

Diversity and diel activity patterns of terrestrial mammals in the Nkuba Conservation Area, Democratic Republic of the Congo

YNTZE VAN DER HOEK, ESCOBAR BINYINYI, URBAIN NGOBOBO
TARA S. STOINSKI and DAMIEN CAILLAUD

Abstract The Congo basin forests have vast conservation potential but because of their inaccessibility and periodic insecurity there is little formal protection or ecological research occurring there. Community-based conservation efforts in the unprotected forest corridor separating Kahuzi-Biega and Maiko National Parks in eastern Democratic Republic of the Congo aim to protect a unique forest ecosystem and facilitate the development of ecological research. To support this process, we obtained baseline data on the occurrence of terrestrial mammals in the Nkuba Conservation Area by conducting camera-trap (2014–2018) and transect (2013–2018) surveys. From camera-trap images we also extracted diel activity patterns and estimated overlap in these patterns between selected pairs of species. We identified 29 mammal species weighing > 1 kg using camera traps and 22 species in transect surveys, with a total of 33 mammal species, of which seven are categorized as threatened on the IUCN Red List. Among this mammalian community, we recorded nocturnal and diurnal species with short core activity periods, and several cathemeral species with long activity periods, with various degrees of temporal separation of diel activity between species. The presence of threatened species, including the Critically Endangered Grauer's gorilla *Gorilla beringei graueri*, suggests that the Nkuba Conservation Area harbours a forest community that requires continuous monitoring, further research and investment in protection from the ongoing deforestation and resource exploitation occurring in the surrounding region.

Keywords Camera traps, chimpanzee, community-based conservation, Congo basin, diel activity patterns, *Gorilla beringei graueri*, Grauer's gorilla, *Pan troglodytes schweinfurthii*

Supplementary material for this article is available at doi.org/10.1017/S003060532100106X

YNTZE VAN DER HOEK (Corresponding author, orcid.org/0000-0003-3979-2896, yvanderhoek@gorillafund.org), ESCOBAR BINYINYI, URBAIN NGOBOBO and TARA S. STOINSKI The Dian Fossey Gorilla Fund International, Musanze, Rwanda, and Goma, Democratic Republic of the Congo

DAMIEN CAILLAUD (orcid.org/0000-0002-8347-6869) Department of Anthropology, University of California, Davis, USA

Received 16 April 2021. Revision requested 28 June 2021.

Accepted 30 July 2021. First published online 16 February 2022.

Introduction

The primary forests of the Congo basin, a vast area that encompasses large parts of six Central African countries, are of special interest for carbon sequestration and climate change mitigation (Justice et al., 2001; Xu et al., 2017), as well as for biodiversity conservation (e.g. Gibson et al., 2011). However, there is a lack of base data on the biodiversity of this region (Gibson et al., 2011; Verbeeck et al., 2011). Such data are required for effective conservation as this region is subject to deforestation, forest degradation and other anthropogenic pressures (Nasi et al., 2011; Potapov et al., 2012; Ernst et al., 2013; Megevand et al., 2013). We acknowledge the value of local knowledge (Alempijevic et al., 2021) but we refer here to documented evidence in databases, specimen collections or publications.

The lack of ecological research in the eastern part of the Congo basin, particularly in the Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC), arises from logistical challenges and periods of armed conflicts and political instability (Draulans & Van Krunkelsven, 2002; Anthony et al., 2015; Butsic et al., 2015). These challenges extend to the protection of biodiversity and ecosystems in formally recognized protected areas, historically a key tool for conservation. Threats include encroachment by artisanal mining, increases in illegal bushmeat hunting, ivory extraction, a lack of political and financial support for protected area management, armed conflicts and attacks on protected area staff (Draulans & Van Krunkelsven, 2002; de Merode & Cowlishaw, 2006; Beyers et al., 2011; Butsic et al., 2015; Verweijen & Marijnen, 2018).

Given the challenges to conservation and research in formally protected areas in DRC, community-based conservation initiatives may be considered for both the protection of biodiversity and the facilitation of ecological field research (Baghai et al., 2018). The effectiveness and sustainability of such conservation efforts have been debated and depend largely on the socio-economic model employed (Pagdee et al., 2006) and financial considerations (Lescuyer et al., 2019). Community-based conservation models need to be tailored to local environments and species to be effective, especially when considering sustainable human use of forest resources (Vermeulen et al., 2009). For this, improved documentation of biodiversity is required for these largely unexplored forests (Anthony et al., 2015), a task for which camera-trap surveys are particularly useful (Tobler et al.,

2008; Mugerwa et al., 2013; Agha et al., 2018; Bruce et al., 2018).

Here, we present the results of a multi-year survey of the mammalian fauna of a community-managed forest in eastern DRC, the Nkuba Conservation Area (hereafter Nkuba), with camera traps and transects. This area was initially selected for collaborative conservation efforts because of the presence of two globally threatened great apes, the eastern chimpanzee *Pan troglodytes schweinfurthii* and Grauer's gorilla *Gorilla beringei graueri*, the ranges of which are only marginally included in protected areas (Plumptre et al., 2016). By providing a list of mammals present in this forest, we provide an insight into the conservation value of this area, and baseline data for future research and management. Our surveys also provide insights into the diel activity patterns of species, which may be of value for comparative studies across locations and communities (Frey et al., 2017; Mills et al., 2019). We did not have specific predictions for activity patterns but expected to find both nocturnal and diurnal species, with at least some species that are likely to have antagonistic interactions (e.g. predators and prey, or food competitors) partially separated by non-overlapping diel activity patterns (Mills et al., 2019).

Although our surveys were originally designed to study the Critically Endangered Grauer's gorilla (Plumptre et al., 2016), they provide a relatively complete overview of the terrestrial mammal community of the area, including the presence of other threatened species, indicating the high conservation value of these forests (Díaz et al., 2020). These insights are unique for eastern DRC, where camera-trap studies are as yet uncommon in comparison to other areas of sub-Saharan Africa (e.g. Mugerwa et al., 2013; Bruce et al., 2018).

Study area

The Nkuba Conservation Area encompasses a vast tract of primary lowland evergreen rainforest in western Nord Kivu province of DRC (Fig. 1). The area covers c. 1,300 km², of which c. 1,100 km² is officially recognized as a local community forest concession (Lescuyer et al., 2019). There are no roads passable by motorized vehicles and no permanent human settlements, although there are several temporary artisanal mining settlements and hunting camps. Hunting, historically focused on subsistence, is ongoing but there is limited commercialization (Dian Fossey Gorilla Fund International, pers. obs., 2021). Exceptions are the harvest of the African green pigeon *Treron calvus* and grey parrot *Psittacus erithacus*, the latter categorized as Endangered on the IUCN Red List (BirdLife International, 2018), which are sold locally for consumption and at more distant markets for the pet trade, respectively, and, formerly, hunting of the African forest elephant *Loxodonta cyclotis* for the ivory trade.

There is a buffer zone of secondary forest and crop fields between the Lowa river that serves as the northern boundary




FIG. 1 Location of the Nkuba Conservation Area in eastern Democratic Republic of the Congo.

of Nkuba and the nearest road and larger settlements (of > 100 people, particularly the village of Nkuba). There are no local climate records, but interpolations from regional data indicate that mean annual temperatures are 20–27 °C and mean annual precipitation 2,100–2,500 mm (Karger et al., 2017a,b).

Methods

Camera-trap surveys

We initially planned to space cameras c. 300 m apart, in a 4 × 3 grid across a relatively small area in the centre of Nkuba, chosen to maximize captures of Grauer's gorillas (Fig. 2). However, in practice we often placed cameras off this grid, following indirect observations of animal presence (e.g. trails, faeces) or the presence of fruit trees, with the restriction that cameras were at least 50 m apart (Fig. 2). We started with 12 cameras in 2014, which were placed at a different location approximately every year, but doubled the number of cameras in 2016. Cameras were installed and memory cards and batteries changed by field teams comprising various personnel; EB, UN and DC supervised the initial installation, and YvdH was involved from 2018.

We used automatic digital infrared Bushnell (Bushnell, Overland Park, USA) and Reconyx (Reconyx, Holmen, USA) camera traps mounted 25–50 cm above the ground. We set cameras to take either high sensitivity and continuously triggered photographs, with a 1-s interval between consecutive images, or videos. We used a GPS with an accuracy of c. 15 m to record the altitude and location of each camera trap.

Images were initially checked for the presence of animals by four volunteers and YvdH, a team that also made preliminary identifications based on Kingdon (2013) and various articles and online resources (e.g. Bruce et al., 2018). During this




FIG. 2 Locations of (a) transects surveyed during 2013–2014 and 2015–2018, and (b) camera-trap surveys during 2014–2018 in Nkuba Conservation Area (Fig. 1).

process, we excluded images without any animals and those of particularly low quality or with unidentifiable organisms (e.g. because they were blurred). The final identification was made by YvdH, where necessary in consultation with experts on various taxa (see Acknowledgments).

We focused on predominantly terrestrial mammals > 1 kg. We note the presence of birds, reptiles, and smaller-bodied or arboreal mammals without providing further details on their numbers. We chose 1 kg as the cut-off following initial identifications, recognizing that most smaller species (e.g. mice) could not be confidently identified. We were able to identify two small mammals weighing < 1 kg, but we omitted them from most of our analyses as we may have failed to detect and identify them accurately in all camera images. For mammal taxonomy, we referred to Kingdon et al. (2013).

Transect surveys

To complement the camera-trap data, which were obtained from a relatively small section of Nkuba, we also used records of direct observations, tracks, nest sites or faecal signs of mammals obtained in transect surveys across Nkuba in 2013–2014 and 2015–2018 (Fig. 2). These transects, which were 3–4 km long and 1.5 km apart, were walked slowly by 3–6 observers who recorded identifiable animal signs and locations, with a GPS. Over 20 individuals were involved as observers, with EB supervising the surveys and UN and DC supervising fieldwork and the survey protocol.

Because of logistical challenges, we were unable to maintain a systematic annual monitoring protocol, making adjustments from year to year. In 2013–2014, we walked 91 transects, each 4 km long, once each during March–December, with the team leader following the transect line and other observers walking in parallel 5–10 m from this line. We repeated these surveys between December 2015 and February 2018, but changed the location of some transects and curtailed the length of transects to 3 km.

Identification to species was unreliable for duikers of the genus *Cephalophus* except the large-bodied yellow-backed duiker *Cephalophus silvicultor*. Thus, for *Cephalophus* species except the yellow-backed duiker we listed visual observations of each species but listed all other signs as

Cephalophus sp. As with the camera-trap surveys, we focused on mammals > 1 kg.

Statistical analyses

Consecutive images of the same species within 1 hour at a camera-trap station were considered a single event (event hour, following Tobler et al., 2008). Although we provide summaries of the number of individuals recorded in camera-trap events, we counted the recording of multiple individuals of the same species in an image as one event. We calculated the capture frequency of a given species as the number of events in which a species was present/100 camera days. We also summarized camera events per species by the number of event hours and event days (i.e. the number of days over which a species was recorded).

To estimate species richness for large (> 1 kg) terrestrial mammals we used the *vegan* package (Oksanen et al., 2007) in R 4.1.1 (R Core Team, 2020) to compute, with camera-trap days as the sampling unit, the mean of the first- and second-order jackknife estimates of 1,000 permutations, following suggestions that these species richness estimators are more accurate than alternative estimators such as Chao (Tobler et al., 2008). We also created species rarefaction curves using the exact method and first-order jackknife estimates outlined by Oksanen et al. (2007). We repeated these analyses for the transects, with transect as the sampling unit.

We examined diel patterns of activity using the camera-trap images. Firstly, we estimated the circular kernel density distribution of the activity patterns of all mammals for which we had at least 5 event hours, using the *circular* package in R (Oliveira-Santos et al., 2013; Agostinelli & Lund, 2017). From this, we calculated the 95% (general activity period) and 50% (core activity period) isopleth for each species, using the *modal.region.circular* function in the *circular* package and a bandwidth, κ , of 5. This bandwidth corresponds with that used by Oliveira-Santos et al. (2013) and was estimated using the *getBandWidth* function of the *overlap* package in R (Ridout & Linkie, 2009). We plotted these estimated activity patterns with the *densityPlot* function of the *overlap* package. We used the core activity period to determine whether a species was predominantly nocturnal (18.00–6.00), crepuscular (5.00–6.00/18.00–19.00;

from the beginning of astronomical twilight to sunrise/ from sunset to the end of astronomical twilight), diurnal (6.00–18.00), or cathemeral (active throughout the daily cycle).

After determination of these activity periods, we estimated the range of time over which a species was active (i.e. the range of 95 and 50% isopleths) and the activity level. We calculated the activity level, which corresponds to the proportion of a day a species is active (0 to 1), using the *activity* package in R (Rowcliffe, 2016), following Rowcliffe et al. (2014) and Mills et al. (2019). We ran 1,000 bootstrap iterations of this activity estimation, from which we derived the standard error and 95% confidence intervals. We estimated these activity levels for all terrestrial mammals > 1 kg for which we recorded > 2 event hours, even though these estimates are sensitive to sample size and may require ≥ 100 event hours to stabilize (Lashley et al., 2018).

We examined overlap (Δ in Ridout & Linkie, 2009) in the activity patterns of selected groups of mammals: (1) between carnivores, (2) between the two largest carnivores in Nkuba and five of their potential prey species, (3) between three duiker species, and (4) between chimpanzees and gorillas. We chose these species as the sample size for each was > 15. This is less than the ≥ 100 threshold recommend for such studies (Lashley et al., 2018) but enabled convergence of the *totalvariation.circular* function in the *circular* package. An additional reason to select these species is that they are potentially antagonistic in behaviour (competitors or prey-predator pairs) and may require temporal separation to coexist. We estimated overlap for both 95 and 50% activity isopleths, with overlap = 0 implying complete separation of diel activity pattern between two species and overlap = 1 indicating full overlap of activity patterns.

We noted the presence of any infants and the maximum number of individuals in a single camera-trap event. For the latter, we considered the total number of individuals present in a single video, photograph, or the cumulative number over a series of photographs. An example of such a series would be a continuous series of photographs of a group moving through a camera trap's captured area. Individuals arriving in this scene would need to be different from those leaving the scene (e.g. silverback vs infant gorilla). We stopped counting individuals of a group when a series of consecutive photographs was interrupted by an empty photograph, photographs of other species, or a gap to the next photograph of > 1 s. This implies that these counts are a minimum estimate of group size.

Results

Camera-trap surveys

During 2014–2018, we set cameras at 136 locations and recorded for 3–329 days at each (median 77 \pm SD 76 days),

for a total of 16,414 camera-trap days. We obtained records of 5,686 hourly camera-trap events, of which 4,107 events were of identifiable species and 3,669 events were of mammals > 1 kg identifiable to species. In total, we recorded 29 mammal species > 1 kg in our camera traps, along with other species (Table 1, Supplementary Fig. 1, Supplementary Table 1). Three species (the Vulnerable owl-faced monkey *Cercopithecus hamlyni*, 1,487 events; blue duiker *Philantomba monticola*, 674; giant pouched rat *Cricetomys* sp., 617), together accounted for > 50% of the hourly events, whereas other species, such as the African civet *Civettictis civetta*, were recorded only once. We recorded seven species categorized as threatened on the IUCN Red List (IUCN, 2020) in 1,355 hourly events, of which 56 and 148 were of gorillas and chimpanzees, respectively.

Transect surveys

During 2013–2018, we recorded 7,521 signs (7,285 indirect and 236 direct observations) of medium- and large-sized mammals (Table 1). We recorded at least 22 terrestrial mammal species of which six are categorized as threatened on the IUCN Red List (IUCN, 2020). Duikers accounted for 5,438 (c. 73%) records. We recorded 374 gorilla and 208 chimpanzee signs. Not all species recorded by camera traps were also recorded along the transects. This is probably because of failure to identify signs of certain species (e.g. signs of Weyns's duiker *Cephalophus weynsi* may have been listed as *Cephalophus* sp.) or the fact that recording signs of smaller species (e.g. mongoose of the genera *Atilax* and *Herpestes*) was not a priority for the transect survey.

Species richness

Species rarefaction curves (Fig. 3) and species richness estimates indicate that additional surveys using the same methods would not have recorded many additional species. We recorded a total of 33 terrestrial mammals > 1 kg with the two survey methods combined (29 with camera traps, 22 with transects), and estimated species richness is $32.0 \pm$ SD 1.4 and $22.5 \pm$ SD 8.5 for the camera-trap and transect surveys, respectively.

Diel activity patterns and records of infants

Of the 26 mammals for which we had sufficient records of hourly events to model diel activity, we found that the 50% core activity of 10 species was largely nocturnal, 12 were largely diurnal, and four were cathemeral (Fig. 4, Supplementary Table 2). No species were strictly crepuscular, but the 50% isopleth of core activity of 10 species overlapped with hours of dawn (5.00–6.00) or dusk (18.00–19.00). Fourteen species showed bimodal or trimodal core activity

TABLE 1 Mammals ≥ 1 kg recorded by camera traps and along transects in the Nkuba Conservation Area, with their IUCN Red List status and details of camera-trapping rates and/or sign counts. Blank cells indicate species not recorded; NA indicates species recorded but not counted.

Species (by family)	Red List status ¹	Number of individuals (max. in one event)	Camera event hours ²	Camera event days ³	Cameras ⁴	Trapping rate/100 days	Signs on transects (of which direct observation)
Nesomyidae							
Giant pouched rat <i>Cricetomys</i> sp.	LC	617 (3)	615	494	109	3.01	
Hystricidae							
African brush-tailed porcupine <i>Atherurus africanus</i>	LC	334 (2)	326	264	56	1.61	
Felidae							
African golden cat <i>Caracal aurata</i>	VU	37 (1)	37	36	31	0.22	1 (0)
Leopard <i>Panthera pardus</i>	VU	26 (1)	26	24	20	0.15	20 (0)
Viverridae							
Servaline genet <i>Genetta servalina</i>	LC	72 (2)	70	68	46	0.41	
Unidentified genet <i>Genetta</i> sp.		15	16	16	14	0.10	
African civet <i>Civettictis civetta</i>	LC	1 (1)	1	1	1	0.01	10 (2)
Mustelidae							
Spotted-necked otter <i>Hydrictis maculicollis</i>	NT	4 (4)	1	1	1	0.01	8 (1)
Honey badger <i>Mellivora capensis</i>	LC	33 (2)	29	29	17	0.18	
Herpestidae							
Marsh mongoose <i>Atilax paludinosus</i>	LC	36 (2)	35	34	12	0.22	
Long-nosed mongoose <i>Herpestes naso</i>	LC	15 (1)	15	15	6	0.09	
Unidentified mongoose		81	71	65	31	0.40	
Alexander's cusimanse <i>Crossarchus alexandri</i>	LC	9 (4)	4	3	3	0.02	
Manidae							
Giant ground pangolin <i>Smutsia gigantea</i>	EN	25 (1)	25	25	20	0.15	162 (0)
White-bellied pangolin <i>Phataginus tricuspis</i>	EN	22 (1)	22	22	17	0.13	
Suidae							
Red river hog <i>Potamochoerus porcus</i>	LC	171 (7)	85	67	38	0.41	509 (2)
Orycteropodidae							
Aardvark <i>Orycteropus afer</i>	LC	11 (1)	11	11	10	0.07	140 (0)
Tragulidae							
Water chevrotain <i>Hyemoschus aquaticus</i>	LC	13 (2)	12	11	2	0.07	81 (1)
Hominidae							
Grauer's gorilla <i>Gorilla beringei graueri</i>	CR	141 (12)	56	47	34	0.29	374 (4)
Eastern chimpanzee <i>Pan troglodytes schweinfurthii</i>	EN	263 (6)	148	130	49	0.79	208 (17)
Cercopithecidae							
Red-tailed monkey <i>Cercopithecus ascanius</i>	LC	2 (2)	1	1	1	0.01	113 (85)
Owl-faced monkey <i>Cercopithecus hamlyni</i>	VU	1,487 (7)	1,041	711	133	4.33	93 (19)
Unidentified guenon <i>Cercopithecus</i> sp.		34	26	26	20	0.16	33 (18)
Unidentified monkey							12 (8)
Blue monkey <i>Cercopithecus mitis</i>	LC						58 (40)
Dent's monkey <i>Cercopithecus denti</i>	LC						17 (16)

TABLE 1 (Cont.)

Species (by family)	Red List status ¹	Number of individuals (max. in one event)	Camera event hours ²	Camera event days ³	Cameras ⁴	Trapping rate/100 days	Signs on transects (of which direct observation)
Bovidae							
African forest buffalo <i>Syncerus caffer nanus</i>	LC	20 (5)	10	10	3	0.06	153
Black-fronted duiker <i>Cephalophus nigrifrons</i>	LC	12 (2)	11	11	7	0.07	10 (10)
Weyns's duiker <i>Cephalophus weynsi</i>	LC	7 (1)	7	7	2	0.04	
Bay duiker <i>Cephalophus dorsalis</i>	NT	241 (2)	238	201	79	1.22	6 (6)
Unidentified red duiker <i>Cephalophus</i> sp.		288	283	234	69	1.43	2,550 (0)
Yellow-backed duiker <i>Cephalophus silvicultor</i>	NT	150 (2)	144	123	58	0.75	1,857 (6)
Blue duiker <i>Philantomba monticola</i>	LC	674 (3)	573	414	94	2.52	1,267 (7)
Sitatunga <i>Tragelaphus spektii</i>	LC	2 (1)	2	2	2	0.01	5 (0)
Bongo <i>Tragelaphus euryceros</i>	NT	8 (1)	8	8	6	0.05	43 (0)
Bates' pygmy antelope <i>Neotragus batesi</i>	LC	4 (1)	4	4	3	0.02	

¹LC, Least Concern; NT, Near Threatened; VU, Vulnerable; EN, Endangered; CR, Critically Endangered.

²Number of records within 1 hour at a camera-trap station.

³Number of days on which a species was recorded.

⁴Number of cameras, of a total of 167, at which a species was recorded.

periods. For some species, these multimodal distributions of diel activity are periods of core activity before and after a resting period, such as the paucity in activity near midday for the chimpanzee. But, for a species such as the servaline genet *Genetta servalina*, whose lowered activity between bimodal peaks in core activity coincided with the core activity period of the potentially dominant African golden cat *Caracal aurata*, this pattern is less clear-cut as the sample size did not reach the threshold of 100 above which estimates of activity periods stabilize.

Cathemeral species had long core activity periods and high activity levels, with African golden cats (range 9.35 h, activity level $0.572 \pm \text{SE } 0.108$), leopards *Panthera pardus* (8.20 h, 0.564 ± 0.104), and red river hogs *Potamochoerus porcus* (8.93 h, 0.567 ± 0.095) having the longest activity periods (Supplementary Table 2). The large standard errors for some species reflect the small sample sizes, although additional samples would probably only increase the length of activity periods. Of species with ≥ 100 event hours, the African brush-tailed porcupine *Atherurus africanus* had the shortest (3.78 h, 0.416 ± 0.026) and the yellow-backed duiker (7.30 h, 0.510 ± 0.061) the longest core activity period. The mean core activity period of all seven species with a sample size of ≥ 100 was 5.53 h (0.427 ± 0.04).

The largest carnivores in Nkuba, the leopard and African golden cat, largely overlapped in diel activity (0.75 for the 95% isopleths; 0.41 for the 50%; Supplementary Table 3, Fig. 5). The overlap of both species with other carnivores was lower (< 0.65 for the 95% isopleths for all species pairs; < 0.36 for all 50% isopleth comparisons). As expected, overlap was higher between species active during similar times of day (e.g. marsh mongoose *Atilax paludinosus* and long-nosed mongoose *Herpestes naso*) than it was for species with separate activity periods (e.g. honey badger *Mellivora capensis* and servaline genet). Yet, even species active during similar times of day differed in the hours of peak activity. For example, chimpanzees and gorillas were both most active during daylight hours, but the peak core activity of the chimpanzees was nearly equally distributed across morning and afternoon whereas gorillas were particularly active during the late afternoon (Supplementary Table 2, Figs 4 & 5). This resulted in a large overlap between periods of general activity (0.82) but a smaller one for core activity (0.42).

We recorded infants and juveniles of an apparent pre-weaning age of nine species in 62 separate camera-trap events (Supplementary Table 4). We were unable to determine seasonality in reproductive behaviour, but juvenile red river hogs were recorded at three distinct times of the year and owl-faced monkeys were accompanied by immature individuals throughout the year. This suggests that these two species may reproduce year-round. For many species, we found multiple individuals in single camera photographs or series of continuous photographs, sometimes in




FIG. 3 Species rarefaction curves for terrestrial mammals in the Nkuba Conservation Area from (a) transect surveys and (b) camera-trap surveys. Note the different y-axis scales. The dashed lines indicate the 95% confidence intervals.




FIG. 4 Diel activity patterns of six threatened species (African golden cat *Caracal aurata*, leopard *Panthera pardus*, giant ground pangolin *Smutsia gigantea*, chimpanzee *Pan troglodytes schweinfurthii*, Grauer's gorilla *Gorilla beringei graueri* and owl-faced monkey *Cercopithecus hamlyni*) in the Nkuba Conservation Area, showing 50% core activity times (dark) and 95% general activity times (light) as calculated with circular kernel density estimation (see text for details). Note the different y-axis scales. The white-bellied pangolin *Phataginus tricuspis*, one of the seven globally threatened species detected, is not shown as its activity pattern is largely identical to that of the giant ground pangolin.




FIG. 5 Examples of overlap (indicated in grey) of kernel density estimates of diel activity. Comparisons are between two large predators (leopard and African golden cat), the leopard and a common prey (blue duiker *Philantomba monticola*), two large-bodied nocturnal herbivores (bay duiker *Cephalophus dorsalis* and yellow-backed duiker *Cephalophus silvicultor*), two great apes (chimpanzee and Grauer's gorilla), and the chimpanzee and gorilla with the leopard. The text indicates the overlap (OVL) of the 95 and 50% activity patterns (see text for details).

surprisingly large groups (Table 1, Supplementary Table 1). This includes species usually considered solitary, such as the honey badger *Mellivora capensis* for which we recorded two individuals together in this study but found four individuals together in additional images from 2019.

Discussion

The Nkuba Conservation Area harbours mammals of a broad range of body sizes and trophic guilds, including many globally threatened species. This community includes some species likely to show antagonistic interactions that seem partially separated in ecological niche space by segregated diel activity patterns. Documenting this rich mammalian fauna offers starting points for future ecological

research and validates efforts to protect forests, or at least this particular forest, outside the formally protected areas of eastern DRC through community-based conservation (Grantham et al., 2020).

There is a relatively low level of human disturbance in Nkuba and it harbours several threatened species that elsewhere have been depleted by hunting and other human activities. However, comparable areas such as Maiko National Park (Amsini et al., 2006, 2007) and Lomami National Park (Batumike et al., 2021) harbour large-bodied mammals such as elephants that we did not record in Nkuba. Anecdotal information suggests that elephants were present before c. 1997 (U. Ngobobo, pers. comm., 2021) and were extirpated largely by hunting for their ivory (Maisels et al., 2013). Hunting is also the most likely explanation for the absence of primates such as mangabey *Lophocebus* sp.,

black-and-white colobus *Colobus* sp. and red colobus *Piliocolobus* sp., which are present in Kahuzi-Biega National Park (Inogwabini et al., 2000; Hall et al., 2003) and Lomami National Park (Batumike et al., 2021). Although birds were not the focus of this study, we did not record the Vulnerable Congo peafowl *Afropavo congensis*, endemic to DRC, which may formerly have lived in these forests (Hart & Upoki, 1997).

The camera-trap and transect methods proved complementary. Species such as the arboreal blue monkey *Cercopithecus mitis* and Dent's monkey *Cercopithecus denti* were only recorded during transect surveys, whereas camera traps facilitated identification of antelopes such as Weyns's duiker *Cephalophus weynsi* and Bates' pygmy antelope *Neotragus batesi*. This suggests that monitoring and inventory efforts should include multiple field methods for the most complete overview of an area's faunal community (e.g. Lyra-Jorge et al., 2008; Hausser et al., 2017; Mazzolli et al., 2017). Other complementary methods include DNA-based studies (Gogarten et al., 2020), acoustic monitoring (Deichmann et al., 2017), arboreal camera trapping (Bowler et al., 2017), and the integration of local knowledge (Alempijevic et al., 2021). All such survey methods rely on accurate species identification, which for camera-trap and transect studies depends on image quality and observer knowledge. Mongooses, genets and antelopes are examples of pairs or groups of species for which identification can be difficult, including in this study. Additional records, ideally combined with genetic evidence (e.g. Van Vliet et al., 2008), will be required to confirm records of such pairs or groups of species.

Our analyses of diel activity patterns provide improved knowledge of the natural history of several mammal species. As an example, we highlight two observations, one which contrasts with our current understanding of a species' behaviour and one that confirms previous studies. Firstly, studies of radio-collared individuals have suggested that sympatric marsh and long-nosed mongooses have segregated diel activity patterns (Ray, 1997), with the former crepuscular and the latter diurnal, but we found comparable peaks in movement, during early daylight hours, for both species. This suggests these similarly sized species must be separated in niche space by other factors, such as habitat use or dietary preferences (Ray, 1997). Secondly, we confirm that African golden cats are largely cathemeral when sympatric with leopards, in contrast to a more nocturnal specialization in the absence of leopards (Mills et al., 2019).

Nkuba has not yet experienced the extent of potentially detrimental human activities, such as mining and logging, prevalent in other parts of eastern DRC, including in established protected areas (Spira et al., 2019). However, land adjacent to Nkuba is experiencing increases in various commercial (e.g. mining) and demographic (e.g. population expansion and the associated need for agricultural land) pressures (Molinario et al., 2020), threats that will only be

halted through the active protection of remaining forests (Galford et al., 2015). In addition, past hunting led to local extirpation of the elephant, and Nkuba merits conservation investment to ensure hunting is mitigated before it leads to the local extirpation of additional species. For globally threatened species it may be crucial to protect relatively undisturbed habitat as refuges that aid species persistence when it proves difficult to halt threats across their range (Reside et al., 2019). For these reasons, and the potential of these forests for carbon storage and delivery of ecosystem services (Hugues, 2011; Xu et al., 2017), we conclude that protection of the forests of Nkuba Conservation Area is worthy of further support and institutional engagement.

Acknowledgements We thank L'Institut Congolais pour la Conservation de la Nature and local landowners and community members for permitting us to work in the Nkuba region and collaborating with us on its protection, all field assistants, local community members and interns who contributed to this project, and Mike Hoffmann, Emmanuel Do Linh San, Ara Monadjem and Tom Bruce for help with species identifications. This research was made possible through the generous support of many individuals and foundations, particularly Rita Grolitzer, and through grants from the Great Ape Conservation Fund of the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service, the Arcus Foundation, the Daniel L. Thorne Foundation and the Turner Foundation.

Author contributions Study design, fieldwork: DC, EB, UN; data analysis, writing: YvdH, DC, UN, TDS.

Conflicts of interest None.

Ethical standards This research abided by the *Oryx* guidelines on ethical standards. No specimens were taken during this study and fieldwork took place with permission of L'Institut Congolais pour la Conservation de la Nature and with the consent of local landowners and community members.

References

- AGHA, M., BATTER, T., BOLAS, E.C., COLLINS, A.C., GOMES DA ROCHA, D., MONTEZA-MORENO, C.M. et al. (2018) A review of wildlife camera trapping trends across Africa. *African Journal of Ecology*, 56, 694–701.
- AGOSTINELLI, C. & LUND, U. (2017) *R* package 'circular': Circular Statistics (version 0.4–93). cran.r-project.org [accessed 1 November 2019].
- ALEMPIJEVIC, D., HART, J., HART, T. & DETWILER, K. (2021) Using local knowledge and camera traps to investigate occurrence and habitat preference of an Endangered primate: the endemic dryas monkey in the Democratic Republic of the Congo. *Oryx*, published online 20 April 2021.
- AMSINI, F., GROSSMANN, F., HART, J., KIBAMBE, C., NYEMBO, B. & VYAHAVWA, C. (2006) *Parc National de la Maïko: Post-Conflict Surveys of Wildlife Populations and Human Impact in the South Sector (Oso Block)*. Unpublished report. Wildlife Conservation Society, Kinshasa, Democratic Republic of the Congo.
- AMSINI, F., GROSSMANN, F., HART, J., KIBAMBE, C., NYEMBO, B. & VYAHAVWA, C. (2007) *Parc National de la Maïko: Identifying Conservation Priorities for the Recovery of the Maïko National Park. Post-Conflict Surveys of Wildlife Populations and Human Impact in the North Sector of the Park. Progress Report and Data Presentation*.

- Unpublished report. Wildlife Conservation Society, Kinshasa, Democratic Republic of the Congo.
- ANTHONY, N.M., ATTEKE, C., BRUFORD, M.W., DALLMEIER, F., FREEDMAN, A., HARDY, O. et al. (2015) Evolution and conservation of Central African biodiversity: priorities for future research and education in the Congo Basin and Gulf of Guinea. *Biotropica*, 47, 6–17.
- BAGHAI, M., MILLER, J.R., BLANKEN, L.J., DUBLIN, H.T., FITZGERALD, K.H., GANDIWA, P. et al. (2018) Models for the collaborative management of Africa's protected areas. *Biological Conservation*, 218, 73–82.
- BATUMIKE, R., IMANI, G., UROM, C. & CUNI-SANCHEZ, A. (2021) Bushmeat hunting around Lomami National Park, Democratic Republic of the Congo. *Oryx*, 55, 421–431.
- BEYERS, R.L., HART, J.A., SINCLAIR, A.R., GROSSMANN, F., KLINKENBERG, B. & DINO, S. (2011) Resource wars and conflict ivory: the impact of civil conflict on elephants in the Democratic Republic of Congo—the case of the Okapi Reserve. *PLOS ONE*, 6, e27129.
- BIRDLIFE INTERNATIONAL (2018) *Psittacus erithacus*. In *The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2018*. dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2018-2.RLTS.T22724813A129879439.en [accessed 6 December 2021].
- BOWLER, M.T., TOBLER, M.W., ENDRESS, B.A., GILMORE, M.P. & ANDERSON, M.J. (2017). Estimating mammalian species richness and occupancy in tropical forest canopies with arboreal camera traps. *Remote Sensing in Ecology and Conservation*, 3, 146–157.
- BRUCE, T., AMIN, R., WACHER, T., FANKEM, O., NDJASSI, C., NGO BATA, M. et al. (2018) Using camera trap data to characterise terrestrial larger-bodied mammal communities in different management sectors of the Dja Faunal Reserve, Cameroon. *African Journal of Ecology*, 56, 759–776.
- BUTSIC, V., BAUMANN, M., SHORTLAND, A., WALKER, S. & KUEMMERLE, T. (2015) Conservation and conflict in the Democratic Republic of Congo: the impacts of warfare, mining, and protected areas on deforestation. *Biological Conservation*, 191, 266–273.
- DE MERODE, E. & COWLISHAW, G. (2006) Species protection, the changing informal economy, and the politics of access to the bushmeat trade in the Democratic Republic of Congo. *Conservation Biology*, 20, 1262–1271.
- DEICHMANN, J.L., HERNÁNDEZ-SERNA, A., CAMPOS-CERQUEIRA, M. & AIDE, T.M. (2017) Soundscape analysis and acoustic monitoring document impacts of natural gas exploration on biodiversity in a tropical forest. *Ecological Indicators*, 74, 39–48.
- DÍAZ, M., CONCEPCIÓN, E.D., OVIEDO, J.L., CAPARRÓS, A., FARIZO, B.Á. & CAMPOS, P. (2020) A comprehensive index for threatened biodiversity valuation. *Ecological Indicators*, 108, 105696.
- DRAULANS, D. & VAN KRUNKELSVEN, E. (2002) The impact of war on forest areas in the Democratic Republic of Congo. *Oryx*, 36, 35–40.
- ERNST, C., MAYAUX, P., VERHEGGEN, A., BODART, C., CHRISTOPHE, M. & DEFOURNY, P. (2013) National forest cover change in Congo basin: deforestation, reforestation, degradation and regeneration for the years 1990, 2000 and 2005. *Global Change Biology*, 19, 1173–1187.
- FREY, S., FISHER, J.T., BURTON, A.C. & VOLPE, J.P. (2017) Investigating animal activity patterns and temporal niche partitioning using camera-trap data: challenges and opportunities. *Remote Sensing in Ecology and Conservation*, 3, 123–132.
- GALFORD, G.L., SOARES-FILHO, B.S., SONTER, L.J. & LAPORTE, N. (2015) Will passive protection save Congo forests? *PLOS ONE*, 10, e0128473.
- GIBSON, L., LEE, T.M., KOH, L.P., BROOK, B.W., GARDNER, T.A., BARLOW, J. et al. (2011) Primary forests are irreplaceable for sustaining tropical biodiversity. *Nature*, 478, 378–381.
- GOGARTEN, J.F., HOFFMANN, C., ARANDJELOVIC, M., SACHSE, A., MERKEL, K., DIEGUEZ, P. et al. (2020) Fly-derived DNA and camera traps are complementary tools for assessing mammalian biodiversity. *Environmental DNA*, 2, 63–76.
- GRANTHAM, H.S., SHAPIRO, A.C., BONFILS, D., GOND, V., GOLDMAN, E., MAISELS, F. et al. (2020) Spatial priorities for conserving the most intact biodiverse forests within Central Africa. *Environmental Research Letters*, 15, 0940b5.
- HALL, J.S., WHITE, L., WILLIAMSON, E.A., INOGWABINI, B.-I. & ILAMBU, O. (2003) Distribution, abundance, and biomass estimates for primates within Kahuzi-Biega lowlands and adjacent forest in eastern DRC. *African Primates*, 6, 35–42.
- HART, J.A. & UPOKI, A. (1997) Distribution and conservation status of Congo peafowl *Afropavo congensis* in eastern Zaire. *Bird Conservation International*, 7, 295–316.
- HAUSSER, Y., TAGAND, R., VIMERCATI, E., MERMOD, S. & FISCHER, C. (2017) Comparing survey methods to assess the conservation value of a community-managed protected area in western Tanzania. *African Journal of Ecology*, 55, 1–11.
- HUGUES, N.J. (2011) The economic value of Congo basin protected areas goods and services. *Journal of Sustainable Development*, 4, 130.
- INOGWABINI, B.I., HALL, J.S., VEDDER, A., CURRAN, B., YAMAGIWA, J. & BASABOSE, K. (2000) Status of large mammals in the mountain sector of Kahuzi-Biega National Park, Democratic Republic of Congo, in 1996. *African Journal of Ecology*, 38, 269–276.
- IUCN (2020) *The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species*. Version 2020-2. iucnredlist.org [accessed 9 July 2020].
- JUSTICE, C., WILKIE, D., ZHANG, Q., BRUNNER, J. & DONOGHUE, C. (2001) Central African forests, carbon and climate change. *Climate Research*, 17, 229–246.
- KARGER, D.N., CONRAD, O., BÖHNER, J., KAWOHL, T., KREFT, H., SORIA-AUZA, R.W. et al. (2017a) Climatologies at high resolution for the Earth's land surface areas. *Scientific Data*, 4, 170122.
- KARGER, D.N., CONRAD, O., BÖHNER, J., KAWOHL, T., KREFT, H., SORIA-AUZA, R.W. et al. (2017b) Data from: climatologies at high resolution for the Earth's land surface areas. *Dryad Digital Repository*. doi.org/10.5061/dryad.kd1d4 [accessed 22 November 2021].
- KINGDON, J. (2013) *The Kingdon Field Guide to African Mammals*. A&C Black, London, UK.
- KINGDON, J., HAPPOLD, D., BUTYNSKI, T., HOFFMANN, M., HAPPOLD, M., KALINA, J. (2013) *Mammals of Africa: Volumes 1–6*. Bloomsbury Publishing, London, UK.
- LASHLEY, M.A., COVE, M.V., CHITWOOD, M.C., PENIDO, G., GARDNER, B., DEPERNO, C.S. & MOORMAN, C.E. (2018) Estimating wildlife activity curves: comparison of methods and sample size. *Scientific Reports*, 8, 4173.
- LESCUYER, G., KAKUNDIKA, T.M., LUBALA, I.M., EKYAMBA, I.S., TSANGA, R. & CERUTTI, P.O. (2019) Are community forests a viable model for the democratic Republic of Congo? *Ecology and Society*, 24, 6.
- LYRA-JORGE, M.C., CIOCHETI, G., PIVELLO, V.R. & MEIRELLES, S.T. (2008) Comparing methods for sampling large- and medium-sized mammals: camera traps and track plots. *European Journal of Wildlife Research*, 54, 739–744.
- MAISELS, F., STRINDBERG, S., BLAKE, S., WITTEMYER, G., HART, J., WILLIAMSON, E.A. et al. (2013) Devastating decline of forest elephants in Central Africa. *PLOS ONE*, 8, e59469.
- MAZZOLLI, M., HAAG, T., LIPPERT, B., EIZIRIK, E., HAMMER, M. & AL HIKMANI, K. (2017) Multiple methods increase detection of large and medium-sized mammals: working with volunteers in south-eastern Oman. *Oryx*, 51, 290–297.
- MEGEVAND, C., MOSNIER, A., HOURTIQ, J., SANDERS, K., DOETINCHEM, N. & STRECK, C. (2013) *Deforestation Trends in the*

- Congo Basin: Reconciling Economic Growth and Forest Protection. Unpublished report. The World Bank, Washington, DC, USA.
- MILLS, D.R., DO LINH SAN, E., ROBINSON, H., ISOKE, S., SLOTOW, R. & HUNTER, L. (2019) Competition and specialization in an African forest carnivore community. *Ecology and Evolution*, 9, 10092–10108.
- MOLINARIO, G., HANSEN, M., POTAPOV, P., TYUKAVINA, A. & STEHMAN, S. (2020) Contextualizing landscape-scale forest cover loss in the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC) between 2000 and 2015. *Land*, 9, 23.
- MUGERWA, B., SHELL, D., SSEKIRANDA, P., VAN HEIST, M. & EZUMA, P. (2013) A camera trap assessment of terrestrial vertebrates in Bwindi Impenetrable National Park, Uganda. *African Journal of Ecology*, 51, 21–31.
- NASI, R., TABER, A. & VAN VLIET, N. (2011) Empty forests, empty stomachs? Bushmeat and livelihoods in the Congo and Amazon Basins. *International Forestry Review*, 13, 355–368.
- OKSANEN, J., KINDT, R., LEGENDRE, P., O'HARA, B., STEVENS, M.H.H., OKSANEN, M.J. & SUGGESTS, M. (2007) *The vegan package*. cran.r-project.org [accessed 1 November 2019].
- OLIVEIRA-SANTOS, L.G.R., ZUCCO, C.A. & AGOSTINELLI, C. (2013) Using conditional circular kernel density functions to test hypotheses on animal circadian activity. *Animal Behaviour*, 85, 269–280.
- PAGDEE, A., KIM, Y.-S. & DAUGHERTY, P.J. (2006) What makes community forest management successful: a meta-study from community forests throughout the world. *Society and Natural Resources*, 19, 33–52.
- PLUMPTRE, A., NIXON, S., CAILLAUD, D., HALL, J.S., HART, J.A., NISHULI, R. & WILLIAMSON, E.A. (2016) *Gorilla beringei ssp. graueri* (errata version published in 2016). In *The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2016*. dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2016-2.RLTS.T39995A17989838.en [accessed 6 December 2021].
- PLUMPTRE, A.J., NIXON, S., KUJIRAKWINJA, D.K., VIEILLEDENT, G., CRITCHLOW, R., WILLIAMSON, E.A. et al. (2016) Catastrophic decline of world's largest primate: 80% loss of Grauer's gorilla (*Gorilla beringei graueri*) population justifies Critically Endangered status. *PLOS ONE*, 11, e0162697.
- POTAPOV, P.V., TURUBANOVA, S.A., HANSEN, M.C., ADUSEI, B., BROICH, M., ALTSTATT, A. et al. (2012) Quantifying forest cover loss in Democratic Republic of the Congo, 2000–2010, with Landsat ETM+ data. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 122, 106–116.
- R CORE TEAM (2020) *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. cran.r-project.org [accessed 1 November 2019].
- RAY, J. (1997) Comparative ecology of two African forest mongooses, *Herpestes naso* and *Atilax paludinosus*. *African Journal of Ecology*, 35, 237–253.
- RESIDE, A.E., BRISCOE, N.J., DICKMAN, C.R., GREENVILLE, A.C., HRADSKY, B.A., KARK, S. et al. (2019) Persistence through tough times: fixed and shifting refuges in threatened species conservation. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 28, 1303–1330.
- RIDOUT, M.S. & LINKIE, M. (2009) Estimating overlap of daily activity patterns from camera trap data. *Journal of Agricultural, Biological, and Environmental Statistics*, 14, 322–337.
- ROWCLIFFE, J.M. (2016) *Activity: Animal Activity Statistics*. R package version 1.1. cran.r-project.org/package=activity [accessed 22 November 2021].
- ROWCLIFFE, J.M., KAYS, R., KRANSTAUBER, B., CARBONE, C. & JANSEN, P.A. (2014) Quantifying levels of animal activity using camera trap data. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 5, 1170–1179.
- SPIRA, C., KIRKBY, A., KUJIRAKWINJA, D. & PLUMPTRE, A.J. (2019) The socio-economics of artisanal mining and bushmeat hunting around protected areas: Kahuzi–Biega National Park and Itombwe Nature Reserve, eastern Democratic Republic of Congo. *Oryx*, 53, 136–144.
- TOBLER, M.W., CARRILLO-PERCASTEGUI, S.E., PITMAN, R.L., MARES, R. & POWELL, G. (2008) An evaluation of camera traps for inventorying large- and medium-sized terrestrial rainforest mammals. *Animal Conservation*, 11, 169–178.
- VAN VLIET, N., ZUNDEL, S., MIQUEL, C., TABERLET, P. & NASI, R. (2008) Distinguishing dung from blue, red and yellow-backed duikers through noninvasive genetic techniques. *African Journal of Ecology*, 46, 411–417.
- VERBEECK, H., BOECKX, P. & STEPPE, K. (2011) Tropical forests: include Congo basin. *Nature*, 479, 179–179.
- VERMEULEN, C., JULVE, C., DOUCET, J.-L. & MONTICELLI, D. (2009) Community hunting in logging concessions: towards a management model for Cameroon's dense forests. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 18, 2705–2718.
- VERWEIJEN, J. & MARIJNEN, E. (2018) The counterinsurgency/conservation nexus: guerrilla livelihoods and the dynamics of conflict and violence in the Virunga National Park, Democratic Republic of the Congo. *Journal of Peasant Studies*, 45, 300–320.
- XU, L., SAATCHI, S.S., SHAPIRO, A., MEYER, V., FERRAZ, A., YANG, Y. et al. (2017) Spatial distribution of carbon stored in forests of the Democratic Republic of Congo. *Scientific Reports*, 7, 15030.