The Annual Meeting of the Nutrition Society and the British Association for Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition was held at the Hilton Brighton Metropole, Brighton on 1–2 November 2006

# **BAPEN Symposium 2 on 'Pre- and probiotics'**

# Enteral-tube-feeding diarrhoea: manipulating the colonic microbiota with probiotics and prebiotics

## Kevin Whelan

Nutritional Sciences Division, King's College London, 150 Stamford Street, London SE1 9NN, UK

Diarrhoea is a common and serious complication of enteral tube feeding. Its pathogenesis involves antibiotic prescription, enteropathogenic colonization and abnormal colonic responses, all of which involve an interaction with the colonic microbiota. Alterations in the colonic microbiota have been identified in patients receiving enteral tube feeding and these changes may be associated with the incidence of diarrhoea. Preventing negative alterations in the colonic microbiota has therefore been investigated as a method of reducing the incidence of diarrhoea. Probiotics and prebiotics may be effective because of their suppression of enteropathogenic colonization, stimulation of immune function and modulation of colonic metabolism. Randomized controlled trials of probiotics have produced contrasting results, although Saccharomyces boulardii has been shown to reduce the incidence of diarrhoea in patients in the intensive care unit receiving enteral tube feeding. Prebiotic fructo-oligosaccharides have been shown to increase the concentration of faecal bifidobacteria in healthy subjects consuming enteral formula, although this finding has not yet been confirmed in patients receiving enteral tube feeding. Furthermore, there are no clinical trials investigating the effect of a prebiotic alone on the incidence of diarrhoea. Further trials of the efficacy of probiotics and prebiotics, alone and in combination, in preventing diarrhoea in this patient group are warranted.

#### Enteral nutrition: Microflora: Diarrhoea: Pro- and prebiotics

Enteral tube feeding (ETF) is a method of artificial nutritional support for patients who are unable to achieve their nutritional requirements through an oral diet. Although recent data on its use in general hospitalized patients are scarce, a national survey in 1994 has reported that each hospital in the UK provided ETF to an average of 213 patients per year (Payne-James *et al.* 1995). ETF is used increasingly in the intensive care unit (ICU), where  $\leq 77\%$ of patients receive ETF (Preiser *et al.* 1999), and in the community, where in the UK between 20 000 and 25 000 patients currently receive ETF (Elia, 2003).

Alterations in faecal output can occur during ETF that result in the diagnosis of diarrhoea. The incidence of diarrhoea reported in the literature ranges from 2% (Cataldi-Betcher *et al.* 1983) to 95% (DeMeo *et al.* 1998) of patients. This wide range of incidence is a result of differences in the patient groups investigated and

differences in the definition of diarrhoea used. One review of the literature (Lebak *et al.* 2003) has identified the use of thirty-three different definitions of diarrhoea in studies of ETF.

Diarrhoea during ETF may result in a number of problematic complications. For example, patients may develop fluid and electrolyte abnormalities and require fluid support or anti-diarrhoeal medication (Stroud *et al.* 2003). Patients with diarrhoea are at greater risk of faecal incontinence (Bliss *et al.* 2000), which may contribute to infection of surgical or pressure wounds. However, there is limited evidence that diarrhoea impedes formula delivery in patients in the ICU (Reid, 2006) or in general hospital wards (Whelan *et al.* 2006b) who receive ETF. Furthermore, although diarrhoea during ETF may seem unpleasant, there is little information about the burden of diarrhoea to the patient, the nurse or the carer. Indeed, in a

Abbreviations: CDAD, *Clostridium difficile*-associated diarrhoea; ETF, enteral tube feeding; FOS, fructo-oligosaccharides; ICU, intensive care unit. Corresponding author: Dr Kevin Whelan, fax +44 20 78 48 41 85, email kevin.whelan@kcl.ac.uk

study of patients receiving ETF via percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy at home, only a small minority of patients perceived diarrhoea to be a major difficulty (Brotherton *et al.* 2006). Further investigation of the impact of diarrhoea on the patient receiving ETF is required.

Diarrhoea is a common and problematic complication during ETF. The aim of the present review is to discuss the pathogenesis of diarrhoea during ETF, with a particular focus on the colonic microbiota, and to discuss the use of probiotics and prebiotics in the prevention of diarrhoea during ETF.

#### Pathogenesis of diarrhoea during enteral tube feeding

Several factors have been identified that contribute to the pathogenesis of diarrhoea in patients receiving ETF, including antibiotic prescription, enteropathogenic colonization and abnormal colonic responses to ETF.

Antibiotic prescription is common in the hospital setting, with one study (Bliss et al. 1998) reporting that 93% of patients receiving ETF were also prescribed at least one antibiotic. Some prospective studies in patients receiving ETF (Keohane et al. 1984; Guenter et al. 1991; Bleichner et al. 1997) report the incidence of diarrhoea to be higher in those patients prescribed antibiotics than in those not prescribed antibiotics, whilst other studies (Kelly et al. 1983; Schultz et al. 2000) report no difference in the incidence of diarrhoea. One potential explanation for these conflicting results may be that it is not antibiotic prescription *per se*, but the duration of antibiotic prescription that is relevant. An association between the duration of antibiotic prescription and the incidence of diarrhoea has been demonstrated in studies in hospitalized patients (Wistrom et al. 2001) and those receiving ETF (Heimburger et al. 1994).

Enteropathogenic colonization, particularly with *Clostridium difficile*, is also a cause of diarrhoea during ETF. *Clostridium difficile* is a Gram-positive spore-forming enteropathogen that can cause *C. difficile*-associated diarrhoea (CDAD) and pseudomembranous colitis (Mylonakis *et al.* 2001). One prospective cohort study of residents in a long-term care facility (Simor *et al.* 1993) has demonstrated that ETF is an independent risk factor for *C. difficile* colonization (OR 6·5, P = 0.006). Meanwhile, a case–control study of seventy-six patients receiving ETF who were matched for age, ward and disease severity with seventy-six patients not receiving ETF (Bleichner *et al.* 1997) has demonstrated that ETF is a risk factor for *C. difficile* colonization (OR 3·1, P = 0.03) and CDAD (OR 9·0, P = 0.049).

The causes of an increased risk of enteropathogenic colonization are unclear. One suggestion is that contamination of the enteral formula may be responsible. Contamination has been associated with decanting of formula (Beattie & Anderton, 2001), the absence of adequate quality-control protocols (Oliveira *et al.* 2001) and home ETF (Anderton *et al.* 1993). However, there is contrasting evidence of a link between formula contamination and enteropathogenic colonization or diarrhoea. For example,

one prospective cohort study of twenty-five patients receiving ETF (Okuma *et al.* 2000) has demonstrated that those patients with diarrhoea  $(n \ 2)$  are more likely to be receiving contaminated formula, whereas other studies (Belknap *et al.* 1990; Mathus-Vliegen *et al.* 2000) have found no such association. In addition, the redesign of formula delivery systems has dramatically reduced exogenous contamination (McKinlay *et al.* 2001), indicating that any remaining contamination is now likely to be endogenous (e.g. retrograde contamination from the patient's stomach or lungs; Mathus-Vliegen *et al.* 2006). Further research is required to identify the exact causes of enteropathogenic colonization in patients receiving ETF.

Abnormal colonic responses to ETF have been demonstrated in a number of *in vivo* segmental colonic perfusion studies. For example, intra-gastric ETF causes an abnormal secretion of water into the ascending colon (Bowling *et al.* 1994), which in the absence of compensatory absorptive mechanisms is likely to contribute to diarrhoea. Interestingly, when SCFA are infused into the caecum this abnormal water secretion is reversed (Bowling *et al.* 1993).

The mechanism of this abnormal colonic water secretion is still unclear, but is likely to involve neuro-humoral mechanisms initiated in the proximal gastrointestinal tract. The production of peptide YY, a polypeptide that promotes colonic water absorption (El-Salhy *et al.* 2002), is not stimulated during intra-gastric ETF (Bowling & Silk, 1996). It has been suggested (Bowling & Silk, 1998) that whatever causes the abnormal colonic water secretion during intra-gastric ETF may be inhibited by peptide YY. Interestingly, an ileal infusion of SCFA stimulates peptide YY production (Cuche *et al.* 2000), thus providing a potential mechanism through which SCFA can reverse the abnormal colonic water secretion during ETF.

Antibiotic prescription, enteropathogenic colonization and abnormal colonic responses all contribute to the pathogenesis of diarrhoea in patients receiving ETF. Each of these mechanisms is likely to involve an interaction with the colonic microbiota. For example, concentrations of colonic microbiota (Sullivan *et al.* 2001) and SCFA (Clausen *et al.* 1991) undergo substantial alterations during antibiotic prescription. The colonic microbiota may prevent enteropathogenic infection via colonization inhibition and competitive exclusion, whilst they also ferment carbohydrates and proteins to produce SCFA that reverse abnormal colonic water secretion. Thus, it is possible that alterations to the colonic microbiota are involved in the pathogenesis of diarrhoea in patients receiving ETF.

#### Enteral tube feeding and the colonic microbiota

The colonic microbiota is a complex and diverse microbial ecosystem. Although there may be >500 species present, forty different species contribute to approximately 99% of bacterial numbers (Mai & Morris, 2004).

In view of their potential role in the pathogenesis of diarrhoea during ETF a number of studies have investigated the impact of enteral formula on the colonic microbiota of healthy subjects and of patients receiving ETF (Whelan *et al.* 2004*a*). However, many of these early

studies report conflicting results, perhaps as a result of major methodological weaknesses, including small sample sizes, the additional use of enemas or laxatives and the reliance on traditional bacterial culture (Winitz *et al.* 1970; Attebery *et al.* 1972; Bornside & Cohn, 1975). Thus, more-recent studies have sought to accurately quantify the effects of an enteral formula on the colonic microbiota.

One study of ten healthy subjects consuming standard (fibre-free) enteral formula as the only source of nutrition for 2 weeks (Whelan *et al.* 2005) has demonstrated large reductions in faecal bacteria and total SCFA, acetate, propionate and butyrate concentrations, together with an increase in faecal pH. This reduction in total bacteria would be likely to reduce the ability of the colonic microbiota to perform colonization inhibition and competitive exclusion, whilst the reduction in SCFA may impact on colonocyte water absorption.

In another study (Schneider *et al.* 2000) eight patients receiving long-term standard ETF were shown to have higher concentrations of aerobes, lower concentrations of anaerobes and yet similar concentrations of faecal SCFA compared with ten healthy controls. However, this difference in aerobe:anaerobe may be partly explained by differences in age between the patients and the controls (Hopkins *et al.* 2001).

Enteral formula may therefore result in alterations to the colonic microbiota. Such alterations have been shown in some studies to be associated with the incidence of diarrhoea in patients receiving ETF. For example, the resolution of diarrhoea in twenty patients receiving ETF was shown to result in a reduction in the concentration of faecal aerobes and an increase in the concentrations of total faecal SCFA, acetate and propionate following supplementation with  $\leq 28$  g galactomannan soluble fibre/d (Nakao et al. 2002). However, whether these changes are associated with the resolution of diarrhoea or the treatment with galactomannan, or are merely a result of less-dilute faeces is unclear (Whelan et al. 2002). More recently, a study of twenty patients in general hospital wards (Whelan et al. 2004b) has demonstrated no systematic changes in the major faecal bacteria during the first 2 weeks of standard ETF. However, higher concentrations of faecal clostridia were reported in those patients who developed diarrhoea and there was a trend towards lower concentrations of bifidobacteria in those who developed diarrhoea or CDAD (Whelan et al. 2004b). These differences in colonic microbiota may be directly involved in the pathogenesis of diarrhoea and CDAD during ETF, or may merely be indicative of antibiotic prescription.

Alterations in the colonic microbiota occur during ETF, and these changes may be associated with the incidence of diarrhoea. There has therefore been much interest in the use of probiotics and prebiotics to prevent such alterations in the colonic microbiota and to reduce the incidence of diarrhoea.

#### Probiotics in enteral tube feeding

A probiotic can be defined as a 'preparation of, or a product containing, viable, defined micro-organisms in sufficient numbers, which alter the microbiota by implantation or colonization in a compartment of the host and by that exert beneficial health effects in this host' (Schrezenmeir & de Vrese, 2001). Probiotic preparations and products most commonly contain strains of lactobacilli, bifidobacteria or saccharomyces, or mixtures of these strains. Probiotics should fulfill strict criteria before consideration for use in patients receiving ETF, including safety, viability during processing and storage, gastrointestinal survival and function (Tuomola *et al.* 2001).

Safety is an essential characteristic for probiotic use in patients receiving ETF and, although rare, a number of case reports of infection or sepsis following probiotic use have been reported (Munoz *et al.* 2005). Those individuals at particular risk of probiotic sepsis include immunocompromised patients, premature infants, patients with a central venous catheter and those in whom the probiotic is delivered via jejunostomy (Boyle *et al.* 2006). However, the clinical safety of *Lactobacillus casei* Shirota ( $10^7$  colony-forming units/d) was demonstrated in twenty-eight paediatric patients in the ICU who received ETF, none of whom developed positive lactobacillus growth in any of the bodily fluids (e.g. blood, urine, endotracheal aspirates) or surface swabs (e.g. skin, central catheter tips) analysed (Srinivasan *et al.* 2006).

Strain viability is also essential, particularly as the probiotic preparation may spend much time stored in hospital wards or in the patient's home or nursing home before use. In the UK some capsule and powdered probiotics have been shown to have low bacterial concentrations (Hamilton-Miller, 1996; Hamilton-Miller *et al.* 1999), whereas in general fermented milks have been shown to have satisfactory bacterial concentrations even after storage (Whelan *et al.* 2006*a*).

A probiotic must also be able to survive gastrointestinal transit, as its major site of action is in the colon. Although a number of probiotics have been shown to survive gastrointestinal transit in healthy subjects, few studies have investigated survival in patients receiving ETF. The composition of the enteral formula and its mode of delivery may alter gastric acid (Hsu et al. 2006) and biliary secretions (O'Keefe et al. 2003), both of which will impact on probiotic survival. Bifidobacetrium longum  $(5 \times 10^9)$ colony-forming units/d, together with 2.5 g fructo-oligosaccharides (FOS)) when administered to seven patients receiving long-term standard ETF was found to result in an increase in faecal bifidobacteria in some, but not all, patients (Del Piano et al. 2004). Meanwhile, in the study of paediatric patients receiving ETF on the ICU (Srinivasan et al. 2006), Lactobacillus casei Shirota (10<sup>7</sup> colonyforming units/d) was shown to survive gastrointestinal transit in five of six patients tested.

Once a probiotic has survived gastrointestinal transit it must then function to reduce the incidence of diarrhoea, possibly through the suppression of enteropathogenic colonization, immune stimulation and modulation of colonic metabolism (Whelan *et al.* 2001; Table 1).

In view of the mechanisms of diarrhoea during ETF, the alterations in colonic microbiota that may exist in these patients and the potential functions of probiotics (Table 1), a number of clinical trials have investigated the effect of

2	02	
2	02	

Mechanism	Examples in probiotics	Examples in prebiotics
Suppression of enteropathogens (a) Lumen colonization resistance		
Antimicrobial production	Some bifidobacteria, and their products, inhibit <i>Salmonella typhimurium</i> infection in mice (Lievin <i>et al.</i> 2000)	-
Reduction in pH	Lactobacillus johnsonii La1 decreases faecal pH in healthy human subjects (Yamano et al. 2006)	Oligosaccharides reduce faecal pH in infants (Knol et al. 2005)
(b) Mucosal competitive exclusion		
Steric and chemical hindrance	Some lactobacilli, and their products, inhibit adhesion of <i>Escherichia coli</i> and <i>S. typhimurium</i> to Caco-2 and HT29 cell lines (Bernet-Camard <i>et al.</i> 1997)	Potential for oligosaccharides to act as a 'decoy' to enteropathogenic adhesion to enterocytes (Gibson <i>et al.</i> 2005)
Immune stimulation		
Non-specific immunity	Some lactobacilli and bifidobacteria enhance phagocytosis against <i>E. coli</i> in human blood (Schiffrin <i>et al.</i> 1997)	Possible effects, although too few human studies (Watzl <i>et al.</i> 2005)
Specific immunity	Specific IgA production in healthy human subjects (Link-Amster <i>et al.</i> 1994)	Possible effects, although too few human studies (Watzl <i>et al.</i> 2005)
Colonic metabolism		
SCFA production	<i>S. boulardii</i> increases butyrate and total SCFA in patients receiving ETF (Schneider <i>et al.</i> 2005)	FOS enhance SCFA production in pure and faecal culture (Rossi <i>et al.</i> 2005)

 Table 1. Examples of potential mechanisms through which probiotics and prebiotics may prevent diarrhoea in patients receiving enteral tube feeding (ETF)

FOS, fructo-oligosaccharides.

probiotics in preventing diarrhoea in patients receiving ETF.

Heimburger *et al.* (1994) have conducted a randomized controlled trial in forty-one patients starting ETF. Eighteen patients were randomly assigned to receive *Lactobacillus acidophilus* and *Lactobacillus bulgaricus* (1 g three times daily), with the remaining patients receiving an identically-packaged placebo (lactose and dextrose). However, when comparing patients in the probiotic group with those in the control group there were no significant differences in faecal weight or the incidence of diarrhoea (31 and 11% of patients developed diarrhoea respectively; P = 0.21).

Bleichner *et al.* (1997) have conducted a multi-centre randomized controlled trial of 128 patients in the ICU starting ETF. Sixty-four patients were randomly assigned to receive *Saccharomyces boulardii* (500 mg four times daily), with the remaining patients receiving an identically-packaged placebo. There were 25% fewer diarrhoea days in those patients receiving *S. boulardii* compared with placebo (14 and 19% of patient days with diarrhoea respectively; P = 0.0069).

The explanation for these contrasting results is likely to be a result of differences in methodology and the probiotic used. For example, Heimburger *et al.* (1994) measured the incidence as the percentage of patients with diarrhoea, rather than the preferred method of the percentage of patient days with diarrhoea (Bliss *et al.* 1992) as used by Bleichner *et al.* (1997). Furthermore, lactobacilli produce lactate as the main fermentation product, whereas *S. boulardii* has been shown to increase faecal concentrations of total SCFA and butyrate in patients receiving long-term ETF (Schneider *et al.* 2005).

#### Prebiotics in enteral tube feeding

A prebiotic is defined as a 'non-digestible food ingredient that beneficially affects the host by selectively stimulating the growth and/or activity of one or a limited number of bacteria in the colon, and thus improving host health' (Gibson & Roberfroid, 1995). In order to be classified as a prebiotic a compound must resist digestion by human enzymes, undergo colonic fermentation and result in the selective growth of beneficial bacteria (Roberfroid, 2001).

The most extensively studied prebiotics are the FOS oligofructose and inulin. They are resistant to small intestinal digestion because of the inability of human gastrointestinal enzymes to hydrolyse the  $\beta$ 2–1 glycosidic bonds between the fructose monomers (Oku & Nakamura, 2003). FOS have therefore shown high recovery rates in ileostomy effluent (Ellegard *et al.* 1997).

Although resistant to small-intestinal digestion, FOS are not recovered from the faeces of healthy subjects, suggesting rapid colonic fermentation (Alles *et al.* 1996). *In vitro* studies have demonstrated that FOS are fermented into lactate and acetate, some of which is then converted into butyrate (Morrison *et al.* 2006).

A number of studies have shown that FOS increase the concentration of faecal bifidobacteria when provided as a dietary supplement (Kolida *et al.* 2002). Their selectivity in stimulating bifidobacterial growth is considered to be more effective than that of any of the other candidate prebiotics (Palframan *et al.* 2003).

The effect on the colonic microbiota of supplementing an enteral formula with FOS has been investigated in a number of studies in both healthy subjects and patients receiving ETF (Whelan *et al.* 2004*a*). Consumption of an enteral formula supplemented with FOS (10 g/l) was shown to result in an increase in faecal bifidobacteria in nine healthy subjects (Garleb *et al.* 1996). Meanwhile, consumption of an enteral formula supplemented with shortchain FOS ( $5\cdot1$  g/l) and fibre ( $8\cdot9$  g/l) was found to result in an increase in bifidobacteria and a reduction in clostridia whilst maintaining faecal concentrations of total SCFA in ten healthy subjects (Whelan *et al.* 2005). This finding is particularly important as patients with diarrhoea during ETF have been shown to have a trend towards lower concentrations of bifidobacteria, higher concentrations of clostridia (Whelan *et al.* 2004*b*) and lower concentrations of total SCFA (Nakao *et al.* 2002).

Surprisingly, the promising effects of FOS on the colonic microbiota have not been confirmed in studies in patients receiving ETF. Schneider *et al.* (2006) have conducted a prospective randomized cross-over trial in fifteen patients receiving long-term ETF. Formula supplemented with a mixture of fibres (including FOS at an intake of  $2\cdot4-3\cdot8$  g/d) was found to result in an increase in faecal concentrations of total bacteria and bacteroides, but had no effect on faecal bifidobacteria. It is possible that the quantity of FOS was insufficient to selectively stimulate growth of bifidobacteria (Bouhnik *et al.* 1999). However, increases in acetate, butyrate and total SCFA were found following ETF with the fibre–FOS formula (Schneider *et al.* 2006).

Prebiotics that undergo colonic fermentation and stimulate the growth of bifidobacteria may, like probiotics, function to reduce the incidence of diarrhoea through the suppression of enteropathogenic colonization, immune stimulation and modulation of colonic metabolism (Table 1). For example, oligofructose has recently been shown to reduce the incidence of recurrent CDAD in hospitalized inpatients, albeit in those not receiving ETF (Lewis *et al.* 2005).

Despite the existence of alterations in the colonic microbiota of patients with diarrhoea during ETF (Nakao *et al.* 2002; Whelan *et al.* 2004*b*) and the potential mechanisms through which prebiotics may prevent diarrhoea, there are few trials that have investigated the effect of prebiotics on its incidence. Those studies that have been conducted have used fibre formulas containing an additional but unspecified quantity of FOS (Vandewoude *et al.* 2005) or have used a compound with uncertain prebiotic characteristics (Spapen *et al.* 2001; Rushdi *et al.* 2004).

Vandewoude *et al.* (2005) randomly assigned seventy older patients to receive an enteral formula containing fibre (30 g/d, including an unspecified amount of inulin) and eighty-five patients to receive a standard formula. The patients who received the fibre–inulin formula were found to have a lower faecal frequency (4·1 v. 6·3 faeces per week; P = 0.008) and more formed faeces (31% v. 21% formed faeces; P = 0.001) than the patients who received standard formula. However, this finding may be partly explained by the greater use of laxatives in the control group. A number of studies have demonstrated that guar gum (Rushdi *et al.* 2004) or partially-hydrolysed guar gum (Spapen *et al.* 2001) reduces the incidence of diarrhoea

in patients receiving ETF. However, whether these compounds are able to selectively stimulate the growth of beneficial bacteria (e.g. bifidobacteria) in patients receiving ETF, and therefore can be defined as prebiotic, is uncertain.

# Other effects of probiotics and prebiotics in enteral tube feeding

A number of randomized controlled trials in patients receiving ETF have investigated the effect of probiotics and prebiotics on clinical outcomes other than the incidence of diarrhoea. For example, probiotics have been shown to result in reductions in bacterial translocation following liver transplantation (Rayes et al. 2005), pancreatic sepsis in patients with acute pancreatitis (Olah et al. 2002) and antibiotic prescription in patients following gastrointestinal surgery (Rayes et al. 2002). Only one of these studies (Rayes et al. 2005) has measured the incidence of diarrhoea as an outcome, demonstrating no difference in incidence between patients receiving a formula supplemented with a prebiotic and those receiving a formula supplemented with both a probiotic and a prebiotic. However, the incidence of diarrhoea was not a primary outcome of this study and the criteria for its definition were not provided. Finally, a recent meta-analysis (Watkinson et al. 2007) has found that in the ICU the delivery of probiotics, prebiotics or both probiotics and prebiotics (synbiotics) has no impact on length of stay, mortality or the risk of nosocomial infections or pneumonia.

## Conclusion

The pathogenesis of diarrhoea in patients receiving ETF involves an interaction between antibiotic prescription, enteropathogenic colonization, abnormal colonic responses and alterations in the colonic microbiota. Methods of manipulating the colonic microbiota may therefore reduce the incidence of diarrhoea in this patient group, and probiotics and prebiotics have been investigated to this end. However, conclusive results from clinical trials are lacking. The yeast S. boulardii has been shown to reduce the incidence of diarrhoea in patients in the ICU receiving ETF. However, although FOS have prebiotic effects in healthy subjects, their ability to increase faecal bifidobacteria and reduce the incidence of diarrhoea in patients receiving ETF has not been demonstrated. Thus, the recent Guidelines on Enteral Nutrition from the European Society of Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition recommend that 'a combination of different fibers, probiotics and prebiotics should be studied because of synergistic effects in different diseases' (Lochs et al. 2006).

#### Acknowledgement

The expert collaboration of Professor Victor R. Preedy (King's College London, London), Professor Patricia A. Judd (University of Central Lancashire, Preston, Lancs.) and Dr Moira Taylor (University of Nottingham, Nottingham) is gratefully acknowledged.

#### References

- Alles MS, Hautvast JG, Nagengast FM, Hartemink R, Van Laere KM & Jansen JB (1996) Fate of fructo-oligosaccharides in the human intestine. *British Journal of Nutrition* 76, 211–221.
- Anderton A, Nwoguh CE, McKune I, Morrison L, Greig M & Clark B (1993) A comparative study of the numbers of bacteria present in enteral feeds prepared and administered in hospital and the home. *Journal of Hospital Infection* **23**, 43–49.
- Attebery HR, Sutter VL & Finegold SM (1972) Effect of a partially chemically defined diet on normal human fecal flora. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* **25**, 1391–1398.
- Beattie TK & Anderton A (2001) Decanting versus sterile pre-filled nutrient containers – the microbiological risks in enteral feeding. *International Journal of Environmental Health Research* **11**, 81–93.
- Belknap DC, Davidson LJ & Flournoy DJ (1990) Microorganisms and diarrhea in enterally fed intensive care unit patients. *Journal of Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition* 14, 622–628.
- Bernet-Camard MF, Lievin V, Brassart D, Neeser JR, Servin A & Hudault S (1997) The human lactobacillus strain La1 secretes a nonbacteriocin antibacterial substance(s) active in vitro and in vivo. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **63**, 2747–2753.
- Bleichner G, Blehaut H, Mentec H & Moyse D (1997) Sacchromyces boulardii prevents diarrhoea in critically ill tube fed patients. Intensive Care Medicine 23, 517–523.
- Bliss DZ, Guenter PA & Settle RG (1992) Defining and reporting diarrhoea in tube-fed patients what a mess! *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* **55**, 753–759.
- Bliss DZ, Johnson S, Savik K, Clabots CR & Gerding DN (2000) Fecal incontinence in hospitalised patients who are acutely ill. *Nursing Research* **49**, 101–108.
- Bliss DZ, Johnson S, Savik K, Clabots CR, Willard K & Gerding DN (1998) Acquisition of *Clostridium difficile* and *Clostridium difficile*-associated diarrhoea in hospitalised patients receiving tube feeding. *Annals of Internal Medicine* **129**, 1012–1019.
- Bornside GH & Cohn I (1975) Stability of normal human fecal flora during a chemically defined, low residue liquid diet. *Annals of Surgery* **181**, 58–60.
- Bouhnik Y, Vahedi K, Achour L, Attar A, Salfati J, Pochart P, Marteau P, Flourie B, Bornet F & Rambaud JC (1999) Shortchain fructo-oligosaccharide administration dose-dependently increases fecal bifidobacteria in healthy humans. *Journal of Nutrition* **129**, 113–116.
- Bowling TE, Raimundo AH, Grimble GK & Silk DB (1994) Colonic secretory effect in response to enteral feeding in humans. *Gut* **35**, 1734–1741.
- Bowling TE, Raimundo AH, Grimble GK & Silk DBA (1993) Reversal by short chain fatty acids of colonic fluid secretion induced by enteral feeding. *Lancet* **342**, 1266–1268.
- Bowling TE & Silk DB (1998) Colonic responses to enteral tube feeding. *Gut* **42**, 147–151.
- Bowling TE & Silk DBA (1996) Hormonal response to enteral feeding and the possible role of peptide YY in pathogenesis of enteral feeding-related diarrhoea. *Clinical Nutrition* **15**, 307–310.
- Boyle RJ, Robins-Browne RM & Tang ML (2006) Probiotic use in clinical practice: what are the risks? *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 83, 1256–1264.
- Brotherton A, Abbott J & Aggett P (2006) The impact of percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy feeding upon daily life in adults. *Journal of Human Nutrition and Dietetics* **19**, 355–367.
- Cataldi-Betcher EL, Seltzer MH, Slocum BA & Jones KW (1983) Complications occurring during enteral nutrition support: a

prospective study. *Journal of Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition* 7, 546–552.

- Clausen MR, Bonnen H, Tvede M & Mortensen PB (1991) Colonic fermentation to short chain fatty acids is decreased in antibiotic-associated diarrhoea. *Gastroenterology* **101**, 1497– 1504.
- Cuche G, Cuber JC & Malbert CH (2000) Ileal short-chain fatty acids inhibit gastric motility by a humoral pathway. *American Journal of Physiology* **279**, G925–G930.
- Del Piano M, Ballare M, Montino F, Orsello M, Garello E, Ferrari P, Masini C, Strozzi GP & Sforza F (2004) Clinical experience with probiotics in the elderly on total enteral nutrition. *Journal of Clinical Gastroenterology* **38**, S111–S114.
- DeMeo M, Kolli S, Keshavarzian A, Borton M, Al-Hosni M, Dyavanapalli M *et al.* (1998) Beneficial effect of a bile acid resin binder on enteral feeding induced diarrhea. *American Journal of Gastroenterology* **93**, 967–971.
- Elia M (2003) The 2002 Annual Report of the British Artificial Nutrition Survey (BANS). Redditch, Worcs.: BAPEN.
- Ellegard L, Andersson H & Bosaeus I (1997) Inulin and oligofructose do not influence the absorption of cholesterol, or the excretion of cholesterol, Ca, Mg, Zn, Fe, or bile acids but increases energy excretion in ileostomy subjects. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition* **51**, 1–5.
- El-Salhy M, Suhr O & Danielsson A (2002) Peptide YY in gastrointestinal disorders. *Peptides* 23, 397–402.
- Garleb KA, Snook JT, Marcon MJ, Wolf BW & Johnson WA (1996) Effect of fructooligosaccharide containing enteral formulas on subjective tolerance factors, serum chemistry profiles, and faecal bifidobacteria in healthy adult male subjects. *Microbial Ecology in Health and Disease* **9**, 279–285.
- Gibson GR, McCartney AL & Rastall RA (2005) Prebiotics and resistance to gastrointestinal infections. *British Journal of Nutrition* **93**, S31–S34.
- Gibson GR & Roberfroid MB (1995) Dietary modulation of the human colonic microbiota: introducing the concept of prebiotics. *Journal of Nutrition* **125**, 1401–1412.
- Guenter PA, Settle RG, Perlmutter S, Marino PL, DeSimone GA & Rolandelli RH (1991) Tube feeding related diarrhoea in acutely ill patients. *Journal of Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition* 15, 277–280.
- Hamilton-Miller JM (1996) Probiotic remedies are not what they seem. *British Medical Journal* **312**, 55–56.
- Hamilton-Miller JM, Shah S & Winkler JT (1999) Public health issues arising from microbiological and labelling quality of foods and supplements containing probiotic micro-organisms. *Public Health Nutrition* 2, 223–229.
- Heimburger DC, Sockwell DG & Geels WJ (1994) Diarrhoea with enteral feeding: Prospective reappraisal of putative causes. *Nutrition* **10**, 392–396.
- Hopkins MJ, Sharp R & Macfarlane GT (2001) Age and disease related changes in intestinal bacterial populations assessed by cell culture, 16S rRNA abundance, and community cellular fatty acid profiles. *Gut* **48**, 198–205.
- Hsu TC, Su CF, Huang PC, Lu SC & Tsai SL (2006) Comparison of tolerance and change of intragastric pH between early nasogastric and nasojejunal feeding following resection of colorectal cancer. *Clinical Nutrition* **25**, 681–686.
- Kelly TWJ, Patrick MR & Hillman KM (1983) Study of diarrhea in critically ill patients. *Critical Care Medicine* **11**, 7–9.
- Keohane PP, Atrill H, Love M, Frost P & Silk DBA (1984) Relation between osmolality and gastrointestinal side effects in enteral nutrition. *British Medical Journal* **288**, 678–680.
- Knol J, Scholtens P, Kafka C, Steenbakkers J, Gro S, Helm K, Klarczyk M, Schopfer H, Bockler HM & Wells J (2005) Colon microflora in infants fed formula with galacto- and

fructo-oligosaccharides: more like breast-fed infants. *Journal* of *Pediatric Gastroenterology and Nutrition* **40**, 36–42.

- Kolida S, Tuohy K & Gibson GR (2002) Prebiotic effects of inulin and oligofructose. *British Journal of Nutrition* **87**, S193–S197.
- Lebak KJ, Bliss DZ, Savik K & Patten-Marsh KM (2003) What's new on defining diarrhea in tube-feeding studies? *Clinical Nursing Research* **12**, 174–204.
- Lewis S, Burmeister S & Brazier J (2005) Effect of the prebiotic oligofructose on relapse of Clostridium difficile-associated diarrhea: a randomized, controlled study. *Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology* **3**, 442–448.
- Lievin V, Peiffer I, Hudault S, Rochat F, Brassart D, Neeser JR & Servin AL (2000) Bifidobacterium strains from resident infant human gastrointestinal microflora exert antimicrobial activity. *Gut* **47**, 646–652.
- Link-Amster H, Rochat F, Saudan KY, Mignot O & Aeschlimann JM (1994) Modulation of a specific humoral immune response and changes in intestinal flora mediated through fermented milk intake. *FEMS Immunology and Medical Microbiology* 10, 55–64.
- Lochs H, Allison SP, Meier R, Pirlich M, Kondrup J, Schneider S, van den Berghe G & Pichard C (2006) Introductory to the ESPEN Guidelines on Enteral Nutrition: Terminology, definitions and general topics. *Clinical Nutrition* **25**, 180–186.
- McKinlay J, Wildgoose A, Wood W, Gould IM & Anderton A (2001) The effect of system design on bacterial contamination of enteral tube feeds. *Journal of Hospital Infection* **47**, 138–142.
- Mai V & Morris JG (2004) Colonic bacterial flora: changing understandings in the molecular age. *Journal of Nutrition* 134, 459–464.
- Mathus-Vliegen EMH, Bredius MW & Binnekade JM (2006) Analysis of sites of bacterial contamination in an enteral feeding system. *Journal of Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition* **30**, 519–525.
- Mathus-Vliegen LMH, Binnekade JM & de Haan RJ (2000) Bacterial contamination of ready-to-use 1-L feeding bottles and administration sets in severely compromised intensive care patients. *Critical Care Medicine* **28**, 67–73.
- Morrison DJ, Mackay WG, Edwards CA, Preston T, Dodson B & Weaver LT (2006) Butyrate production from oligofructose fermentation by the human faecal flora: what is the contribution of extracellular acetate and lactate? *British Journal of Nutrition* **96**, 570–577.
- Munoz P, Bouza E, Cuenca-Estrella M, Eiros JM, Perez MJ, Sanchez-Somolinos M, Rincon C, Hortal J & Pelaez T (2005) Saccharomyces cerevisiae fungemia: an emerging infectious disease. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* **40**, 1625–1634.
- Mylonakis E, Ryan ET & Calderwood SB (2001) *Clostridium difficile*-associated diarrhea: a review. *Archives of Internal Medicine* **161**, 525–533.
- Nakao M, Ogura Y, Satake S, Ito I, Iguchi A, Takagi K & Nabeshima T (2002) Usefulness of soluble dietary fiber for the treatment of diarrhea during enteral nutrition in elderly patients. *Nutrition* 18, 35–39.
- O'Keefe SJ, Lee RB, Anderson FP, Gennings C, Abou-Assi S, Clore J, Heuman D & Chey W (2003) Physiological effects of enteral and parenteral feeding on pancreaticobiliary secretion in humans. *American Journal of Physiology* **284**, G27–G36.
- Oku T & Nakamura S (2003) Comparison of digestibility and breath hydrogen gas excretion of fructo-oligosaccharide, galactosyl-sucrose, and isomalto-oligosaccharide in healthy human subjects. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 57, 1150–1156.
- Okuma T, Nakamura M, Totake H & Fukunaga Y (2000) Microbial contamination of enteral feeding formulas and diarrhea. *Nutrition* **16**, 719–722.

- Olah A, Belagyi T, Issekutz A, Gamal ME & Bengmark S (2002) Randomized clinical trial of specific lactobacillus and fibre supplement to early enteral nutrition in patients with acute pancreatitis. *British Journal of Surgery* **89**, 1103–1107.
- Oliveira MR, Batista CR & Aidoo KE (2001) Application of Hazard Analysis Critical Control Points system to enteral tube feeding in hospital. *Journal of Human Nutrition and Dietetics* **14**, 397–403.
- Palframan R, Gibson GR & Rastall RA (2003) Development of a quantitative tool for the comparison of the prebiotic effect of dietary oligosaccharides. *Letters in Applied Microbiology* 37, 281–284.
- Payne-James JJ, De Gara CJ, Grimble GK & Silk DBA (1995) Artificial Nutrition Support in the United Kingdom – 1994: Third National Survey. *Clinical Nutrition* 14, 329–335.
- Preiser JC, Berre J, Carpentier Y, Jolliet P, Pichard C, Van Gossum A & Vincent JL (1999) Management of nutrition in European intensive care units: results of a questionnaire. Working Group on Metabolism and Nutrition of the European Society of Intensive Care Medicine. *Intensive Care Medicine* 25, 95–101.
- Rayes N, Hansen S, Seehofer D, Muller AR, Serke S, Bengmark S & Neuhaus P (2002) Early enteral supply of fiber and Lactobacilli versus conventional nutrition: a controlled trial in patients with major abdominal surgery. *Nutrition* 18, 609– 615.
- Rayes N, Seehofer D, Theruvath T, Schiller RA, Langrehr JM, Jonas S, Bengmark S & Neuhaus P (2005) Supply of preand probiotics reduces bacterial infection rates after liver transplantation – a randomized, double-blind trial. *American Journal of Transplantation* 5, 125–130.
- Reid C (2006) Frequency of under- and overfeeding in mechanically ventilated ICU patients: causes and possible consequences. *Journal of Human Nutrition and Dietetics* **19**, 13–22.
- Roberfroid MB (2001) Prebiotics: preferential substrates for specific germs? *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 73, S406–S409.
- Rossi M, Corradini C, Amaretti A, Nicolini M, Pompei A, Zanoni S & Matteuzzi D (2005) Fermentation of fructooligosaccharides and inulin by bifidobacteria: a comparative study of pure and fecal cultures. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **71**, 6150–6158.
- Rushdi TA, Pichard C & Khater YH (2004) Control of diarrhea by fiber-enriched diet in ICU patients on enteral nutrition: a prospective randomized controlled trial. *Clinical Nutrition* **23**, 1344–1352.
- Schiffrin EJ, Brassart D, Servin AL, Rochat F & Donnet-Hughes A (1997) Immune modulation of blood leucocytes in humans by lactic acid bacteria: criteria for strain selection. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 66, S515–S520.
- Schneider SM, Girard-Pipau F, Anty R, van der Linde EG, Philipsen-Geerling BJ, Knol J, Filippi J, Arab K & Hebuterne X (2006) Effects of total enteral nutrition supplemented with a multi-fibre mix on faecal short-chain fatty acids and microbiota. *Clinical Nutrition* 25, 82–90.
- Schneider SM, Girard-Pipau F, Filippi J, Hebuterne X, Moyse D, Hinojosa GC, Pompei A & Rampal P (2005) Effects of Saccharomyces boulardii on fecal short-chain fatty acids and microflora in patients on long-term total enteral nutrition. *World Journal of Gastroenterology* **11**, 6165–6169.
- Schneider SM, Le Gall P, Girard-Pipau F, Piche T, Pompei A, Nano JL, Hebuterne X & Rampal P (2000) Total artificial nutrition is associated with major changes in the fecal flora. *European Journal of Nutrition* **39**, 248–255.
- Schrezenmeir J & de Vrese M (2001) Probiotics, prebiotics and synbiotics: approaching a definition. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 73, S361–S364.

- Schultz AA, Ashby-Hughes B, Taylor R, Gillis DE & Wilkins M (2000) Effects of pectin on diarrhea in critically ill tube-fed patients receiving antibiotics. *American Journal of Critical Care* 9, 403–411.
- Simor AE, Yake SL & Tsimidis K (1993) Infection due to *Clostridium difficile* among elderly residents of a long-term-care facility. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* **17**, 672–678.
- Spapen H, Diltoer M, Van Malderen C, Opdenacker G, Suys E & Huyghens L (2001) Soluble fiber reduces the incidence of diarrhea in septic patients receiving total enteral nutrition: a prospective, double-blind, randomized and controlled trial. *Clinical Nutrition* 20, 301–305.
- Srinivasan R, Meyer R, Padmanabhan R & Britto J (2006) Clinical safety of *Lactobacillus casei* shirota as a probiotic in critically ill children. *Journal of Pediatric Gastroenterology* and Nutrition 42, 171–173.
- Stroud M, Duncan H & Nightingale J (2003) Guidelines for enteral feeding in adult hospital patients. *Gut* **52**, Suppl. 7, S1–S12.
- Sullivan A, Edlund C & Nord CE (2001) Effect of antimicrobial agents on the ecological balance of human microflora. *Lancet Infectious Diseases* **1**, 101–114.
- Tuomola E, Crittenden R, Playne M, Isolauri E & Salminen S (2001) Quality assurance criteria for probiotic bacteria. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* **73**, S393–S398.
- Vandewoude MF, Paridaens KM, Suy RA, Boone MA & Strobbe H (2005) Fibre-supplemented tube feeding in the hospitalised elderly. *Age and Ageing* **34**, 120–124.
- Watkinson PJ, Barber VS, Dark P & Young JD (2007) The use of pre-, pro- and synbiotics in adult intensive care unit patients: Systematic review. *Clinical Nutrition* **26**, 182–192.
- Watzl B, Girrbach S & Roller M (2005) Inulin, oligofructose and immunomodulation. *British Journal of Nutrition* 93, S49–S55.
- Whelan K, Faiman A, Hudspith B & Bruce K (2006*a*) Probiotic fermented milks: the effect of storage on bacterial numbers. *Journal of Human Nutrition and Dietetics* **19**, 475–476.

- Whelan K, Gibson GR, Judd PA & Taylor MA (2001) The role of probiotics and prebiotics in the management of diarrhoea associated with enteral tube feeding. *Journal of Human Nutrition and Dietetics* 14, 423–433.
- Whelan K, Hill L, Preedy VR, Judd PA & Taylor MA (2006b) Formula delivery in patients receiving enteral tube feeding on general hospital wards: the impact of nasogastric extubation and diarrhea. *Nutrition* 22, 1025–1031.
- Whelan K, Judd PA, Preedy VR, Simmering R, Jann A & Taylor MA (2005) Fructo-oligosaccharides and fiber partially prevent the alterations in fecal microbiota and short-chain fatty acid concentrations caused by standard enteral formula in healthy humans. *Journal of Nutrition* 135, 1896–1902.
- Whelan K, Judd PA, Preedy VR & Taylor MA (2004*a*) Enteral feeding: the effect on faecal output, the faecal microflora and SCFA concentrations. *Proceedings of the Nutrition Society* **63**, 105–113.
- Whelan K, Judd PA & Taylor MA (2002) Diarrhea during enteral nutrition – appropriate outcome measurement. *Nutrition* 18, 790.
- Whelan K, Judd PA, Tuohy KM, Gibson GR, Preedy VR & Taylor MA (2004b) Faecal microflora and short-chain fatty acid concentrations in patients receiving enteral tube feeding. *Proceedings of the Nutrition Society* **63**, 122A.
- Winitz M, Adams RF, Seedman DA, Davis PN, Jayko LG & Hamilton JA (1970) Studies in metabolic nutrition employing chemically defined diets. II. Effects on gut microflora populations. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 23, 546–559.
- Wistrom J, Norrby SR, Myhre EB, Eriksson S, Granstrom G, Lagergren L, Englund G, Nord CE & Svenungsson B (2001) Frequency of antibiotic-associated diarrhoea in 2462 antibiotictreated hospitalized patients: a prospective study. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy* 47, 43–50.
- Yamano T, Iino H, Takada M, Blum S, Rochat F & Fukushima Y (2006) Improvement of the human intestinal flora by ingestion of the probiotic strain *Lactobacillus johnsonii* La1. *British Journal of Nutrition* **95**, 303–312.