## cambridge.org/psm

## **Editorial**

Cite this article: Sullivan PF, Kendler KS (2021). The state of the science in psychiatric genomics. *Psychological Medicine* **51**, 2145–2147. https://doi.org/10.1017/ S0033291720004900

Received: 21 November 2020 Accepted: 24 November 2020 First published online: 12 January 2021

Author for correspondence: Kenneth S. Kendler, E-mail: Kenneth.Kendler@vcuhealth.org The state of the science in psychiatric genomics

## Patrick F. Sullivan<sup>1,2</sup> and Kenneth S. Kendler<sup>3</sup> 💿

<sup>1</sup>Departments of Genetics and Psychiatry, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC, USA; <sup>2</sup>Department of Medical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden and <sup>3</sup>Virginia Institute for Psychiatric and Behavioral Genetics, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, VA, USA

The awfulness of 2020 has been described with eloquence and detail by many authors around the world. Put simply, at nearly every turn, events went in the wrong direction. So, it is nice to report a 2020 project that went well – in fact, for a change, something that went wonderfully better than expected.

Early in the COVID-19 pandemic, early career researchers were hit hard – particularly those having to work from home with young children. The leadership of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium (PGC) partnered with the editors of *Psychological Medicine* to commission a series of invited reviews. This effort had multiple intentions.

Above all else, we believed we needed a set of high-quality reviews to capture the state-of-the-science in psychiatric genetics. This field is moving quickly and important papers are appearing with such regularity that it can be difficult even for cognoscenti to keep up. We wanted these reviews to have similar structures to enable cross-paper comparisons. We are unaware of any systematic attempt to review all major psychiatric disorders in a similar way and appearing in the same place at the same time. In addition, the reviews were commissioned from sets of early career researchers. This served several purposes above and beyond a review paper for a CV. Writing a field review requires mastery of the literature which pays dividends in writing subsequent grants. Moreover, some of the teams that formed for these reviews will continue to collaborate in the future.

We, therefore, commissioned 13 reviews (Table 1) from a total of 52 early career researchers. The topics correspond to the disorder groups around which the PGC is organized (typical Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders/International Classification of Diseases groupings) and these reviews follow that pattern. Considerable detail about the background, epidemiology, genetic epidemiology, current genomic findings, controversies and future plans are in the reviews. We wish to add to these reviews a number of higher-level conclusions that have emerged from hundreds of psychiatric genetics studies in the 'genomic era' that began in 2007 (Sullivan & Geschwind, 2019).

*Open science.* The genomic era in psychiatry has become distinctive in its openness (Sullivan et al., 2018). The field used to be characterized by research groups set up as independent and occasionally collaborating or warring city-states. We learned early that no single group had sufficiently large sample sizes and that cooperation was essential to progress. Ready collaboration has become the norm. Investigators almost always make summary statistics freely available; coupled with clever ways to use these aggregated data, psychiatric disorders often appear in genomic papers about other biomedical diseases, functional genomic studies, and methods development. Individual data can often be obtained from controlled-access repositories (e.g. the Database of Genotypes and Phenotypes, dbGaP, or the European Genome-phenome Archive), and the PGC has a streamlined process for secondary data analyses.

Complexity. Twenty years ago, many authors believed that the genetic architectures would be simple with a few major genes underlying these disorders. Increasingly large genomic studies - common variation, rare copy number variants, ultra-rare coding variation, and even whole-genome sequencing in case-control, trio, and dense pedigree designs - have found no evidence to support these beliefs, and the studies were large enough to make detection power nearly 100%. Along the way, we found that early methodological approaches like linkage analyses and candidate gene association studies (i.e. genotyping one or a few genetic markers in COMT, DISC1, or the serotonin transporter in a few hundred cases and controls) had essentially no yield due to being severely under-powered (Border et al., 2019; Farrell et al., 2015; Sullivan, 2007; Sullivan, 2013). Instead, we find complexity. All psychiatry disorders have contributions from many genes. The preponderance of risk is from common genetic variants of individually small effects, typically in regulatory (not coding) regions. Far smaller subsets of patients with earlier onset/more severe forms of disorders carry a rarer variant of stronger effect. To date, over all disorders, the PGC has studied over 485 000 cases with one of the disorders in Table 1 and discovered genome-wide significant findings in 445 loci (about 10% are significant for more than one disorder). The PGC group leaders project that over 2 million cases will be in analyses by 2025.

© The Author(s), 2021. Published by Cambridge University Press



Table 1. Topics for commissioned reviews

Anxiety Disorders (ANX)
Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD)
Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD)
Bipolar Disorder (BIP)
Cross-Diagnostic Group (CDG)
Eating Disorders (ED)
Major Depressive Disorder (MDD)
Major Depressive Disorder (MDD) – Suicide
Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder (OCD)
Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD)
Schizophrenia (SCZ)
Substance Use Disorders (SUD)
Tourette Syndrome (TS)

New epidemiology. Adding genetic data to typical clinical and epidemiological study designs has proven to be more informative and important than many might have suspected - particularly in psychiatry where a lack of anatomic, biochemical, and pathophysiological defining features is a crucial limit to progress (these are psychiatric disorders and not diseases). For example, genetic data can be used to understand the relation between a risk factor and a disorder: careful evaluation of genetic data using 'Mendelian randomization' led to the conclusion that the association of neonatal vitamin D levels and subsequent risk of schizophrenia appears to be non-causal (perhaps due to lesser sunlight exposure during pregnancy in women with or at risk for schizophrenia) (Revez et al., 2020). A comprehensive evaluation of 106 risk factors for major depression (MD) identified a subset that was plausibly causal and potentially modifiable (Choi et al., 2020).

Other methods use genetic data from large samples to conduct analyses that were previously only possible in twin and pedigree studies. It is straightforward to evaluate the single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP)-heritability of a disorder or of a putatively more homogeneous subtype of a disorder (Bulik-Sullivan et al., 2015b). For instance, we recently found that the SNP-heritability of severe major depressive disorder (MDD) (i.e. people receiving electro-convulsive therapy) was far higher than for mild or moderate MDD (Clements et al., In Press). In addition, we can compute the genetic correlation between disorders to quantify the extent to which the genetic basis of two disorders overlap (Bulik-Sullivan et al., 2015a). Psychiatric disorders are notable for their strong genetic overlaps (unlike neurological disorders) (Anttila et al., 2018). Based on its surprising genetic correlations with body mass and a set of metabolic traits, anorexia nervosa may be best understood as a metabo-psychiatric disorder (Watson et al., 2019).

The perils of going large? A persistent tension in the field is the tradeoff between smaller samples with detailed phenotypes and larger samples with light phenotyping. However, a better way to consider the problem is whether the ascertainment and pheno-typing used in a study yield a high probability of moderate or severe caseness in putative cases and a low probability in putative controls. If misclassification rates are low (a few percent at most),

then power will be minimally impacted. There are standard albeit time-consuming ways to obtain deep phenotyping (personal interviews with medical record review) but also inexpensive/ rapid ways that can approximate these ends with larger samples for detection of small genetic effects. For instance, the epidemiology, genetic epidemiology, and genetics of schizophrenia defined by being hospitalized twice in Sweden are indistinguishable from conventional samples (Lichtenstein et al., 2006; Lichtenstein et al., 2009; Ripke et al., 2013; Schizophrenia Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium, 2014). The Cardiff group has obtained a large number of schizophrenia cases via clozapine clinics (Pardinas et al., 2018). Brief self-reports for lifetime MD and bipolar disorder, or self-report current symptom scales, may be particularly problematic and might bias results away from those obtained in samples of greater severity (Cai et al., 2020). As we have argued elsewhere, all ascertainment and phenotyping strategies need to be evaluated empirically (Wray et al., 2018), and papers now typically contain information that allows evaluation of these issues.

*Getting global.* Finally, as noted in many of the reviews as well as in the PGC review in *Cell* (Peterson et al., 2019), we urgently require larger samples from individuals of non-European ancestry. This carries surmountable technical challenges but also many benefits including the possibility of extending the potential advantages of genomically-driven precision psychiatry to anyone.

Acknowledgements. We thank NaEshia Ancalade and Jill Opalesky for assistance in organizing the reviews. PFS was supported by the Swedish Research Council [Vetenskapsrådet, award D0886501 and US NIMH (U01 MH109528 and R01 MH077139)]. PFS reports the following potentially competing financial interests: Lundbeck (advisory committee, grant recipient) and RBNC Therapeutics (advisory committee, shareholder). KSK has no potential conflicts of interest.

## References

- Anttila, V., Bulik-Sullivan, B. K., Finucane, H. K., Bras, J., Duncan, L., Escott-Price, V., ... Neale, B. (2018). Analysis of shared heritability in common disorders of the brain. *Science*, 360, eaap8757. doi:10.1126/ science.aap8757.
- Border, R., Johnson, E. C., Evans, L. M., Smolen, A., Berley, N., Sullivan, P. F., & Keller, M. C. (2019). No support for historical candidate gene or candidate gene-by-interaction hypotheses for major depression across multiple large samples. *American Journal of Psychiatry 176*, 376–387. doi:10.1176/ appi.ajp.2018.18070881.
- Bulik-Sullivan, B. K., Finucane, H. K., Anttila, V., Gusev, A., Day, F. R., ReproGen Consortium, ... Neale, B. M. (2015a). An atlas of genetic correlations across human diseases and traits. *Nature Genetics* 47, 1236–1241. doi:10.1038/ng.3406.
- Bulik-Sullivan, B. K., Loh, P. R., Finucane, H. K., Ripke, S., Yang, J., Schizophrenia Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium, ... Neale, B. M. (2015b). LD Score regression distinguishes confounding from polygenicity in genome-wide association studies. *Nature Genetics* 47, 291–295. doi:10.1038/ng.3211.
- Cai, N., Revez, J. A., Adams, M. J., Andlauer, T. F. M., Breen, G., Byrne, E. M., ... Flint, J. (2020). Minimal phenotyping yields genome-wide association signals of low specificity for major depression. *Nature Genetics* 52, 437– 447. doi:10.1038/s41588-020-0594-5.
- Choi, K. W., Stein, M. B., Nishimi, K. M., Ge, T., Coleman, J. R. I., Chen, C. Y., ... Smoller, J. W. (2020). An exposure-wide and Mendelian randomization approach to identifying modifiable factors for the prevention of depression. *American Journal of Psychiatry 177*, 944–954. doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.2020.19111158.
- Clements, C. C., Karlsson, R., Lu, Y., Juréus, A., Rück, C., Andersson, E., ... Landén, M. (In Press). Genome-wide association study of patients with a severe major depressive episode treated with electroconvulsive therapy. *Molecular Psychiatry 2020.*

- Farrell, M. S., Werge, T., Sklar, P., Owen, M. J., Ophoff, R. A., O'Donovan, M. C., ... Sullivan, P. F. (2015). Evaluating historical candidate genes for schizophrenia. *Molecular Psychiatry 20*, 555–562. doi:10.1038/mp.2015.16.
- Lichtenstein, P., Bjork, C., Hultman, C. M., Scolnick, E. M., Sklar, P., & Sullivan, P. F. (2006). Recurrence risks for schizophrenia in a Swedish national cohort. *Psychological Medicine* 36, 1417–1426. doi:10.1017/ S0033291706008385.
- Lichtenstein, P., Yip, B., Bjork, C., Pawitan, Y., Cannon, T. D., Sullivan, P. F., & Hultman, C. M. (2009). Common genetic determinants of schizophrenia and bipolar disorder in Swedish families: A population-based study. *Lancet* (*London, England*) 373, 234–239. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(09)60072-6.
- Pardinas, A. F., Holmans, P., Pocklington, A. J., Escott-Price, V., Ripke, S., Carrera, N., ... Walters, J. T. R. (2018). Common schizophrenia alleles are enriched in mutation-intolerant genes and in regions under strong background selection. *Nature Genetics* 50, 381–389. doi:10.1038/s41588018-0059-2.
- Peterson, R. E., Kuchenbaecker, K., Walters, R. K., Chen, C. Y., Popejoy, A. B., Periyasamy, S., ... Duncan, L. E. (2019). Genome-wide association studies in ancestrally diverse populations: Opportunities, methods, pitfalls, and recommendations. *Cell* 179, 589–603. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2019.08.051.
- Revez, J. A., Lin, T., Qiao, Z., Xue, A., Holtz, Y., Zhu, Z., ... McGrath, J. J. (2020). Genome-wide association study identifies 143 loci associated with 25 hydroxyvitamin D concentration. *Nature Communications 11*, 1647. doi:10.1038/s41467-020-15421-7.
- Ripke, S., O'Dushlaine, C., Chambert, K., Moran, J. L., Kähler, A., Akterin, S., ... Sullivan, P. F. (2013). Genome-wide association analysis identifies 13

new risk loci for schizophrenia. Nature Genetics 45, 1150-1159. doi:10.1038/ng.2742.

- Schizophrenia Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium (2014). Biological insights from 108 schizophrenia-associated genetic loci. *Nature* 511, 421–427. doi:10.1038/nature13595.
- Sullivan, P. F. (2007). Spurious genetic associations. *Biological Psychiatry* 61, 1121–1126. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2006.11.010.
- Sullivan, P. F. (2013). Questions about DISC1 as a genetic risk factor for schizophrenia. *Molecular Psychiatry* 18, 1050–1052. doi:10.1038/mp.2012.182.
- Sullivan, P. F., Agrawal, A., Bulik, C. M., Andreassen, O. A., Borglum, A. D., & Breen, G., ... Psychiatric Genomics Consortium (2018). Psychiatric genomics: An update and an agenda. *American Journal of Psychiatry* 175, 15–27. doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.2017.17030283.
- Sullivan, P. F., & Geschwind, D. H. (2019). Defining the genetic, genomic, cellular, and diagnostic architectures of psychiatric disorders. *Cell* 177, 162– 183. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2019.01.015.
- Watson, H. J., Yilmaz, Z., Thornton, L. M., Hübel, C., Coleman, J. R. I., Bryois, J., ... Bulik, C. M. (2019). Anorexia nervosa genome-wide association study identifies eight loci implicating metabo-psychiatric origins. *Nature Genetics* 51, 1207–1214. doi:10.1038/s41588-019-0439-2.
- Major Depressive Disorder Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium, Wray, N. R., Ripke, S., Mattheisen, M., Trzaskowski, M., Byrne, E. M., ... Sullivan, P. F. (2018). Genome wide association analyses identify 44 risk variants and refine the genetic architecture of major depression. *Nature Genetics* 50, 668–681. doi:10.1038/s41588-018-0090-3.