

INDEX

- Agriculture Agreements: *see also*
Canada – Dairy; export
subsidies, economic rationale
for limiting; SCM (Agreement
on Subsidies and
Countervailing Measures)
- conformity with agreement and
commitments thereunder (AA
8) 256–257
- direct subsidies
(AA 9.1(a)) 257
- “contingent on export
performance” 254
- financial contribution, need for
(SCM 1.1(a)(1)) 255–256
- payment in kind, as
benefit/gratuitous act
255–255
- provided by government or
agency 255; demonstrable link,
need for 261–262
- to a firm, industry, producer,
association or marketing board
254
- as major achievement of Uruguay
Round 236, 264–265
- absence of methodology for core
concepts 265–266
- payment on export financed by
government action (AA 9.1(c))
257, 259–262
- “contingent on export
performance” (AA 9.1(a)) and
256
- financed by government 256; act
of agency 264; act or omission
as 264; “by virtue of” 264
- as national obligation 263–264;
payment by private parties and
264
- payment in kind and 256
- price benchmark: *see* price
benchmark *below*
- price benchmark 259–261
- total cost of production 261,
263–264, 265–266, 268–276;
cross-subsidization and
272–275; declining industry,
problems related to 275;
high-profit industry and 275;
milk-tax-and-redistribution-
programme 269–272; sunk
costs, exclusion 275, 278–279
- world market prices 276–277, 279
- SCM as aid to interpretation 255
- subsidy in excess of commitments
(AA 3.3) 256, 257
- subsidy not listed in AA 9.1 (AA
10.1) 256–257, 262
- anti-dumping and countervailing
duties, Anti-dumping
Agreement
- “constructed value” (AD 2.2.2) 123
- developing countries, need to seek
constructive remedies (AD 15)
123–124
- disclosure of essential facts (AD 6.9)
167–168
- economic rationale 115, 133–137,
168–169: *see also* anti-dumping
and countervailing duties,
GATT VI, economic rationale;
export subsidies, economic
rationale for limiting

- predatory pricing and 115, 118, 135
 SG&A costs where there is only one exporter or producer (AD 2.2.2(ii)) 136
 zeroing 134–136
 export price/normal value, adjustments to enable fair comparison (AD 2.4) 166–167
 “facts available” (AD 6.8)/Annex II(6) 161–165; reasons for decision to rely on, need for 162–163
 impact of dumped imports, evaluation of all relevant economic factors (AD 3.4) 123–124, 141–147; restraint agreement, relevance (*Mexico – Corn Syrup*) 144–145; segment by segment approach, acceptability 142–143, 146–147, 154
 individual margins of dumping (AD 6.10), obligations regarding 165–166
 “like products” (AD 3.1), market segmentation and 146–147
 margin calculation methods (AD 2.4.2); 1797 Anti-dumping Code and 130; drafting history 130; selling and general administration costs where there is only one exporter or producer (AD 2.2.2(ii)) 124, 128–130, 136; zeroing, compatibility with AD 2.4.2
EC – Bed linen 123–128, 130–131, 135–136
US Sheet Plate from Korea 130–131 n. 28
 non-confidential summary (AD 6.5.1), role 163
 object and purpose, failure to state 157
 as political compromise 115, 157–159
 procedural nature 116, 122–123, 157–159: *see also* proceduralism
 questionnaires; documentation, notice of need for 163–165; non-compliance/late submission 163
 standard of review (AD 17.6): *see* standard of review (AD 17.6)
 threat of material injury, relevant factors (AD 3.7) 141–147; likelihood of substantially increased importation (AD 3.1(i)) 142; restraint agreement, relevance (*Mexico – Corn Syrup*) 145–146, 153–154
 anti-dumping and countervailing duties, GATT VI
 anti-dumping and countervailing duties distinguished 215 n. 12
 compensation to country of origin, absence of provision 118, 120–122, 214–215: *see also* compensation for nullification or impairment of market access
 concession
 anti-dumping investigation as 121 n. 15
 desirability of provision for 121–122, 137–138
 price undertaking as 122
 retaliatory nature of anti-dumping actions 122
 voluntary export restraints as 122
 compliance with SCM Agreement 172: *see also* SCM (Agreement on Subsidies and Countervailing Measures)
 countervailing duty as response to subsidized exports 119 n. 9, 214–215
 discrimination, scope for 118, 119–120, 214
 economic rationale 118: *see also* anti-dumping and countervailing duties, Anti-dumping Agreement, economic rationale
 “condemnation,” absence of economic justification 118–120, 155–157

- anti-dumping and countervailing
 - duties, GATT VI (*cont.*)
 - fairness considerations 118–119, 214–215
 - panel reports, failure to implement 116
 - purpose 118–120
- arbitration (DSU 25) 284
 - advantages
 - avoidance of retaliatory measures 284
 - streamlined procedures 284
 - on basis of mutual agreement of parties (DSU 25.2) 283
 - expeditious arbitration (DSU 25.1)/right to seek information (DSU 13) balance 295–296
 - mandate
 - absence of DSU 25 provisions relating to 284
 - determination of measure of compensation, limitation to 284, 287, 296–297, 298–299
 - right to seek information (DSU 13) and 295–296
 - procedures, determination by parties 283, 284
- Argentina – Ceramic Tiles*
 - anti-dumping and countervailing duties, Anti-dumping Agreement
 - export price/normal value, adjustments to enable fair comparison (AD 2.4) 166–167
 - “facts available” (AD 6.8)/Annex II(6) 161–165
 - non-confidential summary (AD 6.5.1), role 163
 - disclosure of essential facts (AD 6.9) 167–168
 - individual margins of dumping (AD 6.10), obligations regarding 165–166
 - overview 8–9
 - standard of review (AD 17.6), failure to observe 161–169
- Argentina – Hides and Leather*, export restraint as subsidy 229–230
- Berne Convention (1971): *see* TRIPS (Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property)
- Canada – Dairy*: *see also* Agriculture Agreement
 - AB (compliance) (first) 254, 259–262, 265–266
 - AB (compliance) (second) 263–264, 266
 - AB findings 253, 255, 257, 258, 259–261
 - Canadian Dairy Program as export subsidy program under Agricultural Agreement 274 n. 68
 - Canadian decision-making bodies 252
 - Canadian Dairy Commission (CDC) 255
 - compliance panel (first) 253–254, 259–262
 - compliance panel (second) 263, 266
 - legal methodology, adequacy 279–280
 - overview 11
 - Panel findings 254–258
 - Special Milk Classes Scheme 252–253
 - Classes 5(d) and 5(e); as “another category” (AA 10.1) 256–257, 262; as direct subsidy (AA 9.1(a)) 254–257; as subsidy in excess of commitments (AA 3.3) 256–257
 - payment on export financed by government action (AA 9.1(c)) 256, 257, 259–261; Class 4(m) (commercial export milk), AG 9.1(c) 259–269
 - state responsibility 261–262, 266–268
 - tariff rate quota, compatibility with GATT II:1(b) 257
- causality, economic methods for determining 92–100: *see also* SCM (Agreement on Subsidies)

- and Countervailing Measures), causality (SCM 15.5); SGA (Agreement on Safeguards), Art. 4.2(b) (causal link between increased imports and serious injury or threat thereof)
- AB guidelines, need for 102, 112–113
- attribution of injury 97–100
 - econometric attribution analysis (Grossman (1986)) 98–99
 - injury accounting (Kelly/Irwin) 99–100
- developing countries and 112–113
- expert witnesses, need for 113
- interaction of import and injury variables 93–95
- relative merits 112
- changing circumstances, adaptation to contractual provision
 - renegotiation in case of unforeseeable changes 74–75
 - specified response to foreseeable changes 74–75
 - state contingent contract 74–75
- GATT provision for *ex post* adjustment of tariff bindings: *see also* emergency action (GATT XIX)
- balance of payments safeguards (GATT XII) 75
- renegotiation (GATT XXVIII) 75
- compensation for emergency action (GATT XIX) 81
- compensation for failure to implement recommendations (DSU 22.1)
 - calculation 288–289, 294–296
 - inadequacy of information 294–295
- legality of enforcement provisions, exclusion from arbitration mandate 284, 287, 296–297, 298–299
- measure
 - absence of DSU guidelines
 - arbitrators' right; to choose method other than those proposed by parties 288; to propose amount beyond that sought by parties 296–297
 - economic value of lost rights 285
 - failure to agree; arbitration to determine (DSU 25.2) 282–283; equivalent withdrawal of concessions 282
 - legitimate expectations 285–286, 290–294, 297–298
 - novelty of issues and 296–297
 - transaction costs, offset 286
 - punitive 285, 289, 296–297, 299
 - retroactive 285, 289–290, 297–298, 299
 - Australia – Automotive Leather I* 297 n. 14
 - as temporary voluntary measure 282
- compensation for nullification or impairment of market access
 - concession: *see also* anti-dumping and countervailing duties, GATT VI, compensation to country of origin, absence of provision
 - additional market concessions as 121
 - anti-dumping and countervailing duties, GATT VI, absence of provision 118, 120–122, 137–138
 - in case of unfair action 120–121
 - efficient international policy outcomes and 120–121, 137–138
 - policing function 122–123
 - withdrawal of equivalent concessions by nullified party 121
- compliance panel (DSU 21.5)
 - applicability of DSU “panel” provisions 48
 - jurisdiction/task
 - consideration of consistency of compliance measure with GATT 51–52
 - limitation to claims based on articles of covered agreements listed in request 47–48; panel's

- compliance panel (DSU 21.5) (*cont.*)
 failure to consider compliance
 as error of law 47–48
 misrepresentation of AB report
 (*US – Shrimp*) 48–50
 procedural requirements (*Canada –
 Dairy*) 258 n. 36
- compliance, scope for indefinite
 cosmetic change without
 obligation to compensate
 68–69
- consultations (DSU 4): *see* panel,
 establishment, requirements
 (DSU 6.2)
- copyright: *see* TRIPS (Agreement on
 Trade-Related Aspects of
 Intellectual Property)
- countervailing duties: *see* SCM
 (Agreement on Subsidies and
 Countervailing Measures);
 anti-dumping and
 countervailing duties,
 GATT VI
- customary international law,
 Anti-dumping and 132, 133 n.
 37
- Decision on Review of Article 17.6
 [AD], effect 176–179
- Declaration on Dispute Settlement
 [under AD or SCM]
 interpretation
 Decision on Review of Article 17.6
 [AD] as aid 178–179
 ordinary meaning in context
 (Vienna Convention on the
 Law of Treaties, Article 31)
 177–178, 180 n. 6
 legal effect 176–179
 as evidence of parties' intentions
 178
- developing countries
 export subsidies in agriculture 246
 n. 7
 intellectual property protection
 290–291 n. 10
 proceduralism and 112–113,
 158–159
- discretionary legislation, whether
 breach of WTO obligations
 219–220
- precedent
 GATT 225
*US – Countervailing Measures on
 Certain EC Products* 226 n. 44
US – Section 301 Trade Act
 225–226
 WTO 225–226
 US countervailing duty law and
 practice and 224
- dispute settlement (DSU)
 arbitration: *see* arbitration (DSU 25)
 judgment as to whether action would
 be fruitful (DSU 3.7) 149–151
- EC – Asbestos*: *see also* national
 treatment on internal taxation
 and regulation (GATT III)
 AB findings 33–37; failure to
 understand market
 relationships 37–39
 burden of proof, significance 37
 French measures banning 15
 carcinogenicity as comparator for
 determining “likeness” 27
 methodology
 confusion over 34–37
 effects test (“objective” approach)
 32–33
 overview 5
 Panel findings 34
 reasons, quality 14–16
- EC – Bananas*, judgment as to whether
 DSU action would be fruitful
 (DSU 3.7) 150
- EC – Bed linen*
 AB findings 124, 127–128, 129,
 130–131, 132–133, 135–137
 compliance review (DSU 21.5)
 116–117 n. 4
 overview 7–8
 Panel findings 123–124, 127,
 128–129, 132
 SG&A costs where there is only one
 exporter or producer (AD
 2.2.2(ii)) 124, 128–129, 136

- standard of review (AD 17.6) 116, 132–133
- zeroing, compatibility with
AD 2.4.2 123–128, 130–131, 135–136
- economic methodology: *see* causality, economic methods for determining
- economic rationale for
anti-dumping/countervailing measures 115, 118–120, 133–137, 155–157, 168–169, 180–186, 197–198: *see also* export subsidies, economic rationale for limiting
- economic rationale for TRIPS provisions 290–294
- emergency action (GATT XIX), substantially equivalent compensation, right to 81, 122–123
- expert witness, use of
econometric/quantitative methods and 113
- export restraint: *see also* SCM (Agreement on Subsidies and Countervailing Measures), “subsidy”
definition 217
as financial contribution/subsidy 216, 229–232, 234
- export subsidies, economic rationale for limiting 204–205, 277–279: *see also* Agriculture Agreement; SCM (Agreement on Subsidies and Countervailing Measures)
- agricultural export subsidies, developed/developing world positions 246 n. 7
- flexibility, domestic and international requirement distinguished 247–248
- free trade/enhanced trade volumes and 204–205, 215, 233–234, 245–246, 251
- GATT XVI, Section B, para. 2 (harmful effect of export subsidy on importing and exporting parties) 204, 238, 239–243
- global efficiency 243–245
- importers, benefit to 204, 239–243
freedom to adjust tariff, relevance 204, 242–243
transaction costs and 243
- international commitment as government lever against special interests 246–248
- measure of payments 268–277
- oligopoly (sellers)/oligopsony (buyers), effect of negotiation on trade volume 248–250
- buyer/seller 247; GATT/WTO market access negotiations as 250
- GATT/WTO export subsidy negotiations as 250–251
- seller/seller 249–250
- tension between exporter/importer governments 243
Agriculture Agreement as attempt to resolve 238–239, 243
- externality tax: *see* negative externalities, reduction of effects
- extraterritorial jurisdiction, trade restrictions based on environmental considerations 64–65
- “facts available” (AD 6.8): *see* anti-dumping and countervailing duties, Anti-dumping Agreement, “facts available” (AD 6.8)/Annex II(6)
- first best: *see* negative externalities, reduction of effects
- GATT XIX:1(a) (emergency measures) requirements
causal link between increased imports and serious injury or threat of: *see* SGA (Agreement on Safeguards), Art. 4.2(b)

- GATT XIX:1(a) (emergency measures) (*cont.*)
 (causal link between increased imports and serious injury or threat thereof)
 “effect of obligations under this Agreement” 110–111; in absence of concessions in latest round 111; bound/unbound tariffs, relevance 111; “this Agreement” 110–111
 import surge 86–87
 necessity 108–110
 unforeseen development 84–91;
 burden of proof 84–85, 90–91;
 correct behavior in making forecast, need for 87; critical date 89; deliberate cause and 86–87, 96–97; due diligence standard of foreseeability 86–87, 88, 89; *Japan – Trade in Semiconductors* 87; *Korea – Dairy* 85; measure of foreseeability 88–89, 90–91; reckless behaviour by private sector and 87, 96–97; “unlikely”/“unforeseeable” distinguished 84; *US – Lamb* 89–90
- SGA and: *see also* SGA (Agreement on Safeguards)
 consistency of measures with both GATT and SGA, need for 83
 discrepancies 83; structural adjustment, reference to 84; “unforeseen developments”, absence from SGA 84
 unilateral nature 75
- GATT, XX (general exceptions)
 availability of less restrictive alternative measure 24
 justification for differential treatment, relevance in GATT III determinations, effect as determining factor and 19, 23, 25–26
- GATT, XX (general exceptions), chapeau: *see also* negative externalities, reduction of effects, adoption of particular policy as condition of trade (*US – Shrimp*)
 “comparable in effectiveness” requirement 53–54
 in absence of specified instrument or technology 68–69
 comparable results and comparable marginal effectiveness of investment distinguished 66–67
 information asymmetries and 67
 transparency, need for 69
 as emergency clause (*US – Shrimp*, DSU 21.5 panel) 50–51
 manner of application/design of measure, relationship 44–45, 69
 negotiation, nature of obligation 50–51, 52–53, 64
 unilateral measures conditioning market access on policies of exporting countries 43–44
 differing or conflicting measures as threat to multilateral nature of GATT 43–44, 67–68
US – Shrimp (AB and AB 21.5) 44, 53–54; centrality of finding to decision 44, 53; as departure from precedent 44, 54
US – Shrimp (compliance panel), “comparable in effectiveness” requirement 53
US – Shrimp/Turtle (Panel) 43–44
- GATT, XX (b) (“necessary to protect . . . health”), evidence 32
- GATT, XX (g) (“relating to the conservation of exhaustible natural resources”)
 balance of environmental benefits and trade costs, failure of AB to address 44–45

- environmental effectiveness of
 - available measures, need to consider 65–66
- unilateral nature of rights under 64
 - extraterritorial jurisdiction distinguished 64–65
- GATT XXVIII (renegotiation)
 - changing circumstances, adaptation to 75
 - compensation, right to 122–123
- GATT schedules, observance of
 - commitment (GATT II:1(b)), *Canada – Dairy* 257
- global commons/global public good 57–58
 - export subsidies 243–245
 - SCM and 180–186, 198
- India – Balance of Payments*,
 - jurisdiction of tribunal, reluctance to decline 150–151
- interpretation of WTO Agreement in accordance with customary international law
 - AD 17.6(ii) 132, 133 n. 37
 - DSU 3.2 177
 - multiple interpretations, possibility of 133 n. 37
 - object and purpose 187–188
 - ordinary meaning in context 177–178, 180 n. 6
 - parties’ intentions 178–179
 - tribunals’ preference for literal
- Japan – Film*, state responsibility 267
- Japan – Semiconductor*, state responsibility 267
- judicial economy 257
- judicial restraint 224–229, 234–235
- jurisdiction of tribunal
 - absence of DSU provision for return to panel for new finding of fact 38–39
 - advisory opinion 227–228
 - consultations (DSU 4) and 147–149:
 - see also panel, establishment, requirements (DSU 6.2)
 - precondition, whether 148–149
 - discretion/reluctance to decline 150–151
 - evaluation of substance of claim undermined by fatal procedural considerations 224–229, 234–235
 - failure to challenge, effect 147–148
 - non ultra petita* 173 n. 1, 295–296, 297 n. 15
 - obligation to consider likely fruitfulness of DSU action (DSU 3.7), relevance 149–151
 - parallel NAFTA proceedings 152–153
 - right to seek information (DSU 13) 295–296
 - tribunals’ obligation to examine on its own motion 148, 149
 - tribunals’ preference for literal interpretation of text and 29–30
- “like products”: see national treatment on internal taxation and regulation (GATT III), like products; anti-dumping and countervailing duties, Anti-dumping Agreement, “like products” (AD 3.1)
- Ludema and Wooton model 56–58
- Mexico – Corn Syrup*
 - AB findings 151–152
 - compliance panel findings 140, 142–143
 - consultations (DSU 4) and 147–149
 - judgment as to whether DSU action would be fruitful (DSU 3.7) 149–151
 - overview 8
 - panel findings 140, 142–143
 - reasons, sufficiency 151
 - restraint agreement between soft drink bottlers and sugar producers to limit purchases of HFCS (1997) 141

- Mexico – Corn Syrup (cont.)*
 analysis of injury 145–146,
 153–154
 impact on imports 144–145
 standard of review (AD 17.6) 153
- modeling approaches, inadequacy
 205, 214, 233, 246–248,
 277–278
- moral hazard, safeguards and 81–82,
 87, 96–97
- NAFTA proceedings in parallel,
 acceptability 152–153
- Nash equilibrium 58, 59, 71
- national treatment on internal taxation
 and regulation (GATT III)
 “directly competitive or
 substitutable products” (GATT
Ad III, paragraph 2), GATT
 III:4 and 19–21
 GATT III:2, first and second
 sentences distinguished (“like
 product”/“manner contrary to
 III:1”) 21–23
 “like products”
Border Tax Adjustment criteria as
 aid 33; *EC – Asbestos Panel’s*
 failure to use correctly 33
 burden of proof 34, 37
 comparators, choice 25–27;
 carcinogenicity 33; distinctions
 (*EC – Asbestos*) 35–36;
 ecological efficiency 35; need
 to justify 27
 “directly competitive” as
 determining factor (GATT
 III:4) 19–21, 23, 24, 33, 36;
 consideration of full range of
 physical properties, need for
 33; difficulty of determining
 36; end-use and consumer
 tastes, relevance 34, 36–37;
 health risks, relevance 34
 GATT III:2 and III:4 compared
 19–21
 health risk and 33
 risk, degree of public knowledge,
 relevance 39
- methodology for interpreting
 alternative comparators approach
 25–27
Asbestos Panel’s confusion
 34–37
Bananas III 28
 burden of proof considerations
 29
Chile Pisco 28
 effect and purpose approach
 23–25; justification for
 differential treatment,
 relevance 24, 26
 effects test (“objective” approach)
 18–23; as aid to internalization
 of non discrimination
 norms 31–32; avoidance of
 value judgement 31;
 effectiveness as constraint 30;
 establishment of political and
 moral identity and 31;
 justification for differential
 treatment, relevance 23, 25–26;
 perceived relative freedom of
 policy choices 29; tribunals’
 preference for literal
 interpretation of texts
 and 29–30
 GATT XX, continuing relevance
 28–29
Japan – Alcoholic Beverages II 28,
 35–36
 normative framework, desirability
 30–31
 “so as” to afford protection (GATT
 III:1)
 advantage to domestic market,
 need for 23
 less favorable treatment as
 conduct or content giving rise
 to (GATT III:4) 21
 result/intention distinguished
 18–19, 21–23; dual
 requirement 23–25
 “necessary” measure (GATT XX)
 justification for differential
 treatment, relevance in GATT
 III determinations; intent as

- determining factor and 23, 26;
 - justification as comparator for determining “likeness” and 25–27
- negative externalities, intellectual protection and 290
- negative externalities, reduction of effects
 - adoption of particular policy as condition of trade (*US – Shrimp*) 55, 61–64
 - determination criteria 71
 - effectiveness; in case of imperfect competition 66–67; efficiency of abatement technology, relevance 65; exporting country’s right to levy Pigouvian tax, in absence of 61–63; exporting country’s right to levy Pigouvian tax, in case of 63–64, 65
 - information asymmetries and 67
 - external (Pigouvian) tax determined by importing country with benefits accruing to exporting country as alternative 59–60
 - Ludema and Wooton model 56–58
 - free trade/first best/unilateral tariff without abatement 58–61
 - derivations 70
 - free trade export supply/free trade intersection 70
 - international agreement, effectiveness 55, 67
 - where production takes place in country concerned, externality (Pigouvian) tax 55
- negotiation, duty
 - duty to conclude agreement distinguished 52–53
 - GATT XX and 50–51, 52–53, 64
- panel: *see also* jurisdiction of tribunal
 - DSU 21.5 panel as 48
 - establishment, requirements (DSU 6.2)
 - compliance; DSB’s role 149;
 - panel’s obligation to consider 47–48
 - consultations (DSU 4) 147–149; jurisdictional issue, whether 147–149; obligation to state whether held 148–149; remedy for breach 149
 - critical date for enforcement 149
 - waiver, right of 48, 147–148, 149
 - panel reports
 - reasons
 - need for (DSU 12.7), standard of review requirements (AD 17.6) and 151; rejection by AB, effect 15
 - Pigouvian tax: *see* negative externalities, reduction of effects
 - precedent, role 197
 - discretionary/mandatory question 225–226
 - inconsistency 235
 - Prisoner’s Dilemma 58
 - proceduralism: *see also* anti-dumping and countervailing duties, Anti-dumping Agreement, procedural nature
 - avoidance of over-regulation, need for 158–159, 168
 - developing countries, burden on 112–113, 158–159
 - as tool to minimize effects of unjust system 158
 - public good: *see* global commons/global public good
- Restrictions on Imports of Dessert Apples (Japan – Apples)*, state responsibility 267 n. 41
- safeguards as means of reducing adjustment costs 76–79: *see also* GATT XIX:1(a) (emergency measures); SGA (Agreement on Safeguards)

- safeguards (*cont.*)
- adjustment costs
 - as cost of transition from one equilibrium to another 76
 - lack of information relating to 76, 79
 - alternatives to 78
 - appropriateness in case of
 - availability of alternative employment at lower wage 76
 - differing private sector/government perceptions 77–78
 - inaccurate perception of social cost 77–78
 - social cost of efficiency measure 76–77
 - temporary shock 78
 - incentive to liberalize as by-product 79–80
 - as insurance mechanism 80–82
 - observability of injury and 82
 - moral hazard and 81–82, 96–97
 - political costs and 79
 - potential for abuse 79
 - social welfare maximization as criterion 76
 - uncertainty of information, relevance 78
- SCM (Agreement on Subsidies and Countervailing Measures): *see also* export subsidies, economic rationale for limiting
- Agreement on Agriculture (AA) and (SCM 3.1) 262 n. 49
 - “benefit” (SCM 1.1(b)) 180
 - change of ownership and 175, 186–188, 196–199; *US – Countervailing Measures Concerning Certain Products from the EU* 199–200
 - payment in kind, whether 255
 - causality (SCM 15.5) 193–194
 - consistency of SCM Part III (actionable subsidies) with WTO right to maintain tariffs at bound levels, new/existing subsidies, need to distinguish 212–213
- CVD
- duration and review (SCM 21.1) 172; administrative review of non-recurring subsidy 189–198; compliance with SCM (SCM 19.1) 172; continuing injury, need for 194–196, 199
 - economic rationale 180–186, 197–198
 - imposition and collection (SCM 19), limitation on amount (SCM 19.4) 172
 - financial contribution, need for (SCM 1.1(a)(1)) 255
 - export constraint 216
 - GATT VI and (SCM 10) 172
 - object and purpose
 - Brazil – Aircraft* 203
 - global efficiency 180–186, 198, 215
 - interpretation in accordance with 187–188
 - Part III (actionable subsidies)
 - consistency with WTO right to maintain tariffs at bound levels 202–207; challenge to; existing subsidy 211–212; new subsidy 210–211
 - economic rationale; inefficiency of international agreements and 213, 233–234; modeling inadequacies 214; nullification or impairment of benefit provisions (GATT XXIII.1(b)), preferability 212–213
 - Part V (countervailing measures) 214–215
 - standard of review (AD 17.6/DSU 11) 175–179
 - subsidies contingent on export performance, as clarification of GATT XVI, Section B, para. 2 204
 - subsidies for use of domestic goods (SCM 3.1(b)), as production subsidy 205–207, 229–231, 234

- “subsidy” (SCM 1 and 2) 172–173
 Annex I(d) 257 n. 31
 export restraint 216, 229–232, 234
 financial contribution by
 government or any public body
 which “entrusts or directs a
 private body” (SCM
 1.1(a)(1)(iv)) 218–219;
 action/effects test
 distinguished 218–219; express
 act, need for 218; negotiating
 history 219; “private
 body” 217 n. 15; “to carry out”
 a listed function 218
 non-recurring subsidy; injury
 determination (SCM 15) 194;
 marketing effects 191–193
 predatory subsidy 184 n. 9, 209
 recurring subsidy, marketing
 effects 189–191
 specificity (SCM 2) 203, 219, 231,
 232, 234
- sea turtles, US measures for protection
 of (Public Law 101-102, Section
 609) 41–43
- certifications in respect of incidental
 taking of sea turtles (1996
 guidelines) 42–43
 request for delay in implementing
 world-wide 42
 turtle exclusion device, relevance
 42–43
- consistency with GATT provisions
 AB (DUS 21.5 ruling) 51–55
 AB findings 44–46
 “comparable in effectiveness”
 requirement 53–54
 Compliance Panel findings 47
 Panel findings 43–44
 Section 609 and implementation
 measures distinguished 51–52
 limitation to Caribbean area, legality
 42–43
- SGA (Agreement on Safeguards)
 Art. 4.2(b) (causal link between
 increased imports and serious
 injury or threat thereof)
 91–108
- domestic/foreign source of shock
 as justification for safeguard
 95–97; combined effect
 approach (USITC) 104–107;
 differing approaches 103–107;
 non-discrimination between
 (PB) 105–107;
 sufficiency/necessity of foreign
 shock (*US – Lamb*
 Panel) 105–107; textual
 clarification, need for 111–112
- economic methods for
 determining 92–100: *see also*
 causality, economic methods
 for determining. AB guidelines,
 need for 102, 112–113
 multiple causes, attribution;
US – Lamb 100–102; need
 to distinguish between
 causes 101–102; *US – Wheat*
Gluten 101
 “serious injury” 91–92;
US – Lamb 100–101
 “threat of” 107–108; burden of
 proof 108
- GATT and: *see* GATT XIX:1(a)
 (emergency measures), SGA
 and
 Preamble, legal significance 84
 structural adjustment, relevance 84
Sheet Plate from Korea, zeroing
 130–131 n. 28
US – Shrimp: *see also* GATT, XX
 (general exceptions), chapeau;
 negative externalities, reduction
 of effects
 overview 5
- standard of review (AD 17.6): *see also*
 standard of review (DSU 11)
 drafting history 131–132
EC – Bed linen 116, 132–133
 DSU 11 provisions distinguished
 175–176
 Decision on Review of Article 17.6
 [AD], effect 176–179
 Declaration on Dispute
 Settlement [under AD or
 SCM], effect 176–179

- standard of review (AD 17.6) (*cont.*)
 interpretation in accordance
 with customary international
 law (AD 17.6(ii)) 132,
 133 n. 37
 Vienna Convention on the Law of
 Treaties, relevance 133 n. 37
 minimalist approach 159–169
 assessment of reasonableness of
 action taken, limitation to
 (AD 17.6(ii)) 151–152,
 160–161
 failure of panels/AB to
 observe 160–161; *Argentina –
 Ceramic Tiles* 161–169
Mexico – Corn Syrup 152–153
 parties' permissible interpretation
 of Anti-dumping Agreement
 provisions, acceptance by
 tribunal (AD 17.6 (ii))
 159–161, 166; multiple
 interpretations 132–133,
 175–176
 parties' reasonable assumptions,
 acceptance by tribunal
 (AD 17.6(i)) 147, 153–154
 national practices, need to respect
 116, 132–133
 SCM dispute settlement,
 applicability to 175–179
Thailand – H Beams 162–163
US – Hot Rolled Steel 133 n. 37
 standard of review (DSU 11): *see also*
 standard of review (AD 17.6)
 multiple interpretations,
 acceptability 175–176
 SCM disputes, applicability 175–179
 state responsibility
 Canada – Dairy 261–262, 266–268
 for
 action which might lead to breach
 of treaty obligation 69
 acts of private parties 261–262,
 266–268
 ensuring cooperation with
 tribunal 296 n. 12
 failure to ensure administrative
 rationality and fairness
 160–161
 Japan – Film 267
 Japan – Semiconductor 267
 *Restrictions on Imports of Dessert
 Apples (Japan – Apples)* 267
 n. 63
 subsidies: *see* Agriculture Agreement;
 export subsidies, economic
 rationale for limiting; SCM
 (Agreement on Subsidies
 and Countervailing
 Measures)
 Subsidies Code (1979), failure 201–202
 tariff/non-tariff (production subsidy)
 barrier relationship 207–208,
 209–210
 new subsidy and 210–211
 new/old subsidies, need to
 distinguish 212–213
 nullification or impairment of
 benefit provisions (GATT
 XXIII.1(b)), preferability
 212–213
 Thailand – H Beams, standard of
 review (AD 17.6) 162–163
 threat of injury (SGA 4.2) 107–108
 TRIPS (Agreement on Trade-Related
 Aspects of Intellectual
 Property)
 Berne Convention (1971),
 compliance with (TRIPS 9.1)
 281
 economic rationale 290–294
 developing countries 290–291
 n. 10
 freeriding 291
 marginal cost/marginal benefit
 balance 290, 292–294, 298
 negative externalities;
 discrimination 290;
 inadequacy of national
 treatment and 290
 Turkey – Textiles, jurisdiction of
 tribunal, reluctance to decline
 150–151
 United States, sea turtles, measures for
 the protection of: *see* sea turtles,
 US measures for protection of

- (Public Law 101–102, Section 609)
- US – Section 110(5) Copyright Act: see also* compensation for failure to implement recommendations (DSU 22.1); TRIPS (Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property) overview 11–12
- US – Softwood Lumber IV*, export restraint as subsidy 229–230, 232
- US – Countervailing Measures Concerning Certain EC Products*, “benefit” (SCM 1.1(b)) 199–200
- US – Export Restraints: see also* export restraint; SCM (Agreement on Subsidies and Countervailing Measures)
- legal methodology, adequacy 279–280
- overview 10
- US measures 216
- US – Hot Rolled Steel*, standard of review (AD 17.6) 133 n. 37
- US – Lamb*
- AB findings 73, 90, 101–102, 105–108
- domestic/foreign source of shock as justification 103–107
- overview 7
- Panel findings 72–73, 90, 100–101, 105–107
- “serious injury” 100–101
- multiple causes, attribution 100–102
- “unforeseen development”, failure to establish 84–85, 90–91
- US – Lead and Bismuth II*
- AB findings 174–175, 177–179, 186–188, 198–199
- administrative review of non-recurring subsidy 189–198
- “benefit” and change of ownership 175, 186–188, 196–197, 198–199
- Decision on Review of Article 17.6 [AD], effect 176–179
- Declaration on Dispute Settlement [under AD or SCM], legal effect 176–179
- overview 9–10
- panel findings 173–174
- US – Section 301 Trade Act*, discretionary/mandatory question 225–226
- US – Wheat Gluten*, causation 101
- Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties
- Anti-dumping Agreement and 132, 133 n. 37
- Declaration on Dispute Settlement [under AD or SCM] and 177–178, 180 n. 6
- DSU and, Art. 31(4) (special meaning) 48
- GATT and, Art. 31 (object and purpose), in absence of defined objects 157
- multiple interpretations, possibility of 133 n. 37
- zeroing: *see* anti-dumping and countervailing duties, Anti-dumping Agreement