assertion holds in the case  $n - 1$ . Let  $\mu$  be an  $F_n$ -measure on  $C_1 \times \dots \times C_n$ . Then, for each  $A_2 \times \dots \times A_n \in C_2 \times \dots \times C_n$ ,

$\mu(\cdot \times A_2 \times \cdots \times A_n)$  is extendible to a scalar measure on  $\mathfrak{G}_1$ . Denote this extension also by  $\mu$ . Note

$$(1.6) \quad \sup\{|\mu(A_1 \times A_2 \times \cdots \times A_n)| : A_1 \times A_2 \times \cdots \times A_n \in \mathfrak{G}_1 \times C_2 \times \cdots \times C_n\} < \infty.$$

CLAIM. For each  $A \in \mathfrak{G}_1, \mu(A \times \cdot \times \cdots \times \cdot) \in F_{n-1}(C_2 \times \cdots \times C_n)$ .

PROOF OF CLAIM. Let

$$(1.7) \quad \Omega = \{A : A \in \mathfrak{G}_1, \mu(A \times \cdot \times \cdots \times \cdot) \in F_{n-1}(C_2 \times \cdots \times C_n)\}.$$

Clearly,  $\Omega$  is an algebra containing  $C_1$ . We will show that  $\Omega$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra. Suppose  $E_i \in \Omega$  ( $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ) and that the  $E_i$ 's are mutually disjoint. Let  $E = \bigcup_i E_i$ . To verify  $E \in \Omega$ , we need to establish that  $\mu(E \times \cdot \times \cdots \times \cdot)$  is countably additive separately in each of the  $n - 1$  coordinates. Fix  $B_2 \in C_2, \dots, B_{n-1} \in C_{n-1}$ . Let  $\{F_j\}_j$  be a sequence of mutually disjoint elements in  $C_n$  such that  $\bigcup_j F_j \in C_n$ . We claim that

$$(1.8) \quad \mu\left(E \times B_2 \times \cdots \times B_{n-1} \times \bigcup_j F_j\right) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(E \times B_2 \times \cdots \times B_{n-1} \times F_j).$$

Since  $\mu$  is a scalar measure in its first coordinate,

$$(1.9) \quad \mu\left(E \times B_2 \times \cdots \times B_{n-1} \times \bigcup_j F_j\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu\left(E_i \times B_2 \times \cdots \times B_{n-1} \times \bigcup_j F_j\right).$$

Since  $E_i \in \Omega$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$(1.10) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu\left(E_i \times B_2 \times \cdots \times B_{n-1} \times \bigcup_j F_j\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_i \times B_2 \times \cdots \times B_{n-1} \times F_j)\right).$$

By (1.6) and Lemma 1.3,

$$\sup\left\{\left\|\sum_{E_1 \times \cdots \times E_n \in \gamma} \mu(E_1 \times \cdots \times E_n) r_{E_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes r_{E_n}\right\|_{\infty} : \gamma \text{ is a } \mathfrak{G}_1 \times C_2 \times \cdots \times C_n\text{-grid}\right\}$$

is finite. Therefore, by Lemma 1.4, we can reverse the order of summation on the right hand side of (1.10). Therefore, since  $\mu$  is a measure in the first coordinate, we obtain

$$(1.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_i \times B_2 \times \cdots \times B_{n-1} \times F_j)\right) &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_i \times B_2 \times \cdots \times B_{n-1} \times F_j)\right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(E \times B_2 \times \cdots \times B_{n-1} \times F_j), \end{aligned}$$

thus establishing (1.8). ■

The induction hypothesis and the Claim imply that for each  $A \in \mathfrak{G}_1, \mu(A \times \cdot \times \cdots \times \cdot)$  is extendible to an  $F_{n-1}$ -measure on  $\mathfrak{G}_2 \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{G}_n$ . Denote this extension also by  $\mu$ .

To verify that for every  $A_2 \times \dots \times A_n \in \mathfrak{G}_2 \times \dots \times \mathfrak{G}_n$ ,  $\mu(\cdot \times A_2 \times \dots \times A_n)$  is a scalar measure on  $\mathfrak{G}_1$ , first fix  $B_2 \times \dots \times B_{n-1} \in \mathfrak{C}_2 \times \dots \times \mathfrak{C}_{n-1}$ , and let

$$(1.12) \quad \Omega_n = \{A : A \in \mathfrak{G}_n, \mu(\cdot \times B_2 \times \dots \times B_{n-1} \times A) \text{ is a scalar measure on } \mathfrak{G}_1\}.$$

The argument establishing that  $\Omega_n$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra is similar to the argument used in the proof of the Claim. We continue by recursion to treat remaining coordinates, obtaining that for all  $A_2 \times \dots \times A_n \in \mathfrak{G}_2 \times \dots \times \mathfrak{G}_n$ ,  $\mu(\cdot \times A_2 \times \dots \times A_n)$  is a scalar measure on  $\mathfrak{G}_1$ .

To verify (1.3), approximate  $\mu(A_1 \times \dots \times A_n)$ , where  $A_1 \times \dots \times A_n \in \mathfrak{G}_1 \times \dots \times \mathfrak{G}_n$ , by  $\mu(E_1 \times \dots \times E_n)$ , where  $E_1 \times \dots \times E_n \in \mathfrak{C}_1 \times \dots \times \mathfrak{C}_n$ . ■

**2.  $F$ -measures in fractional dimensions.** The question concerning the extension of  $\mu \in F_n(\mathfrak{C}_1 \times \dots \times \mathfrak{C}_n)$  to an  $F_n$ -measure on  $\sigma(\mathfrak{C}_1) \times \dots \times \sigma(\mathfrak{C}_n)$ , considered in Section 1, is an instance of a general question in a framework of *fractional* Cartesian products of algebras.

If  $Y$  is a set and  $S \subset [n]$ , then  $Y^S$  denotes the Cartesian product of  $Y$  whose coordinates are indexed by  $S$ ; slightly abusing notation, we shall write  $Y^m$  for  $Y^{[n]}$ . We denote by  $\pi_S$  the canonical projection from  $Y^m$  onto  $Y^S$ , i.e.,  $\pi_S(y_1, \dots, y_n) = (y_j : j \in S)$ . We shall sometimes use also the notation  $\pi_S(y) = y|_S$ . To simplify notation, we shall consider Cartesian products of  $(X, C)$ , where  $X$  is a set and  $C$  is an algebra of subsets of  $X$  (in place of  $(X_1, C_1), \dots, (X_n, C_n)$ , considered in Section 1).

**DEFINITION 2.1.** Let  $V$  be a cover of  $[n]$ , i.e.,  $S \subset [n]$  for  $S \in V$  and  $\bigcup\{S : S \in V\} = [n]$ . Let  $X$  be a set and let  $C$  be an algebra of subsets of  $X$ . Then,  $\mu \in F_n(C^n)$  is an  $F_V$ -measure on  $C^n$  if for every  $S \in V$  and for all  $\times\{A_i : i \in S^c\} \in C^{S^c}$ ,

$$(2.1) \quad \mu(A_1 \times \dots \times A_n), \quad \times\{A_i : i \in S\} \in C^S,$$

defines a scalar measure on  $\alpha(C^S)$  ( $\alpha(\cdot) =$  algebra generated by  $\cdot$ ). The set of  $F_V$ -measures on  $C^n$  is denoted by  $F_V(C^V)$ , or simply by  $F_V$ .

A basic problem is to identify the “largest” domain on which a finitely additive function on  $C^n$  determines an  $F$ -measure. For example, if  $\mu \in F_1(\alpha(C^n))$  then  $\mu$  determines an  $F_1$ -measure on  $\sigma(C^n)$  if and only if its *total variation*

$$(2.2) \quad \sup\left\{\sum_{c \in \gamma} |\mu(c)| : C^n\text{-grid } \gamma\right\} \quad (= \sup\left\{\left\|\sum_{c \in \gamma} \mu(c)r_c\right\|_\infty : C^n\text{-grid } \gamma\right\})$$

is finite (this of course is classical). At the other end, if  $\mu \in F_n(C^n)$  then  $\mu$  determines an  $F_n$ -measure on  $\sigma(C^n)$  if and only if  $\|\mu\|_{F_n}$  is finite (Theorem 1.2). Definition 2.1 deals with the intermediate cases between these two extremes. To be precise, let  $V = \{S_j\}_{j=1}^m$  be a cover of  $[n]$ , and consider the collection of sets

$$(2.3) \quad \alpha(C)^V := \{\pi_{S_1}^{-1}[c_1] \cap \dots \cap \pi_{S_m}^{-1}[c_m] : c_1 \in \alpha(C^{S_1}), \dots, c_m \in \alpha(C^{S_m})\},$$

whose elements will be called  $V$ -cubes. (The simplest non-trivial case,  $n = 3$  and  $V = \{(1, 2), (2, 3), (1, 3)\}$ , is an effective illustration for the discussion that follows.) Observe that if  $c_1 \in \alpha(C^{S_1}), \dots, c_m \in \alpha(C^{S_m})$ , then

$$(2.4) \quad \pi_{S_1}^{-1}[c_1] \cap \dots \cap \pi_{S_m}^{-1}[c_m] = \bigcap \{\pi_1(c_i) : 1 \in S_i\} \times \dots \times \bigcap \{\pi_n(c_i) : n \in S_i\}.$$

where  $\pi_1, \dots, \pi_n$  denote the canonical projections from  $X^n$  onto  $X$ . Let  $\mu \in F_n(C^n)$ . By finite additivity, we extend the domain of  $\mu$  to  $\alpha(C)^V$ , and then define

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{\mu}(c_1 \times \dots \times c_m) &= \mu(\pi_{S_1}^{-1}[c_1] \cap \dots \cap \pi_{S_m}^{-1}[c_m]) \\ &= \mu\left(\bigcap \{\pi_1(c_i) : 1 \in S_i\} \times \dots \times \bigcap \{\pi_n(c_i) : n \in S_i\}\right), \\ & \quad c_1 \in \alpha(C^{S_1}), \dots, c_m \in \alpha(C^{S_m}). \end{aligned}$$

Then,  $\tilde{\mu}$  is well defined and  $\tilde{\mu} \in F_m(\alpha(C^{S_1}) \times \dots \times \alpha(C^{S_m}))$  if and only if  $\mu \in F_V(C^V)$ . Denote by  $F_V(\sigma(C)^V)$  the class consisting of  $\mu \in F_V(C^V)$  extendible to  $\sigma(C)^V$  so that

$$(2.6) \quad \mu(\pi_{S_1}^{-1}[E_1] \cap \dots \cap \pi_{S_m}^{-1}[E_m]), \quad E_1 \in \sigma(C^{S_1}), \dots, E_m \in \sigma(C^{S_m}),$$

determines an  $F_m$ -measure on  $\sigma(C^{S_1}) \times \dots \times \sigma(C^{S_m})$ .

By Theorem 1.2, if  $\tilde{\mu} \in F_m(\alpha(C^{S_1}) \times \dots \times \alpha(C^{S_m}))$ , then  $\tilde{\mu}$  determines an element in  $F_m(\sigma(C^{S_1}) \times \dots \times \sigma(C^{S_m}))$  (denoted also by  $\tilde{\mu}$ ) if and only if

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\tilde{\mu}\|_{F_m} &= \sup \left\{ \left\| \sum_{c_1 \times \dots \times c_m \in \gamma} \mu\left(\bigcap \{\pi_1(c_i) : 1 \in S_i\} \times \dots \right. \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. \left. \times \bigcap \{\pi_n(c_i) : n \in S_i\}\right) r_{c_1} \otimes \dots \otimes r_{c_m} \right\|_{\infty} : \right. \\ & \quad \left. \gamma = \gamma_1 \times \dots \times \gamma_m, \text{ where } \gamma_j \text{ is a } \alpha(C^{S_j})\text{-grid, } j \in [m] \right\} \end{aligned}$$

is finite. In (2.7), by passing to refinements of partitions, we can assume that the  $\gamma_j$ 's are generated by the same  $C$ -partition of  $X$ , say  $\tau$ , i.e.,  $\gamma = \tau^{S_1} \times \dots \times \tau^{S_m}$ . In this case, if  $c_1 \times \dots \times c_m \in \gamma$  then

$$(2.8) \quad \bigcap \{\pi_1(c_i) : 1 \in S_1\} \times \dots \times \bigcap \{\pi_n(c_i) : n \in S_i\} = \begin{cases} d & d \in \tau^n, c_j = d|_{S_j}, j \in [m] \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(notation: for  $d = d_1 \times \dots \times d_n \in \tau^n$ ,  $d|_{S_j} = \times \{d_i : i \in S_j\}$ ). Define

$$(2.9) \quad \|\mu\|_{F_V} = \sup \left\{ \left\| \sum_{d \in \gamma} \mu(d) r_{d|_{S_1}} \otimes \dots \otimes r_{d|_{S_m}} \right\|_{\infty} : \gamma \text{ a } C^n\text{-grid of } X^n \right\},$$

and deduce that  $\|\mu\|_{F_V} = \|\tilde{\mu}\|_{F_m}(r_{d|_{S_j}}$  is an element of a Rademacher system indexed by  $\tau^{S_j}, j \in [m]$ ). For example, if  $n = 3$  and  $V = \{(1, 2), (2, 3), (1, 3)\}$  then

$$(2.10) \quad \|\mu\|_{F_V} = \sup \left\{ \left\| \sum_{A \times B \times C \in \tau^3} \mu(A \times B \times C) r_{A \times B} \otimes r_{B \times C} \otimes r_{A \times C} \right\|_{\infty} : \tau \text{ a } C\text{-partition of } X \right\},$$

where the sup-norm is evaluated on  $\{-1, +1\}^{\tau^2} \times \{-1, +1\}^{\tau^2} \times \{-1, +1\}^{\tau^2}$ .

It follows from (2.4) that if  $\tilde{\mu}$  is extendible to an  $F_m$ -measure on  $\sigma(C^{S_1}) \times \dots \times \sigma(C^{S_m})$  then it is determined by its values on  $V$ -cubes, *i.e.*, if

$$\pi_{S_1}^{-1}[E_1] \cap \dots \cap \pi_{S_m}^{-1}[E_m] = \pi_{S_1}^{-1}[F_1] \cap \dots \cap \pi_{S_m}^{-1}[F_m]$$

then  $\tilde{\mu}(E_1 \times \dots \times E_m) = \tilde{\mu}(F_1 \times \dots \times F_m)$ . Therefore, if  $\tilde{\mu} \in F_m(\sigma(C^{S_1}) \times \dots \times \sigma(C^{S_m}))$  then we can unambiguously write

$$(2.11) \quad \mu(\pi_{S_1}^{-1}[E_1] \cap \dots \cap \pi_{S_m}^{-1}[E_m]) = \tilde{\mu}(E_1 \times \dots \times E_m).$$

We summarize:

**THEOREM 2.2.** *If  $\mu \in F_V(C^V)$ , then  $\mu \in F_V(\sigma(C)^V)$  if and only if  $\|\mu\|_{F_V} < \infty$ .*

**REMARK.** Suppose  $C$  is infinite. The fundamental observation, that the inclusion  $F_1(\sigma(C^2)) \subset F_2(\sigma(C)^2)$  is proper, was noted independently in various contexts during the 1930's (*e.g.* [4], [9]). A key to this observation was that if  $\mu \in F_2(\sigma(C)^2)$  were extendible to an element in  $F_1(\sigma(C^2))$  then its *total variation*, defined in (2.2), would be finite. Indeed, after noting that there exists  $\mu$  with finite  $F_2$ -variation and infinite total variation, Littlewood [9] proceeded to derive his 4/3-inequality(ies), conveying that the  $p$ -variation of every  $\mu \in F_2(\sigma(C)^2)$  is finite if and only if  $p \geq 4/3$ . In particular, let  $\mu \in F_n(\sigma(C)^n)$ , and define the  $p$ -variation of  $\mu$  by

$$(2.12) \quad \|\mu\|_{(p)} = \sup \left\{ \sum_{c \in \gamma} |\mu(c)|^p : \gamma \text{ } C^n\text{-grid of } X^n \right\}.$$

Define the Littlewood exponent of  $\mu$  (*e.g.*, [2]) by

$$(2.13) \quad \ell_\mu = \inf \{ p : \|\mu\|_{(p)} < \infty \}.$$

Then, Littlewood's inequalities are equivalent to the statement

$$(2.14) \quad \sup \{ \ell_\mu : \mu \in F_2(\sigma(C)^2) \} = 4/3.$$

In the general case, let  $V = \{S_j\}_{j=1}^m$  be a cover of  $[n]$ , and consider the linear programming problem.

Maximize  $x_1 + \dots + x_n = e$  subject to the constraints that each  $x_i \geq 0$  and  $\sum_{i \in S_j} x_i \leq 1$  for each  $j \in [m]$ .

Let the optimal value solving this problem be  $e = e(V)$ . Combining the "fractional" version of Littlewood's inequalities (*e.g.*, [1]) with the result in [3], asserting that  $e(V)$  is the *combinatorial dimension* of  $C^V = \{(\pi_{S_1}(c), \dots, \pi_{S_m}(c)) : c \in C^m\}$ , we deduce

$$\text{THEOREM 2.3.} \quad \sup \{ \ell_\mu : \mu \in F_V(\sigma(C)^V) \} = \frac{2e(V)}{e(V)+1}.$$

If  $U$  and  $V$  are two covers of  $[n]$ , then  $U < V$  means that for every  $T \in U$  there exists  $S \in V$  such that  $T \subset S$ . It is easy to see that if  $U < V$ , then  $e(U) \geq e(V)$  and  $F_U(\sigma(C)^U) \supset F_V(\sigma(C)^V)$ . Theorem 2.3 implies that if  $e(U) > e(V)$  then the preceding inclusion is proper.

## REFERENCES

1. R. Blei, *Fractional dimensions and bounded fractional forms*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **57**(1985), 331.
2. R. Blei and J.-P. Kahane, *A computation of the Littlewood exponent of stochastic processes*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **103**(1988), 367–370.
3. R. Blei and J. Schmerl, *Combinatorial dimension of fractional Cartesian products*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **120**(1994), 73–77.
4. J. A. Clarkson and C. R. Adams, *On definitions of bounded variation for functions of two variables*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **35**(1933), 824–854.
5. J. Diestel and J. J. Uhl, Jr., *Vector Measures*, Math. Surveys Monographs **15**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, Rhode Island, 1977.
6. I. Dobrakov, *On extension of Vector Polymeasures*, Czechoslovak Math. J. **38**(1988), 88–94.
7. N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear Operators, I*, Interscience Publishers, Inc., New York, 1964.
8. M. Fréchet, *Sur les fonctionnelles bilinéaires*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **16**(1915), 215–234.
9. J. E. Littlewood, *On bounded bilinear forms in an infinite number of variables*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford **1**(1930), 164–174.
10. M. Morse and W. Transue, *C-Bimeasures and their integral extensions*, Ann. of Math. **64**(1956), 480–504.
11. N. Towghi, *Stochastic integration of processes with finite generalized variations*, Ann. Probab., to appear.
12. K. Ylisen, *On vector bimeasures*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **117**(1978), 115–138.

*Department of Mathematics*  
*University of Connecticut*  
*Storrs, Connecticut 06269*  
*U.S.A.*