Canad. Math. Bull. Vol. **63** (4), 2020 pp. 901–908 http://dx.doi.org/10.4153/S0008439520000132



© Canadian Mathematical Society 2020 This is an Open Access article, distributed under

the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Moduli Spaces of Metrics of Positive Scalar Curvature on Topological Spherical Space Forms

Philipp Reiser

Abstract. Let M be a topological spherical space form, *i.e.*, a smooth manifold whose universal cover is a homotopy sphere. We determine the number of path components of the space and moduli space of Riemannian metrics with positive scalar curvature on M if the dimension of M is at least 5 and M is not simply-connected.

1 Introduction and Main Result

Let *M* be a closed smooth manifold. We denote by $\mathcal{R}^+(M)$ the space of Riemannian metrics with positive scalar curvature on *M* and by $\mathcal{M}^+(M)$ the corresponding *moduli space*, *i.e.*, the quotient of $\mathcal{R}^+(M)$ by the pull-back action of the diffeomorphism group. We equip $\mathcal{R}^+(M)$ with the smooth topology and the moduli space with the quotient topology. These spaces, as well as spaces and moduli spaces of Riemannian metrics satisfying different curvature conditions, have been studied in various ways; see, *e.g.*, [17, 18] for an outline.

This article addresses the problem of determining the number of path components of both $\mathcal{R}^+(M)$ and $\mathcal{M}^+(M)$ if M is a non-simply-connected *topological spherical space form*, *i.e.*, a non-trivial quotient of a homotopy sphere by a free action of a finite group. In the case where M is a *linear spherical space form*, *i.e.*, the action is given by an isometric action on the round sphere, this problem has been solved if the dimension of M is at least 5.

Theorem A ([3, Theorem 0.1]) Let M be a linear spherical space form of dimension at least 5 that is not simply-connected. Then there exists an infinite family of metrics $g_i \in \mathcal{R}^+(M)$ such that g_i and g_j are not concordant and lie in different path components of $\mathcal{M}^+(M)$ if $i \neq j$.

Two metrics $g_0, g_1 \in \mathbb{R}^+(M)$ are *concordant* if there exists a metric $g \in \mathbb{R}^+(M \times [0,1])$ that is a product near the boundary and restricts to g_i on $M \times \{i\}$. Metrics in the same path component of $\mathbb{R}^+(M)$ are concordant; see, *e.g.*, [15]. Hence, $\mathbb{R}^+(M)$ has an infinite number of path components. This also follows from the fact that a lower bound on the number of path components of $\mathbb{R}^+(M)$ is always a lower bound on the number of $\mathbb{R}^+(M)$.

Received by the editors May 27, 2019; revised January 13, 2020.

Published online on Cambridge Core February 24, 2020.

AMS subject classification: 53C20.

Keywords: moduli space, topological spherical space form, positive scalar curvature.

In fact, Theorem A is the consequence of two theorems proved in [3] that can be applied to manifolds satisfying certain properties involving dimension, fundamental group, and Spin or Pin structures; see Theorems 3.1 and 3.2. Theorem A is then proved by verifying that all considered spherical space forms satisfy the requirements of one of these theorems. The Main Theorem of this article is the following, which is obtained using the same strategy.

Main Theorem Let M be a topological spherical space form of dimension at least 5 that is not simply-connected and admits a metric with positive scalar curvature. Then there exists an infinite family of metrics $g_i \in \mathbb{R}^+(M)$ such that g_i and g_j are not concordant and lie in different path components of $\mathcal{M}^+(M)$ if $i \neq j$.

This affirmatively answers the question posed in [10, p. 11], where the authors determined under which conditions a topological spherical space form admits a metric with positive scalar curvature. Their main theorem is given as follows.

Theorem B ([10, Main Theorem]) Let M be a topological spherical space form of dimension $n \ge 5$. If $n \ne 1, 2 \mod 8$, then M admits a metric with positive scalar curvature. If $n \equiv 1, 2 \mod 8$, then M admits a metric with positive scalar curvature if and only if $|\pi_1(M)|$ is even or the universal cover of M admits a metric with positive scalar curvature.

For the last case in Theorem B, note that by [16] the universal cover of the topological space form M admits a metric with positive scalar curvature if and only if its alpha invariant vanishes.

In lower dimensions, the situation is completely different. In dimension 2, the only (topological) spherical space form that is not simply-connected is $\mathbb{R}P^2$. By [15, Theorem 3.4], the space $\mathcal{R}^+(\mathbb{R}P^2)$ is contractible, so both $\mathcal{R}^+(\mathbb{R}P^2)$ and $\mathcal{M}^+(\mathbb{R}P^2)$ are path-connected.

In dimension 3, by Perelman's proof of Thurston's Elliptization Conjecture (see [14] or [5, Theorem E]), every 3-dimensional topological spherical space form M^3 is diffeomorphic to a linear spherical space form. By [13, Main Theorem], the moduli space $\mathcal{M}^+(M^3)$ is path-connected. For recent work on the space of metrics $\mathcal{R}^+(M^3)$, we refer the reader to [1]. So dimension 4 is the only remaining open case.

This article is organized as follows. In Section 2, we summarize some basic definitions and results on topological spherical space forms and prove some preliminary group-theoretic tools. In Section 3, we use these tools to prove the Main Theorem.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we collect some definitions and basic results and develop the tools we will use in the proof of the Main Theorem.

Definition 2.1 A topological spherical space form is a smooth manifold whose universal cover is a homotopy sphere.

Linear spherical space forms have been classified; see [20]. This does not hold for the much larger class of topological spherical space forms, and there are still many open problems; see *e.g.*, [7] for a survey.

902

In the following, M denotes a topological spherical space form of dimension n. Its universal cover \widetilde{M} is homeomorphic to S^n , and the fundamental group $\pi_1(M)$ is finite. Furthermore, it has the following properties.

Proposition 2.2 If n is even, then $\pi_1(M)$ is trivial or \mathbb{Z}_2 . If n is odd, then every Sylow subgroup of $\pi_1(M)$ is cyclic or a generalized quaternion group.

A generalized quaternion group is a group $Q_{2^{k+1}}$ of order 2^{k+1} , k > 1, with generators *x* and *y* and relations

(2.1)
$$x^{2^{k-1}} = y^2, \quad x^{2^k} = 1, \quad yxy^{-1} = x^{-1}$$

Proof If *n* is even, then, by Lefschetz Fixed Point Theorem applied to the action on the universal cover, every non-trivial element of $\pi_1(M)$ reverses the orientation. Hence, there is at most one non-trivial element in $\pi_1(M)$.

If *n* is odd, then $\pi_1(M)$ has periodic cohomology by [4, Chapter XVI.9, Application 4], which is equivalent to the claim; see [4, Theorem XII.11.6].

The cyclic group \mathbb{Z}_p acts on $S^{2m-1} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^m$ via

$$(k+p\mathbb{Z})\cdot(z_1,\ldots,z_m)=(\lambda_1^kz_1,\ldots,\lambda_m^kz_m),$$

where $\lambda_i = \lambda^{q_i}$ for λ a primitive *p*-th root of unity and q_i and *p* are coprime. The quotient space is called a *lens space*.

Proposition 2.3 If $\pi_1(M)$ is cyclic, then M is homotopy equivalent to a linear spherical space form; that is, M is homotopy equivalent to S^n , $\mathbb{R}P^n$ (if n is even) or to a lens space (if n is odd).

Proof If *n* is even, then *M* is a homotopy sphere or $\pi_1(M) = \mathbb{Z}_2$, and in the latter case, *M* is homotopy equivalent to $\mathbb{R}P^n$ as shown in [12, IV.3.1]. We refer the reader to [19, 14E] for the case where *n* is odd.

We now consider Spin and Pin^{\pm} structures on topological spherical space forms. Recall that the group structure of the universal cover of the orthogonal group O(n) is uniquely determined on the component that contains the identity element (this is the Spin group), but on the other component, a preimage of a reflection can square to ± 1 , and we obtain the two groups Pin^{\pm} . The existence of Spin and Pin^{\pm} structures can be characterized by Stiefel–Whitney classes as follows.

Proposition 2.4 ([11, Theorem II.1.2 and Theorem II.1.7] and [8, Proposition 1.1.26]) Let M be a smooth manifold. Denote by $w_k(M) \in H^k(M; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ the k-th Stiefel–Whitney class of its tangent bundle. Then the following hold:

- (i) *M* is orientable if and only if $w_1(M)$ vanishes.
- (ii) *M* admits a Spin structure if and only if both $w_1(M)$ and $w_2(M)$ vanish.
- (iii) *M* admits a Pin⁺ structure if and only if $w_2(M)$ vanishes.
- (iv) *M* admits a Pin⁻ structure if and only if $w_2(M) + w_1(M)^2$ vanishes.

Now suppose that M is oriented. We equip \widetilde{M} with a Riemannian metric that is invariant under the action of $G = \pi_1(M)$, so the action lifts to the oriented orthonormal frame bundle $P_{SO}(\widetilde{M})$. The manifold \widetilde{M} is a homotopy sphere; hence, it admits a unique Spin structure $P \rightarrow P_{SO}(\widetilde{M})$, and every $g \in G$ has two lifts to P. Denote the group of all such lifts by \mathcal{G} . This leads to a group extension

$$(2.2) 1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{\pi} G \longrightarrow 1.$$

Both elements of ker π are central in \mathcal{G} , so the extension is central. In order to analyze this extension, we need two group-theoretic lemmas.

Lemma 2.5 Let G be a finite group with a cyclic 2-Sylow subgroup S. Let $m \cdot 2^k = |G|$, where m is odd. Then G has a unique normal subgroup of order m.

Proof If such a subgroup exists, then it is unique, as it contains precisely all elements of odd order. Now the homomorphism $\ell: G \to \text{Sym}(G)$ given by left-multiplication followed by sign: $\text{Sym}(G) \to \mathbb{Z}_2$ is surjective, as every generator of *S* has non-trivial image. Hence, its kernel *H* has index 2 and $H \cap S$ is a cyclic 2-Sylow subgroup of order 2^{k-1} in *H*. Suppose *H* has a unique normal subgroup *N* of order *m*. Every conjugate of *N* is contained in *H*, as *H* is normal, and, by uniqueness, it follows that it equals *N*, so *N* is normal in *G*. Thus, the claim follows by induction.

Lemma 2.6 Let G be a finite group and let $m \cdot 2^k = |G|$, where m is odd. If G has a normal subgroup N of order m, then the following hold:

- (i) The group G is the semi-direct product of N and a 2-Sylow subgroup.
- (ii) The group G in the extension (2.2) has a normal subgroup of order *m* that maps isomorphically to *N*.
- (iii) The extension (2.2) splits if and only if its restriction to a 2-Sylow subgroup splits.

Proof Consider the projection $G \rightarrow G/N$. Its restriction to a 2-Sylow subgroup S is an isomorphism; hence, the projection splits.

Now consider the extension (2.2). The 2-Sylow subgroups of $\pi^{-1}(N)$ have order 2, so we can apply Lemma 2.5 to see that $\pi^{-1}(N)$ has a normal subgroup \mathbb{N} of order *m* that maps isomorphically to *N* under π . The group \mathbb{N} is normal in \mathcal{G} as it contains all elements of odd order.

It follows from (*i*) that \mathcal{G} is the semi-direct product of \mathbb{N} and $\mathcal{S} = \pi^{-1}(S)$. If there is a splitting $S \to S$, then we obtain a map

$$\phi: G = N \rtimes S \longrightarrow \mathcal{N} \rtimes S = \mathcal{G}$$

by identifying *N* with \mathcal{N} . The map ϕ clearly satisfies $\pi \circ \phi = \text{id}$. Hence, it defines a splitting of (2.2) if it is a group homomorphism. The image $\phi(sns^{-1})$ equals $\phi(s)\phi(n)\phi(s)^{-1}$ for $n \in N$, $s \in S$ as both are elements of \mathcal{N} and map to sns^{-1} . Hence, ϕ commutes with the action of *S* on *N*; thus, it is a homomorphism on their semi-direct product *G*.

The manifold *M* admits a Spin structure if and only if the whole action of $G = \pi_1(M)$ on $P_{SO}(\widetilde{M})$ can be lifted to *P*. This is the case if and only if the extension

(2.2) splits, *i.e.*, if and only if $\mathcal{G} = \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus G$ (as the extension is central). This leads to the following proposition.

Proposition 2.7 The topological spherical space form M is orientable if and only if n is odd or G is trivial. If n is odd and the 2-Sylow subgroups of G are cyclic, then M admits a Spin structure if and only if $n \equiv 3 \mod 4$ or |G| is odd.

We will use the following lemma in the proof of the proposition.

Lemma 2.8 ([6, Theorem 1]) If M is a lens space of dimension n = 2m - 1, then M is spin if and only if m is even or $|\pi_1(M)|$ is odd.

Proof of Proposition 2.7 All homotopy spheres are orientable and spin, so we can assume that *G* is non-trivial. If *n* is even, then *M* is homotopy equivalent to $\mathbb{R}P^n$ which is not orientable. Since Stiefel–Whitney classes are invariant under homotopy equivalence, it follows that *M* is not orientable. If *n* is odd, then the action of *G* on \widetilde{M} preserves the orientation as it induces the identity on $H_n(\widetilde{M}; \mathbb{Q})$ by the Lefschetz Fixed Point Theorem. Hence, the quotient *M* is orientable.

Now let n = 2m - 1 be odd and assume that *G* has a cyclic 2-Sylow subgroup *S*. We consider the central extension (2.2). By Lemma 2.5, there is a normal subgroup of maximal odd order, and we can apply Lemma 2.6 to see that the extension splits if and only if its restriction to *S* splits. The quotient of the action of *S* on \widetilde{M} has cyclic fundamental group, so it is homotopy equivalent to a lens space by Proposition 2.3. Thus, by using the homotopy invariance of Stiefel–Whitney classes, it follows from Lemma 2.8 that the extension splits if and only if *m* is even or *S* is trivial; *i.e.*, *M* admits a Spin structure if and only if *m* is even or |G| is odd.

Remark 2.9 Proposition 2.7 remains true if *S* is a generalized quaternion group (*cf. e.g.*, proof of [9, Theorem 2.1]). This result cannot be obtained by the same method, however, as there are central extensions of Q_8 by \mathbb{Z}_2 that do not split but every restriction to a cyclic subgroup splits; these are semi-direct products of \mathbb{Z}_4 and \mathbb{Z}_4 . On the other hand, there are no central extensions of Q_8 by \mathbb{Z}_2 where every element has twice the order of its image, as we see in the following proposition.

Proposition 2.10 The group $Q_{2^{k+1}}$ cannot act smoothly and freely on a homotopy sphere of dimension $n \neq 3 \mod 4$. In particular, topological spherical space forms of dimension $n \equiv 1 \mod 4$ have cyclic 2-Sylow subgroups.

Proof By Proposition 2.2, we can assume that *n* is odd, and we again consider the extension (2.2), where $G = Q_{2^{k+1}}$. Now let $g \in G$ be non-trivial and suppose $n \equiv 1 \mod 4$. Then, by restricting the action to the cyclic subgroup generated by *g*, we obtain that both elements of $\pi^{-1}(g)$ have twice the order of *g*, as the extension does not split in this case. Denote by \tilde{x} and \tilde{y} preimages of the generators *x* and *y* of *G*; in particular, \tilde{x} has order 2^{k+1} . By using the relations (2.1), we obtain

$$\widetilde{x}^{2^{k-1}} = \pm \widetilde{y}^2$$
 and $\widetilde{y} \widetilde{x} \widetilde{y}^{-1} = \pm \widetilde{x}^{-1}$.

Hence,

$$\widetilde{x}^{-2^{k-1}} = \widetilde{y} \widetilde{x}^{2^{k-1}} \widetilde{y}^{-1} = \pm \widetilde{y}^2 = \widetilde{x}^{2^{k-1}}$$

so \tilde{x}^{2^k} is trivial, which is a contradiction.

3 Proof of the Main Theorem

Our Main Theorem will be a consequence of the following theorems.

Theorem 3.1 ([3, Theorem 0.2 and Theorem 1.1]) Let *M* be a closed connected manifold of odd dimension $n = 2m - 1 \ge 5$ with finite fundamental group *G* and assume that its universal cover admits a Spin structure. Consider the central extension (2.2) given by

 $1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow 1$

and assume that the following hold.

- (i) The group \mathcal{G} contains an element $g \neq \pm 1$ that is not conjugate to either -g or to $-g^{-1}$ if m is even.
- (ii) The group \mathcal{G} contains an element g that is not conjugate to either -g or to g^{-1} if m is odd.

Then if *M* admits a metric with positive scalar curvature, there exists an infinite family $g_i \in \mathbb{R}^+(M)$ such that g_i and g_j are not concordant and lie in different path components of $\mathcal{M}^+(M)$ if $i \neq j$.

This theorem is an extension of the main theorems in [2], where M is assumed to be spin. Then $\mathcal{G} = \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus G$, so condition (i) is satisfied if and only if G is non-trivial, and condition (ii) is satisfied if and only if G has an element that is not conjugate to its inverse.

Theorem 3.2 ([3, Theorem 0.3]) Let M be a closed connected manifold of even dimension $n = 2m \ge 6$ with fundamental group \mathbb{Z}_2 and assume that M is not orientable and admits a Pin^{ε} structure, where $\varepsilon = \text{sign}(-1)^m$. Then if M admits a metric with positive scalar curvature, there exists an infinite family $g_i \in \mathbb{R}^+(M)$ such that g_i and g_j are not concordant and lie in different path components of $\mathbb{M}^+(M)$ if $i \ne j$.

We now adapt, with the help of the results proved in Section 2, the proof of [3, Theorem 0.1] in order to prove the Main Theorem.

Proof of the Main Theorem First assume that n = 2m - 1 is odd. Denote by *S* a 2-Sylow subgroup of *G*. By Proposition 2.2, there are two possibilities: *S* is cyclic or a generalized quaternion group. We consider each possibility separately.

First assume that *S* is cyclic. We use Proposition 2.7 to determine in which cases *M* is spin. If *m* is even, then *M* is spin, and we can apply Theorem 3.1. We can also do that if *m* is odd and |G| is odd, since then every non-trivial element of *G* is not conjugate to its inverse. If |G| is even and *m* is odd, then *M* is not spin. Then consider the central extension (2.2) given by

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{\pi} G \longrightarrow 1.$$

https://doi.org/10.4153/S0008439520000132 Published online by Cambridge University Press

906

It does not split, since *M* is not spin, so $S = \pi^{-1}(S)$ is cyclic by Lemma 2.6, and hence, *G* is the semi-direct product of *S*, and the unique maximal normal subgroup \mathcal{N} of odd order by Lemmas 2.5 and 2.6. Let $g \in S$. Then for $n \in \mathcal{N}$ we have

$$ngn^{-1} = g \cdot (g^{-1}ngn^{-1}).$$

As $g^{-1}ngn^{-1} \in \mathbb{N}$, it follows that $ngn^{-1} \in \mathbb{S}$ if and only if $g^{-1}ngn^{-1}$ is trivial, and in this case, $ngn^{-1} = g$. Hence, the only element of \mathbb{S} to which g can be conjugate is g, and g satisfies the requirement of Theorem 3.1(ii) if $g \neq g^{-1}$. But $|\mathbb{S}|$ is a multiple of 4, so such an element exists.

Now consider the case where $S = Q_{2^{k+1}}$ is a generalized quaternion group. Then *m* is even by Proposition 2.10. If \mathcal{G} has a non-trivial element *g* of odd order, then both -g and $-g^{-1}$ have even order, so *g* is not conjugate to any of them, and we can apply Theorem 3.1. If there is no element of odd order, then G = S. Consider the generators *x* and *y* in the presentation (2.1). In particular, we have

$$yxy^{-1} = x^{-1}$$

Denote by \widetilde{x} and \widetilde{y} preimages in G. Then $\widetilde{y} \widetilde{x} \widetilde{y}^{-1} = \pm \widetilde{x}^{-1}$, so

$$\widetilde{y}\,\widetilde{x}^2\,\widetilde{y}^{-1} = \widetilde{x}^{-2}$$

holds. This shows that the conjugacy class of \tilde{x}^2 only consists of \tilde{x}^2 and \tilde{x}^{-2} . Hence, \tilde{x}^2 is conjugate to $-\tilde{x}^2$ or $-\tilde{x}^{-2}$ if and only if $\tilde{x}^2 = -\tilde{x}^{-2}$. This is the case if and only if \tilde{x} has order 8 and x has order 4. By restricting the action as in the proof of Proposition 2.10, we see that the elements x and \tilde{x} have the same order, so \tilde{x}^2 is not conjugate to $-\tilde{x}^2$ or $-\tilde{x}^{-2}$, and we can apply Theorem 3.1.

Finally assume that n = 2m is even. Then $G = \mathbb{Z}_2$ by Proposition 2.2; hence, M is homotopy equivalent to $\mathbb{R}P^n$ by Proposition 2.3. Denote by $a \in H^1(\mathbb{R}P^n;\mathbb{Z}_2)$ the generator of the cohomology ring. Then

$$w_1(\mathbb{R}P^n) = (n+1) \cdot a = a \text{ and } w_2(\mathbb{R}P^n) = \frac{n(n+1)}{2} \cdot a^2.$$

This shows that $w_2(\mathbb{R}P^n) = 0$ if *m* is even, so *M* admits a Pin⁺ structure, and $w_2(\mathbb{R}P^n) + w_1(\mathbb{R}P^n)^2 = a^2 + a^2 = 0$ if *m* is odd, so *M* admits a Pin⁻ structure. In both cases we can apply Theorem 3.2.

Acknowledgments This article is based on the author's master's thesis, written at the Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT) under the supervision of Fernando Galaz-García. The author would like to thank him for his support. The author would also like to thank the Department of Mathematics of Shanghai Jiao Tong University for its hospitality during the preparation of this article.

References

- [1] R. H. Bamler and B. Kleiner, *Ricci flow and contractibility of spaces of metrics*. 2019. arxiv:1909.08710
- B. Botvinnik and P. B. Gilkey, The eta invariant and metrics of positive scalar curvature. Math. Ann. 302(1995), 507–517. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01444505
- [3] B. Botvinnik and P. B. Gilkey, Metrics of positive scalar curvature on spherical space forms. Canad. J. Math. 48(1996), 64–80. https://doi.org/10.4153/CJM-1996-003-0
- [4] H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg, *Homological algebra*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1956.

- [5] J. Dinkelbach and B. Leeb, Equivariant Ricci flow with surgery and applications to finite group actions on geometric 3-manifolds. Geom. Topol. 13(2009), 1129–1173. https://doi.org/10.2140/gt.2009.13.1129
- [6] A. Franc, Spin structures and Killing spinors on lens spaces. J. Geom. Phys. 4(1987), 277–287. https://doi.org/10.1016/0393-0440(87)90015-5
- [7] I. Hambleton, Topological spherical space forms. 2014. arxiv:1412.8187
- [8] M. Karoubi, Algèbres de Clifford et K-théorie. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. 1(1968), 161–270.
- [9] S. Kwasik and R. Schultz, Positive scalar curvature and periodic fundamental groups. Comment. Math. Helv. 65(1990), 271–286. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02566607
- [10] S. Kwasik and R. Schultz, Fake spherical spaceforms of constant positive scalar curvature. Comment. Math. Helv. 71(1996), 1–40. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02566407
- [11] H. Blaine Lawson Jr. and M.-L. Michelsohn, Spin geometry. Princeton Mathematical Series, 38, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1989.
- [12] S. López de Medrano, *Involutions on manifolds*. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, 59, Springer-Verlag, New York, Heidelberg, 1971.
- [13] F. C. Marques, Deforming three-manifolds with positive scalar curvature. Ann. of Math. (2) 176(2012), 815–863. https://doi.org/10.4007/annals.2012.176.2.3
- [14] J. Morgan and G. Tian, *The geometrization conjecture*. Clay Mathematics Monographs, 5, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI; Clay Mathematics Institute, Cambridge, MA, 2014.
- [15] J. Rosenberg and S. Stolz, Metrics of positive scalar curvature and connections with surgery. In: Surveys on surgery theory, Vol. 2. Ann. of Math. Stud., 149, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 2001, pp. 353–386.
- S. Stolz, Simply connected manifolds of positive scalar curvature. Ann. of Math. (2) 136(1992), 511–540. https://doi.org/10.2307/2946598
- W. Tuschmann, Spaces and moduli spaces of Riemannian metrics. Front. Math. China 11(2016), 1335–1343. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11464-016-0576-1
- [18] W. Tuschmann and D. J. Wraith, Moduli spaces of Riemannian metrics. Second corrected printing, Oberwolfach Seminars, 46, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2015. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-0348-0948-1
- [19] C. T. C. Wall, Surgery on compact manifolds, Second ed., Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 69, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999. https://doi.org/10.1090/surv/069
- [20] J. A. Wolf, Spaces of constant curvature. McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, London, Sydney, 1967.

Institut für Mathematik, Karlsruher Institut für Technologie (KIT) Germany e-mail: philipp.reiser@kit.edu