## Non-local rings whose ideals are all quasi-injective: Addendum

## G. Ivanov

In [1] I made a Remark which, when subsequently challenged by Anne Koehler, I was unable to justify. While writing up my PhD thesis, I tried to both prove and disprove the statement but failed on both counts. However, I did reduce it to a highly plausible statement which is presented here.

CONJECTURE. Every Q-ring is a direct sum of a finite number of indecomposable non-local Q-rings and a Q-ring all of whose idempotents are central.

The conjecture is obviously true if and only if every left ideal in a Q-ring is contained in an ideal which is generated by a central idempotent and is minimal with respect to these properties. This is equivalent to the condition that the intersection of all left ideals which have (in the ring) a common homomorphic image disjoint from them is non-zero. The equivalence of the latter statement with the conjecture is proved in the following paragraph.

It is clear that Q-rings which satisfy the conjecture have this property. To show the converse, let L be a left ideal in a Q-ring R, f a central idempotent such that  $L\subseteq Rf$  and let  $Rf_0$ ,  $f_0$  an idempotent, be an injective hull of L. As Rf is injective,  $f_0Rf\neq 0$ , which implies that  $f_0f\neq 0$ . Since  $Rf_0=Rf_0f\oplus Rf_0(1-f)$  and  $L\subseteq Rf$  is essential in  $Rf_0$ , the ideal  $Rf_0(1-f)$  is trivial. Therefore  $Rf_0\subseteq Rf$ . It follows that the intersection of all ideals which contain L and are generated by central idempotents is injective. Let Re, e an

Received 26 November 1974.

I60 G. Ivanov

idempotent, be this two-sided ideal. If  $x \in R(1-e)$  then  $ex \in Re \cap R(1-e)$  and so ex = 0. If  $(1-e)Re \neq 0$  then there is a minimal ideal  $M \subseteq Re$  which is a homomorphic image of R(1-e) (Lemma 2). Moreover, for any central idempotent f with the property that  $Re \subseteq Rf$ , the product  $(1-e)f \neq 0$ . This means that each Rf contains a left ideal R(1-e)f which has M as an image. By assumption, the intersection K of these ideals is non-zero. But as each R(1-e)f = Rf(1-e) is contained both in R(1-e) and in Rf, the ideal K is contained in both Re and R(1-e): a contradiction. Therefore, (1-e)Re = 0 and e is a central idempotent, since Re and R(1-e) annihilate each other. Hence Re is the unique smallest ideal which contains L and is generated by a central idempotent.

## Reference

[1] G. Ivanov, "Non-local rings whose ideals are all quasi-injective", Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 6 (1972), 45-52.