LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

AN ALTERNATIVE PROOF OF LORDEN'S RENEWAL INEQUALITY

HASSE CARLSSON* AND OLLE NERMAN,* Chalmers University of Technology and University of Göteborg

Let X_1, X_2, \cdots be i.i.d. non-negative random variables with distribution function F, mean $\mu = E[X_i]$ and finite second moment $\lambda^2 = E[X_i^2]$. Denote by H the renewal function

$$H(t) = E\left[\#\left\{n \ge 0; \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i \le t\right\}\right],$$

for convenience defined for any t. In [3] Lorden proved the inequality

(1)
$$t/\mu \leq H(t) \leq t/\mu + \lambda^2/\mu^2,$$

to be valid for all $t \ge 0$. For extensions to random walks where the X_i 's may be negative and for consequences for boundary excess distributions cf. Daley [1] and Lorden [3].

Lorden in his proof of (1) uses that H is subadditive, $H(t+s) \leq H(t) + H(s)$, a fact that we shall combine with well-known properties of the stationary renewal sequence to give an alternative proof of (1).

For simplicity assume that $\mu = 1$. Let Y_1 and Y_2 be independent with densities 1 - F(t) for $t \ge 0$, i.e. with the stationary delay distribution of the renewal process. Then,

(2)
$$E[H(t - Y_i)] = t, \quad t \ge 0, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

see Feller [2], pp. 368–369. Certainly (2) and the fact that H is non-decreasing proves the left inequality in (1). Here is the trick to prove the right one:

by subadditivity

$$H(t) = E[H(t)] = E[H(t + Y_1 - Y_2 + Y_2 - Y_1)] \le E[H(t + Y_1 - Y_2)] + E[H(Y_2 - Y_1)].$$

Together with (2) this implies

$$H(t) \leq E[E[H(t + Y_1 - Y_2) | Y_1]] + E[E[H(Y_2 - Y_1) | Y_2]]$$

= $E[t + Y_1] + E[Y_2] = t + 2E[Y_1] = t + \lambda^2,$

as desired.

Received 27 May 1986; revision received 22 July 1986.

^{*} Postal address: Department of Mathematics, Chalmers University of Technology and the University of Göteborg, S-412 96 Göteborg, Sweden.

References

[1] DALEY, D. J. (1980) Tight bounds for the renewal function of a random walk. Ann. Prob. 8, 615-621.

[2] FELLER, W. (1971) An Introduction to Probability Theory and its Applications. Vol. 2, 2nd edn. Wiley, New York.

[3] LORDEN, G. (1970) On excess over the boundary. Ann. Math. Statist. 41, 520-527.