# HOMEOMORPHISMS ON THE SOLID DOUBLE TORUS

# DONALD MYERS

1. Introduction. A finite set of generators for the isotopy classes of self-homeomorphisms of closed surfaces was given by Lickorish in three papers [2; 3; 4]. In [5] the group of isotopy classes for a particular, well-known cube with holes was presented. There the structure was "tight" enough to allow the computation of the relators as well as the generators. In this paper we give a finite set of generators for the group of isotopy classes of self-homeomorphisms on the solid double torus, the cube with two handles. Let us remark that the group of isotopy classes for the solid torus is well-known.

Most of the notation that we will use is as in [1] and [5]. A non-trivial disk is a properly embedded disk whose boundary is not null-homotopic in the manifold's boundary.



FIGURE 1

2. Homeomorphisms on the solid double torus. Let T be the solid double torus as shown in Figure 1. Let A, B, C, and E denote the properly embedded disks shown in Figure 1 and let G and H be the properly embedded annuli of Figure 2. For a properly embedded disk (or annulus) S we cut the manifold at S and twist one of the components of S in the cut manifold  $360^\circ$ , then glue the manifold back together again at S. This disk (or annulus)-homeomorphism induces a C-homeomorphism (two C-homeomorphisms, respectively) on  $\partial T$  [2]. Let b, e, g, h denote the disk and annulus-homeomorphisms at B, E, G, H respectively. Let R be the rotation of T in  $S^3$  which takes each of A, B, C onto themselves but interchanges the components of

Received August 10, 1973 and in revised form, January 23, 1974.



 $T - (A \cup B \cup C)$ . If we let  $\pi_1(T)$ , the first homotopy group, have the presentation  $(\bar{a}, \bar{b}: -)$  where  $\bar{a}$  and  $\bar{b}$  are loops hitting A and B respectively in a single point, then  $R_*$ , the induced map, takes each of  $\bar{a}$  and  $\bar{b}$  onto its inverse.

Let V be the homeomorphism of T onto itself which is the identity on the component of (T - E) containing B and which takes  $\bar{a}$  to  $\bar{a}^{-1}$  in  $\pi_1(T)$ . Geometrically this is accomplished by holding E fixed and twisting the handle A 180° in S<sup>3</sup>. Note that  $V^2 = e$ .

Let N be the group of all homeomorphisms of T onto itself generated by g, h, b, R, V, and all homeomorphisms isotopic to the identity. Two properly embedded disks  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are said to be N-equivalent if there exists an element f of N such that  $f(D_1) = D_2$ . The homeomorphism g (or  $g^{-1}$ , depending upon the direction of twist used to define g) along with an isotopy shows that B and C are N-equivalent. A and C are also N-equivalent using the homeomorphism h. Also it is easy to see that if D is a disk which is N-equivalent to B, then a disk-homeomorphism at D is in N. It will be shown that B and E represent the only two distinct classes of N-equivalent properly embedded non-trivial disks in T. Then we will show that N is precisely the group of all orientation-preserving homeomorphisms of T onto itself.

The proof of Lemma 1 is well known and that of Lemma 2 is a trivial consequence of Lemma 1.

LEMMA 1. Let X be a disk with three holes and let S be a set of scc's in (int X) with the following properties:

(1) for any component of  $\partial X$  there is an element of S parallel to it in X,

(2) for any two components of  $\partial X$  there is an element of S which separates these two components from the other two components of  $\partial X$ ,

(3) S contains a scc which bounds a disk in X.

Let M be the group of homeomorphisms of X onto itself generated by the C-homeomorphisms about scc's in S; each of these C-homeomorphisms is assumed to be the identity on  $\partial X$ . Also include in M those homeomorphisms of X onto itself which are isotopic to the identity via isotopies which are the identity on  $\partial X$ . Then any scc in (int X) can be taken to an element of S by an element of M, and any C-homeomorphism about a scc in (int X) is in M.

LEMMA 2. Let X be  $\partial T$  cut at  $\partial A \cup \partial B$ . Then the group M of Lemma 1 may be considered to be a subgroup of N.

LEMMA 3. Let D be a properly embedded non-trivial disk in T which misses two of A, B, C. Then D is N-equivalent to E or B depending upon whether or not it separates T.

*Proof.* Since  $g^{-1}C = B$  and gA = A we may assume that D misses B. Similarly we may assume D misses A because of h. Cut  $\partial T$  at  $\partial A \cup \partial B$  to give X. Taking *scc*'s parallel to the four components of  $\partial X$  arising from cuts at  $\partial A$  and  $\partial B$  along with  $\partial C$ ,  $\partial E$ ,  $g^{-1}(\partial B)$ , and a *scc* bounding a disk in X we get a set S for Lemma 1. See Figure 3 for  $g^{-1}(\partial B)$ . The result follows from Lemmas 1 and 2.



FIGURE 3

THEOREM 1. Any properly embedded non-trivial disk in T is N-equivalent to E or B depending upon whether or not it separates T.

*Proof.* Suppose not; then there is a properly embedded disk in T that hits at least one of A and B by Lemma 3. Apply an element of N, if necessary, without increasing the number of components of  $D \cap (A \cup B)$  so that we may assume that B is hit. Of all such disks pick one that hits  $A \cup B$  in as few components as possible and is in general position with respect to  $A \cup B$ . Let X denote  $\partial T$  cut at  $\partial A \cup \partial B$  where  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  denote the components of  $\partial X$ coming from  $\partial A$  and  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  denote those from  $\partial B$ . No component of  $\partial D \cap X$  cuts a disk off X since otherwise we could construct an isotopy on  $\partial T$  (that is, in T) taking this arc to  $\partial A \cup \partial B$  and then slightly to the other side. This either converts a component of  $(A \cup B) \cap D$  into a *scc* or reduces the number of components of  $(A \cup B) \cap D$ . Since isotopies which are the identity on  $\partial T$  can eliminate *scc*'s in  $(A \cup B) \cap D$  by starting with an innermost one, we have a contradiction in either case.

Suppose no arc of  $\partial D \cap X$  has both endpoints in the same component of  $\partial X$ . Let  $\pi_1(T) = (\bar{a}, \bar{b}; -)$  as before. Follow  $\partial D$  starting at a point in  $\partial D \cap B_1$  and enter X. If the arc goes to  $B_2$  then a word  $\bar{b}^u$  (u = +1) is induced for  $\partial D$ 

in  $\pi_1(T)$ . It leaves X and re-enters at  $B_1$  and it may go to  $B_2$  to give  $\bar{b}^u$  again (same u). If it continues to repeat this process a nonzero power of  $\bar{b}$  is induced. In going from  $B_1$  to say  $A_1$ , it then re-enters at  $A_2$  and  $\bar{a}^w$  ( $w = \pm 1$ ) is induced. A similar argument gives a nonzero power of  $\bar{a}$  induced by  $\partial D$  before hitting one of the  $B_i$  where another nonzero power of  $\bar{b}$  will be induced (the first power of  $\bar{b}$  may have been zero). Thus nonzero powers of  $\bar{a}$  and  $\bar{b}$  are alternately induced until the *scc* is transversed. But  $\pi_1(T)$  is a free group in  $\bar{a}$  and  $\bar{b}$ ; thus  $\partial D \neq 1$  in  $\pi_1(T)$ , a contradiction.

For Z, Y in  $\{A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2\}$ , let N(Z, Y) be the number of components of  $\partial D \cap X$  with one endpoint in Z and the other in Y, and let N(Z) be the number of points in  $Z \cap \partial D$ . From the above we assume without loss of generality that  $N(B_1, B_1)$  is positive (from this it will also follow that  $N(B_2, B_2)$  is also positive). Now counting the endpoints of  $\partial D \cap X$  in each  $A_i$  and  $B_i$  we have the equations:

 $\begin{array}{ll} (1) & N(A_1) = 2N(A_1, A_1) + N(A_1, A_2) + N(A_1, B_1) + N(A_1, B_2) \\ (2) & N(A_2) = 2N(A_2, A_2) + N(A_2, A_1) + N(A_2, B_1) + N(A_2, B_2) \\ (3) & N(B_1) = 2N(B_1, B_1) + N(B_1, A_1) + N(B_1, A_2) + N(B_1, B_2) \\ (4) & N(B_2) = 2N(B_2, B_2) + N(B_2, A_1) + N(B_2, A_2) + N(B_2, B_1) \\ (5) & N(A_1) = N(A_2) \\ (6) & N(B_1) = N(B_2). \end{array}$ 

Equations (5) and (6) come from the fact that  $\partial D$  pierces  $\partial A \cup \partial B$  at points of intersection. Combining equations (1), (2), (5) and (3), (4), (6) we have upon simplification (note that N(Z, Y) = N(Y, Z)):

$$(7) \ 2N(A_1, A_1) + N(A_1, B_1) + N(A_1, B_2) = 2N(A_2, A_2) + N(A_2, B_1) + N(A_2, B_2) (8) \ 2N(B_1, B_1) + N(B_1, A_1) + N(B_1, A_2) = 2N(B_2, B_2) + N(B_2, A_1) + N(B_2, A_2).$$

Now since  $N(B_1, B_1)$  is positive there is an arc u in  $\partial D \cap X$  with both endpoints in  $B_1$ . Since u does not cut a disk off X it separates X into an annulus and a disk with two holes P. Suppose first that  $B_2$  is contained in the annulus. Then  $N(A_1, B_2) = N(A_2, B_2) = 0$ . Also  $N(B_2, B_2) = 0$  since an arc with both endpoints in  $B_2$  lying in this annulus must cut a disk off X, a contradiction. Thus equation (8) becomes:  $2N(B_1, B_1) + N(B_1, A_1) + N(B_1, A_2) = 0$ which implies that  $N(B_1, B_1) = 0$  since all terms in the sum are nonnegative, a contradiction. Thus  $B_2$  is contained in P. We may suppose  $A_2$  is also in Psince we can apply the homeomorphism V which causes a renaming of  $A_1$  and  $A_2$ . Thus  $A_1$  lies in the annulus and we have  $N(A_1, A_2) = N(A_1, B_2) =$  $N(A_1, A_1) = 0$ . This allows us to solve for  $N(A_1, B_1)$  in equation (7). Substituting this into equation (8) we have upon simplification:  $N(B_2, B_2) =$  $N(B_1, B_1) + N(A_2, A_2) + N(A_2, B_1)$ . Thus  $N(B_2, B_2)$  is positive and there is a component v of  $\partial D \cap X$  with both endpoints in  $B_2$ , and since v lies in Pand can not cut a disk off X it must separate P into two annuli. Thus v separates  $A_2$  and  $B_1$  and we have  $N(A_2, B_1) = 0$ . Also  $N(A_2, A_2) = 0$  because of the annulus. Therefore  $N(B_1, B_1) = N(B_2, B_2)$ . We may schematically draw X as in Figure 4 which indicates all possible remaining choices for components of  $\partial D \cap X$ .

By Lemma 2 we have that M is a subgroup of N. Thus by those isotopies which rotate components of  $\partial X$ , and the homeomorphisms in M, we may assume that  $\partial D \cap X$  lies on  $\partial T$  as shown in Figures 4 and 5. All parallel arcs





are considered to be "close" together. A type-1 arc is a component of  $\partial D \cap X$  with both endpoints in  $B_1$ . A type-2 arc is one with both endpoints in  $B_2$ . The type-3 and type-4 arcs are the two types of non-isotopic components of  $\partial D \cap X$  with one endpoint in  $B_1$  and the other in  $B_2$ . The other two types of arcs are unnamed. We know that both type-1 and type-2 arcs exist.

Using the type-3 and type-4 arcs as a guide we can draw  $\partial G$  (G, the annulus for the annulus-homeomorphism g) so that  $\partial G \cap (\partial D \cap X) = \emptyset$ . See Figure 6. By drawing  $\partial G$  we mean to apply the appropriate isotopies.

Let  $B^*$  denote the annulus in  $\partial T$  bounded by  $B_1$  and  $B_2$ . Now via isotopies and the homeomorphism  $b|B^*$ , both of which are to be the identity on  $(\partial T$ int  $B^*$ ), we can insist that all arcs of  $\partial D \cap B^*$  hit each  $g_i$  at most twice and if twice then with algebraic intersection zero [2, p. 533]. The  $g_i$  are the components of  $\partial G$  as indicated in Figure 6. Since  $B^*$  is an annulus, if  $g_i$  is hit twice



FIGURE 5



FIGURE 6

#### HOMEOMORPHISMS

with algebraic intersection zero there is an arc in  $\partial D$  which, along with an arc in  $g_i$ , bounds a disk in the disk  $(B^* - g_i)$ . An isotopy then eliminates their intersection. Thus each component of  $\partial D \cap B^*$  hits each  $g_i$  at most once.

*Case* 1. Some component of  $\partial D \cap B^*$  hits both  $g_i$ . Let R,  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$ , and W denote the number of arcs in  $\partial D \cap B^*$  hitting both  $g_1$  and  $g_2$ , only  $g_1$ , only  $g_2$ , and neither  $g_1$  nor  $g_2$ , respectively. Since R is positive and one component of  $(B_2 - \partial G)$  misses  $\partial D$ , either  $S_1 = 0$  or  $S_2 = 0$ . See Figure 7



where the heavy arc in  $B_2$  indicates the component of  $(B_2 - \partial G)$  missed by  $\partial D$ . Now apply the homeomorphism g if  $S_2 = 0$  and  $g^{-1}$  if  $S_1 = 0$ . Now in T (that is, in  $\partial T$ ) pull those arcs of  $g(\partial D) \cap B^*$  off  $B^*$  which cut disks off  $B^*$ . For Y = R,  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$ , W let Y' be the number of arcs of  $g(D) \cap B^*$  which arose from the arcs counted by Y (count Y' after the isotopies have been applied). See Figure 7b, 7c. Thus R' = R,  $S_1' = S_2' = 0$ , and W' = W. Thus g(D) will hit  $B^* \cup A$  and hence  $B \cup A$  in fewer components than did D unless  $R' + S_1' + S_2' + W'$  is greater than or equal to  $R + S_1 + S_2 + W$ . This must be the case because of our original choice of D. This implies that  $S_1 = S_2 = 0$ .

*Case* 2. No arc of  $\partial D \cap B^*$  hits both  $g_i$ . Then all arcs are as in Figure 8a. Define  $S_1, S_2, W, S_1', S_2'$ , and W' as in Case 1. Again we get a disk which hits  $A \cup B$  in fewer components than does D unless  $S_1' + S_2' + W'$  is greater than or equal to  $S_1 + S_2 + W$ . But applying g (Figure 8b) and an isotopy gives that  $S_1' = S_2' = 0$  and W' = W. Again we have  $S_1 = S_2 = 0$ .

From Cases 1 and 2 we see that of the two components of  $(B^* - \partial G)$  there is only one which contains any endpoints of the arcs  $\partial D \cap B^*$ , that is, of



## DONALD MYERS

 $\partial D \cap X$ . But this is impossible since we know type-1 and type-2 arcs exist and their endpoints are in different components of  $(B^* - \partial G)$ . This contradiction means that we can take D off B by an element of N. This is contrary to the choice of D and the theorem is established.

THEOREM 2. N is the group of all orientation-preserving homeomorphisms of T into itself.

**Proof.** Let f be an orientation-preserving homeomorphism of T onto itself. By Theorem 1, since fA is non-separating there is an element  $f_1$  of N such that  $f_1f(A) = B$ . Also by Theorem 1 there is an  $f_2$  in N such that  $f_2(B) = A$ . Thus  $f_2f_1f(A) = A$ . Now  $f_2f_1f(B)$  is a nonseparating disk which misses A. Thus there is an  $f_3$  in N such that  $f_3f_2f_1f(B) = B$  and such that  $f_3(A) = A$ ; this follows from an examination of the proof of Theorem 1 which shows that all homeomorphisms and isotopies used there could have been taken to be the identity on A if D, the disk in question, missed A.

If  $f_3f_2f_1f|\partial B$  is not orientation-preserving let  $f_4$  be R composed with a homeomorphism isotopic to the identity so that  $f_4f_3f_2f_1f|\partial B = 1$ . If it is orientation-preserving then  $f_4$  is just the second mentioned homeomorphism. Let  $f_5$  be a homeomorphism isotopic to the identity composed with V, if necessary, so that  $f_5f_4f_3f_2f_1f|\partial A = 1$ . Let  $f_6 = f_5f_4f_3f_2f_1f$ . We now have  $f_6|(\partial A \cup \partial B) = 1, f_6(A) = A$ , and  $f_6(B) = B$ . It suffices to show that  $f_6$  is in N. The appropriate isotopy allows us to assume that  $f_6|(A \cup B) = 1$ . Since  $f_6$  is orientation-preserving we have that  $f_6|\partial T$  is also orientationpreserving. This and the fact that  $f_6|(\partial A \cup \partial B) = 1$  implies  $f_6$  does not interchange the sides  $\partial A \cup \partial B$  in  $\partial T$ . An isotopy then allows us to assume that  $f_6$  is the identity in a regular neighborhood of  $A \cup B$ . Thus  $f_6$  is the identity on  $\partial X$  where X is  $\partial T$  cut at  $(\partial A \cup \partial B)$ . Apply  $f_7$  in M so that  $f_7f_6|T = 1$  [2, p. 537, statement  $\psi(u)$ ]. Thus  $f_7f_6|\partial T = 1$  and it is well-known that such a homeomorphism is isotopic to the identity. This proves that  $f_7f_6$ (and hence  $f_6$ ) is in N which is what we sought to prove.

### References

- 1. J. F. P. Hudson, Piecewise linear topology (W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York, 1969).
- W. B. R. Lickorish, A representation of orientable combinatorial 3-manifolds, Ann. of Math. 76 (1962), 531-540.
- 3. —— Homeomorphisms of non-orientable two-manifolds, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 59 (1963), 307–317.
- 4. ——— A finite set of generators for the homeotopy group of a 2-manifold, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 60 (1964), 769–778.
- Donald Myers, Homeomorphisms on a certain cube with holes, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 191 (1974), 289-299.

11837 Diane Drive, Wauwatosa, Wisconsin

804