Canad. Math. Bull. Vol. 16 (4), 1973

## EPIMORPHISMS OF MODULES WHICH MUST BE ISOMORPHISMS

### BJ

# d. ž. djoković

Let R be an associative ring (not necessarily with identity).

DEFINITION 1. *R* is a left  $\Pi$ -ring if it has the following property: Let *M* be a finitely generated left *R*-module, *N* a submodule of *M* and  $\phi: N \rightarrow M$  an epimorphism. Then  $\phi$  is an isomorphism.

DEFINITION 2. *R* is a left  $\Pi_1$ -ring if it has identity and the following property: Let *M* be a finitely generated unitary left *R*-module, *N* a submodule of *M* and  $\phi: N \rightarrow M$  an epimorphism. Then  $\phi$  is an isomorphism.

If R is a ring let  $R_1$  be the ring with identity obtained from R by adjoining the identity. We have  $R_1 \cong R \oplus Z$  as abelian groups. If M is a left R-module then it can be also considered as a unitary left  $R_1$ -module and vice versa. Let E be a subset of M. The submodule of M generated by E is the intersection of all submodules of M which contain E. It follows that the submodule of M generated by E is the same for both module structures on M mentioned above. In particular, M is a finitely generated left R-module if and only if it is a finitely generated unitary left  $R_1$ -module.

It is clear that these remarks prove the first part of the following theorem.

THEOREM 1. Let R be a ring. Then

(i) R is a left  $\Pi$ -ring if and only if  $R_1$  is a left  $\Pi_1$ -ring.

(ii) If R has identity then it is a left  $\Pi$ -ring if and only if it is a left  $\Pi_1$ -ring.

(iii) Any homomorphic image of a left  $\Pi$ -ring (left  $\Pi_1$ -ring) is also a left  $\Pi$ -ring (left  $\Pi_1$ -ring).

(iv) A left Noetherian ring is a left  $\Pi$ -ring.

**Proof.** (iii) follows from the fact that if S is a homomorphic image of R then every left S-module can be considered as a left R-module.

(iv) Let  $\phi: N \rightarrow M$  be as in Definition 1. We want to prove that  $\phi$  is an isomorphism. Let  $\phi^0(0)=0$  and define by induction

$$\phi^{-n}(0) = \phi^{-1}(\phi^{-(n-1)}(0)), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Received by the editors August 17, 1971 and, in revised form, September 22, 1971.

D. Ž. DJOKOVIĆ

Then each  $\phi^{-n}(0)$  is a submodule of N. We have in fact

$$\phi^{-n}(0) = \{x \mid x \in N, \, \phi(x) \in N, \, \dots, \, \phi^{n-1}(x) \in N, \, \phi^n(x) = 0\}.$$

It follows that

$$0 = \phi^0(0) \subset \phi^{-1}(0) \subset \phi^{-2}(0) \subset \cdots$$

Since R is left Noetherian, and M is finitely generated if follows that M is Noetherian. There exists  $k \ge 0$  such that  $\phi^{-k}(0) = \phi^{-(k+1)}(0)$ . We take k to be the smallest nonnegative integer with this property. Assume that  $\phi^{-1}(0) \ne 0$  and so  $k \ge 1$ . Since  $\phi^{-(k-1)}(0) \ne \phi^{-k}(0)$  there exists  $x \in \phi^{-k}(0)$  such that  $\phi^{k-1}(x) \ne 0$ . But  $x = \phi(y)$  for some  $y \in \phi^{-(k+1)}(0)$  because  $\phi$  is an epimorphism. Thus  $\phi^{k-1}(x) = \phi^k(y) = 0$  because  $y \in \phi^{-(k+1)}(0) = \phi^{-k}(0)$ . This is a contradiction. Hence  $\phi^{-1}(0) = 0$ , i.e.,  $\phi$  is injective and consequently an isomorphism.

(ii) Assume that R is a left  $\Pi_1$ -ring and let  $\phi: N \to M$  be as in Definition 1. We have  $M = M_0 \oplus M_1$  where  $RM_0 = 0$  and  $M_1$  is a unitary left R-module. Also  $N = N_0 \oplus N_1$  with  $N_0 \subset M_0$  and  $N_1 \subset M_1$ . Since  $\phi(N_0) \subset M_0$ ,  $\phi(N_1) \subset M_1$  the restrictions  $\phi_0: N_0 \to M_0$  and  $\phi_1: N_1 \to M_1$  are epimorphisms. Since R is a left  $\Pi_1$ -ring  $\phi_1$  must be an isomorphism. Also  $\phi_0$  is an isomorphism because Z (the ring of integers) is Noetherian and we may use (iv). Hence  $\phi$  is also an isomorphism.

In view of these results we can restrict to study only the left  $\Pi_1$ -rings. Our main result is the following:

THEOREM 2. Any direct limit of left  $\Pi_1$ -rings is a left  $\Pi_1$ -ring.

**Proof.** Let  $A = \lim_{i \to \infty} A_i$  where  $A_i$  are left  $\Pi_1$ -rings. Let M be a finitely generated unitary left A-module

$$M = \sum_{k=1}^{n} A x_i,$$

 $N \subseteq M$  a submodule and  $\phi: N \to M$  an epimorphism. Let  $y_0 \in N$  be such that  $\phi(y_0)=0$ . Choose  $y_1, \ldots, y_n$  such that  $\phi(y_k)=x_k$ ,  $1 \le k \le n$ . We may write

$$y_r = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{rk} x_k, \qquad 0 \le r \le n$$

with all  $a_{rk}$  in the image in A of a fixed  $A_{i_0}$ . Let  $M_{i_0}$  and  $N_{i_0}$  be the left  $A_{i_0}$ -modules obtained from M and N via the canonical homomorphisms  $A_{i_0} \rightarrow A$ . Let  $M_0$  be the submodule of  $M_{i_0}$  generated by  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ . It follows that  $y_0, y_1, \ldots, y_n$  belong to  $M_0$ . Let  $N_0$  be the submodule of  $N_{i_0}$  generated by  $y_0, y_1, \ldots, y_n$ . Then  $N_0 \subset M_0$ and the restriction  $\phi_0: N_0 \rightarrow M_0$  of  $\phi$  is surjective. Since  $A_{i_0}$  is a left  $\Pi_1$ -ring  $\phi_0$  must be an isomorphism. Hence,  $\phi_0(y_0) = \phi(y_0) = 0$  implies  $y_0 = 0$ .

COROLLARY 1. Every commutative ring with identity is a left  $\Pi_1$ -ring.

https://doi.org/10.4153/CMB-1973-083-0 Published online by Cambridge University Press

[December

514

### 1973] EPIMORPHISMS OF MODULES

**Proof.** Such a ring is the direct limit of the direct system of its finitely generated subrings (containing the identity element). Every finitely generated subring of a commutative ring is Noetherian and so a left  $\Pi_1$ -ring.

This result was proved in [1]. Furthermore we have the following corollaries which correspond to Theorem 1, Corollaries 2–3 of [1].

COROLLARY 2. Let R be a left  $\Pi_1$ -ring and M a unitary left R-module generated by n elements and N a free R-submodule of M of rank not less than n. Then M is a free R-module and rank N=rank M=n.

The proof of this is straightforward.

COROLLARY 3. Let R be a left  $\Pi_1$ -ring and  $f: R \rightarrow S$  a homomorphism of rings. Assume that S has identity and that it is finitely generated as a left R-module via f. If x, y  $\in$  S and xy=1 then yx=1.

**Proof.** Let  $\phi: S \to S$  and  $\psi: S \to S$  be defined by  $\phi(s) = sx$ ,  $\psi(s) = sy$ . Since  $\psi \circ \phi =$  identity it follows that  $\psi$  is onto. Since R is a left  $\Pi_1$ -ring  $\psi$  must be an isomorphism and  $\phi$  is its inverse. Hence  $\phi \circ \psi =$  identity which implies that yx = 1.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT. I would like to thank Professor P. Ribenboim whose proof of Theorem 2 appears here instead of my original lengthy proof.

#### REFERENCE

1. M. Orzech, Onto endomorphisms are isomorphisms, Amer. Math. Monthly 78 (1971), 357-362.

UNIVERSITY OF WATERLOO, WATERLOO, ONTARIO