# BELLWETHERS FOR BOUNDEDNESS OF COMPOSITION OPERATORS ON WEIGHTED BANACH SPACES OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS

### PAUL S. BOURDON

(Received 21 June 2007; accepted 12 December 2007)

Communicated by J. J. Koliha

#### Abstract

Let  $\mathbb{D}$  be the open unit disc, let  $v : \mathbb{D} \to (0, \infty)$  be a typical weight, and let  $H_v^{\infty}$  be the corresponding weighted Banach space consisting of analytic functions f on  $\mathbb{D}$  such that  $||f||_v := \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} v(z)|f(z)| < \infty$ . We call  $H_v^{\infty}$  a *typical-growth space*. For  $\varphi$  a holomorphic self-map of  $\mathbb{D}$ , let  $C_{\varphi}$  denote the composition operator induced by  $\varphi$ . We say that  $C_{\varphi}$  is a *bellwether for boundedness* of composition operators on typical-growth spaces if for each typical weight v,  $C_{\varphi}$  acts boundedly on  $H_v^{\infty}$  only if all composition operators act boundedly on  $H_v^{\infty}$ . We show that a sufficient condition for  $C_{\varphi}$  to be a bellwether for boundedness is that  $\varphi$  have an angular derivative of modulus less than 1 at a point on  $\partial \mathbb{D}$ . We raise the question of whether this angular-derivative condition is also necessary for  $C_{\varphi}$  to be a bellwether for boundedness.

2000 Mathematics subject classification: primary 47B33; secondary 46E15.

*Keywords and phrases*: composition operator, weighted Bergman space of infinite order, boundedness, angular derivative, Lusky condition.

### **1. Introduction**

Let  $H(\mathbb{D})$  denote the collection of holomorphic functions on the open unit disc  $\mathbb{D}$ , let  $\varphi$  denote an element of  $H(\mathbb{D})$  such that  $\varphi(\mathbb{D}) \subseteq \mathbb{D}$ , and let  $C_{\varphi}$  be the composition operator induced by  $\varphi$ , so that whenever f is a function defined on  $\mathbb{D}$ ,  $C_{\varphi}f$  is the function defined on  $\mathbb{D}$  by  $(C_{\varphi}f)(z) = f(\varphi(z))$ . A *typical weight* on the open unit disc  $\mathbb{D}$  is a continuous strictly-positive function v on  $\mathbb{D}$  that is radial, is nonincreasing with respect to |z|, and satisfies  $\lim_{|z|\to 1^-} v(z) = 0$ . The associated *typical-growth space*  $H_v^{\infty}$  is defined by

$$H_v^{\infty} = \left\{ f \in H(\mathbb{D}) : \|f\|_v := \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} v(z) |f(z)| < \infty \right\}.$$

<sup>© 2009</sup> Australian Mathematical Society 1446-7887/2009 \$16.00

P. S. Bourdon

The norm  $\|\cdot\|_v$  gives  $H_v^\infty$  a Banach-space structure. Note that convergence in  $H_v^\infty$  implies uniform convergence on compact subsets of  $\mathbb{D}$ . Applying the closed-graph theorem, one sees that  $C_{\varphi}$  is bounded on  $H_v^\infty$  if and only if it maps  $H_v^\infty$  into itself.

As a example, consider

$$v_{\rm e}(z) = \exp(-1/(1-|z|)), \quad \psi(z) = (1+z)/2 \text{ and } \varphi(z) = 1/(2-z).$$

Note that  $f(z) = \exp(1/(1-z)) \in H_{v_e}^{\infty}$  but  $f(\psi(z)) = \exp(2/(1-z)) \notin H_{v_e}^{\infty}$ , so that  $C_{\psi}$  is not bounded on  $H_{v_e}^{\infty}$ . On the other hand, for any  $f \in H_{v_e}^{\infty}$  and  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} v_{e}(z)|f(\varphi(z))| &= \frac{v_{e}(z)}{v_{e}(\varphi(z))} v_{e}(\varphi(z))|f(\varphi(z))| \\ &\leq \exp\left(\frac{1}{1-|1/(2-z)|} - \frac{1}{1-|z|}\right) \|f\|_{v_{e}} \\ &\leq \exp\left(\frac{1}{1-1/(2-|z|)} - \frac{1}{1-|z|}\right) \|f\|_{v_{e}} \\ &= \exp(1) \|f\|_{v_{e}}, \end{aligned}$$

and thus  $C_{\varphi}$  is bounded on  $H_{v_e}^{\infty}$ .

In [4], Bonet *et al.* provide characterizations of boundedness for composition operators on  $H_v^{\infty}$ , some of which do not require that the weight v be typical. When v is typical, they show that if  $C_{\varphi}$  is bounded on  $H_v^{\infty}$  for some disc automorphism  $\varphi$  such that  $\varphi(0) \neq 0$  then all composition operators are bounded on  $H_v^{\infty}$  [4, proof of Theorem 2.3]. Thus automorphisms that don't fix the origin induce composition operators that are bellwethers for boundedness.

DEFINITION. We say  $C_{\varphi}$  is a *bellwether for boundedness* of composition operators on typical-growth spaces if for each typical weight v, the boundedness of  $C_{\varphi}$  on  $H_v^{\infty}$ ensures the boundedness of all composition operators on  $H_v^{\infty}$ .

Every disc automorphism not fixing the origin has angular derivatives of modulus less than 1. In Section 3 of this paper, we show that whenever  $\varphi$  has an angular derivative of modulus less than 1,  $C_{\varphi}$  is a bellwether for boundedness of composition operators on typical-growth spaces. On the other hand, if all angular derivatives of  $\varphi$  exceed 1, then it is easy to see that  $C_{\varphi}$  is not a bellwether for boundedness (see Corollary 3). Finally, we note that  $\varphi$  having an angular derivative of 1 at a point is not sufficient to ensure that  $C_{\varphi}$  is a boundedness bellwether: consider the example above where  $\varphi(z) = 1/(2-z)$ . The mapping  $\varphi$  has angular derivative 1 at 1, and  $C_{\varphi}$ is bounded on  $H_{v_e}^{\infty}$ . However, not all composition operators are bounded on  $H_{v_e}^{\infty}$ ; in particular,  $C_{\psi}$ , with  $\psi(z) = (1 + z)/2$ , is not. The preceding results raise the following question.

QUESTION. If  $C_{\varphi}$  is a bellwether for boundedness of composition operators on typical-growth spaces, must  $\varphi$  have angular derivative less than 1 at some point of  $\partial \mathbb{D}$ ?

Boundedness bellwethers

## 2. Preliminaries

**2.1.** Automorphisms of  $\mathbb{D}$  As a corollary to the Schwarz lemma, if  $\alpha \in H(\mathbb{D})$  is an automorphism of  $\mathbb{D}$  then there must be a  $p \in \mathbb{D}$  and a unimodular constant  $\zeta$  such that  $\alpha(z) = \zeta \alpha_p(z)$ , where  $\alpha_p$  is given by

$$\alpha_p(z) = \frac{z - p}{1 - \bar{p}z}$$

Observe that the inverse of  $\alpha_p$  is  $\alpha_{-p}$ . A little algebra yields the following standard and useful identity:

$$1 - |\alpha_p(z)|^2 = \frac{(1 - |z|^2)(1 - |p|^2)}{|1 - \bar{p}z|^2}.$$
(1)

LEMMA 1. Suppose that  $\alpha$  is a holomorphic automorphism of  $\mathbb{D}$ . Then for  $0 \leq r < 1$ ,

$$\max_{|z|=r} |\alpha(z)| = \frac{|\alpha(0)| + r}{1 + |\alpha(0)|r}.$$

**PROOF.** There is a unimodular constant  $\zeta$  such that  $\alpha(z) = \zeta(z - p)/(1 - \bar{p}z)$ . Note that  $|\alpha(0)| = |p|$ , and that the lemma clearly holds when  $\alpha(0) = 0$ . Assume that  $\alpha(0) \neq 0$ , and apply (1), to obtain

$$1 - |\alpha(z)|^2 = \frac{(1 - |z|^2)(1 - |p|^2)}{|1 - \bar{p}z|^2}$$

The preceding quantity clearly achieves its minimum value on  $\{z : |z| = r\}$  when z = -rp/|p|, and the lemma follows.

**2.2.** Angular derivatives Recall that every bounded function in  $H(\mathbb{D})$  has nontangential limits at every point of a subset of  $\partial \mathbb{D}$  having full Lebesgue measure. When  $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$  has a nontangential limit at  $\zeta$ , we denote the value of the limit by  $f(\zeta)$ . The holomorphic self-map  $\varphi$  of  $\mathbb{D}$  has angular derivative at  $\zeta \in \partial D$  provided that there is a unimodular constant  $\eta$  for which

$$\angle \lim_{z \to \zeta} \frac{\varphi(z) - \eta}{z - \zeta} \tag{2}$$

exists as a complex number, where  $\angle \lim_{z \to \zeta}$  denotes the nontangential limit. The limit (2) is written  $\varphi'(\zeta)$ , and is called the *angular derivative* of  $\varphi$  at  $\zeta$ . The following classical result provides some alternate ways to view angular derivatives.

JULIA–CARATHÉODORY THEOREM. Suppose that  $\varphi$  is a holomorphic self-map of  $\mathbb{D}$  and  $\zeta \in \partial \mathbb{D}$ . The following are equivalent:

(a) there exists a point  $\eta$  in  $\partial \mathbb{D}$  such that

$$\angle \lim_{z \to \zeta} \frac{\varphi(z) - \eta}{z - \zeta}$$

is finite;

(b) both φ and φ' have finite nontangential limits at ζ and φ(ζ) = η has modulus 1;
(c)

$$\liminf_{z \to \zeta} \frac{1 - |\varphi(z)|}{1 - |z|} = \delta < \infty$$

Moreover, when the conditions above hold,  $\delta > 0$ ; the limit  $\varphi'(\zeta)$  in (a) is also equal to  $\angle \lim_{z\to\zeta} \varphi'(z)$ , and their common value is  $\eta \overline{\zeta} \delta$  (thus the limit infimum of (c) is equal to  $|\varphi'(\zeta)|$ ); finally,  $\angle \lim_{z\to\zeta} (1-|\varphi(z)|)/(1-|z|) = |\varphi'(\zeta)|$ .

Note that if, for example, there were some sequence  $(z_n)$  in  $\mathbb{D}$  with  $|z_n|$  approaching 1 for which  $|\varphi(z_n)| \ge |z_n|$  for all *n*, then at any limit point  $\zeta$  of  $(z_n)$ , the function  $\varphi$  would have an angular derivative, and  $|\varphi'(\zeta)| \le 1$ . Hence we have the following easy corollary of the Julia–Carathéodory theorem.

COROLLARY 2. Suppose that  $\varphi$  has no angular derivatives having modulus  $\leq 1$ . Then there is a positive number r < 1 such that  $|\varphi(z)| < |z|$  whenever r < |z| < 1.

Also useful to us will be the Julia–Carathéodory inequality: suppose that  $\liminf_{z\to\zeta} (1-|\varphi(z)|)/(1-|z|) = \delta < \infty$ , and  $\eta$  is the nontangential limit of  $\varphi$  at  $\zeta$ ; then for all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ ,

$$\frac{|\eta - \varphi(z)|^2}{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2} \le \delta \frac{|\zeta - z|^2}{1 - |z|^2}.$$
(3)

For discussions of the Julia–Carathéodory theorem and inequality, as well as their proofs, see, for example, [9, Ch. 4] or [6, Section 2.3].

**2.3.** Denjoy–Wolff point For each positive integer *n*, let  $\varphi^{[n]}$  denote the *n*th iterate of  $\varphi$ , so that, for example,  $\varphi^{[2]} = \varphi \circ \varphi$ . The Denjoy–Wolff theorem describes the behaviour of iterate sequences for self-maps  $\varphi$  of  $\mathbb{D}$ . Recall that a disc automorphism is called *elliptic* if it fixes a point in  $\mathbb{D}$ .

DENJOY–WOLFF THEOREM. If  $\varphi$  is an automorphism of  $\mathbb{D}$  that is not elliptic, then there is a point  $\omega$  in the closure of  $\mathbb{D}$  such that

$$\omega = \lim_{n \to \infty} \varphi^{[n]}(z)$$

for each  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ .

The point  $\omega$ , called the *Denjoy–Wolff point* of  $\varphi$ , is also characterized as follows: if  $|\omega| < 1$ , then  $\varphi(\omega) = w$  and  $|\varphi'(\omega)| < 1$ ; if  $\omega \in \partial \mathbb{D}$ , then  $\varphi(\omega) = \omega$  and  $0 < \varphi'(\omega) \le 1$ .

**2.4. Boundedness of composition operators on**  $H_v^{\infty}$  We continue to assume that v is a typical weight: that is, a continuous, positive, radial function that is nonincreasing with respect to |z| and satisfies  $\lim_{|z|\to 1^-} v(z) = 0$ . Let  $\tilde{v}$  be the weight associated with v defined on  $\mathbb{D}$  by

$$\tilde{v}(z) = (\sup\{|f(z)| : f \in H_v^{\infty}, ||f||_v \le 1\})^{-1},$$

308

so that  $\tilde{v}(z) = 1/\|\delta_z\|$  where  $\delta_z : H_v^{\infty} \to \mathbb{C}$  is the linear functional of evaluation at  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ . It is not difficult to show that if v is typical then so is  $\tilde{v}$  [4, Proposition 1.1], and that  $H_v^{\infty} = H_{\tilde{v}}^{\infty}$  with  $\|\cdot\|_v = \|\cdot\|_{\tilde{v}}$  [2, p. 144]. The associated weight has the desirable property that for each  $z \in \mathbb{D}$  there is a function  $f_z$  in the unit ball of  $H_v^{\infty}$ such that  $|f_z(z)| = 1/\tilde{v}(z)$ .

An easy sufficient condition for boundedness of the composition operator  $C_{\varphi}$  on  $H_v^\infty$  is that

$$\sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}}\frac{v(z)}{v(\varphi(z))}<\infty.$$
(4)

In fact,  $\|C_{\varphi}f\|_{v} \leq \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} v(z)/v(\varphi(z)) \|f\|_{v}$ . Because v is nonincreasing with respect to |z|, the preceding observation, together with the Schwarz lemma, shows that  $C_{\varphi}$  is bounded on  $H_v^{\infty}$ , with  $||C_{\varphi}|| = 1$  whenever  $\varphi(0) = 0$ . Similarly,  $C_{\varphi}$  is bounded if  $\varphi$ has no angular derivatives of modulus less than or equal to 1: apply Corollary 2 to see that  $v(z)/v(\varphi(z))$  is less than 1 on an annulus of the form  $\{z: r < |z| < 1\}$ ; because v is continuous and positive and  $\varphi(\{z : |z| \le r\})$  is bounded away from  $\partial \mathbb{D}$ , the finiteness of (4) follows. Reference [4, Theorem 2.4] shows that the preceding two situations are the only ones in which  $C_{\varphi}$  is bounded for all typical weights. Our interest is in the following simple corollary.

**COROLLARY 3.** Suppose that all angular derivatives of  $\varphi$  exceed 1. Then  $C_{\varphi}$  is not a bellwether for boundedness of composition operators on typical-growth spaces.

**PROOF.** If all angular derivatives of  $\varphi$  exceed 1, then in particular  $C_{\varphi}$  is bounded on  $H_{v_e}^{\infty}$  for the typical weight  $v_e(z) = \exp(-1/(1-|z|))$ . However, as we have seen, not all composition operators are bounded on  $H_{v_e}^{\infty}$ .

The simple sufficient condition (4) becomes necessary when v is replaced by its associated weight. Indeed, [4, Proposition 2.1] provides the following characterization of boundedness:  $C_{\varphi}$  is bounded on  $H_{\psi}^{\infty}$  if and only if

$$\sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}}\frac{\tilde{v}(z)}{\tilde{v}(\varphi(z))}<\infty.$$

This holds even when v is not typical. We remark that information about norms and essential norms of composition operators on  $H_v^{\infty}$  may be found in [3, 5, 8], while some spectral information may be found in, for example, [1].

#### 3. Results

We continue to assume that v is a typical weight. Reference [4, Theorem 2.3] asserts that the following are equivalent:

- all composition operators  $C_{\varphi}: H_v^{\infty} \to H_v^{\infty}$  are bounded; all composition operators  $C_{\varphi}: H_v^{0} \to H_v^{0}$  are bounded; (i)
- (ii)

(iii)

310

$$\inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\tilde{v}(1 - 2^{-n-1})}{\tilde{v}(1 - 2^{-n})} > 0.$$
(5)

Here  $\mathbb{N}$  is the set of positive integers, and  $H_v^0$  is the subspace of  $H_v^\infty$  defined by  $H_v^0 = \{f \in H(\mathbb{D}) : \lim_{|z| \to 1^-} v(z) | f(z) | = 0\}$ . We remark that the condition (5) was used by Lusky [7] in his study of the case where  $H_v^0$  is isomorphic to  $c_0$ . The proof in [4] of the equivalence of (i) and (iii) is based on the observation that if  $C_{\alpha_p}$  is bounded for every  $p \in \mathbb{D}$  then all composition operators on  $H_v^{\infty}$  are bounded. The point is that if  $\varphi$  is an arbitrary self-map of  $\mathbb{D}$  and  $p = \varphi(0)$  then  $\psi := \alpha_p \circ \varphi$  induces a bounded composition operator on  $H_v^{\infty}$  because  $\psi(0) = 0$ . If  $C_{\alpha_{-p}}$  is also bounded, then so is  $C_{\varphi} = C_{\psi} C_{\alpha_{-p}}$ . The proof of [4, Theorem 2.3] shows that the boundedness of  $C_{\alpha}$  for a single automorphism  $\alpha$  (not fixing the origin) is sufficient for (5) to hold, and that if (5) holds then all automorphisms induce bounded composition operators. We expand upon ideas in the proof of [4, Theorem 2.3] to obtain the following theorem, whose proof provides a direct argument that the boundedness of a single automorphic composition operator  $C_{\alpha}$ , with  $\alpha(0) \neq 0$ , implies the boundedness of every automorphic composition operator.

For 0 < a < 1, let

$$\psi_a(z) = az + 1 - a,$$

so that  $\psi_a$  is a self-map of  $\mathbb{D}$  and  $\psi_a^{[n]}(0) = 1 - a^n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

THEOREM 4. Let v be a typical weight. The following are equivalent.

 $C_{\varphi}: H_{v}^{\infty} \to H_{v}^{\infty}$  is bounded for every analytic self-map  $\varphi$  of  $\mathbb{D}$ ; (i)

(ii)  $C_{\psi_a}: H_v^{\infty} \to H_v^{\infty}$  is bounded for every  $a \in (0, 1)$ ; (iii)  $\inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (\tilde{v}(1 - a^{n+1}) / \tilde{v}(1 - a^n)) > 0$  for every  $a \in (0, 1)$ ;

(iv)  $\inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (\tilde{v}(1 - a^{n+1}) / \tilde{v}(1 - a^n)) > 0$  for some  $a \in (0, 1)$ ;

(v)  $\inf_{t \in (0,1]} (\tilde{v}(1-at)/\tilde{v}(1-t)) > 0$  for some  $a \in (0,1)$ ; (vi)  $C_{\alpha} : H_v^{\infty} \to H_v^{\infty}$  is bounded for some automorphism  $\alpha$  of  $\mathbb{D}$  with  $\alpha(0) \neq 0$ ; (vii)  $C_{\alpha} : H_v^{\infty} \to H_v^{\infty}$  is bounded for every automorphism  $\alpha$  of  $\mathbb{D}$ .

**PROOF.** That (i) implies (ii) is trivial. Suppose that (ii) holds and  $a \in (0, 1)$ . Then by [4, Proposition 2.1], there is a positive constant M such that

$$\frac{\tilde{v}(z)}{\tilde{v}(\psi_a(z))} < M \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Letting  $z = \psi_a^{[n]}(0)$  in the preceding inequality, where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is arbitrary, and rearranging,

$$\frac{\tilde{v}(1-a^{n+1})}{\tilde{v}(1-a^n)} > \frac{1}{M}.$$

Because  $a \in (0, 1)$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  are arbitrary, we deduce (iii).

That (iii) implies (iv) is trivial. Now suppose that (iv) holds, and that the infimum is  $\beta > 0$ . Because  $\tilde{v}$  is nonincreasing with respect to |z|, if  $a \le t \le 1$  then

$$\gamma := \frac{\tilde{v}(1-a^2)}{\tilde{v}(0)} \le \frac{\tilde{v}(1-at)}{\tilde{v}(1-t)}.$$

This  $\gamma$  is a positive constant, since  $\tilde{v}$  is a positive function on  $\mathbb{D}$ . Now let k denote a positive integer, and assume that  $a^{k+1} \leq t < a^k$ . Then

$$\frac{\tilde{v}(1-at)}{\tilde{v}(1-t)} \ge \frac{\tilde{v}(1-a^{k+2})}{\tilde{v}(1-a^k)} = \frac{\tilde{v}(1-a^{k+2})}{\tilde{v}(1-a^{k+1})} \frac{\tilde{v}(1-a^{k+1})}{\tilde{v}(1-a^k)} \ge \beta^2.$$

We see that for any  $t \in (0, 1]$ ,

$$\frac{\tilde{v}(1-at)}{\tilde{v}(1-t)} \ge \min\{\gamma, \beta^2\},\$$

so that (v) holds.

Suppose (v) holds, with the infimum being  $\lambda > 0$ . Let p = (1 - a)/(1 + a), so that *p* is positive and (1 - p)/(1 + p) = a. We show that the automorphism  $\alpha_p(z) = (z - p)/(1 - pz)$  induces a bounded composition operator. Note that  $\alpha_p(0) \neq 0$  because  $p \neq 0$ . Using Lemma 1 and the fact that  $\tilde{v}$  is nonincreasing and radial, we find that for  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ ,

$$\begin{split} \frac{\tilde{v}(z)}{\tilde{v}(\alpha_p(z))} &\leq \frac{\tilde{v}(|z|)}{\tilde{v}((p+|z|)/(1+p|z|))} \\ &= \frac{\tilde{v}(1-(1-|z|))}{\tilde{v}(1-(1-(p+|z|)/(1+p|z|)))} \\ &= \frac{\tilde{v}(1-(1-|z|))}{\tilde{v}(1-(1-p)(1-|z|)/(1+p|z|))} \\ &\leq \frac{\tilde{v}(1-(1-|z|))}{\tilde{v}(1-((1-p)/(1+p))(1-|z|))} \quad (\tilde{v} \text{ is nonincreasing}) \\ &= \frac{\tilde{v}(1-(1-|z|))}{\tilde{v}(1-a(1-|z|))} \\ &\leq 1/\lambda. \end{split}$$

It follows that  $C_{\alpha_n}$  is bounded [4, Proposition 2.1], and we see that (v) implies (vi).

Suppose that (vi) holds:  $C_{\alpha} : H_v^{\infty} \to H_v^{\infty}$  is bounded for  $\alpha = \zeta \alpha_p$  where  $|\zeta| = 1$  and  $p \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$ . Because  $C_{\alpha}$  is bounded,

$$\infty > \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \tilde{v}(z) / \tilde{v}(\alpha(z)) = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \tilde{v}(z) / \tilde{v}(\alpha_p(z)),$$

where the final equality holds because  $\tilde{v}$  is radial. Thus  $C_{\alpha_p}$  is also bounded. Note that  $\alpha_p$  has Denjoy–Wolff point  $-p/|p| \in \partial \mathbb{D}$ ; hence  $|\alpha_p^{[n]}(0)| \to 1$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Let

P. S. Bourdon

 $\tau(z) = \xi(z-q)/(1-\bar{q}z)$  be an arbitrary disc automorphism. Choose the positive integer *n* so that  $|\alpha_p^{[n]}(0)| > |q|$ , and set  $s = |\alpha_p^{[n]}(0)|$ . We know that  $C_{\alpha_p^{[n]}}$  is bounded. Thus there is a constant *C* such that for every  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ ,

$$\frac{\tilde{v}(z)}{\tilde{v}(\alpha_p^{[n]}(z))} \le C$$

Let  $z \in \mathbb{D}$  be arbitrary, let r = |z|, and choose  $z_0$  with  $|z_0| = r$  so that  $|\alpha_p^{[n]}(z_0)| = (s+r)/(1+sr)$  (Lemma 1). Because  $x \mapsto (x+r)/(1+xr)$  is increasing for  $-1/r < x < \infty$ , |q| < s, and  $\tilde{v}$  is nonincreasing,

$$\frac{\tilde{v}(z)}{\tilde{v}(\tau(z))} \leq \frac{\tilde{v}(r)}{\tilde{v}((|q|+r)/(1+|q|r))} \leq \frac{\tilde{v}(r)}{\tilde{v}((s+r)/(1+sr))} = \frac{\tilde{v}(z_0)}{\tilde{v}(\alpha^{[n]}(z_0))} \leq C,$$

and it follows that  $C_{\tau}$  is bounded. Thus, (vi) implies (vii). We have already indicated why (vii) implies (i); see the comments following equation (5) above. Hence the proof is complete.

Note that the proof of the preceding theorem shows that for each  $a \in (0, 1)$  the composition operator  $C_{\psi_a}$  induced by  $\psi_a(z) = az + 1 - a$  is a bellwether for boundedness: if  $C_{\psi_a}$  is bounded on the typical-growth space  $H_v^{\infty}$ , then

$$\inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{v}(1 - a^{n+1}) / \tilde{v}(1 - a^n) > 0,$$

so that  $C_{\varphi}$  is bounded on  $H_{v}^{\infty}$  for all  $\varphi$ . That  $C_{\psi_{a}}$  is a bellwether for boundedness also follows from the next result because the angular derivative of  $\psi_{a}$  at 1 is a < 1.

THEOREM 5. Let v be typical weight. Suppose that  $C_{\varphi}: H_v^{\infty} \to H_v^{\infty}$  is bounded and that  $\varphi$  has angular derivative less than 1 at some point  $\zeta \in \partial \mathbb{D}$ . Then every composition operator on  $H_v^{\infty}$  is bounded.

**PROOF.** By the Julia–Carathéodory theorem, there is an  $\eta \in \partial \mathbb{D}$  such that  $\varphi$  has nontangential limit  $\eta$  at  $\zeta$ . Because the composition operators  $C_{\bar{\eta}z}$  and  $C_{\zeta z}$  are bounded, the composition operator with symbol  $\psi(z) = \bar{\eta}\varphi(\zeta z)$  is also bounded. Moreover,  $\psi(1) = 1$  and  $\psi'(1) = \bar{\eta}\varphi'(\zeta)\zeta = |\varphi'(\zeta)| < 1$ . Thus we see that  $C_{\psi}$  is bounded and that  $\psi$  has Denjoy–Wolff point 1 with  $a := \psi'(1) < 1$ .

Applying the Julia–Carathéodory inequality (3) inductively, we see that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\frac{|1-\psi^{[n]}(z)|^2}{1-|\psi^{[n]}(z)|^2} \le a^n \frac{|1-z|^2}{1-|z|^2}.$$

Thus, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $1 - |\psi^{[n]}(0)| \le 2a^n$ . Hence, for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$1 - |\psi^{[n]}(0)| = g(n)a^n, \tag{6}$$

where g is a positive bounded function on  $\mathbb{N}$ . Because  $(\psi^{[n]}(0))$  converges nontangentially to 1 (see, for example, [6, Lemma 2.66, p. 82]), we may apply the Julia–Carathéodory theorem to conclude that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1 - |\psi^{[n+1]}(0)|}{1 - |\psi^{[n]}(0)|} = a.$$

Equivalently,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{g(n+1)}{g(n)} = 1$$

For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , set  $e_n = n + \log_a(g(n))$ . Then

$$e_{n+1} - e_n = 1 + \log_a \left( \frac{g(n+1)}{g(n)} \right).$$

Since  $\log_a(g(n + 1)/g(n))$  approaches 0 as  $n \to \infty$ , there is a natural number K such that whenever  $n \ge K$ , the gap between  $e_{n+1}$  and  $e_n$  exceeds 1/2. Because  $C_{\psi}$  is bounded,  $(C_{\psi})^3 = C_{\psi}^{[3]}$  is also bounded, and there is a constant M such that

$$\frac{\tilde{v}(|z|)}{\tilde{v}(|\psi^{[3]}(z)|)} \le M \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

In particular, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$M \geq \frac{\tilde{v}(1 - (1 - |\psi^{[n]}(0)|))}{\tilde{v}(1 - (1 - |\psi^{[n+3]}(0)|))} = \frac{\tilde{v}(1 - a^{e_n})}{\tilde{v}(1 - a^{e_{n+3}})}.$$

Let  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  exceed  $K + \log_a(g(K)) = e_K$ . Let  $n_0 \ge K$  be the greatest positive integer such that  $e_{n_0} \le j$ . Note that  $e_{n_0+1} > j$ . Because the gap between  $e_{n+1}$  and  $e_n$  exceeds 1/2 for every  $n \ge K$ , we have  $e_{n_0+3} > e_{n_0+1} + 1 \ge j + 1$ . Because  $\tilde{v}$  is nonincreasing,

$$\frac{\tilde{v}(1-a^j)}{\tilde{v}(1-a^{j+1})} \le \frac{\tilde{v}(1-a^{e_{n_0}})}{\tilde{v}(1-a^{e_{n_0+3}})} \le M,$$

and it follows, since  $j \ge e_K$  is arbitrary, that

$$\inf_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\frac{\tilde{v}(1-a^{n+1})}{\tilde{v}(1-a^n)}>0.$$

Thus (iv) of Theorem 4 holds, and all composition operators on  $H_v^{\infty}$  are bounded.  $\Box$ 

Using the Julia–Carathéodory theorem, we can summarize our results (Theorem 5 and Corollary 3) on bellwethers for boundedness as follows. Let

$$\liminf_{|z| \to 1^{-}} \frac{1 - |\varphi(z)|}{1 - |z|} = \delta$$

(where we allow  $\delta = \infty$ ). If  $\delta < 1$ , then  $C_{\varphi}$  is a bellwether for boundedness of composition operators on typical-growth spaces; if  $\delta > 1$ , then  $C_{\varphi}$  is not a boundedness bellwether.

The obvious question is whether  $\delta < 1$  is necessary for  $C_{\varphi}$  to be a bellwether for boundedness.

### Acknowledgement

The author wishes to thank Joel Shapiro for helpful discussions concerning the material presented in this paper.

### References

- R. Aron and M. Lindström, 'Spectra of weighted composition operators on weighted Banach spaces of analytic functions', *Israel J. Math.* 141 (2004), 263–276.
- [2] K. D. Bierstedt, J. Bonet and J. Taskinen, 'Associated weights and spaces of holomorphic functions', *Studia Math.* 127 (1998), 137–168.
- [3] J. Bonet, P. Domański and M. Lindström, 'Essential norm and weak compactness of composition operators on weighted Banach spaces of analytic functions', *Canad. Math. Bull.* 42 (1999), 139–148.
- [4] J. Bonet, P. Domański, M. Lindström and J. Taskinen, 'Composition operators between weighted Banach spaces of analytic functions', J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Series A) 64 (1998), 101–118.
- [5] M. D. Contreras and A. G. Hernandez-Diaz, 'Weighted composition operators in weighted Banach spaces of analytic functions', *J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Series A)* **69** (2000), 41–60.
- [6] C. Cowen and B. MacCluer, *Composition Operators on Spaces of Analytic Functions* (CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1995).
- [7] W. Lusky, 'On weighted spaces of harmonic and holomorphic functions', J. London Math. Soc. 51 (1995), 309–320.
- [8] A. Montes-Rodríguez, 'Weighted composition operators on weighted Banach spaces of analytic functions', J. London Math. Soc. 61 (2000), 872–884.
- [9] J. H. Shapiro, Composition Operators and Classical Function Theory (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993).

PAUL S. BOURDON, Department of Mathematics, Washington and Lee University, Lexington, VA 24450, USA e-mail: pbourdon@wlu.edu

[10]