Determinants for undetected dementia and late-life depression

Ruoling Chen, Zhi Hu, Ruo-Li Chen, Ying Ma, Dongmei Zhang and Kenneth Wilson

Background

Determinants for undetected dementia and late-life depression have been not well studied.

Aims

To investigate risk factors for undetected dementia and depression in older communities.

Method

Using the method of the 10/66 algorithm, we interviewed a random sample of 7072 participants aged ≥ 60 years in six provinces of China during 2007–2011. We documented doctor-diagnosed dementia and depression in the interview. Using the validated 10/66 algorithm we diagnosed dementia (n = 359) and depression (n = 328).

Results

We found that 93.1% of dementia and 92.5% of depression was undetected. Both undetected dementia and depression were significantly associated with low levels of education and occupation, and living in a rural area. The risk of undetected dementia was also associated with 'help available when needed', and inversely, with a family history of mental illness and having functional impairment. Undetected depression was significantly related to female gender, low income, having more children and inversely with having heart disease.

Conclusions

Older adults in China have high levels of undetected dementia and depression. General socioeconomic improvement, associated with mental health education, targeting high-risk populations are likely to increase detection of dementia and depression in older adults, providing a backdrop for culturally acceptable service development.

Declaration of interest

None.

Dementia and depression are common psychiatric disorders in people over the age of 60 years.¹ At present, around 50 million people in the world are living with dementia,² and an estimated 30 million older adults are living with depression.³ They represent two of the world's biggest health problems and are increasingly a major public health challenge given that the world's population is ageing. Both disorders are associated with poorer overall health, social function and healthcare outcomes, and increased mortality.⁴⁻⁷ However, these mental illnesses can be difficult to identify and often remain undetected,⁸⁻¹⁰ and possibly form barriers to care. Undetected dementia and depression levels vary among countries depending on social and cultural characteristics and health service provision. In the UK, O'Connor et al¹¹ examined 208 patients aged over 75 years and observed that general practitioners (GPs) failed to identify 42% of those assessed as having dementia using the Cambridge Mental Disorders of the Elderly Examination. In Canada, Worrall & Moulton⁸ found that among 20 individuals with dementia (identified by the Canadian Mental Status Questionnaire) in rural community-dwelling residents aged >70 years, 15 patients (75%) were undetected in medical records. In Finland, Arve et al⁹ found that GPs failed to detect depression in 58% of 109 patients aged 70 years. A failure to detect dementia and depression can cause delays in starting treatment, leading to patient suffering, disability and worsening of the prognosis.^{12,13}

Despite the high risk of non-detection of dementia and depression in older adults, related risk factors are not well known. Knowledge relating to levels of underdetection is mainly derived from studies undertaken in high-income countries, with consequential problems in generalising findings to low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) across the world. There are few studies examining undetected dementia and depression in older adults in LMICs, where the majority of dementia and depression occurs.¹⁴ Studying an older population in LMICs may offer internationally applicable insights into the level and

determinants of undetected dementia and depression and aid earlier diagnosis. In this paper we examine data from a large-scale community-dwelling-based survey in China to explore determinants for undetected dementia and depression in older adults.

Method

The study population was derived from participants in a multicentre study of mental health in older adults in China; including a four-province study,¹⁵ an extended study in Hubei province and the Anhui cohort third-wave survey.¹⁶ All were communitybased household survey studies, with a common research protocol.

The four-province study

The methods of the four-province study have been fully described elsewhere.¹⁵ In brief, in 2008–2009 we selected one rural and one urban community from each of four provinces (Guangdong, Heilongjiang, Shanghai and Shanxi - four research centres) as the study fields, trying to recruit no fewer than 500 participants in each community. We employed a cluster randomised sampling method to choose residential communities from each of the four provinces; in Guandong, Jitang subdistrict in Huangpu district in Guangzhou city and Lianfeng village in Zhongshan county; in Heilongjiang, Dayou subdistrict in Daowai district in Harbin and four villages in Xianfeng township in Suihua; in Shanghai, a subdistrict (Xietu Road) in Xuhui district and two villages in Xingta township; in Shanxi, a subdistrict in Jinzhong and five villages in Zhuangzi township. The target population consisted of residents aged ≥ 60 years living in the area for at least 5 years. Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the Research Ethics Committee, University College London, UK, and from the Research Ethics Committee of Anhui Medical University and the local governments in China. Based on the residency list of the committees of the village and the district, we recruited a total of 4314 participants, with an overall response rate of 93.8%. Two researchers from each centre team were trained at the Anhui Medical University, where we had completed several surveys of mental illness in older people and had a skilled and experienced interview team.¹⁶⁻¹⁸ The trained researchers cascaded skills to local research teams and trained the interviewers. The local survey team from Guangzhou, Harbin and Shanxi Medical Universities and the School of Public Health of Fudan University interviewed the participants at home. Permission for interview and informed consent were obtained from each participant or, if that was not possible, from the closest responsible adult. In about 5% of the interviews, informed consent was impossible to elicit; in these cases, the nearest relative or carer was approached to provide assent to participation. The main interview included a general health and risk factors record,18 the Geriatric Mental State (GMS) questionnaire¹⁷ and other components of the 10/66 algorithm dementia research package.14,19 In the general health and risk-factors component we recorded details relating to sociodemography, social networks and support, and cardiovascular and other risk factors.¹⁸ Socioeconomic variables included rural/urban domicile area, educational level, occupational class, and annual personal and family incomes. We asked participants (or their carers if the participant was unable to answer) whether they had received a doctor's diagnosis of heart disease (coronary or valve disease), angina, stroke, diabetes,20 chronic bronchitis, chronic kidney disease, cancer, overactive or underactive thyroid, dementia, depression, epilepsy, Parkinson's disease, etc. We measured systolic and diastolic blood pressure, height, weight and waist circumference for all participants according to standard procedures.²

The Hubei Study

In 2010–2011, we extended the project to include the Hubei province, using the same protocol as in the four-province study. Two researchers from the team at Hubei University of Medicine were trained at Anhui Medical University, capitalising on the experience of having undertaken several surveys of mental illness in older adults.^{16–18} We selected Maojian subdistrict in Shiyan city and Yanhe village in Wushan township of Wucheng county as the study field. In total, we recruited 1001 participants aged ≥ 60 years, and achieved a response rate of 91.8%.

Diagnosis of depression and dementia

Utilising the data from the interview, we documented all doctordiagnosed cases of dementia and depression (defined as 'detected cases'). The Geriatric Mental State – Automated Geriatric Examination for Computer Assisted Taxonomy (GMS-AGECAT)^{17,18,22} and the 10/66 algorithms^{14,19} were used to diagnose depression and dementia in this population.

The GMS data were analysed by a computer program-assisted diagnosis, the AGECAT, to assess the principal mental disorders in the study participants.^{17,22} The methods of the diagnosis have been fully described in previous publications.^{23,24} The GMS-AGECAT depression diagnosis has been validated in China.^{17,18}

Individuals with dementia were identified using the 10/66 dementia algorithm, which has been widely used and validated in older adults with low educational levels in LMICs including in China.^{14,19} The 10/66 dementia diagnosis requires four inputs from the interview: the GMS-AGECAT diagnostic output, the Community Screening Instrument for Dementia (CSI-D) cognitive test score (COGSCORE), the CSI-D informant interview (RELSCORE) and the modified Consortium to Establish a Registry

for Alzheimer's Disease (CERAD) ten-word list learning task with delayed recall.^{14,19} To save our research resources and to complete each interview within time, we designed a two-phase interview. In phase one, we completed the GMS, the CSI-D cognitive test and CERAD, as well as the general health and risk factors interview. Using three of the four constituent components of the 10/66 algorithm (i.e. data of GMS-AGECAT, the CSI-D cognitive test and CERAD interview),^{14,19,25} we calculated a probability of possible dementia for each participant. In phase two, which started about 8 months (s.d. = 2) after phase one completion, we selected the top 15% of the population who had the highest probability of having 'dementia' as 'probable cases' and a random sample of 5% of the rest as 'probable non-cases' for subsequent interviews in each centre. The interview team completed the CSI-D informant interview for the selected participants. We used a cut-off point of probability derived from the full 10/66 algorithm to diagnose dementia, which has been validated in China.¹

The third-wave survey of the Anhui study

This was based on our Anhui follow-up study, the methods of which have been fully described.^{16–18,23,24} In brief, in 2001–2003 we examined a random sample of 3336 residents aged ≥ 60 years in the Yiming district of Hefei city and the Tangdian district of Yingshang county, Anhui province (wave 1) using the standard interview method of GMS-AGECAT. One year after the baseline investigation we re-examined 2608 cohort members (wave 2).^{23,24} In 2007–2009 we carried out the third wave of the survey within the cohort. Using a similar protocol to that in the four-province study but differing slightly in the phase-two interview (including all 127 participants with phase one GMS-AGECAT dementia who had not completed the CSI-D cognitive test and the modified CERAD interview¹⁶), we successfully interviewed 1757 participants with a response rate of 82.4% of surviving cohort members.¹⁶

Statistical analysis

The SPSS statistical package (Windows version 16.0) was used for data analysis. Patients with undetected dementia and depression were defined as those who were diagnosed in the survey using the validated 10/66 algorithm and GMS-AGECAT but did not have doctor-diagnosed dementia or depression. Using adjusted logistic regression models we calculated odds ratios (ORs) and 95% CIs for undetected dementia and depression among patients in relation to baseline risk factors. In the model we adjusted for age, gender, activity of daily living (ADL) score and six-province geographic variable to reduce their confounding and cluster effects on the associations of undetected dementia and depression with each of the important determinants.

Results

Among 7072 participants, we diagnosed 359 (5.1%) as having dementia and 328 (4.6%) as having depression. There were 26 (0.7%) participants who had doctor-diagnosed dementia reported and 26 (0.8%) having doctor-diagnosed depression. The percentage of undetected dementia was 93.1 (95% CI 90.1–95.4) and of undetected depression was 92.5 (95% CI 89.2–95.0).

Tables 1–3 give the frequencies of risk factors for undetected dementia and depression. Both undetected disorders were associated with living in a rural area and low educational level and occupational class (Table 1). Undetected dementia was also associated with having 'help available when needed' (Table 2), and inversely, with a family history of mental illness, thyroid problems, hearing problems and low ADL (Table 3). Participants with undetected depression were more likely to be women, have

204

low personal and family incomes (Table 1) and have more than three children (Table 2), whereas detected depression was related to having heart disease (Table 3). Neither undetected dementia nor undetected depression were significantly related to age, body mass index, alcohol drinking, marital status, living with family members, children/relatives visiting, having a religious belief, and other comorbidities (hypertension, angina, stroke, vision problem, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, cancer, head injury) (data not shown).

In our adjustment analysis (Tables 1-3), we found similar associations to those in the univariate analysis. However, their statistical significances were changed; undetected dementia was no longer associated with having thyroid problems and hearing problems, while undetected depression was significantly related to fewer frequencies of visiting children/relatives.

Discussion

In this large-scale, community-based household survey in China we observed higher levels of undetected dementia and depression than has been reported in the West. ^{9–11,26,27} Non-detection of both disorders was related to low socioeconomic status and some aspects of social networks. Patients with functional impairment and with family histories of mental illness were exposed to health examinations for detecting dementia, and having heart disease was associated with an increased chance of detecting depression.

Strengths and weaknesses of the study

Our study has several strengths. First, our data provide some important evidence regarding the role of socioeconomic variables in influencing the detection of dementia and depression. Second, we included a relatively large number of participants from community-dwelling settings for this study and the response rate was high. The sample size enabled the exploration of a wide range of potentially important determinants likely to influence detection. Third, we used the 10/66 algorithm to diagnose dementia, which caters for low educational levels in detecting dementia.^{19,25} However, our study also has some limitations. We defined medically diagnosed dementia or depression based on information obtained from participants or their carers, without accessing medical records. This methodology may underestimate rates of 'doctor-diagnosed' dementia and depression because participants may not recall having been given a diagnosis or may be reluctant to divulge the information. We anticipated that the involvement of carers is likely to have mitigated potential underreporting. However, we concede that more robust information would have been obtained through access to relevant medical records. The study was cross-sectional and the causal relationship between non-detection and correlative factors requires longitudinal cohort studies for confirmation. However, we have identified certain high-risk groups, such as those with a low educational level and occupational class, and living in a rural area, that may benefit from screening. Despite the fact the study population consisted of representative samples from each of six

Table 1 Numbers and percentages of undetected and detected dementia and depression, and odds ratios of undetection by basic riction nhic status in the study of montal health in older adults

| | Dementia | | | | Depression | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|
| Variable | Undetected (n = 351) ^a | Detected (<i>n</i> = 26) | OR (95%CI) ^b | Undetected (n = 319) ^c | Detected (n = 26) | OR (95%CI) ^b |
| Basic characteristics | | | | | | |
| Age, years | | | | | | |
| 60-<75 | 114 (32.5) | 8 (30.8) | 1.00 | 207 (64.9) | 17 (65.4) | 1.00 |
| ≥75–84 | 163 (46.4) | 14 (53.8) | 0.83 (0.32-2.15) | 87 (27.3) | 8 (30.8) | 0.97 (0.37-2.53) |
| ≥85 | 74 (21.1) | 4 (15.4) | 1.69 (0.46-6.26) | 25 (7.8) | 1 (3.8) | 3.50 (0.40-30.78) |
| Gender | | | | | | |
| Men | 126 (35.9) | 8 (30.8) | 1.00 | 100 (31.3) | 13 (50.0)* | 1.00 |
| Women | 225 (64.1) | 18 (69.2) | 0.76 (0.30-1.91) | 219 (68.7) | 13 (50.0) | 2.69 (1.13-6.42)* |
| Smoking status | | | | | | |
| Never | 241 (68.7) | 20 (76.9) | 1.00 | 202 (63.3) | 17 (65.4) | 1.00 |
| Former/current | 110 (31.3) | 6 (23.1) | 1.05 (0.36–3.08) | 117 (36.7) | 9 (34.6) | 1.43 (0.46-4.40) |
| Socioeconomic status | | | | | | |
| Urban-rurality | | | | | | |
| Urban | 138 (39.3) | 18 (69.2)** | 1.00 | 105 (32.9) | 21 (80.8)*** | 1.00 |
| Rural | 213 (60.7) | 8 (30.8) | 5.03 (1.95–12.95)*** | 214 (67.1) | 5 (19.2) | 10.59 (3.42-32.76)*** |
| Educational level | | | | | | |
| ≥High secondary school | 14 (4.0) | 4 (15.4)* | 1.00 | 27 (8.5) | 13 (50.0)*** | 1.00 |
| Secondary or primary school | 108 (30.8) | 6 (23.1) | 3.34 (0.72–15.53) | 135 (42.3) | 8 (30.8) | 11.60 (3.49–38.56)*** |
| Illiterate | 229 (65.2) | 16 (61.5) | 4.00 (1.02-15.58)* | 157 (49.2) | 5 (19.2) | 16.42 (4.46-60.52)*** |
| Main occupation | | | | | | |
| Non-manual (official/teacher) | 45 (14.2) | 7 (26.9) | 1.00 | 44 (13.8) | 15 (57.7)*** | 1.00 |
| Manual (peasant, etc.) | 306 (85.8) | 19 (73.1) | 2.91 (1.08–7.84)* | 275 (86.2) | 11 (42.3) | 10.14 (4.04–25.48)*** |
| Annual personal income | | | | | | |
| (RMB, Yuan) | | | | | | |
| ≥20000 | 51 (14.5) | 5 (19.2) | 1.00 | 43 (13.5) | 13 (50.0)*** | 1.00 |
| <20000 | 300 (85.5) | 21 (80.8) | 1.61 (0.53–4.92) | 276 (86.5) | 13 (50.0) | 6.86 (2.71–17.39)*** |
| Averaged income of family member | | | | | | |
| per year (RMB, Yuan) | | | | | | |
| ≥20000 | 95 (27.1) | 9 (34.6) | 1.00 | 62 (19.4) | 18 (69.2)*** | 1.00 |
| <20000 | 256 (72.9) | 17 (65.4) | 1.70 (0.64-4.50) | 257 (80.6) | 8 (30.8) | 14.20 (4.67–43.15)*** |

a. Among 359 dementia cases diagnosed by the 10/66 algorithm dementia, 8 were already diagnosed by doctors and taken for detected dementia analysis. b. Adjusted for age, gender, activity of daily living score and six-province geographic variable. c. Among 328 depression cases diagnosed by the GMS-AGECAT depression, 9 were already diagnosed by doctors and taken for detected depression analysis. * $P \leq 0.05$ but >0.01, * $P \leq 0.01$ but >0.001, **P < 0.001; P-values are for chi-squared test in the univariate analysis.

| | Dementia | | | Depression | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Variable | Undetected (n = 351) ^a | Detected (<i>n</i> = 26) | OR (95%CI) ^b | Undetected $(n = 319)^{c}$ | Detected (n = 26) | OR (95%Cl) ^b | |
| Number of children | | | | | | | |
| 0–3 | 106 (30.2) | 11 (42.3) | 1.00 | 144 (45.1) | 20 (76.9)** | 1.00 | |
| ≥4 | 245 (69.8) | 15 (57.7) | 1.23 (0.47–3.26) | 175 (54.9) | 6 (23.1) | 3.41 (1.20–9.70)* | |
| How far to your closest relatives | | | | | | | |
| Outside county/city or no relatives | 61 (17.4) | 8 (32.0) | 1.00 | 102 (32.0) | 11 (42.3) | 1.00 | |
| Within same town or district | 290 (82.6) | 18 (68.0) | 1.36 (0.46–4.03) | 217 (68.0) | 15 (57.7) | 0.75 (0.28–2.04) | |
| Frequency of visiting children/relatives | | | | | | | |
| Daily | 73 (20.8) | 10 (38.5) | 1.00 | 66 (20.7) | 10 (38.5) | 1.00 | |
| $<$ Daily and \ge monthly | 153 (43.6) | 6 (23.1) | 2.53 (0.82-7.81) | 119 (37.3) | 7 (26.9) | 3.87 (1.26–11.91)* | |
| < Monthly | 125 (35.6) | 10 (38.5) | 1.89 (0.70–5.09) | 134 (42.0) | 9 (34.6) | 4.30 (1.41–13.09)* | |
| Frequency of contacting and speaking to friends in village/ community | | | | | | | |
| Daily | 81 (23.1) | 5 (19.2) | 1.00 | 81 (25.4) | 8 (30.8) | 1.00 | |
| $<$ Daily and \geq monthly | 156 (44.4) | 7 (26.9) | 1.24 (0.36-4.21) | 129 (40.4) | 13 (50.0) | 0.87 (0.31–2.41) | |
| <monthly< td=""><td>114 (32.5)</td><td>14 (53.8)</td><td>0.80 (0.25–2.57)</td><td>109 (34.2)</td><td>5 (19.2)</td><td>3.10 (0.88–10.96)</td></monthly<> | 114 (32.5) | 14 (53.8) | 0.80 (0.25–2.57) | 109 (34.2) | 5 (19.2) | 3.10 (0.88–10.96) | |
| Help available when needed | | | | | | | |
| No | 14 (4.0) | 4 (15.4)* | 1.00 | 33 (10.3) | 3 (11.5) | 1.00 | |
| Yes | 337 (96.0) | 22 (84.6) | 5.45 (1.36-21.78)* | 286 (89.7) | 23 (88.5) | 0.92 (0.24-3.47) | |

Table 2 Numbers and percentages of undetected and detected dementia and depression, and odds ratio of undetection by social

a. Among 359 dementia cases diagnosed by the 10/66 algorithm dementia, 8 were already diagnosed by doctors and taken for detected dementia analysis.

b. Adjusted for age, gender, activity of daily living score and six-province geographic variable. c. Among 328 depression cases diagnosed by the GMS-AGECAT depression, 9 were already diagnosed by doctors and taken for detected depression analysis. * $P \leq 0.05$ but > 0.01, * $P \leq 0.01$ but > 0.001, **P < 0.001; P-values are for chi-squared test in the univariate analysis.

Table 3 Numbers and percentages of undetected and detected dementia and depression, and odds ratios of undetection by family mental illness histories and comorbidities in the six-province study of mental health in older adults, China

| | Dementia | | | Depression | | | |
|--|----------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Variable | Undetected $(n = 351)^{a}$ | Detected (n = 26) | OR (95%CI) ^b | Undetected $(n = 319)^{c}$ | Detected (<i>n</i> = 26) | OR (95%Cl) ^b | |
| Any blood-related relatives having mental illness ^d | | | | | | | |
| No | 348 (99.1) | 23 (88.5)** | 1.00 | 305 (95.6) | 23 (88.5) | 1.00 | |
| Yes | 3 (0.9) | 3 (11.5) | 0.04 (0.01-0.25)** | 14 (4.4) | 3 (11.5) | 0.19 (0.04-1.01) | |
| All types of heart disease | | | | | | | |
| No | 319 (90.9) | 21 (80.8) | 1.00 | 255 (79.9) | 14 (45.5)** | 1.00 | |
| Yes | 32 (9.1) | 5 (19.2) | 0.55 (0.18-1.72) | 64 (20.1) | 12 (46.2) | 0.26 (0.11–0.66)** | |
| Stroke | | | | | | | |
| No | 320 (89.6) | 21 (77.3) | 1.00 | 291 (91.2) | 24 (92.3) | 1.00 | |
| Yes | 31 (10.4) | 5 (22.7) | 0.65 (0.19-2.20) | 28 (8.8) | 2 (7.7) | 0.98 (0.19–5.11) | |
| Diabetes | | | | | | | |
| No | 336 (95.7) | 24 (92.3) | 1.00 | 300 (94.0) | 22 (84.6) | 1.00 | |
| Yes | 15 (4.3) | 2 (7.7) | 0.73 (0.14–3.84) | 19 (6.0) | 4 (15.4) | 0.37 (0.09–1.50) | |
| Overactive or underactive thyroid | | | | | | | |
| No | 336 (95.7) | 21 (80.8)** | 1.00 | 296 (92.8) | 22 (84.6) | 1.00 | |
| Yes | 15 (4.3) | 5 (19.2) | 0.33 (0.06–1.70) | 23 (7.2) | 4 (15.4) | 0.63 (0.15–2.67) | |
| Hearing problems | | | | | | | |
| No | 186 (53.0) | 8 (30.8)* | 1.00 | 207 (64.9) | 19 (73.1) | 1.00 | |
| Yes | 165 (47.0) | 18 (69.2) | 0.52 (0.21–1.31) | 112 (35.1) | 7 (26.9) | 1.65 (0.62–4.39) | |
| Activities of daily living, score ^e | | | | | | | |
| 0 | 232 (66.1) | 9 (34.6)** | 1.00 | 254 (79.6) | 22 (84.6) | 1.00 | |
| 1–4 | 45 (12.8) | 5 (19.2) | | 23 (7.2) | 2 (7.7) | 1 20 (0 40 4 24) | |
| 5–28 | 74 (21.1) | 12 (46.2) 🐧 | 0.25 (0.10–0.62)** | 42 (13.2) | 2 (7.7) 🐧 | 1.30 (0.40–4.24) | |

a. Among 359 dementia cases diagnosed by the 10/66 algorithm dementia, 8 were already diagnosed by doctors and taken for detected dementia analysis. b. Adjusted for age, gender, activity of daily living score and six-province geographic variable. c. Among 328 depression cases diagnosed by the GMS-AGECAT depression, 9 were already diagnosed by doctors and taken for detected depression analysis. d. Including dementia, depression, schizophrenia and other psychiatric diseases. e. The participant reported their level of difficulty in questions of the activities of daily living (ADL) scale. The valid response was 'no difficulty alone' (score 0), 'manages alone with difficulty' (score 1), 'cannot do alone' (score 2). The scale consists of 14 items: having a bath or all-over wash, washing hands and face, putting on shoes and stockings/socks, doing up buttons and zips, dressing yourself other than the above, getting to and using the WC, getting in and out of bed, feeding self, shaving (men) or doing hair (women), cutting your own toenails, getting up and down steps, getting around the house, going out of doors alone and taking medicine. * $P \leq 0.05$ but >0.01, **P < 0.001 but >0.001, ***P < 0.001; P-values are for chi-squared test in the univariate analysis

206

provinces and that they have comparable levels of economic development and modernisation to other provinces in China, caution should be exercised in generalising our findings to all of China's 169 million older inhabitants.

Comparison of our findings with those from other studies

There is evidence that in high-incomes countries about 60% of community-dwelling older adults with dementia or depression are not diagnosed.^{8–10,26,28} Our study in China, which has the largest number of patients with dementia in the world,²⁹ shows that the level of undetected dementia was much higher than has been seen in the studies undertaken in high-income countries.^{8,10,11,26,28} A small study of 23 patients with dementia in Thailand³⁰ found a similar high level of undetected dementia (95.6%) to that in our study. The low level of dementia detection in Chinese older adults could be as a result of low socioeconomic status or reflect aspects of Chinese culture and traditions.

Previous studies in high-income countries have shown that more than half of older adults with depression are not diagnosed.^{10,27} The current study demonstrated an extremely high level of underdetected depression, although the total risk of depression in Chinese older adults is lower than that in highincome countries.^{17,18} This high level of underdetection could be as a result of Chinese cultural aspects, such as the stigma associated with depression. Taken together with the figures of high undetection of depression in other countries, our study suggests that depression in older populations is poorly recognised and requires attention.

There are few studies that have examined factors influencing non-detection of dementia and depression in older adults. In the current study we found that increased risk of having undetected dementia and depression was strongly associated with low socioeconomic variables. In rural China, the average annual income (US\$140-340) is two to five times lower than that in urban areas (US\$412-652)³¹ and about 90% of older people are illiterate.¹⁸ People living in rural areas mostly have no medical insurance, unlike those in urban China who have medical coverage provided by the government or their employers.³¹ The primary care system in rural areas is mainly made up of clinics staffed by less intensively trained medical personnel. Both lack of healthcare and low educational level in rural settings may thus explain further the relatively low detection rates of dementia and depression associated with low socioeconomic status. Previous studies in high-income countries did not show a significant association between low socioeconomic status and the risk of undetected dementia.13,28 This could be at least partially a result of their small samples, better access to healthcare in these countries, or both.

A reduced risk of having undiagnosed dementia in relation to severe functional impairments was observed in high-income countries,¹³ consistent with our finding of an association of detecting dementia with difficulties in ADL. Increased contact with healthcare services because of these impairments may lead to the detection of cognitive difficulties. Our data further showed that understanding family histories of mental illness would increase the chance of dementia, and probably depression being detected, even after allowing for socioeconomic status.

In the USA, Garrard *et al*²⁷ observed that men were more likely than women to have undiagnosed depression. However, in the current study we found that women were more likely to have undetected depression. This is consistent with female gender being a risk factor for depression¹⁸ and could be linked to women in China having lower socioeconomic status. The increased risk of

undetected depression in those having more than three children may also be because of low socioeconomic status, as having more children is associated with lower levels of education, occupational class, income, and living in rural areas, reducing the chance of detecting depression. Having heart disease may increase the chance of a hospital admission and diagnosing depression, and thus not surprisingly, it was inversely related to undetected depression in the community in this study.

Previous studies in high-income countries showed no associations between undetected dementia and social support.^{13,32} Surprisingly, we found that increased risk of having undiagnosed dementia was associated with 'help available when needed'. Unlike in high-income countries, most of older Chinese people live with their families and have a high level of social network support.¹⁸ Traditionally, families take care of their frail and sick elders and around 90% of patients with dementia are looked after by their family.^{11,33} High levels of social support may disguise the disease and hinder detection, suggesting that identification may be enhanced through targeted screening in these circumstances. In contrast, other aspects of social support, such as visiting children/relatives, reduced the risk of undetected depression, suggesting the protective effects of social support on depression for early detection.

A variety of reasons have been suggested to explain the poor detection of dementia and depression and lack of utilisation of health services, for example interpreting symptoms as an acceptable part of the ageing process rather than as an illness.9,28 Previous studies have shown that the stigma attached to mental illness,34-36 disillusionment with doctors, perceived exclusion from services, a lack of knowledge about mental illness and services³⁷ and different illness models³⁴ may account for decreased use of services by older people with dementia and depression. Older Asian people living in the West have been found to be reluctant to accept referral to mental health services and are less likely to receive secondary care for dementia.^{38,39} According to data from our Chinese study and worldwide literature, we projected that globally about 35 million cases of dementia and 21 million cases of later-life depression are undiagnosed, meaning that large numbers of people are not receiving the care and services that they need.²⁹ This estimate should urge governments to tackle the problem of undetected dementia and late-life depression immediately. Through public campaigns and education against stigma and discrimination towards dementia and depression, there should be increased awareness, detection and treatment of dementia and depression in older adults.

Implications

In this multicentre, community-based household survey we observed that there are extremely high rates of undetected dementia and depression in older adults in China. To our knowledge the current study is the first to investigate factors influencing undetected dementia and later-life depression, suggesting that both are significantly associated with low socioeconomic status. Some aspects of Chinese culture and tradition may also be related to underdetection of dementia and depression. Our findings imply that reducing the gap between low and high levels of socioeconomic status would increase detection of dementia and depression in older adults. The current economic improvement throughout China could provide the context for mental health campaigns and related education should be offered to target high-risk groups of people, including their carers. Mental health services for older adults should be developed, and providing primary care workers with appropriate skills has much to recommend it. This should be supported by attitudinal changes in the general population and professionals so that those who are demonstrably ill are recognised as such, and can be provided with the support that they, and their carers, might need.

Ruoling Chen, MD, PhD, Division of Health and Social Care Research, King's College London, UK; Zhi Hu, MD, PhD, School of Health Administration, Anhui Medical University, China; Ruo-Li Chen, MD, PhD, School of Pharmacy, Keele University, UK; Ying Ma, MD, Dongmei Zhang, MD, PhD, School of Health Administration, Anhui Medical University, China; Kenneth Wilson, MD, FRCPsych, Division of Psychiatry, University of Liverpool, UK

Correspondence: Ruoling Chen, Division of Health and Social Care Research, King's College London, 7th Floor, Capital House, 42 Weston Street, London SE1 3QD, UK. Email: ruoling.chen@kcl.ac.uk

First received 13 Aug 2012, final revision 28 Jan 2013, accepted 27 Mar 2013

Funding

This study was funded by research grants from Alzheimer's Research UK (Grant No. ART/ PPG2007B/2) and the BUPA Foundation (Grants Nos. 45NOV06, and TBF-M09-05), UK.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the participants and all who were involved in the surveys in the fourprovince and Hubei studies and in the Anhui study. Professor Martin Prince, a principle investigator of the 10/66 dementia research at Institute of Psychiatry, King's College London, helped the study by providing the 10/66 dementia diagnostic algorithm. Ms Qi Wang helped with data analysis. With support from the Strategic Research Development Fund at the University of Wolverhampton, D.Z. visited the UK to carry out 1 year of postdoctoral research on the Dementia Project.

References

- 1 World Health Organization. *Disease Incidence, Prevalence and Disability. The Global Burden of Disease: 2004 Update.* WHO, 2012.
- 2 Barnes DE, Yaffe K. The projected effect of risk factor reduction on Alzheimer's disease prevalence. *Lancet Neurol* 2011; **10**: 819–28.
- 3 Beekman AT, Copeland JR, Prince MJ. Review of community prevalence of depression in later life. Br J Psychiatry 1999; 174: 307–11.
- 4 Sampson EL, Blanchard MR, Jones L, Tookman A, King M. Dementia in the acute hospital: prospective cohort study of prevalence and mortality. *Br J Psychiatry* 2009; **195**: 61–6.
- 5 Rait G, Walters K, Bottomley C, Petersen I, Iliffe S, Nazareth I. Survival of people with clinical diagnosis of dementia in primary care: cohort study. *BMJ* 2010; 341: c3584.
- 6 Wilson K, Mottram P, Hussain M. Survival in the community of the very old depressed, discharged from medical inpatient care. Int J Geriatr Psychiatry 2007; 22: 974–9.
- 7 Moussavi S, Chatterji S, Verdes E, Tandon A, Patel V, Ustun B. Depression, chronic diseases, and decrements in health: results from the World Health Surveys. *Lancet* 2007; 370: 851–8.
- 8 Worrall G, Moulton N. Cognitive function. Survey of elderly persons living at home in rural Newfoundland. *Can Fam Physician* 1993; **39**: 772–7.
- 9 Arve S, Lauri S, Lehtonen A, Tilvis RS. Patient's and general practitioner's different views on patient's depression. Arch Gerontol Geriatr 1999; 28: 247–57.
- 10 Collerton J, Davies K, Jagger C, Kingston A, Bond J, Eccles MP, et al. Health and disease in 85 year olds: baseline findings from the Newcastle 85+ cohort study. *BMJ* 2009; 339: b4904.
- 11 O'Connor DW, Pollitt PA, Hyde JB, Brook CP, Reiss BB, Roth M. Do general practitioners miss dementia in elderly patients? *BMJ* 1988; 297: 1107–10.
- 12 Licht-Strunk E, Beekman AT, de HM, van Marwijk HW. The prognosis of undetected depression in older general practice patients. A one year follow-up study. J Affect Disord 2009; 114: 310–5.
- 13 Sternberg SA, Wolfson C, Baumgarten M. Undetected dementia in community-dwelling older people: the Canadian Study of Health and Aging. J Am Geriatr Soc 2000; 48: 1430–4.
- 14 Llibre Rodriguez JJ, Ferri CP, Acosta D, Guerra M, Huang Y, Jacob KS, et al. Prevalence of dementia in Latin America, India, and China: a populationbased cross-sectional survey. *Lancet* 2008; 372: 464–74.

- 15 Chen R, Wilson K, Chen Y, Zhang D, Qin X, He M, et al. Association between environmental tobacco smoke exposure and dementia syndromes. Occup Environ Med 2013; 70: 63–9.
- 16 Chen R, Hu Z, Wei L, Ma Y, Liu Z, Copeland JR. Incident dementia in a defined older chinese population. *PLoS ONE* 2011; 6: e24817.
- 17 Chen R, Hu Z, Qin X, Xu X, Copeland JR. A community-based study of depression in older people in Hefei, China–the GMS-AGECAT prevalence, case validation and socio-economic correlates. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2004; 19: 407–13.
- 18 Chen R, Wei L, Hu Z, Qin X, Copeland JR, Hemingway H. Depression in older people in rural China. Arch Intern Med 2005; 165: 2019–25.
- 19 Prince MJ, de Rodriguez JL, Noriega L, Lopez A, Acosta D, Albanese E, et al. The 10/66 Dementia Research Group's fully operationalised DSM-IV dementia computerized diagnostic algorithm, compared with the 10/66 dementia algorithm and a clinician diagnosis: a population validation study. *BMC Public Health* 2008; 8: 219.
- 20 Chen R, Song Y, Hu Z, Brunner EJ. Predictors of diabetes in older people in urban china. *PLoS ONE* 2012; 7: e50957.
- 21 Chen R, Tunstall-Pedoe H. Socioeconomic deprivation and waist circumference in men and women: the Scottish MONICA surveys 1989–1995. *Eur J Epidemiol* 2005; 20: 141–7.
- 22 Copeland JR, Prince M, Wilson KC, Dewey ME, Payne J, Gurland B. The Geriatric Mental State Examination in the 21st century. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2002; **17**: 729–32.
- 23 Chen R, Hu Z, Wei L, Qin X, McCracken C, Copeland JR. Severity of depression and risk for subsequent dementia: cohort studies in China and the UK. Br J Psychiatry 2008; 193: 373–7.
- **24** Chen R, Hu Z, Wei L, Qin X, Copeland JR. Is the relationship between syndromes of depression and dementia temporal? The MRC-ALPHA and Hefei-China studies. *Psychol Med* 2009; **39**: 425–30.
- 25 Prince M, Acosta D, Chiu H, Scazufca M, Varghese M. Dementia diagnosis in developing countries: a cross-cultural validation study. *Lancet* 2003; 361: 909–17.
- 26 Eefsting JA, Boersma F, Van den Brink W, Van TW. Differences in prevalence of dementia based on community survey and general practitioner recognition. *Psychol Med* 1996; 26: 1223–30.
- 27 Garrard J, Rolnick SJ, Nitz NM, Luepke L, Jackson J, Fischer LR, et al. Clinical detection of depression among community-based elderly people with selfreported symptoms of depression. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 1998; 53: M92–101.
- 28 Valcour VG, Masaki KH, Curb JD, Blanchette PL. The detection of dementia in the primary care setting. *Arch Intern Med* 2000; 160: 2964–8.
- 29 Ferri CP, Prince M, Brayne C, Brodaty H, Fratiglioni L, Ganguli M, et al. Global prevalence of dementia: a Delphi consensus study. *Lancet* 2005; 366: 2112–7.
- 30 Jitapunkul S, Chansirikanjana S, Thamarpirat J. Undiagnosed dementia and value of serial cognitive impairment screening in developing countries: a population-based study. *Geriatr Gerontol Int* 2009; 9: 47–53.
- **31** Woo J, Kwok T, Sze FK, Yuan HJ. Ageing in China: health and social consequences and responses. *Int J Epidemiol* 2002; **31**: 772–5.
- 32 Callahan CM, Hendrie HC, Tierney WM. Documentation and evaluation of cognitive impairment in elderly primary care patients. *Ann Intern Med* 1995; 122: 422–9.
- 33 Chiu HF, Zhang M. Dementia research in China. Int J Geriatr Psychiatry 2000; 15: 947–53.
- 34 Marwaha S, Livingston G. Stigma, racism or choice. Why do depressed ethnic elders avoid psychiatrists? J Affect Disord 2002; 72: 257–65.
- 35 McNair BG, Highet NJ, Hickie IB, Davenport TA. Exploring the perspectives of people whose lives have been affected by depression. *Med J Aust* 2002; 176 (suppl): S69–76.
- 36 Cheng ST, Lam LC, Chan LC, Law AC, Fung AW, Chan WC, et al. The effects of exposure to scenarios about dementia on stigma and attitudes toward dementia care in a Chinese community. Int Psychogeriatr 2011; 23: 1433–41.
- 37 La FJ, Ahuja J, Bradbury NM, Phillips S, Oyebode JR. Understanding dementia amongst people in minority ethnic and cultural groups. J Adv Nurs 2007; 60: 605–14.
- 38 Shah A, Lindesay J, Jagger C. Is the diagnosis of dementia stable over time among elderly immigrant Gujaratis in the United Kingdom (Leicester)? Int J Geriatr Psychiatry 1998; 13: 440–4.
- 39 Livingston G, Leavey G, Kitchen G, Manela M, Sembhi S, Katona C. Accessibility of health and social services to immigrant elders: the Islington Study. Br J Psychiatry 2002; 180: 369–73.

208