

FORMAL LIFTING OF DUALIZING COMPLEXES AND CONSEQUENCES

SHIJI LYU 

Abstract. We show that for a Noetherian ring A that is I -adically complete for an ideal I , if A/I admits a dualizing complex, so does A . This gives an alternative proof of the fact that a Noetherian complete local ring admits a dualizing complex. We discuss several consequences of this result. We also consider a generalization of the notion of dualizing complexes to infinite-dimensional rings and prove the results in this generality. In addition, we give an alternative proof of the fact that every excellent Henselian local ring admits a dualizing complex, using ultrapower.

§1. Introduction

The following problem has a long history in commutative algebra, cf. [8, remarque 7.4.8].

QUESTION 1.1. What properties \mathbf{P} of rings satisfy the *lifting property*, that is, for every Noetherian ring A and ideal I of A , if A is I -adically complete and A/I satisfies \mathbf{P} , then A satisfies \mathbf{P} .

There have been numerous studies on the lifting property and its variants, for many important properties \mathbf{P} . For example, lifting property holds for \mathbf{P} = “Nagata” [18] and \mathbf{P} = “quasi-excellent” [15], but not for \mathbf{P} = “excellent” or \mathbf{P} = “universally catenary” [7]. We refer the reader to [15, Appendix] for more information.

One main objective of this article is to show that the property of admitting a dualizing complex satisfies the lifting property, which, as fundamental as it may be, seems to be missing in the literature. For applications, especially Theorem 6.5, we consider the following generalization of dualizing complexes to infinite-dimensional rings.

DEFINITION 1.2. Let A be a Noetherian ring. Let $K \in D(A)$. We say K is a *pseudo-dualizing complex* if $K \in D_{Coh}^b(A)$ and $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a dualizing complex for $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)$.

We now state our main result (Theorem 5.1) on lifting. Note that applying to the case A local and I maximal, we recover the existence of dualizing complexes for Noetherian complete local rings. Therefore, this fact now admits a proof that does not use the Cohen structure theorem.

THEOREM 1.3. *Let A be a Noetherian ring, I an ideal of A . Assume that A is I -adically complete. If A/I admits a pseudo-dualizing (resp. dualizing) complex K_1 , then A admits a pseudo-dualizing (resp. dualizing) complex K such that $R\text{Hom}_A(A/I, K) \cong K_1$.*

This result implies, quite formally, certain openness results (Theorem 6.2 and Corollary 6.3). In turn, we characterize when a Noetherian scheme of dimension 1 admits a dualizing complex (Corollary 7.6) and obtain that a quasi-excellent ring admits a pseudo-dualizing

Received January 9, 2024. Revised May 30, 2024. Accepted July 28, 2024.

2020 Mathematics subject classification: Primary 13F40; Secondary 13B35, 13D25.

Keywords: dualizing complexes, lifting problem, excellence properties.

© The Author(s), 2025. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of Foundation Nagoya Mathematical Journal. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



complex étale-locally (Theorem 6.5), via a known result of Hinich [11] that an excellent Henselian local ring admits a dualizing complex. We point out to the reader that the results in Section 6 are new even for finite-dimensional rings to the best of our knowledge, except where noted.

Another main objective of this article is to illustrate that Definition 1.2 is, hopefully, a robust generalization of the classical concept of dualizing complexes. We show that pseudo-dualizing complexes are preserved by upper shriek (Corollary 4.5), induce a dualizing functor on D_{Coh}^b (Corollary 4.9), and are unique up to twist (Corollary 4.11). The author believes it should be possible to develop the coherent duality theory for infinite-dimensional schemes using pseudo-dualizing complexes in place of dualizing complexes. Moreover, Sharp's conjecture is true in this generality by the same proof, see Theorem 4.12. One can also expect that the concept of fundamental dualizing complexes [9] and related results can be extended to this generality.

To make our article accessible to a broader audience, we do not use \mathbf{E}_∞ - or animated rings and the derived ∞ -category, although they helped a lot in the thought process. The author hopes that many results in this article can be generalized to truncated animated rings and dgas. We also produce an alternative proof of Hinich's result, avoiding the use of dgas in the original proof. In exchange, we use ultrapowers of a local ring. We show a flatness result (Theorem 3.2) that may be of independent interest. It is a strengthening of [17, Lemma 3.5]. We will not use Hinich's result except for Theorem 6.5.

Our article is structured as follows. Section 2 is a short preparation. In Section 3, we give an alternative proof of Hinich's result. In Section 4, we introduce pseudo-dualizing complexes and show several desirable properties. In Section 5, we prove our main result on formal lifting. In Sections 6 and 7, we discuss consequences. Finally, in Section 8, we make some remarks on quotient of Cohen–Macaulay rings that do not rely on the material on pseudo-dualizing complexes.

We thank Rankeya Datta, Longke Tang, and Kevin Tucker for helpful discussions. We thank Karl Schwede and Kevin Tucker for bringing the lifting problem of dualizing complexes to the author's attention.

We use the following facts about dualizing complexes for local rings without explicit reference. They all follow from [25, Tag 0A7U], for $M = A$.

FACT 1.4. Let A be a Noetherian local ring, K a dualizing complex for A . Then $\dim A - \text{depth } A = \max\{b - a \mid a \leq b, H^a(K) \neq 0, H^b(K) \neq 0\}$. If $a \in \mathbf{Z}$ is such that $\dim \text{Supp}(H^a(K)) = \dim A$, then $K \in D^{\geq a}(A)$. K is normalized if and only if the minimal a so that $H^a(K) \neq 0$ is $-\dim A$.

§2. Bounded pseudo-coherent complexes

LEMMA 2.1. *Let A be a Noetherian ring. Let $K, M \in D_{Coh}^b(A)$. Let S be a multiplicative subset of A . Assume $S^{-1}K \cong S^{-1}M$. Then for some $f \in S$, $K_f \cong M_f$.*

Proof. For any $X, Y \in D_{Coh}^b(A)$, we have

$$S^{-1} \text{Hom}_{D(A)}(X, Y) = \text{Hom}_{D(S^{-1}A)}(S^{-1}X, S^{-1}Y),$$

by [25, Tag 0A6A]. Thus, we can spread out a quasi-isomorphism and its inverse and the results are inverse quasi-isomorphisms. \square

LEMMA 2.2. *Let $(A_i)_i$ be a direct system of rings, $A = \operatorname{colim}_i A_i$. Assume that $A_i \rightarrow A$ is flat for all i . Let $K \in D^b(A)$. Assume K is pseudo-coherent. Then $K \cong K_i^\bullet \otimes_{A_i}^L A$ for some i and some finite complex K_i^\bullet of finitely presented A_i -modules.*

If $a \leq b \in \mathbf{Z}$ are given such that $K \in D^{[a,b]}(A)$, we can choose K_i^\bullet so that $K_i^m = 0$ for all $m \notin [a,b]$ and K_i^m free for all $a < m \leq b$.

Proof. K is represented by a bounded above complex F^\bullet of finite free A -modules such that $F^m = 0$ for all $m > b$. Let K^\bullet be the complex of A -modules with $K^m = F^m$ ($m > a$), $K^a = \operatorname{coker}(F^{a-1} \rightarrow F^a)$, $K^m = 0$ ($m < a$), that is, K^\bullet is the canonical truncation $\tau_{\geq a} F^\bullet$. Then K^\bullet also represents K , and K^\bullet is a finite complex of finitely presented A -modules such that $K^m = 0$ for all $m \notin [a,b]$ and K^m free for all $a < m \leq b$. By [25, Tag 05N7], there exists an index i and a complex of finitely presented A_i -modules K_i^\bullet such that $K_i^m = 0$ for all $m \notin [a,b]$ and K_i^m free for all $a < m \leq b$, and that $K_i^\bullet \otimes_{A_i}^L A$ is isomorphic to K^\bullet as cochain complexes. Since $A_i \rightarrow A$ is flat, $K \cong K_i^\bullet \otimes_{A_i}^L A$. \square

§3. Dualizing complexes for Henselian local rings

In this section, we prove a generalization of the main result of [11], Theorem 3.5. We will only use the original result of [11] for the application, Theorem 6.5.

For ultraproducts of rings, first-order statements, and Łoś's Theorem, see [23, Chapter 2].

LEMMA 3.1. *Let A be a Noetherian local ring or an ultraproduct of Noetherian local rings, $f \in A$ a noninvertible nonzerodivisor. Let (E^\bullet, d^\bullet) be a cochain complex of A -modules. If E^\bullet/fE^\bullet is exact at some degree m and E^{m-1}, E^m, E^{m+1} are finite free, then E^\bullet is exact at degree m .*

Proof. Choose a basis of E^{m-1}, E^m, E^{m+1} , so d^{m-1} and d^m are represented by matrices with coefficients in A . The statement of the lemma is a first-order statement of the coefficients and f , so it suffices to show the lemma for a Noetherian local A .

The condition E^\bullet/fE^\bullet is exact at degree m implies $\ker(d^m) = \operatorname{im}(d^{m-1}) + (fE^m \cap \ker(d^m))$. Since f is a nonzerodivisor on E^{m+1} , $fE^m \cap \ker(d^m) = f\ker(d^m)$. Thus, $\ker(d^m) = \operatorname{im}(d^{m-1}) + f\ker(d^m)$. By Nakayama's Lemma, $\ker(d^m) = \operatorname{im}(d^{m-1})$. \square

THEOREM 3.2. *Let (A, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a Noetherian local ring, (B, \mathfrak{n}, l) a Noetherian local flat A -algebra with $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{m}B$. Let $A_{\mathfrak{h}}$ be an ultrapower of A . Then any A -algebra map $B \rightarrow A_{\mathfrak{h}}$, if exists, is faithfully flat.*

Proof. Since $A_{\mathfrak{h}}/\mathfrak{m}A_{\mathfrak{h}} \neq 0$, it suffices to show $B \rightarrow A_{\mathfrak{h}}$ is flat.

We know $A \rightarrow A_{\mathfrak{h}}$ is flat [23, Corollary 3.3.3]. By [25, Tag 051C], we may base change to the reduction of A and assume A reduced. If $\dim A = 0$, then $A = k$, so $B = l$ is a field. Thus, we may assume $\dim A > 0$, so $\operatorname{depth} A > 0$.

We need to show $N \otimes_B^L A_{\mathfrak{h}}$ is concentrated in degree 0 for all finite B -modules N . If $N = l$, then since $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{m}B$, $N \otimes_B^L A_{\mathfrak{h}} = k \otimes_A^L A_{\mathfrak{h}}$ is concentrated in degree 0 as $A \rightarrow A_{\mathfrak{h}}$ is flat. Thus, $N \otimes_B^L A_{\mathfrak{h}}$ is concentrated in degree 0 for all N of finite length.

For a general N , we may assume, by Noetherian induction, that $\overline{N} \otimes_B^L A_{\mathfrak{h}}$ is concentrated in degree 0 for all proper quotients \overline{N} of N . Since $N[\mathfrak{n}^\infty]$ is of finite length, we may thus assume $N[\mathfrak{n}^\infty] = 0$, i.e., $\operatorname{depth} N > 0$.

Since $\operatorname{depth} A > 0$ and since $\mathfrak{m}B = \mathfrak{n}$, there exists an $f \in \mathfrak{m}$ that is both a nonzerodivisor in A (thus a noninvertible nonzerodivisor in both B and $A_{\mathfrak{h}}$) and a nonzerodivisor in N .

Thus, $N \otimes_B^L A_{\mathfrak{h}}/fA_{\mathfrak{h}} \cong N/fN \otimes_B^L A_{\mathfrak{h}}$ is concentrated in degree 0. Since N and thus $N \otimes_B^L A_{\mathfrak{h}}$ can be represented by a complex of finite free modules, Lemma 3.1 implies that $N \otimes_B^L A_{\mathfrak{h}}$ is concentrated in degree 0. \square

LEMMA 3.3. *Let (A, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a Noetherian local ring, $d = \dim A$. Let $b \in \mathbf{Z}$ and let $M \in D_{\text{Coh}}^{\leq b}(A)$. If $\text{Ext}_A^m(k, M) = 0$ for all $b+1 \leq m \leq b+d+1$, then $\text{Ext}_A^m(N, M) = 0$ for all $m > b$ and all finite A -modules N .*

Proof. We show by induction on $h = \dim \text{Supp } N$ that $\text{Ext}_A^m(N, M) = 0$ for all $b+1 \leq m \leq b+d+1-h$, for all finite A -modules N . This implies the result, since then $\text{Ext}_A^{b+1}(N, M) = 0$ for all finite A -modules N , so dimension shifting and the fact $M \in D^{\leq b}(A)$ shows $\text{Ext}_A^m(N, M) = 0$ for all finite A -modules N and all $m > b$.

If $h = 0$, then N has finite length, thus is a successive extension of k and the vanishing holds by the assumption. Assume $h > 0$, so there exists $f \in \mathfrak{m}$ such that $\dim(V(fA) \cap \text{Supp } N) < h$. Let $C = \text{Cone}(N \xrightarrow{\times f} N)$, so we have a distinguished triangle $N \xrightarrow{\times f} N \rightarrow C \rightarrow +1$. We note that $C \in D_{\text{Coh}}^{[-1, 0]}(A)$ and that the dimension of the support of the cohomology modules of C is less than h . Thus, the induction hypothesis tells us $\text{Ext}_A^m(C, M) = 0$ for all $b+2 \leq m \leq b+d+2-h$. The distinguished triangle above and Nakayama's Lemma tells us $\text{Ext}_A^m(N, M) = 0$ for all $b+1 \leq m \leq b+d+1-h$, as desired. \square

COROLLARY 3.4. *Let (A, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a Noetherian local ring of dimension d , and let $a \in \mathbf{Z}$. Let $M \in D_{\text{Coh}}^{[a, 0]}(A)$. Assume that*

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_{\leq d+1} R\text{Hom}_A(k, M) &\cong k, \\ \tau_{\geq a} \tau_{\leq -a} R\text{Hom}_A(M, M) &= A.\end{aligned}$$

Then M is a normalized dualizing complex.

Proof. By Lemma 3.3, $\text{Ext}_A^m(N, M) = 0$ for all finite A -modules N and all $m > 0$. Thus, M has injective amplitude $[a, 0]$ and $R\text{Hom}_A(k, M) \cong k$. Since $M \in D^{[a, 0]}(A)$, we see $R\text{Hom}_A(M, M) \in D^{[a, -a]}(A)$. Thus, $R\text{Hom}_A(M, M) = A$, so M is a normalized dualizing complex. \square

THEOREM 3.5. *Let (A, I) be a Henselian pair where (A, \mathfrak{m}, k) is a Noetherian local ring. Assume that*

- (i) *The I -adic completion map $A \rightarrow B := \lim_n A/I^n$ is regular.*
- (ii) *B admits a dualizing complex.*

Then A admits a dualizing complex.

Note that (i) is always true for quasi-excellent A , see [25, Tag 0AH2].

Proof. By Popescu's theorem [25, Tag 07GC], B is the colimit of a direct system of smooth A -algebras A_i . The composition $A_i \rightarrow B \rightarrow B/IB \cong A/I$ gives an A -algebra map $A_i \rightarrow A/I$, thus A_i admits a section $A_i \rightarrow A$ since (A, I) is Henselian, see [25, Tag 07M7]. Thus [23, Theorem 7.1.1] applies (see also [17, Lemma 3.4]), so there exists an A -algebra map $B \rightarrow A_{\mathfrak{h}}$ where $A_{\mathfrak{h}} = A^X/\mathcal{U} = S_{\mathcal{U}}^{-1}A^X$ is an ultrapower of A . Here X is a set, \mathcal{U} is an ultrafilter on X , and $S_{\mathcal{U}} = \{e_U \mid U \in \mathcal{U}\}$, where e_U is defined by $(e_U)_x = 1$ when $x \in U$ and $(e_U)_x = 0$ when $x \notin U$. This map is flat by Theorem 3.2.

Let $K \in D_{Coh}^b(B)$ be a normalized dualizing complex. Then $F := K \otimes_B^L A_{\mathfrak{q}} \in D(A_{\mathfrak{q}})$ is pseudo-coherent and bounded. Writing $k_{\mathfrak{q}} = k \otimes_A^L A_{\mathfrak{q}} = k \otimes_B^L A_{\mathfrak{q}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} R\mathrm{Hom}_{A_{\mathfrak{q}}}(k_{\mathfrak{q}}, F) &= R\mathrm{Hom}_B(k, K) \otimes_B^L A_{\mathfrak{q}} \cong k_{\mathfrak{q}}, \\ R\mathrm{Hom}_{A_{\mathfrak{q}}}(F, F) &= R\mathrm{Hom}_B(K, K) \otimes_B^L A_{\mathfrak{q}} = A_{\mathfrak{q}}, \end{aligned}$$

by [25, Tag 0A6A] and by the fact K is a normalized dualizing complex.

Let $d = \dim A$. Then $K \in D^{[-d, 0]}(B)$ and thus $F \in D^{[-d, 0]}(A_{\mathfrak{q}})$. By Lemma 2.2, there exists an $e \in S_{\mathcal{U}}$ and an $M \in D((A^X)_e)$ represented by a complex M^\bullet of finitely presented $(A^X)_e$ -modules such that $M \otimes_{(A^X)_e}^L A_{\mathfrak{q}} \cong F$, that $M^m = 0$ for all $m > 0$ and $m < -d$, and that M^m is free for all $-d < m \leq 0$. Note that if $e = e_U$, then $(A^X)_e = A^U$, thus after replacing X by a subset in \mathcal{U} we may assume $e = 1$.

We note that another application of Lemma 2.2 with $a = -2d$ shows that, after replacing X by a subset, M is also represented by a complex of free A -modules P^\bullet such that $P^m = 0$ for all $m > 0$ and that P^m is finite for all $m \geq -2d - 1$. Thus, $R\mathrm{Hom}_{A^X}(M, M)$ is represented by the Hom complex $E^\bullet := \mathrm{Hom}_{A^X}^\bullet(P^\bullet, M^\bullet)$, and E^m is finitely presented for all $m \leq d + 1$. Also note that $R\mathrm{Hom}_{A^X}(k^X, M)$ is represented by a complex of finitely presented modules in degrees $\geq -d$, since $k^X = A^X \otimes_A^L k$ is represented by a complex of finite free modules in degrees ≤ 0 .

Let $T^{-1} \rightarrow T^0 \rightarrow T$ be a three-term complex of finitely presented A^X -modules. Fix presentations $P^{i, -1} \rightarrow P^{i, 0} \rightarrow T^i \rightarrow 0$ ($i = -1, 0, 1$), where $P^{i, j}$ are finite free, and maps $P^{-1, 0} \rightarrow P^{0, 0} \rightarrow P^{1, 0}$ lifting $T^{-1} \rightarrow T^0 \rightarrow T^1$. We do not require the composition $P^{-1, 0} \rightarrow P^{1, 0}$ to be zero. Fix bases of $P^{i, j}$, so the maps between $P^{i, j}$ are represented by matrices. The statement that the cohomology $\ker(T^0 \rightarrow T^1)/\mathrm{im}(T^{-1} \rightarrow T^0)$ is 0 (resp. A^X, k^X) is a first-order statement of the coefficients of the matrices. This observation and the observation on Hom complexes above tells us that after replacing X by a subset in \mathcal{U} , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\leq d+1} R\mathrm{Hom}_{A^X}(k^X, M) &\cong k^X, \\ \tau_{\geq -d} \tau_{\leq d} R\mathrm{Hom}_{A^X}(M, M) &= A^X. \end{aligned}$$

Apply the projection $A^X \rightarrow A$ to some coordinate, we get an object $M_1 \in D_{Coh}^{[-d, 0]}(A)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\leq d+1} R\mathrm{Hom}_A(k, M_1) &\cong k, \\ \tau_{\geq -d} \tau_{\leq d} R\mathrm{Hom}_A(M_1, M_1) &= A. \end{aligned}$$

Then M_1 is a dualizing complex for A by Corollary 3.4. \square

An *elementary étale-local ring map* is a local map $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k) \rightarrow (S, \mathfrak{n}, l)$ of local rings such that S is the localization of an étale R -algebra at a prime ideal and that $l = k$.

COROLLARY 3.6. *Let A be a Noetherian local G-ring. Then there exists an elementary étale-local ring map $A \rightarrow A'$ such that A' admits a dualizing complex.*

Proof. Let A^h be the Henselization of A , so A^h is a Henselian G-ring [25, Tag 07QR]. Thus, A^h admits a dualizing complex $K \in D(A^h)$ by Theorem 3.5 (and [25, Tag 0BFR] or our Theorem 1.3). Since $A \rightarrow A^h$ is the filtered colimit of elementary étale-local ring maps $A \rightarrow A'$ [25, Tag 04GN] and since each $A' \rightarrow A^h$ is flat (cf. [25, Tag 08HS]), by Lemma 2.2 there exists an A' and a $K' \in D_{Coh}^b(A')$ such that $K' \otimes_{A'}^L A^h = K$. Since K is a

dualizing complex for A^h , by flatness again we see K' is a dualizing complex for A' , see [25, Tag 0E4A]. \square

§4. Pseudo-dualizing complexes

DEFINITION 4.1. Let A be a Noetherian ring. Let $K \in D(A)$. We say K is a *pseudo-dualizing complex* if $K \in D_{\text{Coh}}^b(A)$ and $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a dualizing complex for $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)$. Thus, A is Gorenstein if and only if $A \in D(A)$ is a pseudo-dualizing complex.

Let X be a Noetherian scheme. $K \in D(X)$ is a *pseudo-dualizing complex* if $K \in D_{\text{Coh}}^b(X)$ and K_x is a dualizing complex for $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ for all $x \in X$.

It is clear that $K \in D_{\text{Coh}}^b(A)$ is a pseudo-dualizing complex if and only if $K_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is a dualizing complex for $A_{\mathfrak{m}}$ for all maximal ideals \mathfrak{m} of A .

REMARK 4.2. A pseudo-dualizing complex is a dualizing complex if and only if A (resp. X) is finite-dimensional. See [10, Chapter V, Proposition 8.2].

The existence of a pseudo-dualizing complex implies being catenary, in fact implies the existence of a codimension function, see [10, p. 287].

LEMMA 4.3. *Let A be a Noetherian ring. Assume that for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)$, $\text{Spec}(A/\mathfrak{p})$ contains a nonempty Cohen–Macaulay open subscheme. Let $K \in D(A)$ be such that $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a dualizing complex for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)$. Then K is bounded.*

Proof. For all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)$, we have

$$\text{ht } \mathfrak{p} - \text{depth } A_{\mathfrak{p}} = \max\{b - a \mid a \leq b, H^a(K_{\mathfrak{p}}) \neq 0, H^b(K_{\mathfrak{p}}) \neq 0\}.$$

By [8, Proposition 6.10.6], the function on the left-hand side is constructible on $\text{Spec}(A)$. Let N be the maximum of this function, and for each minimal prime \mathfrak{q} of A let $c_{\mathfrak{q}}$ be the unique integer such that $H^{c_{\mathfrak{q}}}(K_{\mathfrak{q}}) \neq 0$. Then it is clear that K is concentrated in degrees $[-N + \min_{\mathfrak{q}} c_{\mathfrak{q}}, \max_{\mathfrak{q}} c_{\mathfrak{q}} + N]$. (In fact, $[\min_{\mathfrak{q}} c_{\mathfrak{q}}, \max_{\mathfrak{q}} c_{\mathfrak{q}} + N]$.) \square

LEMMA 4.4. *Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a finite map of Noetherian rings. Let $K \in D(A)$ be a pseudo-dualizing complex. Then $L := R\text{Hom}_A(B, K) \in D(B)$ is a pseudo-dualizing complex.*

Proof. Since K is a pseudo-dualizing complex, we have, for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)$,

$$\text{ht } \mathfrak{p} - \text{depth } A_{\mathfrak{p}} = \max\{b - a \mid a \leq b, H^a(K_{\mathfrak{p}}) \neq 0, H^b(K_{\mathfrak{p}}) \neq 0\}.$$

Since $K \in D_{\text{Coh}}^b(A)$, the function on the right-hand side is constructible. Therefore, [8, Proposition 6.10.6] shows that for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)$, $\text{Spec}(A/\mathfrak{p})$ contains a nonempty Cohen–Macaulay open subscheme. Since B is finite over A the same is true for B . Since K is bounded, $L \in D_{\text{Coh}}(B)$. It is clear that $L_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is a dualizing complex for $B_{\mathfrak{q}}$ for all $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(B)$. We conclude by Lemma 4.3. \square

Lemma 4.4 has a number of consequences that tell us pseudo-dualizing complexes resemble various properties of dualizing complexes.

COROLLARY 4.5. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism separated of finite type between Noetherian schemes. Then $f^!$ sends a pseudo-dualizing complex for Y to a pseudo-dualizing complex for X .*

Proof. Recall that $f^!$ is well defined for all separated morphisms of finite type [25, Tag 0AA0], compatible with composition [25, Tag 0ATX], flat base change [25, Tag 0E9U], and open immersion [25, Tag 0AU0].

Let K be a pseudo-dualizing complex for Y . Since the result is true after base change to $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{Y,y})$ for all $y \in Y$ [25, Tag 0AA3], we see $(f^!K)_x$ is a dualizing complex for $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ for all $x \in X$. Thus it suffices to show $f^!K \in D_{Coh}^b(X)$. We may assume X and Y affine by compatibility with open immersion. By compatibility with composition, it suffices to treat the cases $X = \mathbf{A}_Y^1$ and f is finite (or even closed immersion). If $X = \mathbf{A}_Y^1$ the result is trivial, see [25, Tag 0AA1]. If f is finite, the result is true by [25, Tag 0AA2] and Lemma 4.4. Therefore, the result is true for any f . \square

DEFINITION 4.6. Let A be a Noetherian ring. We say A is *Gor-2* if $\mathrm{Spec}(A/\mathfrak{p})$ has a nonempty Gorenstein open subset for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}(A)$. This is equivalent to that the Gorenstein locus of any finite type A -algebra is open, see [6, Proposition 1.7]. We recover this fact in Corollaries 4.8 and 6.3, and we will not use it.

A locally Noetherian scheme is *Gor-2* if it can be covered by affine opens $\mathrm{Spec}(A)$ where A is Gor-2.

COROLLARY 4.7. *Let A be a Noetherian ring that admits a pseudo-dualizing complex. Then every finite type A -algebra admits a pseudo-dualizing complex, and A is Gor-2 and universally catenary. In particular, a Gorenstein ring is Gor-2.*

Proof. The “in particular” statement follows from the fact that A is a pseudo-dualizing complex for a Gorenstein A .

Every finite type A -algebra admits a pseudo-dualizing complex by Corollary 4.5, so A is universally catenary by Remark 4.2. To see A is Gor-2, we may assume by Lemma 4.4 that A is an integral domain, and we must show A_f is Gorenstein for some nonzero f . This is clear: a pseudo-dualizing complex $K \in D_{Coh}^b(A)$ is a shift of the fraction field at the generic point of A , thus for some $f \neq 0$, K_f is a shift of A_f by Lemma 2.1. \square

COROLLARY 4.8. *Let A be a Noetherian ring that is Gor-2. Then every finite type A -algebra is Gor-2.*

Proof. By definition, we may assume A Gorenstein, and we conclude by Corollary 4.7. \square

COROLLARY 4.9. *Let A be a Noetherian ring, $K \in D(A)$ a pseudo-dualizing complex. Then the functor $D_K(-) = R\mathrm{Hom}_A(-, K)$ maps $D_{Coh}^b(A)$ into $D_{Coh}^b(A)$, and the canonical map $\mathrm{id} \rightarrow D_K \circ D_K$ is an isomorphism of functors.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.4, $D_K(A/\mathfrak{p}) \in D_{Coh}^b(A)$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}(A)$. Thus D_K maps $D_{Coh}^b(A)$ into $D_{Coh}^b(A)$. The fact that $\mathrm{id} \rightarrow D_K \circ D_K$ is an isomorphism can be checked locally by [25, Tag 0A6A], and the local case is well-known, cf. [25, Tag 0A7C]. \square

REMARK 4.10. The functor $D_K(-)$ does not map $D_{Coh}^+(A)$ into either $D^-(A)$ or $D_{Coh}(A)$ when A is not finite-dimensional. To see this, for $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}(A)$ let $a_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be the smallest integer with $H^{a_{\mathfrak{p}}}(K_{\mathfrak{p}}) \neq 0$. Then $\mathfrak{p} \mapsto a_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a bounded function since K is bounded, and $R\mathrm{Hom}_A(A/\mathfrak{m}, K) \cong A/\mathfrak{m}[-a_{\mathfrak{m}} - \mathrm{ht} \mathfrak{m}]$ for all maximal ideals \mathfrak{m} of A . Thus if we pick \mathfrak{m}_n so that $\mathrm{ht} \mathfrak{m}_n \geq 2n$ then

$$D_K \left(\bigoplus_n A/\mathfrak{m}_n[-n] \right) \cong \bigoplus_n A/\mathfrak{m}_n[-a_{\mathfrak{m}_n} + n - \mathrm{ht} \mathfrak{m}_n],$$

is not in $D^-(A)$; and if we pick \mathfrak{m}_n so that $\text{ht } \mathfrak{m}_n > \text{ht } \mathfrak{m}_{n-1}$ then

$$D_K \left(\bigoplus_n A/\mathfrak{m}_n[-\text{ht } \mathfrak{m}_n] \right) \cong \bigoplus_n A/\mathfrak{m}_n[-a_{\mathfrak{m}_n}],$$

is not in $D_{\text{Coh}}(A)$.

On the other hand, $D_K(-)$ always map $D^-(A)$ (resp. $D_{\text{Coh}}^-(A)$) into $D^+(A)$ (resp. $D_{\text{Coh}}^+(A)$), as this is true for any $K \in D^+(A)$ (resp. $D_{\text{Coh}}^+(A)$).

COROLLARY 4.11. *Let A be a Noetherian ring, $K, K' \in D(A)$ two pseudo-dualizing complexes. Then there exists an invertible object $L \in D(A)$ such that $K' \cong K \otimes_A^L L$.*

Proof. Let $L = R\text{Hom}_A(K, K')$. Then $L = D_{K'} \circ D_K(A)$ is an invertible object by Corollary 4.9 and [25, Tag 0A7E]. The statement that the canonical map $K \otimes_A^L L \rightarrow K'$ is an isomorphism can be checked locally by [25, Tag 0A6A], and when A is local it follows from [25, Tag 0A69]. \square

We can characterize the existence of a pseudo-dualizing complex as follows. For finite-dimensional rings this is due to Kawasaki [13].

THEOREM 4.12. *Let A be a Noetherian ring. Then A admits a pseudo-dualizing complex if and only if there exists a finite type A -algebra B that is Gorenstein and admits a section $B \rightarrow A$.*

Proof. “If” follows from Lemma 4.4.

We proceed to show “only if.” Every finite type A -algebra admits a pseudo-dualizing complex, Corollary 4.5, so we may replace A by any finite type A -algebra that admits a section.

By Remark 4.2, Corollary 4.7, and [25, Tag 0AWY], A is universally catenary, has a codimension function, is Gor-2, and has Gorenstein formal fibers. By [14, p. 2738, proof of Theorem 1.3], there exists a finite type A -algebra B that is Cohen–Macaulay and admits a section. Replace A by B , we may assume our A is Cohen–Macaulay.

Assume A is Cohen–Macaulay. We may assume $\text{Spec}(A)$ is connected, and K is concentrated in degree 0. Then the square-zero extension $A \oplus H^0(K)$ is Gorenstein by [22] (see also [1, Corollary 2.12]), finishing the proof. \square

REMARK 4.13. Since our A actually has a pseudo-dualizing complex, we can avoid the materials in [14]; the materials in [13] are sufficient with a minor twist. The constructions are the same; [14] proved it works in a greater generality.

§5. Formal lifting of pseudo-dualizing complexes

In this section, we prove the following theorem, which, in particular, recovers the existence of dualizing complexes for complete local rings.

THEOREM 5.1. *Let A be a Noetherian ring, I an ideal of A . Assume that A is I -adically complete. If A/I admits a pseudo-dualizing (resp. dualizing) complex K_1 , then A admits a pseudo-dualizing (resp. dualizing) complex K such that $R\text{Hom}_A(A/I, K) \cong K_1$.*

Note that this implies A is universally catenary by Corollary 4.7. Compare with [7, Proposition 1.1].

From Lemma 4.4 and Theorem 3.5 we get the following immediate

COROLLARY 5.2. *Let (A, I) be a Henselian pair where (A, \mathfrak{m}, k) is a Noetherian local ring. Assume that the I -adic completion map $A \rightarrow \lim_n A/I^n$ is regular. Then A admits a dualizing complex if and only if A/I does.*

We need some preparations for Theorem 5.1.

LEMMA 5.3. *Let A be a Noetherian ring, I an ideal of A . Assume that I is contained in the Jacobson radical of A .*

Let $K \in D_{Coh}^b(A)$. If $R\mathrm{Hom}_A(A/I, K)$ is a pseudo-dualizing complex for A/I , then K is a pseudo-dualizing complex for A .

Proof. We may assume (A, \mathfrak{m}, k) local. We have

$$R\mathrm{Hom}_A(k, K) = R\mathrm{Hom}_{A/I}(k, R\mathrm{Hom}_A(A/I, K))$$

is a shift of k , so K is a dualizing complex by [10, Chapter V, Proposition 3.4]. \square

LEMMA 5.4. *Let A be a Noetherian ring, $f \in A$. Let $M \in D^b(A)$. Consider the following conditions.*

- (i) $R\mathrm{Hom}_A(A/fA, M) \in D_{Coh}(A/fA)$.
- (ii) $R\mathrm{Hom}_A(\mathrm{Cone}(A \xrightarrow{\times f} A), M) \in D_{Coh}(A/fA)$.
- (iii) $M \in D_{Coh}(A)$.

Then (i) implies (ii), and if A is f -adically complete and M is derived f -adically complete, then (ii) implies (iii).

Proof. Note that $R\mathrm{Hom}_A(A/fA, M) \in D^+(A/fA)$ since $M \in D^+(A)$. If (i) holds, then $R\mathrm{Hom}_A(A/fA, M) \in D_{Coh}^+(A/fA)$, so for any $X \in D_{Coh}^b(A/fA)$,

$$R\mathrm{Hom}_A(X, M) = R\mathrm{Hom}_{A/fA}(X, R\mathrm{Hom}_A(A/fA, M)),$$

is in $D_{Coh}(A/fA)$, in particular this holds for $X = C := \mathrm{Cone}(A \xrightarrow{\times f} A)$. Thus (ii) holds.

Now assume (ii), and assume A is f -adically complete and M is derived f -adically complete. From the distinguished triangle $A \xrightarrow{\times f} A \rightarrow C \rightarrow +1$ We know $R\mathrm{Hom}_A(C, M) \cong \mathrm{Cone}(M \xrightarrow{\times f} M)[-1]$, so $\mathrm{Cone}(M \xrightarrow{\times f} M) \in D_{Coh}(A/fA)$. Let b be an integer such that $M \in D^{\leq b}(A)$. Then $H^b(M)/fH^b(M) = H^b(\mathrm{Cone}(M \xrightarrow{\times f} M))$ is finite. Thus there exists a finite free A -module F and a map $F[-b] \rightarrow M$ in $D(A)$ inducing a surjective map on $H^b(-)/fH^b(-)$. Since $F[-b]$ is also derived f -adically complete [25, Tag 091T], we see $F[-b] \rightarrow M$ induces a surjective map on $H^b(-)$ by [25, Tag 09B9]. Thus $\mathrm{Cone}(F[-b] \rightarrow M) \in D^{\leq b-1}(A)$, and we see inductively $H^c(M)$ is finite for all c . \square

The following two lemmas are not used in the case A , or equivalently A/I , is finite-dimensional.

LEMMA 5.5 [16, Lemma 6.4.3.7 and Proposition 6.6.4.6]. *Let A be a Noetherian ring, I a nilpotent ideal of A .*

Let $K \in D^+(A)$, $L = R\mathrm{Hom}_A(A/I, K)$. Then the followings hold.

- (i) *If $L \in D_{Coh}(A/I)$, then $K \in D_{Coh}(A)$.*
- (ii) *If L is a pseudo-dualizing complex for A/I , then K is a pseudo-dualizing complex for A .*

Proof. For (i), we may assume $I = fA$ principal. Let a be the smallest integer such that $H^a(K) \neq 0$. Then $H^a(K)[f] = H^a(L)$ is finite. The exact sequences $0 \rightarrow H^a(K)[f] \rightarrow H^a(K)[f^{n+1}] \xrightarrow{\times f} H^a(K)[f^n]$ tells us $H^a(K)$ is finite. Apply dimension shifting to the distinguished triangle

$$H^a(K)[-a] \rightarrow K \rightarrow \tau_{>a}K \rightarrow +1,$$

we see inductively $H^c(K)$ is finite for all $c \in \mathbf{Z}$.

For (ii), from Corollary 4.7 we know A/I is Gor-2, so A is Gor-2 by definition, since I is nilpotent. By Lemma 4.3, it suffices to show (ii) when (A, \mathfrak{m}, k) is local. Then

$$R\mathrm{Hom}_A(k, K) = R\mathrm{Hom}_{A/I}(k, R\mathrm{Hom}_A(A/I, K)),$$

is a shift of k , so K has finite injective dimension [25, Tag 0AVJ], in particular bounded. Since $K \in D_{\mathrm{Coh}}(A)$ by (i), (ii) follows from Lemma 5.3. \square

LEMMA 5.6. *Let A be a Noetherian ring, $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}(A)$, $f \in \mathfrak{p}$. Assume that $\mathrm{Spec}(A/\mathfrak{p})$ contains a nonempty Cohen–Macaulay open subscheme. Then there exists $g \notin \mathfrak{p}$ such that for all $\mathfrak{q} \in V(\mathfrak{p}) \cap D(g)$,*

$$\mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{q}/f^n A) - \mathrm{depth}(A_{\mathfrak{q}}/f^n A_{\mathfrak{q}}) \leq \mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p}),$$

for all $n \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 1}$.

Proof. Let $N \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 1}$ be such that $J := A[f^\infty] = A[f^N]$. After replacing A by some A_g , we may assume A/\mathfrak{p} Cohen–Macaulay and our inequality holds for all $\mathfrak{q} \in V(\mathfrak{p})$ and all $n < N$ by [8, Proposition 6.10.6]. By the same proposition may also assume that, for all $\mathfrak{q} \in V(\mathfrak{p})$, $\mathrm{depth} J_{\mathfrak{q}} \geq \mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{p})$ and $\mathrm{depth}(A_{\mathfrak{q}}/J_{\mathfrak{q}}) \geq \mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{p}) + 1$. Since f is a nonzerodivisor on A/J we have $\mathrm{depth}(A_{\mathfrak{q}}/(f^n A + J)_{\mathfrak{q}}) \geq \mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{p})$ for all $n \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 1}$.

For $n \geq N$, the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow J \longrightarrow A/f^n A \longrightarrow A/(f^n A + J) \longrightarrow 0,$$

is exact. Thus the depth inequalities above imply $\mathrm{depth}(A_{\mathfrak{q}}/f^n A_{\mathfrak{q}}) \geq \mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{p})$ for all $n \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq N}$. Now for all $n \geq N$ we have $\mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{q}/f^n A) - \mathrm{depth}(A_{\mathfrak{q}}/f^n A_{\mathfrak{q}}) \leq \mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{q}) - \mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{p})$. The right-hand side equals $\mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p})$ after localization by [8, Proposition 6.10.6]. \square

Proof of Theorem 5.1. A pseudo-dualizing complex is a dualizing complex if and only if the ring is finite-dimensional, see Remark 4.2. Since I is in the Jacobson radical of A , A is finite-dimensional if and only if A/I is. Thus it suffices to prove the result for pseudo-dualizing complexes.

We may assume $I = fA$ principal. Let $A_n = A/f^n A$.

Let $(J_1^\bullet, d_1^\bullet)$ be a bounded below complex of injective A_1 -modules that represents K_1 . Fix $a \in \mathbf{Z}$ so that $J_1^m = 0$ for all $m < a$. Further, fix $c_0 \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq a}$ such that for all minimal primes \mathfrak{q} of fA , there exists $c \leq c_0$ such that $H^c(J_1^\bullet)_{\mathfrak{q}} \neq 0$.

For each m , let J^m be an injective hull of J_1^m as an A -module. Thus J^m is an essential extension of J_1^m and $J_1^m = J^m[f]$. Let $J_\infty^m = J^m[f^\infty]$. Then J_∞^m is an injective A -module by [25, Tag 08XW].

CLAIM 5.7. There exist maps $d_\infty^m : J_\infty^m \rightarrow J_\infty^{m+1}$ extending d_1^m such that $(J_\infty^\bullet, d_\infty^\bullet)$ is a complex. \square

The proof is given after the main argument. Granting Claim 5.7, J_∞^\bullet is now a bounded below complex of f^∞ -torsion injective A -modules. We next show that $J_\infty^\bullet \in D(A)$ is bounded. Note that if $\dim A_1$ is finite, then K_1 has finite injective dimension, so we could choose J_1^\bullet so that $J_1^m = 0$ for $m \gg 1$, and J_∞ is automatically bounded. Without this assumption, writing $J_n^m = J^m[f^n]$, we have that $R\mathrm{Hom}_{A_n}(A_1, J_n^\bullet) = J_n^\bullet[f] = J_1^\bullet \in D(A_1)$, since J_n^\bullet is a bounded below complex of injectives. Thus J_n^\bullet is a pseudo-dualizing complex for A_n by Lemma 5.5. Let \mathfrak{q} be a minimal prime of fA . Then $(J_n^\bullet)_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is exact except at a single degree c , and applying $R\mathrm{Hom}_{A_{\mathfrak{q}}/f^n A_{\mathfrak{q}}}(A_{\mathfrak{q}}/f A_{\mathfrak{q}}, -)$ we see $(J_1^\bullet)_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is exact except at degree c , so $c \leq c_0$. Thus for all $\mathfrak{p} \in V(fA)$, $H^c(J_n^\bullet)_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq 0$ for some $c \leq c_0$.

Note that A/fA is Gor-2 by Corollary 4.7, so Lemma 5.6 shows that there exists $b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ such that for all $\mathfrak{p} \in V(fA)$ and all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$,

$$\mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p}/f^n A) - \mathrm{depth}(A_{\mathfrak{p}}/f^n A_{\mathfrak{p}}) \leq b.$$

Therefore, $(J_n^\bullet)_{\mathfrak{p}} \in D^{[a, b+c_0]}(A)$, so $(J_\infty^\bullet)_{\mathfrak{p}} \in D^{[a, b+c_0]}(A)$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in V(fA)$. Since f is in the Jacobson radical of A we have $J_\infty^\bullet \in D^{[a, b+c_0]}(A)$.

Let $K \in D(A)$ be the derived f -adic completion of J_∞^\bullet , so $K \in D^b(A)$ by for example [25, Tag 091Z]. By [25, Tag 0A6Y] (and [25, Tags 091T and 0A6R]) we have

$$R\mathrm{Hom}_A(A/fA, K) = R\mathrm{Hom}_A(A/fA, J_\infty^\bullet),$$

and the right-hand side is just $J_\infty^\bullet[f] = J_1^\bullet$ since J_∞^\bullet is a bounded below complex of injectives. Thus $K \in D_{\mathrm{Coh}}^b(A)$ by Lemma 5.4. We conclude that K is a pseudo-dualizing complex for A by Lemma 5.3.

Proof of Claim 5.7. We first show that there exist maps $d_2^m : J_2^m \rightarrow J_2^{m+1}$ extending d_1^m such that $(J_2^\bullet, d_2^\bullet)$ is a complex. This follows from the dual version of [25, Tag 0DYR]. We give the proof in our case for the reader's convenience.

Let $\delta_2^m : J_2^m \rightarrow J_2^{m+1}$ be arbitrary maps extending d_1^m . Composing, we get maps $\delta_2^{m+1} \circ \delta_2^m : J_2^m \rightarrow J_2^{m+2}$. Since $(J_1^\bullet, d_1^\bullet)$ is a complex, $\delta_2^{m+1} \circ \delta_2^m$ is zero on $J_1^m = J_2^m[f]$. Since $f^2 = 0 \in A_2$, we have $fJ_2^m \subseteq J_1^m$, so $\mathrm{im}(\delta_2^{m+1} \circ \delta_2^m) \subseteq J_1^{m+2}$. This tells us $(J_2^\bullet/J_1^\bullet, \delta_2^\bullet)$ is a complex, and that $\delta_2^{m+1} \circ \delta_2^m$ induces a map $J_2^m/J_1^m \rightarrow J_1^{m+2}$. It is clear that $\delta_2^{m+1} \circ \delta_2^\bullet : J_2^\bullet/J_1^\bullet \rightarrow J_1^{\bullet+2}$ is a map of complexes.

Since J_2^m is injective, we have canonical isomorphisms

$$J_2^m/J_1^m = \mathrm{Hom}_{A_2}(fA_2, J_2^m) = \mathrm{Hom}_{A_1}(fA_2, J_1^m).$$

Therefore, J_2^\bullet/J_1^\bullet represents $R\mathrm{Hom}_{A_1}(fA_2, J_1^\bullet)$, so $R\mathrm{Hom}_{A_1}(J_2^\bullet/J_1^\bullet, J_1^{\bullet+2}) = R\mathrm{Hom}_{A_1}(R\mathrm{Hom}_{A_1}(fA_2, J_1^\bullet), J_1^{\bullet+2}) = fA_2[2]$ by Corollary 4.9. Thus $\delta_2^{\bullet+1} \circ \delta_2^\bullet : J_2^\bullet/J_1^\bullet \rightarrow J_1^{\bullet+2}$ is zero in $D(A_1)$, hence homotopic to zero (see [25, Tag 05TG]). Let $g_2^\bullet : J_2^\bullet/J_1^\bullet \rightarrow J_1^{\bullet+1}$ be a homotopy between $\delta_2^{\bullet+1} \circ \delta_2^\bullet$ and 0. View each g_2^m as a map $g_2^m : J_2^m \rightarrow J_2^{m+1}$, we see $d_2^m = \delta_2^m - g_2^m$ is what we want.

Now J_2^\bullet is a bounded below complex of injective A_2 -modules, so

$$R\mathrm{Hom}_{A_2}(A_1, J_2^\bullet) = J_2^\bullet[f] = J_1^\bullet,$$

thus J_2^\bullet is a pseudo-dualizing complex for A_2 by Lemma 5.5. The same argument as above tells us we can extend d_2^\bullet to d_3^\bullet , ad infinitum, showing Claim 5.7. \square

REMARK 5.8. We record the dual version of [25, Tag 0DYR] in our mind for the reader's convenience: for a ring A and an ideal I with $I^2 = 0$, the obstruction for a $K \in D^+(A/I)$ to

be of the form $R\mathrm{Hom}_A(A/I, K')$ is a map $R\mathrm{Hom}_{A/I}(I, K) \rightarrow K[2]$ in $D(A/I)$. The author does not know a reference for this.

For pseudo-dualizing complexes, this obstruction vanishes automatically by Corollary 4.9, as seen in the proof above. Alternatively, one can use the argument as in [16, Lemma 6.6.4.9], if willing to use animated rings.

§6. Openness of loci

For basics about canonical modules refer to [1, §1].

LEMMA 6.1. *Let A be a Noetherian ring, $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}(A)$. Assume $\mathrm{Spec}(A/\mathfrak{p})$ contains a nonempty Gorenstein open subset. Then the followings hold.*

- (i) *Let $K \in D_{\mathrm{Coh}}^b(A)$. If $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a dualizing complex for $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$, then there exists an $f \in A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ such that $K_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is a dualizing complex for $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$ for all $\mathfrak{q} \in V(\mathfrak{p}) \cap D(f)$.*
- (ii) *Let M be a finite A -module. If $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a canonical module for $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$, then there exists an $f \in A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ such that $M_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is a canonical module for $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$ for all $\mathfrak{q} \in V(\mathfrak{p}) \cap D(f)$.*

Proof. We may assume A/\mathfrak{p} Gorenstein. Let B be the \mathfrak{p} -adic completion of A , so $A \rightarrow B$ is a flat ring map with $A/\mathfrak{p} = B/\mathfrak{p}B$, thus open subsets of $V(\mathfrak{p})$ in $\mathrm{Spec}(A)$ are in one-to-one correspondence with open subsets of $V(\mathfrak{p}B)$ in $\mathrm{Spec}(B)$. Note that for all $\mathfrak{P} \in V(\mathfrak{p})$, $A_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\wedge} = B_{\mathfrak{P}B}^{\wedge}$ since $A/\mathfrak{p}^n = B/\mathfrak{p}^n B$ for all n . Thus, the base change of a dualizing complex for (resp. canonical module of) $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ to $B_{\mathfrak{p}B}$ is a dualizing complex for (resp. canonical module of) $B_{\mathfrak{p}B}$, and we may apply flat descent ([25, Tag 0E4A] and [1, Theorem 4.2]) for $\mathfrak{P} \in V(\mathfrak{p})$. Thus it suffices to prove the lemma in the case A is \mathfrak{p} -adically complete and A/\mathfrak{p} is Gorenstein.

In this case, A admits a pseudo-dualizing complex E by Theorem 5.1, and we have $K_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong E_{\mathfrak{p}}$ (resp. $M_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong H^0(E_{\mathfrak{p}})$ and $E_{\mathfrak{p}} \in D^{\geq 0}(A_{\mathfrak{p}})$; [1, (1.5)]) after a shift. After localizing we have $K \cong E$ by Lemma 2.1 (resp. $M \cong H^0(E)$ and $E \in D^{\geq 0}(A)$), as desired. \square

We say a finite module M over a Noetherian ring A a *canonical module* if $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a canonical module of A for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}(A)$. Localization of a canonical module is a canonical module, see [1, Corollary 4.3], so it suffices to check at the maximal ideals of A .

THEOREM 6.2. *Let A be a Noetherian ring that is Gor-2. Then the followings hold.*

- (i) *If $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}(A)$ is such that $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ admits a dualizing complex, then there exists an $f \in A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ such that A_f admits a pseudo-dualizing complex.*
- (ii) *Let $K \in D_{\mathrm{Coh}}^b(A)$. If $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}(A)$ is such that $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a dualizing complex for $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$, then there exists an $f \in A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ such that K_f is a pseudo-dualizing complex for A_f .*
- (iii) *If $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}(A)$ is such that $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ admits a canonical module, then there exists an $f \in A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ such that A_f admits a canonical module.*
- (iv) *Let M be a finite A -module. If $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}(A)$ is such that $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a canonical module of $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$, then there exists an $f \in A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ such that M_f is a canonical module of A_f .*

Proof. We know (ii) implies (i) and (iv) implies (iii) by Lemma 2.2. On the other hand, (ii) and (iv) follows from Lemma 6.1 and general topology [25, Tag 0541]. \square

Apply to the case $K = A$ and $M = A$, we get the following. The Gorenstein case is [6, Proposition 1.7], but the quasi-Gorenstein case seems to be new.

COROLLARY 6.3. *The Gorenstein and quasi-Gorenstein loci of a Gor-2 Noetherian ring is open.*

REMARK 6.4. If we assume in addition that A is Cohen–Macaulay, then Theorem 6.2 follows from the characterization of canonical modules [22] (see also [1, Corollary 2.12]) and [6, Proposition 1.7].

THEOREM 6.5. *Let A be a Noetherian ring (resp. a Noetherian ring of finite dimension). Assume*

1. A is a G -ring.
2. A is Gor-2.

Then there exists a faithfully flat, étale ring map $A \rightarrow A'$ such that A' admits a pseudo-dualizing complex (resp. a dualizing complex).

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(A)$. By Corollary 3.6, there exists an étale ring map $A \rightarrow B$ and a prime $\mathfrak{q} \in \operatorname{Spec}(B)$ lying above \mathfrak{p} such that $B_{\mathfrak{q}}$ admits a dualizing complex. Note that B is Gor-2 since it is of finite type over A , Corollary 4.8. By Theorem 6.2, localizing B near \mathfrak{q} we may assume B admits a pseudo-dualizing complex. If A is finite-dimensional then so is B , so B admits a dualizing complex. Now we take A' to be a finite product of such B so that $\operatorname{Spec}(A') \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(A)$ is surjective. \square

COROLLARY 6.6. *Let A be a Noetherian quasi-excellent ring. Then there exists a faithfully flat, étale ring map $A \rightarrow A'$ such that A' is excellent.*

REMARK 6.7. Corollary 6.6 is not difficult by itself and may be well known. We sketch an argument. First, consider a finite injective map $A \rightarrow B$ of Noetherian domains with B universally catenary. Then for $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(A)$, $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is universally catenary if and only if for all $\mathfrak{q} \in \operatorname{Spec}(B)$ above \mathfrak{p} , $\operatorname{ht}(\mathfrak{q}) = \operatorname{ht}(\mathfrak{p})$. This follows from [25, Tags 02IJ and 0AW6]. This condition is constructible by for example [8, Proposition 6.10.6].

Since a normal quasi-excellent ring is universally catenary [25, Tag 0AW6], and since a quasi-excellent ring is Nagata [25, Tag 07QV], we can take B to be the normalization of $A = R/\mathfrak{p}$ where \mathfrak{p} is a minimal prime of a given quasi-excellent ring R . It is now clear that the universally catenary locus of a quasi-excellent ring is open, and that a unibranch quasi-excellent local ring is universally catenary. We thus get Corollary 6.6 from [25, Tag 0CB4].

Using [3, Lemma 2.5 and Theorem 2.13], replacing normalization with an (S_2) -ification, the argument above tells us that every (S_2) -quasi-excellent [3, (2.12)] Noetherian ring A has open universally catenary locus and admits an étale, faithfully flat ring map $A \rightarrow A'$ such that A' is (S_2) -excellent; and a Noetherian unibranch local ring with (S_2) formal fibers is universally catenary.

§7. One-dimensional schemes

LEMMA 7.1. *Let $(A, \mathfrak{m}, k) \rightarrow (B, \mathfrak{n}, l)$ be a flat local map of Noetherian local rings. Assume that A admits a dualizing complex K , and that $B/\mathfrak{m}B$ is Gorenstein. Then $K \otimes_A^L B$ is a dualizing complex for B .*

Proof. $R\operatorname{Hom}_A(k, K)$ is a shift of k , so $R\operatorname{Hom}_B(B/\mathfrak{m}B, K \otimes_A^L B)$ is a shift of $B/\mathfrak{m}B$ by [25, Tag 0A6A]. We conclude by Lemma 5.3. \square

LEMMA 7.2. *Let A be a Noetherian local ring of dimension 1. Assume that the formal fibers of A are Gorenstein. Then A admits a dualizing complex.*

Proof. Let a be a parameter of A . The aA -adic completion A^\wedge of A is a complete local ring, thus admits a normalized dualizing complex M .

Let E be a dualizing complex for the Artinian ring A_a concentrated in degree -1 . The map $A_a \rightarrow (A^\wedge)_a$ is a flat map between Artinian rings with Gorenstein fibers by our assumptions, thus $E \otimes_{A_a}^L (A^\wedge)_a$ is a dualizing complex by Lemma 7.1. On the other hand M_a is another dualizing complex of $(A^\wedge)_a$ concentrated in degree -1 by [25, Tag 0A7V]. Thus $E \otimes_{A_a}^L (A^\wedge)_a \cong M_a$ as $(A^\wedge)_a$ is Artinian. By [2, Theorem 1.4], there exists $K \in D(A)$ such that $K \otimes_A^L A^\wedge \cong M$. Then K is a dualizing complex for A [25, Tag 0E4A]. \square

REMARK 7.3. Lemma 7.2 also follows from [4, Theorem 5.3] and, say, [21, Corollary 3.7].

THEOREM 7.4. *Let X be a Noetherian scheme. Assume the followings.*

1. X is Gor-2.
2. Every local ring of X of dimension 1 has Gorenstein formal fibers.

Let U be an open subscheme of X , K a pseudo-dualizing complex on U such that for all generic points $\xi \in U$, K_ξ is concentrated in degree 0 (for example $U = \emptyset$). Then there exists an open subset $W \supseteq U$ of X such that $\dim \mathcal{O}_{X,x} > 1$ for all $x \notin W$ and that W admits a pseudo-dualizing complex K_W with $K_W|_U = K$ such that K_ξ is concentrated in degree 0 for all generic points $\xi \in X$.

Proof. We may assume (U, K) cannot be enlarged to any (W, K_W) , and we shall show $\dim \mathcal{O}_{X,x} > 1$ for all $x \notin U$.

Let $x \notin U$, and assume $\dim \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \leq 1$. Let $V = \operatorname{Spec}(A)$ be an affine open neighborhood of $x \in X$ such that A has a pseudo-dualizing complex L , which exists by Lemma 7.2 and Theorem 6.2. We may assume that all generic points of V specialize to x . Since $\dim \mathcal{O}_{X,x} = 1$, we see from [25, Tag 0A7V] that, after shifting, we may assume $L_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is concentrated at degree 0 for all minimal primes \mathfrak{q} of A .

If $U \times_X \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x})$ is empty, then we may assume $U \cap V = \emptyset$, so we can enlarge U to $U \cup V$. Otherwise $U \times_X \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x})$ has dimension zero, so it is affine. Thus, we may assume $U \cap V$ is affine by [25, Tag 01Z6]. Write $B = \mathcal{O}(U \cap V)$, so B admits a dualizing complex K_0 given by the restriction of K to $U \cap V$. Then $L \otimes_A^L B_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong K_0 \otimes_B^L B_{\mathfrak{p}}$, where $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(A)$ corresponds to x , since $\dim B_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$ and both sides are dualizing complexes concentrated in degree 0. Thus there exists $g \in A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ such that $L \otimes_A^L B_g \cong K_0 \otimes_B^L B_g$ by Lemma 2.1, so we can enlarge U to $U \cup \operatorname{Spec}(A_g)$. \square

REMARK 7.5. The ring A/I in [7, §1] does not admit a dualizing complex by Theorem 5.1. A/I is a semi-local ring with two maximal ideals $\mathfrak{m}', \mathfrak{n}'$ with $\operatorname{ht}(\mathfrak{m}') = 1$, $\operatorname{ht}(\mathfrak{n}') = 2$. Both localizations $(A/I)_{\mathfrak{m}'}$ and $(A/I)_{\mathfrak{n}'}$ admit dualizing complexes, see [7, Lemma 1.5], but the restrictions of a dualizing complex for $(A/I)_{\mathfrak{n}'}$ to the generic points are concentrated in different degrees by [25, Tag 0A7V], so Theorem 7.4 does not apply. Together with Theorem 6.2, this tells us that admitting dualizing complexes is not a Zariski local property.

COROLLARY 7.6. *Let X be a Noetherian scheme of dimension 1. Then X admits a dualizing complex if and only if X is Gor-2 and the local rings of X has Gorenstein formal fibers.*

Proof. “Only if” follows from [25, Tag 0AWY] and Corollary 4.7. “If” follows from Theorem 7.4 with $U = \emptyset$. \square

REMARK 7.7. There exists a Noetherian local domain of dimension 1 with non-Gorenstein formal fibers, see [5, Remarque 3.2]. Such a ring is Gor-2, and even J-2, being one-dimensional and local.

There also exists a one-dimensional G-ring that is not Gor-2. Such a ring can be constructed using the general method in [12].

Therefore, neither of the two conditions in Corollary 7.6 implies the other.

REMARK 7.8. In the terminology of [24], Corollary 7.6 says that a Noetherian scheme of dimension 1 admits a dualizing complex if and only if it is acceptable.

REMARK 7.9. There exists a two-dimensional excellent local UFD that does not admit a dualizing complex. See [20, Example 6.1].

§8. Remarks on quotients of Gorenstein and Cohen–Macaulay rings

Our Lemma 4.4 and Theorem 4.12 imply the following result, originally due to Kawasaki [13] for finite-dimensional rings.

THEOREM 8.1. *Let A be a Noetherian ring. Then the followings are equivalent.*

- (i) *A is a quotient of a Gorenstein ring.*
- (ii) *There exists a finitely generated A -algebra B that is Gorenstein and admits a section $B \rightarrow A$.*
- (iii) *A admits a pseudo-dualizing complex.*

Therefore, Theorem 5.1 can be rewritten as

THEOREM 8.2. *Let A be a Noetherian ring, I an ideal of A . If A is I -adically complete, then A/I is a quotient of a Gorenstein ring if and only if A is.*

On the other hand, quotients of Cohen–Macaulay rings were also studied by Kawasaki [14]. Note that the conditions (C1)–(C3) there are equivalent to CM-excellence as in [3, Definition 1.2] (cf. [3, Remark 1.5]). Therefore, [14, Theorem 1.3] and its proof tell us the following.

THEOREM 8.3. *Let A be a Noetherian ring. Then the followings are equivalent.*

- (i) *A is a quotient of a Cohen–Macaulay ring.*
- (ii) *There exists a finitely generated A -algebra B that is Cohen–Macaulay and admits a section $B \rightarrow A$.*
- (iii) *A is CM-excellent and admits a codimension function.*

Pham Hung Quy asks the author if the analog of Theorem 8.2 holds for Cohen–Macaulay instead of Gorenstein. We can answer this question affirmatively under some additional assumptions.

THEOREM 8.4. *Let A be a Noetherian ring, I an ideal of A . Assume that A/I is either quasi-excellent, or semilocal and Nagata. If A is I -adically complete, then A/I is a quotient of a Cohen–Macaulay ring if and only if A is.*

We need two lemmas for Theorem 8.4.

LEMMA 8.5. *Let A be a Noetherian ring, I an ideal of A . Assume that the pair (A, I) is Henselian.*

Assume that A is catenary, and that A/I admits a codimension function $c: \operatorname{Spec}(A/I) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$. For $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(A)$ and $Q \in V(I)$ containing \mathfrak{p} , let $c(\mathfrak{p}, Q) = c(Q/I) - \operatorname{ht}(Q/\mathfrak{p})$. Then the followings hold.

- (i) *For every $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(A)$, $c(\mathfrak{p}, Q)$ is independent of the choice of Q .*
- (ii) *The assignment $\mathfrak{p} \mapsto c(\mathfrak{p}, Q)$, where $Q \in V(I + \mathfrak{p})$ is arbitrary, is a codimension function on A .*

Proof. Since $c(-)$ is a codimension function of A/I , for $Q \subseteq Q' \in V(I + \mathfrak{p})$, we have $c(\mathfrak{p}, Q') - c(\mathfrak{p}, Q) = \operatorname{ht}(Q'/Q) + \operatorname{ht}(Q/\mathfrak{p}) - \operatorname{ht}(Q'/\mathfrak{p})$. Since A is catenary, the number on the right-hand side is 0. Thus for $Q \subseteq Q' \in V(I + \mathfrak{p})$, we have $c(\mathfrak{p}, Q') = c(\mathfrak{p}, Q)$. Since (A, I) is Henselian, $V(I + \mathfrak{p})$ is connected, see [25, Tag 09Y6]. This shows (i). For (ii), note that $V(I + \mathfrak{p})$ is nonempty for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(A)$, so our function is well defined. To show it is a codimension function, it suffices to show for $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}' \subseteq Q$ where $Q \in V(I)$, we have $c(\mathfrak{p}', Q) - c(\mathfrak{p}, Q) = \operatorname{ht}(\mathfrak{p}'/\mathfrak{p})$. This is clear as A is catenary. \square

LEMMA 8.6. *Let A be a Noetherian ring, I an ideal of A . Assume that the pair (A, I) is Henselian.*

Assume the followings hold.

- (i) *For every minimal prime \mathfrak{p} of A , there exists a finite injective ring map $A/\mathfrak{p} \rightarrow B$ such that B is universally catenary.*
- (ii) *A/I is universally catenary and admits a codimension function.*

Then A is universally catenary and admits a codimension function.

Proof. By Lemma 8.5, it suffices to show A is universally catenary. We may, therefore, assume A is an integral domain and there exists a finite injective ring map $A \rightarrow B$ such that B is universally catenary. We may also assume B is an integral domain. Let $c: \operatorname{Spec}(A/I) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ be a codimension function. Let $\delta(Q) = c((Q \cap A)/I) - \operatorname{ht}(Q)$ for $Q \in V(IB)$. For $Q \subseteq Q' \in V(IB)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} c((Q' \cap A)/I) - c((Q \cap A)/I) &= \operatorname{ht}((Q' \cap A)/(Q \cap A)) \\ &= \operatorname{ht}(Q'/Q) \\ &= \operatorname{ht}(Q') - \operatorname{ht}(Q), \end{aligned}$$

where the first identity is because c is a codimension function, the second identity follows from the dimension formula [25, Tag 02IJ] as A/I is universally catenary, and the third identity is because B is a catenary domain. Therefore, $\delta(Q') = \delta(Q)$. Since the pair (B, IB) is Henselian [25, Tag 09XK], $V(IB)$ is connected, see [25, Tag 09Y6]. Thus, δ is a constant function on $V(IB)$. Therefore, for all maximal ideals \mathfrak{n} of B , which necessarily contains IB , $\operatorname{ht}(\mathfrak{n})$ depends only on $\mathfrak{n} \cap A$, and thus must equal to $\operatorname{ht}(\mathfrak{n} \cap A)$. As discussed in Remark 6.7, we see A is universally catenary, as desired. \square

Proof of Theorem 8.4. Assume that A is I -adically complete, A/I is a quotient of a Cohen–Macaulay ring, and A/I is either quasi-excellent or semilocal and Nagata. Note that (A, I) is a Henselian pair [25, Tag 0ALJ].

If A/I is quasi-excellent, then A is quasi-excellent [15], in particular CM-quasi-excellent. If A/I is semilocal and Nagata, then A is semilocal and Nagata [18], and thus has Cohen–Macaulay formal fibers by [19, Theorem C]. Then A is CM-quasi-excellent, see [8, Proposition 7.3.18]. Consequently, in both cases, A is CM-quasi-excellent.

Now A satisfies condition (i) in Lemma 8.6 by the discussions in Remark 6.7, thus is universally catenary and admits a codimension function by Lemma 8.6. By Theorem 8.3, A is a quotient of a Cohen–Macaulay ring. \square

Acknowledgments. We thank Rankeya Datta, Longke Tang, and Kevin Tucker for helpful discussions. We thank Karl Schwede and Kevin Tucker for bringing the lifting problem of dualizing complexes to the author’s attention.

REFERENCES

- [1] Y. Aoyama, *Some basic results on canonical modules*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **23** (1983), no. 1, 85–94.
- [2] B. Bhatt, *Algebraization and Tannaka duality*, Cambridge J. Math. **4** (2016), no. 4, 403–461.
- [3] K. Česnavičius, *Macaulayfication of Noetherian schemes*, Duke Math. J. **170** (2021), no. 7, 1419–1455.
- [4] R. Fossum, H. B. Foxby, P. Griffith, and I. Reiten, *Minimal injective resolutions with applications to dualizing modules and Gorenstein modules*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **45** (1976), 193–215.
- [5] D. Ferrand and M. Raynaud, *Fibres formelles d’un anneau local noethérien*, Annales scientifiques de l’É.N.S. 4^e série **3** (1970), no. 3, 295–311.
- [6] S. Greco and M. G. Marinari, *Nagata’s criterion and openness of loci for Gorenstein and complete intersection*, Math. Z. **160** (1978), 207–216.
- [7] S. Greco, *A note on universally catenary rings*, Nagoya Math. J. **87** (1982), 95–100.
- [8] A. Grothendieck, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique: IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas, Seconde partie*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **24** (1965), 55–231, with a collaboration of Jean Dieudonné.
- [9] J. A. Hall, *Fundamental dualizing complexes for commutative Noetherian rings*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford **30** (1979), no. 2, 21–32.
- [10] R. Hartshorne, *Residues and duality*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 20, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1966.
- [11] V. Hinich, *Rings with approximation property admit a dualizing complex*, Math. Nachr. **163** (1993), 289–296.
- [12] M. Hochster, *Non-openness of loci in Noetherian rings*, Duke Math. J. **40** (1973), no. 1, 215–219.
- [13] T. Kawasaki, *On arithmetic Macaulayfication of Noetherian rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **354** (2002), no. 1, 123–149.
- [14] T. Kawasaki, *Finiteness of Cousin cohomologies*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **360** (2008), no. 5, 2709–2739.
- [15] K. Kurano and K. Shimomoto, *Ideal-adic completion of quasi-excellent rings (after Gabber)*, Kyoto J. Math. **61** (2021), no. 3, 707–722.
- [16] J. Lurie, *Spectral algebraic geometry*, <https://www.math.ias.edu/lurie/papers/SAG-rootfile.pdf>
- [17] S. Lyu, *Permanence properties of splinters via ultrapower*, Michigan Math. J. Advance Publication, (2024), 1–8.
- [18] J. Marot, *Sur les anneaux universellement japonais*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **103** (1975), 103–111.
- [19] M. Takumi, *A uniform treatment of Grothendieck’s localization problem*, Compos. Math. **158** (2022), no. 1, 57–88.
- [20] J. Nishimura, *A few examples of local rings, I*, Kyoto J. Math. **52** (2012), no. 1, 51–87.
- [21] T. Ogoma, *Existence of dualizing complexes*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **24** (1984), no. 1, 27–48.
- [22] I. Reiten, *The converse to a theorem of Sharp on Gorenstein modules*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **32** (1972), 417–420.
- [23] H. Schoutens, *The use of ultraproducts in commutative algebra*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1999, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2010.
- [24] R. Y. Sharp, *A commutative Noetherian ring which possesses a dualizing complex is acceptable*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **82** (1977), 192–213.
- [25] The Stacks Project Authors, *The Stacks project*, <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu/>

Shiji Lyu

Department of Mathematics, Statistics, and Computer Science

University of Illinois Chicago

Chicago, IL 60607-7045

United States

slyu@uic.edu