ABELIAN GROUPS THAT ARE TORSION OVER THEIR ENDOMORPHISM RINGS

J. HILL, P. HILL AND W. ULLERY

Using Lambek torsion as the torsion theory, we investigate the question of when an Abelian group G is torsion as a module over its endomorphism ring E. Groups that are torsion modules in this sense are called \mathcal{L} -torsion. Among the classes of torsion and truly mixed Abelian groups, we are able to determine completely those groups that are \mathcal{L} -torsion. However, the case when G is torsion free is more complicated. Whereas no torsion-free group of finite rank is \mathcal{L} -torsion, we show that there are large classes of torsion-free groups of infinite rank that are \mathcal{L} -torsion. Nevertheless, meaningful definitive criteria for a torsion-free group to be \mathcal{L} -torsion have not been found.

1. INTRODUCTION

All modules considered here are unitary left modules. The ring of endomorphisms of an Abelian group G is denoted by E or by E(G), if the more elaborate notation is needed for clarity. By virtue of a natural endowment, G becomes a member of E-Mod, that is, G is a left module over its endomorphism ring. If G is in the torsion class of the Lambek torsion theory on E-Mod, we say that G is \mathcal{L} -torsion or, if the meaning is clear, simply torsion over E.

The properties of Abelian groups as modules over their endomorphism rings have been investigated in a number of papers including [1, 2, 5, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14]. Topics studied include the question of when an Abelian group is projective, flat, finitely generated, or cyclic as a module over its endomorphism ring. Here, we investigate the same question for torsion: when is an Abelian group torsion over its endomorphism ring? For torsion-free Abelian groups, this question was considered by Faticoni in [4]. In that paper, a variation of Corner's well-known construction [3] was used to produce a countable torsion-free group G which is torsion over its endomorphism ring $E \cong \mathbb{Z}[x]$. Since in this case E is an integral domain, it was not necessary to designate the torsion theory employed because in this case all the usual torsion theories coincide with ordinary torsion; by ordinary torsion (for modules over an integral domain) we mean an element is torsion if it is annihilated by a nonzero scalar. But for general rings R, the

Received 28th November, 2000

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9727/01 \$A2.00+0.00.

J. Hill, P. Hill and W. Ullery

determination of whether or not an R-module is torsion depends of course on the choice of the torsion theory for R-Mod. Moreover, the concept of an element being torsion when it is annihilated by a nonzero scalar does not in general yield a legitimate torsion theory. Hence, in this paper, as indicated earlier, we use Lambek torsion exclusively as the torsion theory for Abelian groups as modules over their endomorphism rings. For a complete treatment of torsion theories for R-Mod in general, we refer to [6].

When we say simply that an Abelian group G is torsion or torsion free, we mean that G is torsion or torsion free as a \mathbb{Z} -module, whereas when we say that G is \mathcal{L} torsion we mean that G is torsion as a module over its endomorphism ring. Our objective therefore is to describe those groups that are \mathcal{L} -torsion. We are able to meet fully this objective for torsion and truly mixed Abelian groups, but only partial results are obtained for torsion-free groups. It perhaps may come as some surprise that torsionfree groups have more propensity for being torsion over their endomorphism rings than do torsion groups, notwithstanding the fact a nonzero torsion-free group of finite rank can never be \mathcal{L} -torsion. In Section 4, we demonstrate how to construct an abundance of countable torsion-free Abelian groups G that are torsion over their endomorphism rings; typically, E(G) is a noncommutative ring in our construction.

Recall that the torsion class \mathcal{T} for Lambek torsion in *R*-Mod is the class generated by the injective hull of *R*. Thus

$$\mathcal{T} = \{T \in R\text{-Mod} : \text{Hom}(T, \overline{R}) = 0\}$$

where \overline{R} denotes the injective hull of R considered as a left module over itself. The following characterisation of \mathcal{T} will prove very useful. If the reader prefers, this characterisation can be taken as the definition of Lambek torsion, so we omit the proof.

PROPOSITION 1. An *R*-module *M* belongs to \mathcal{T} , the Lambek torsion class, if and only if for each $x \in M$ and each nonzero $c \in R$ there exists $r \in R$ such that rx = 0 but $rc \neq 0$.

From Proposition 1, it quickly follows that an Abelian group G is \mathcal{L} -torsion if and only if given any $x \in G$ and any nonzero endomorphism φ of G, there exists an endomorphism π of G for which $\pi(x) = 0$ but $\pi \varphi \neq 0$. (We compose mappings from right to left.)

We shall investigate the Abelian groups that are \mathcal{L} -torsion by cases, distinguishing the cases where G is torsion, mixed, or torsion free. First, however, we establish the following general lemma.

LEMMA 2. If G has a nonzero cyclic endomorphic image as a \mathbb{Z} -module, then G cannot be \mathcal{L} -torsion.

PROOF: Suppose that $\varphi \neq 0$ is an endomorphism of G and that $\varphi(G) = \mathbb{Z}c$ for

257

some nonzero $c \in G$. If π is any endomorphism of G such that $\pi(c) = 0$, then clearly $\pi \varphi = 0$. Therfore, G cannot be \mathcal{L} -torsion.

2. Torsion groups that are \mathcal{L} -torsion

First, observe that the divisible *p*-primary group $\mathbb{Z}(p^{\infty})$ is \mathcal{L} -torsion, for the endomorphism ring of $\mathbb{Z}(p^{\infty})$ has no zero divisors. Thus, the criterion given in Proposition 1 simplifies to the existence of a nonzero endomorphism that annihilates a given element x of the group. This simple example is typical of the general situation.

THEOREM 3. A torsion Abelian group is *L*-torsion if and only if it is divisible.

PROOF: Since a torsion group that is not divisible always has a nonzero cyclic summand, it follows from Lemma 2 that a torsion group G must be divisible in order to be \mathcal{L} -torsion.

Conversely, let G be a divisible torsion group and assume that $x \in G$ and a nonzero $\varphi \in E(G)$ are given. Since $\varphi(G)$ is a divisible group, it contains a divisible subgroup $D \cong \mathbb{Z}(p^{\infty})$ for some prime p. Since D is a summand of G, there is an endomorphism ρ of G (indeed, a projection) that is the identity map on D and maps G onto D. Clearly then there exists a nonzero endomorphism π_0 of $D \cong \mathbb{Z}(p^{\infty})$ for which $\pi_0\rho(x) = 0$, where $\pi_0\rho(G) = D$. Obviously, π_0 can be extended to an endomorphism of G (which we again call π_0). If we now set $\pi = \pi_0\rho$, we have the desired result because $\pi(x) = 0$ and

$$\pi\varphi(G) = \pi_0 \rho\varphi(G) = \pi_0(D) = D$$

implies that $\pi \varphi \neq 0$.

3. Mixed groups that are \mathcal{L} -torsion

In this section, we consider the truly mixed groups – those that are not torsion but have a nontrivial torsion subgroup. We completely determine which ones are \mathcal{L} -torsion.

The next example is illustrative of the general theory for mixed groups.

EXAMPLE 4. Let $G = \mathbb{Z}(p^{\infty}) \oplus \mathbb{Q}$ for some prime p. If φ is a nonzero endomorphism of G, then $\varphi(G)$ is divisible and it is easy to see that there exists a homomorphism ρ from $\varphi(G)$ onto $G_t = \mathbb{Z}(p^{\infty})$, the torsion subgroup of G. Since G_t is injective, ρ can be extended to a mapping from G onto G_t , so there is an endomorphism ρ of G with the property that $\rho\varphi(G) = G_t$. As in the proof of Theorem 3, if $x \in G$, there exists an endomorphism π_0 of G such that $\pi_0\rho(\varphi(G)) = G_t$ and $\pi_0\rho(x) = 0$. Thus, $\pi = \pi_0\rho$ has the desired features, and G is \mathcal{L} -torsion.

We say that a prime p is relevant for an Abelian group G if the p-primary component G_p of the torsion subgroup G_t of G is not zero.

۵

[4]

258

THEOREM 5. Let G be an Abelian group with a nontrivial torsion subgroup G_t . Then G is \mathcal{L} -torsion if and only if

- (1) G_t is divisible, and
- (2) $A \cong G/G_t$ is p-divisible for each relevant prime p of G.

PROOF: First suppose that G is \mathcal{L} -torsion. By Lemma 2, G_t must be divisible, for otherwise G has a nonzero cyclic summand (and therefore a nonzero cyclic endomorphic image). Let $G = G_t \oplus A$ and suppose p is any relevant prime for G. If A were not p-divisible, then $A/pA \neq 0$. Therefore, there would be a nonzero homomorphism from A/pA into G_t . Consequently, we would have a nonzero homomorphism from A into G[p]. Since A is a summand of G, this leads quickly to a nonzero endomorphism whose image is a cyclic subgroup of G[p]. But this is impossible since it would preclude G from being \mathcal{L} -torsion by Lemma 2.

Conversely, suppose that G satifies conditions (1) and (2) and that $x \in G$ and a nonzero $\varphi \in E(G)$ are given. We claim that there exists a subgroup $D \cong \mathbb{Z}(p^{\infty})$ of G and an endomorphism ρ of G that maps both G and $\varphi(G)$ onto D. Since D is divisible, to prove this claim it suffices to demonstrate the existence of a homomorphism ρ from $\varphi(G)$ onto D, because such a ρ can always be extended to a homomorphism from G onto D. If $\varphi(G)$ has torsion, then it has a summand $D \cong \mathbb{Z}(p^{\infty})$ for some p and we can take $\rho : \varphi(G) \to D$ to be the projection associated with a decomposition $\varphi(G) = D \oplus H$ of $\varphi(G)$. If $\varphi(G)$ is torsion free, it is a torsion-free subgroup that is p-divisible for any relevant prime p for G, and there is at least one such p. For a fixed relevant prime p, choose a subgroup $D \cong \mathbb{Z}(p^{\infty})$ of G_t . Since $\varphi(G)$ onto D. Thus, we have verified the claim. Now, as in the proof of Theorem 3, there is an endomorphism π_0 of G such that $\pi_0(D) = D$ and $\pi_0\rho(x) = 0$. Therefore, $\pi = \pi_0\rho$ has the properties required to demonstrate that G is \mathcal{L} -torsion.

REMARK. Even though Theorem 3 may be viewed as a special case of Theorem 5, the two cases are distinguished inasmuch as the proof of Theorem 5 uses the proof of Theorem 3.

4. Torsion-free groups that are \mathcal{L} -torsion

The first question here is the following. Can a nonzero torsion-free group be \mathcal{L} -torsion? The next two results suggest a negative answer.

PROPOSITION 6. No torsion-free group that is \mathcal{L} -torsion can have a nonzero endomorphic image of finite rank.

PROOF: Let G be a torsion-free group that is \mathcal{L} -torsion, and suppose to the contrary that G has a nonzero endomorphic image of finite rank. Among all nonzero endomorphisms of G, choose φ so that $\varphi(G)$ has smallest possible rank n. Select any nonzero $x \in \varphi(G)$. Since G is \mathcal{L} -torsion, there is an endomorphim π of G such that $\pi(x) = 0$, but $\pi\varphi \neq 0$. Clearly, $\pi\varphi(G)$ has rank less than n, a contradiction on the choice of φ .

COROLLARY 7. A nonzero torsion-free group of finite rank cannot be *L*-torsion.

The above results notwithstanding, there are many torsion-free groups of countable rank that are \mathcal{L} -torsion. Indeed, as mentioned in Section 1, a result in [4] provides such an example. However, the example constructed there could be considered as a somewhat atypical torsion-free group of infinite rank since its endomorphism ring is an integral domain. In this section, we show that torsion-free groups of infinite rank that are \mathcal{L} -torsion are not at all uncommon; in fact, suitable direct sums of what we call infinite rank torsion-free groups of type 1 always turn out to be \mathcal{L} -torsion.

Recall that a *cotype* of a torsion-free group G is the type of a torsion-free homomorphic image A of rank 1. We identify A with its type, and thus regard A itself as a cotype of G. Moreover, there is no loss in assuming that each cotype of G is a nonzero subgroup of \mathbb{Q} . For the statement of our next result, it will be convenient to have the following terminology.

DEFINITION 8: Suppose that G is a torsion-free group of finite rank and that P is the set consisting of those primes p such that G has a cotype with ∞ at p. Then, we say that G satisfies the *cotype condition* if P is not cofinite in the set of all primes.

PROPOSITION 9. Suppose that G_n is a torsion-free group of finite rank n that satisfies the cotype condition. Then, G_n is contained as a pure subgroup in a torsion-free group G_{n+1} of rank n+1 such that G_{n+1} satisfies the cotype condition and no cotype of G_{n+1} is less than or equal to a cotype of G_n .

PROOF: Let $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\}$ be a maximal \mathbb{Z} -independent subset of G_n . Thus,

$$G_n = \langle x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \rangle_*$$

where H_* represents the purification in G_n of a subgroup H. Let P_n be the (possibly empty) set of primes p for which G_n has a cotype with ∞ at the prime p. Choose n+1 distinct primes $q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_{n+1}$ not contained in P_n and set

$$G_{n+1} = \left\langle G_n, \frac{x_{n+1}}{q_{n+1}^k}, \frac{x_i + x_{n+1}}{q_i^k} \right\rangle_{k < \omega, 1 \le i \le n}$$

where x_{n+1} is a new generator. More precisely,

$$G_{n+1} = \langle G_n, y_k, z_{i,k} \rangle_{k < \omega, 1 \le i \le n}$$

subject to the relations

$$y_0 = x_{n+1}, \quad q_{n+1}y_k = y_{k-1} \text{ if } k \ge 1,$$

$$z_{i,0} = x_i + x_{n+1} \quad \text{if } 1 \le i \le n, \quad q_i z_{i,k} = z_{i,k-1} \text{ if } k \ge 1 \text{ and } 1 \le i \le n.$$

Clearly, G_{n+1} has rank n+1. Note also that G_n is pure in G_{n+1} since G_{n+1}/G_n is torsion free. Moreover, G_{n+1} satisfies the cotype condition. Indeed, if B is a cotype of G_{n+1} , then the type of B can have ∞ only at primes in the set $P_{n+1} = P_n \cup \{q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_{n+1}\}$ and P_{n+1} is not cofinite in the set of all primes.

It remains to show that no cotype of G_{n+1} is less than or equal to a cotype of G_n . Let A be a cotype of G_n and first observe that the cotype G_{n+1}/G_n of G_{n+1} is not less than or equal to A. Indeed, the coset $x_{n+1}+G_n$ is a nonzero element of G_{n+1}/G_n with infinite q_{n+1} -height, and $q_{n+1} \notin P_n$. Therefore, it is enough to show that no nonzero map from G_n into A can be extended to a map from G_{n+1} into A. So, suppose to the contrary that $\varphi: G_n \to A$ is a nonzero map that extends to G_{n+1} . Then, the extension must map x_{n+1} to 0 since x_{n+1} has infinite q_{n+1} -height in G_{n+1} , but no nonzero element of A has infinite q_{n+1} -height because $q_{n+1} \notin P_n$. Consequently, for $i \leq n$, x_i must also map to 0, for otherwise $x_i + x_{n+1}$ would not map to 0. But this is impossible since $x_i + x_{n+1}$ has infinite q_i -height in G_{n+1} , whereas no element of A has infinite q_i -height because $q_i \notin P_n$. Thus $\varphi = 0$, which contradicts the choice of φ .

DEFINITION 10: Call a torsion-free group G of infinite rank an infinite rank group of type 1 if no cotype of G is a cotype of a nonzero finite rank subgroup of G.

Our next result effectively establishes an abundance of infinite rank groups of type 1.

THEOREM 11. Let G be the union of a sequence of subgroups G_n such that G_n and G_{n+1} satisfy the hypothesis and conclusion, respectively, of Proposition 9 for all $n < \omega$. Then G is an infinite rank group of type 1.

PROOF: Assume that B = G/H is a cotype of G, and suppose to the contrary that B is also a cotype of a finite rank subgroup F of G. Then, $F \subseteq G_n$ for some n and, by selecting n large enough, we may assume that G_{n+1} is not contained in H. Since $F \subseteq G_n$ and since B is a cotype of F, then B is less than or equal to a cotype of G_n . Indeed, because $B \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$, any epimorphism $\varphi: F \to B$ can be extended to a homomorphism from G_n into \mathbb{Q} (whose image contains B). Therefore, G_{n+1} does not have a cotype less than or equal to B. However, this is impossible because $G_{n+1}/(G_{n+1} \cap H)$ is a cotype of G_{n+1} and

$$G_{n+1}/(G_{n+1}\cap H)\cong (G_{n+1}+H)/H\subseteq G/H=B.$$

Thus, G must be an infinite rank group of type 1.

Abelian groups

THEOREM 12. Suppose G is an infinite rank group of type 1, and let \mathcal{F} be the set of all finite rank pure subgroups of G. Then

$$G' = \bigoplus_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \left(\bigoplus_{\aleph_0} \left(G/F \right) \right)$$

is a torsion-free group that is \mathcal{L} -torsion.

PROOF: Suppose that φ is a nonzero endomorphism of G'. We claim that the image $\varphi(G')$ must have infinite rank. Indeed, if this is not the case, there exist $F_1, F_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ such that φ induces a nonzero map

$$\varphi_{(F_1,F_2)}: G/F_1 \to G/F_2$$

with a nonzero finite rank torsion-free image. Thus, $\varphi_{(F_1,F_2)}(G/F_1) = A/F_2$, where A is a nonzero finite rank subgroup of G that contains F_2 . Now note that if B is a cotype of A/F_2 , then B is a cotype of A. This is because there is a composition of surjective maps

$$A \twoheadrightarrow A/F_2 \twoheadrightarrow B$$

Likewise, there is a composition of surjective maps

$$G \twoheadrightarrow G/F_1 \twoheadrightarrow A/F_2 \twoheadrightarrow B$$

which shows that B is a cotype of G; that is, G and its finite rank subgroup A share the common cotype B. However, this contradicts the hypothesis that G is an infinite rank group of type 1, and thus establishes the claim.

Now suppose that $x \in G'$ and write

$$x = (x_1 + F_1) + (x_2 + F_2) + \dots + (x_n + F_n)$$

where all the x_i 's are in G and F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_n are (not necessarily distinct) elements of \mathcal{F} . Observe that

$$G' = (G/F_1) \oplus (G/F_2) \oplus \cdots \oplus (G/F_n) \oplus H.$$

Also,

$$G' = (G/\langle F_1, x_1 \rangle_*) \oplus (G/\langle F_2, x_2 \rangle_*) \oplus \cdots \oplus (G/\langle F_n, x_n \rangle_*) \oplus K$$

(where * indicates purification in G). Since $H \cong G' \cong K$ and since there is a natural map from G/F_i onto $G/\langle F_i, x_i \rangle_*$ for each *i*, there must be an endomorphism π of G' such that π has finite rank kernel and $\pi(x) = 0$. Because φ has an infinite rank image, $\pi \varphi \neq 0$. Therefore, G' is \mathcal{L} -torsion.

Applications of Theorems 11 and 12 yield the following.

COROLLARY 13. There exist countable torsion-free groups G such that G is \mathcal{L} -torsion, and E(G) is a noncommutative ring with zero divisors.

COROLLARY 14. Every infinite rank group of type 1 is a direct summand of a torsion-free Abelian group that is \mathcal{L} -torsion.

REMARK. In connection with the preceding corollary, it is easily seen that an infinite rank torsion-free homomorphic image of an infinite rank group of type 1 is also such a group. Therefore, the group G' constructed in Theorem 12 is a direct sum of infinite rank groups of type 1.

In [7], the Continuum Hypothesis was invoked to construct an \aleph_1 -free group that does not have \mathbb{Z} as a homomorphic image. It may be of interest to observe that such groups can also be used to construct torsion-free groups that are \mathcal{L} -torsion.

PROPOSITION 15. Suppose that G is an \aleph_1 -free group that does not have \mathbb{Z} as a homomorphic image. If \mathcal{F} denotes the set of all finite rank pure subgroups of G, then

$$G' = \bigoplus_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \left(\bigoplus_{\aleph_0} \left(G/F \right) \right)$$

is \mathcal{L} -torsion.

PROOF: The first part of the proof of Theorem 12 can be adapted to show that a nonzero endomorphism φ of G' must have an infinite rank image. Indeed, if this were not the case, \mathbb{Z} would then be a homomorphic image of G. Moreover, for a given $x \in G'$, the construction of a suitable endomorphism π is done exactly as in Theorem 12.

References

- U. Albrecht and H.P. Goeters, 'Almost flat abelian groups', Rocky Mountain J. Math. 25 (1995), 827-842.
- [2] D.M. Arnold and E.L. Lady, 'Endomorphism rings and direct sums of torsion-free abelian groups', Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 211 (1975), 225-237.
- [3] A.L.S. Corner, 'Every countable reduced torsion-free ring is an endomorphism ring', Proc. London Math. Soc. 13 (1963), 687-710.
- [4] T. Faticoni, 'Torsion-free abelian groups torsion over their endomorphism rings', Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 50 (1994), 177-195.
- [5] T. Faticoni and H. P. Goeters, 'Examples of torsion-free groups flat as modules over their endomorphism rings', Comm. Algebra 19 (1991), 1-27.
- [6] J. Golan, Torsion theories, Pitman Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics 29 (J. Wiley & Sons, New York, 1986).
- P. Hill, 'A note on extensions of free groups by torsion groups', Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 27 (1971), 24-28.

Abelian groups

- [8] G.P. Niedzwecki and J.D. Reid, 'Abelian groups finitely generated and projective over their endomorphism rings', J. Algebra 159 (1993), 139-143.
- K.M. Rangaswamy, 'Separable abelian groups as modules over their endomorphism rings', Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 91 (1984), 195-198.
- [10] J.D. Reid, 'Abelian groups finitely generated over their endomorphism rings', in Abelian Group Theory, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 874 (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1981), pp. 41-52.
- [11] J.D. Reid, 'Abelian groups cyclic over their endomorphism rings', in Abelian Group Theory, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1006 (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1983), pp. 190-203.
- [12] F. Richman and E. Walker, 'Primary abelian groups as modules over their endomorphism rings', Math. Z. 89 (1965), 77-81.
- [13] F. Richman and E. Walker, 'Homological dimension of abelian groups over their endomorphism ring', Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 54 (1976), 65-68.
- [14] C. Vinsonhaler, 'The divisible and E-injective hulls of an abelian group', in Abelian Groups and Modules (Udine, 1984) (Springer-Verlag, Wien, New York, 1984), pp. 163-179.

Department of Mathematics Yale University New Haven, CT 06520 United States of America e-mail: jhill@math.yale.edu

Department of Mathematics Auburn University Auburn, AL 36849 United States of America e-mail: ullery@math.auburn.edu Department of Mathematics Auburn University Auburn, AL 36849 United States of America e-mail: hillpad@math.auburn.edu

[9]