

correspondence

A policy to deal with sexual assault on psychiatric in-patient wards

Lawn & McDonald outline a clear policy that they have developed for dealing with sexual assault on in-patient psychiatric wards (Psychiatr Bull 2009; 33: 108–11) I welcome their account and in particular their flow chart and the accompanying guidance for its use. In their references they list the Royal College of Psychiatrists report CR52 Sexual Abuse and Harassment in Psychiatric Settings. I regret that they do not refer to the subsequent College Report CR145 Sexual Boundary Issues in Psychiatric Settings (online only) published in August 2007. To quote from the College website: 'This revision of the original College Report CR52: Sexual Abuse and Harassment in Psychiatric Settings . . . has led to a review within a wider remit, taking into account major developments in the legal framework within which patients are treated and encompassing a broader discussion on sexuality. Issues of capacity and consent are relevant for all areas of care, and psychiatric professionals have to balance principles of autonomy and protection. Particularly relevant in this context are the Human Rights Act 1998, the Sexual Offences Act 2003, the Mental Capacity Act 2003, and additional legislation regarding standards of care for both adults and children. The area is one of high risk in terms of likelihood and impact because of the vulnerability of the patient group. Recommendations are made in the light of the Kerr/Haslam Report (2005), the Patient Safety Observatory Report 2 (NPSA 2006), and the government report Safeguarding Patients (2007)' (www.rcpsych.ac.uk/ publications/collegereports/cr/ cr145.aspx).

Daphne R. D. Wallace Consultant Psychiatrist (retired), Convenor of Public Policy Committee Working Group for CR52 and CR145, 15 Holme Park, High Benthan, Lancaster LA2 7ND, email: daphne@ drwallace.co.uk.

doi: 10.1192/pb.33.6.237

. More than just problems

with problem-based learning

Problem-based learning (Skokauskas N, Psychiatr Bull 2009; 33: 117) is used in 'new' curricula since the publication of Tomorrow's Doctors by the General Medical Council in 1993. It allows students to solve problems and can integrate teaching across specialties and between clinical and basic science elements (horizontal and vertical integration). This promotes 'deep learning' and students using this learning style perform better in clinical examinations.¹ This learning style will not suit all students, but allowing the opportunity to adopt alternative learning styles is important. It also facilitates adult learning which is required in clinical practice.

McParland *et al*¹ showed that using problem-based learning led to an improvement in both written and viva examinations compared with traditional methods for teaching psychiatry, consistent with previous studies. This approach also had greater student satisfaction ratings.

In view of these factors, problem-based learning and other newer methods are used as part of an integrated curriculum in most medical schools.² This ensures students develop the skills fostered by problem-based learning and those of a traditional curriculum.

Although 'psychiatry changes rapidly', advances are not restricted to this specialty and it is thus difficult to use this as an argument against using problembased learning in psychiatry. This would lead to less rather than more integration as intended by *Tomorrow's Doctors*, to the detriment of the specialty.

It is also contradictory to argue for traditional lecture methods while criticising problem-based learning as problems may be set by one person, which is likely to be the case with lectures. It has been shown that problembased learning delivered by non-experts leads to a reduction in examination performance,³ thus experts such as Dr Skokauskas' 'charismatic professors' should be encouraged to facilitate problem-based learning sessions. The lack of exposure to a 'charismatic' figure, postulated to reduce student's enthusiasm for pursuing psychiatry as a career, can be addressed using an integrated approach. An alternative way of attracting students to psychiatry is for teachers to encourage them to join the Royal College of Psychiatrists as Student Associates (www.rcpsych.ac.uk/training/students. aspx).

- McParland M, Noble L M, Livingston G. The effectiveness of problem-based learning compared to traditional teaching in undergraduate psychiatry. *Med Education* 2004; 38: 859–67.
- 2 Wilson S, Eagles JM. Changes in Undergraduate Clinical Psychiatry in Scotland since 'Tomorrow's Doctors'. SMJ 2008; **53**: 22–5.
- 3 Hay PJ, Katisikitis M.The'expert' in problem-based and case-based learning: necessary or not? Med Education 2001; **35**: 22–6.

Daniel M. Bennett Specialty Registrar 3 in Psychiatry, NHS Grampian, Royal Cornhill Hospital, Cornhill Road, Block A, Clerkseat Building, Aberdeen AB25 2ZH, email: danielm.bennett@nhs.net

doi: 10.1192/pb.33.6.237a

Psychiatry benefits from problem-based learning

Skokauskas (*Psychiatr Bull* 2009; **33**: 117) restates several arguments against the use of problem-based learning in undergraduate medical education. We feel, as current problem-based learning tutors who were ourselves students on a problem-based learning course, that we can offer a more positive view.

The author seems to imply that problem-based learning cases replace the clinical experiences that have traditionally shaped students' learning. In our experience, cases (often meticulously refined over several years) act to support and guide clinical learning, as they can bring to the fore ideas and issues that may rarely be apparent to students on clinical placements.

Skokauskas suggests that problembased learning courses are inefficient, since traditional curricula may cover more material over the same time. But of course including a subject in the curriculum does



not guarantee that it will be understood and retained by students; in fact, Dochy $et a^{\dagger}$ in their systematic review find evidence that problem-based learning students retain their knowledge more effectively.

We were puzzled by Skokauskas' assertion that problem-based learning 'assumes that students already are good problem-solvers'; in the courses we have experienced, problem solving is explicitly modelled as a skill to be developed by students. Certainly, the good group working skills needed for most working doctors cannot develop in a traditional lecture setting. Problem-based learning offers the opportunity to work in groups early and for individuals less 'keen' or 'capable' to identify their difficulties and reflect on them.

Last, Skokauskas worries that problembased learning students may be deprived of access 'to a particularly inspirational or charismatic professor'; this risk, we think, is mitigated by the fact that typical problem-based learning courses entail a three- or fourfold increase in hoursper-student of faculty contact.²

A recent systematic review of the effect of problem-based learning undergraduate courses on postgraduate competence suggests that problem-based learning trained doctors have stronger competencies in domains including coping with uncertainty, legal and ethical aspects of healthcare, communication skills, and self-directed continuing learning.³ These domains would seem to be of particular relevance to psychiatric practice, and we would encourage psychiatrists to get involved in the design and delivery of problem-based learning.

- Dochy F, Segers M, van den Bossche P, Gijbels D. Effects of problem-based learning: a metaanalysis. *Learn Instruct* 2003; 5: 533–68.
- 2 Donner RS, Bickley H. Problem-based learning: an assessment of its feasibility and cost. *Human Pathol* 1990; **21**: 881–5.
- 3 Koh GCH, Khoo HE, Wong ML, Koh D. The effects of problem-based learning during medical school on physician competency: a systematic review. *Can Med Assoc J* 2008; **178**: 34–41.

*Sheraz Ahmad Specialist Registrar in Psychiatry, Central North West London NHS Foundation Trust; Imperial College London; and London Deanery Fellow in Management and Leadership of Education in Psychiatry, email: sheraz77@hotmail.com, Ben Braithwaite Clinical Teaching Fellow, Department of Primary Care and Social Medicine, Imperial College London

doi: 10.1192/pb.33.6.237b

Clozapine monitoring – a gentler way

Our learning disability team recently started clozapine for a young patient with treatment-resistant schizophrenia and a moderate intellectual disability. As treatment progressed, improvements were noted in the patient's positive symptoms and, perhaps more importantly, they started gaining some insight. However, although the patient credited the clozapine for this improvement, they were increasingly against the idea of regular blood monitoring. Continuing this monitoring in the community without their cooperation was not going to be possible. However, the team wanted the patient to continue to have the benefits the clozapine treatment was affording them.

Although venous blood sampling is the preferred method for monitoring neutrophil counts in adults, the team wondered whether our patient would be more amenable to blood monitoring if we used a capillary sampling technique favoured in paediatrics. There have been a few published papers looking at the variation in results for venous and capillary samples, but some studies had small sample sizes¹ and others have included participants far younger than our patient.²

However, in a letter to the American Journal of Haematology, Schalk and colleagues³ describe a study they undertook involving 421 adult patients, 70% of whom had a haematological disorder and 30% of whom were healthy volunteers; the age range was 18-61 years. They concluded that capillary and venous absolute neutrophil counts correlate very well in adults. They also found there were no higher rates of infection using capillary sampling compared with venous sampling for their patients with neutropenia or agranulocytosis. They note that previous studies showed capillary samples had higher absolute neutrophil counts than venous samples but that this seemed to decrease with the increasing age of the cohort. In the 3 months to 14 years age group the variation between venous and capillary samples was 17.2%, whereas in the 20-22 years age group the difference in total leucocyte count was 9.2%.^{1,2} Both these studies are limited by small sample sizes (n = 9 and n = 24 respectively).

The hospital pharmacy and clozapine monitoring service agreed for us to proceed with capillary sampling for our patient. The paediatric nurses provided practical advice for taking the sample. This included using petroleum jelly around the finger to make the blood form droplets as well as 'milking' the arm to reduce discomfort.

Although this method took more time than venous sampling, the patient was very happy with the finger-prick technique and volunteered to have a sample done with no extra effort. They were able to have this done in the community and were discharged.

Although venous sampling remains the method of choice for monitoring patients

on clozapine, we feel capillary sampling could be an option for patients who are unwilling to have the venous injection or where venous access proves difficult.

- Yang ZW, Yang SH, Chen L, Qu J, Zhu J, Tang Z. Comparison of blood counts in venous, fingertip and arterial blood and their measurement variation. *Clin Lab Haematol* 2001; 23: 155–9.
- 2 Daae LNW, Hallerud M, Halvorsen S. A comparison between haematological parameters in 'capillary' and venous blood samples from hospitalized children aged 3 months to 14 years. *Scand J Clin Lab Invest* 1991; **51**: 651–4.
- 3 Schalk E, Scheinpflug K, Mohren M. Correlation of capillary and venous absolute neutrophil counts in adult hematological patients and normal controls. *Am J Hematol* 2008; 83: 605.

*Toral Thomas Specialty Registrar, Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Foundation Trust, The Pines, Peterborough District Hospital, Peterborough PE3 6DA, email: toralthomas@ hotmail.com, Nauman Khalil Consultant Psychiatrist, Psychiatry of Learning Disabilities, Karen Wilkins Deputy Unit Manager, Psychiatry of Learning Disabilities, Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Foundation Trust

doi: 10.1192/pb.33.6.238

Lost in translation

A middle-aged male was transferred to our low secure forensic unit from a medium secure unit under hospital order with an accompanying restriction order. He had a diagnosis of paranoid schizophrenia, and was on antipsychotic treatment which caused a gradual improvement of his psychotic symptoms. Although he was documented to have high premorbid functioning, ward staff attending the patient reported a marked cognitive decline in the weeks following his admission. He was referred for a neuropsychological assessment, which confirmed the cognitive decline and also detected lack of initiative and a reduction in processing speed. These were deemed not to be concordant with the negative symptoms of schizophrenia and an organic cause was suspected. An initial computed tomography scan and a subsequent magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scan revealed mild cerebral atrophy.

Over subsequent months, his cognitive function continued to deteriorate and a further MRI scan showed a possible multiinfarct dementia; follow-up neurocognitive testing also suggested a further decline in cognitive abilities compared with the previous assessment. Therefore, we decided to refer him to the Burden Neurological Institute for a more detailed evaluation of the aetiology of his cognitive decline and with a view to organise future placement in the community. Burden Neurological Institute is a