# Gamma-ray bursts: A brief survey of the diversity

# Attila Mészáros<sup>1</sup> and Jakub Řípa<sup>1,2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Astronomical Institute, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Charles University, CZ-180 00 Prague 8, V Holešovičkách 2, Czech Republic email: meszaros@cesnet.cz

<sup>2</sup>MTA-Eötvös Lóránd University, Lendület Hot Universe Research Group, Pázmány Péter sétány 1/A, Budapest, 1117, Hungary

<sup>3</sup>Eötvös Lóránd University, Institute of Physics, Pázmány Péter sétány 1/A, Budapest, 1117, Hungary email: jripa@caesar.elte.hu

Abstract. The separation of the gamma-ray bursts (GRBs) into short/hard and long/soft subclasses, respectively, is well supported both theoretically and observationally. The long ones are coupled to supernovae type Ib/Ic - the short ones are connected to the merging of two neutron stars, where one or even both neutron stars can be substituted by black holes. These short GRBs - as merging binaries - can also serve as the sources of gravitation waves, and are observable as the recently detected macronovae. Since 1998 there are several statistical studies suggesting the existence of more than two subgroups. There can be a subgroup having an intermediate durations; there can be a subgroup with ultra-long durations; the long/soft subgroup itself can be divided into two subclasses with respect to the luminosity of GRBs. The authors with other collaborators provided several statistical studies in this topic. This field of the GRB-diversity is briefly surveyed in this contribution.

Keywords. cosmology: miscellaneous, cosmology: observations, gamma rays: bursts

### 1. Introduction

After the discovery of the gamma-ray bursts (GRBs) (Klebesadel *et al.* 1973) it was shown from the statistical analyses of observational data that they were two types of GRBs (Mazets *et al.* 1981). Since that time the separation of GRBs into subgroups is a blistering problem. In this contribution a brief survey of this topic is provided.

## 2. Separation into two subgroups

Since 1981 the separation of GRBs into short/hard and long/soft subgroups was confirmed by several other studies. For the GRBs, not having directly measured redshifts, the so called hardness can be used in statistical tests together with the duration (for a survey and the relevant references see, e.g., Mészáros 2006).

Today it is clear that the short/hard bursts are given by the neutron star - neutron star mergers, where one or even two neutron stars can be substituted by black holes, and are observable as macronovae (Tanvir *et al.* 2013). The cosmologically near short/hard bursts can also serve as the source for the detectable gravitational waves (Abbott *et al.* 2017). This means that the short/hard GRBs arose at the final stage of compact binaries.

The long/soft bursts are associated with Ib/c type supernovae (Hjorth *et al.* 2003). The idea that the GRBs were coupled to supernovae was formulated in essence simultaneously with the discovery of bursts (Colgate 1968, Colgate 1974).

The separation should be done with respect to the duration at  $\simeq 2$  second. But this limiting duration - as a strict one - is in doubt, because much longer GRBs were also observed, which resemble the short/hard GRBs (Gehrels *et al.* 2006). This means that it is better to speak about two basic types of GRBs (Kann *et al.* 2010).

#### 3. Three subgroups?

In year 1998 two simultaneous papers declared the existence of a third subgroup (Mukherjee *et al.* 1998, Horváth 1998). This claim came from the statistical studies of the dataset of BATSE instrument being on the Compton Gamma Ray Burst Observatory<sup>†</sup>. Since that time several other papers declared the same result for the BATSE dataset (Horváth 2002, Hakkila *et al.* 2003, Hakkila *et al.* 2004, Horváth *et al.* 2006). This third subgroup should have an intermediate duration. It was found also at the Swift dataset<sup>‡</sup> (Veres *et al.* 2010). For the RHESSI¶ satellite the existence of third subgroup was also declared (Řípa *et al.* 2012). On the other hand, no intermediate subgroup was found in the Fermi's|| observations (Tarnopolski 2015, Narayana Bhat *et al.* 2016). Similarly, no third subgroup is declared to exist both in the Suzaku<sup>††</sup> database (Ohmori *et al.* 2016), and in the Konus/WIND<sup>‡‡</sup> catalog (Tsvetkova *et al.* 2017).

It must be added that even in the case, when the three subgroups are found by statistical tests, it is not sure that there are really three astrophysically different phenomena. Different biases, selection effects, etc... can play a role (Hakkila *et al.* 2003, Tarnopolski 2016). For example, in the Swift database the third group is found by tests, but a more detailed study shows that the third group is given by the so-called X-Ray Flashes (XRFs) - which are in essence long GRBs (Veres *et al.* 2010). But, on the other hand, in some cases it is claimed that the third subgroup cannot entirely be given by long GRBs. For example, for the BATSE and mainly for the RHESSI database the identification of the intermediate GRBs with XRFs cannot be done (Řípa & Mészáros 2016).

### 4. Four or even more subgroups?

There are studies claiming the existence of other subgroups - being not identical - to the intermediate one.

For example, in the BATSE database there were hints for the separation of the long GRBs themselves into the harder and softer parts (Pendleton *et al.* 1997).

The longest GRBs can also form an extra - ultra-long - subgroup (Tikhomirova & Stern 2005, Virgili *et al.* 2013, Levan *et al.* 2014). Because there are only few cases in this subgroup, from the statistical point of view this subgroup hardly can be declared as an astrophysically different phenomenon.

Recently, in the Fermi database five subgroups were found (Acuner & Ryde 2018). The long subgroup should be further separated. Theoretically, it is thought that seven different subgroups should exist (Ruffini *et al.* 2018).

#### 5. Newest studies on the samples with known redshifts

Because the number of GRBs, which have directly measured redshifts from the afterglows observations, is increasing at the last years it is already possible to study the diversity of GRBs also from other intrinsic quantities. The intrinsic luminosity  $(L_{iso})$ 



**Figure 1.** Plotted are 124 *Fermi*/GBM GRBs with known redshifts. Isotropic equivalent energy  $E_{iso}$  (calculated from the fluence in the 10-1000 keV band) and isotropic equivalent luminosity  $L_{iso}$  (calculated from the 1024 ms peak photon fluxes in the 10-1000 keV band), respectively, versus duration  $T_{90,rest}$  in the rest frame of a GRB are shown.



Figure 2. Plotted are 412 *Swift*/BAT GRBs with known redshifts. Isotropic equivalent energy  $E_{iso}$  (calculated from the fluence in the 15-350 keV band) and isotropic equivalent luminosity  $L_{iso}$  (calculated from the 1 s peak photon fluxes in the 15-350 keV band), respectively, versus duration  $T_{90,rest}$  in the rest frame of a GRB are shown.

and the intrinsic total emitted energy  $(E_{iso})$  can be calculated for a given GRB, if its redshift is known. Then these quantities can also be studied instead of hardness.

If such a testing is provided (Levan *et al.* 2014) on the duration vs.  $L_{iso}$  ( $E_{iso}$ , respectively) plane, one should obtain the subgroups, too. On Fig. 2 of Levan *et al.* (2014) such a study is done. Several possible subgroups are seen beyond the long and short GRBs (soft gamma repeaters, low luminosity long GRBs, ultra-long GRBs, tidal disruption events). On the other hand, there is no intermediate subgroup.

We present here the preliminary results of a similar effort. We examined data samples of GRBs with measured redshifts from *Fermi*/GBM and *Swift*/BAT instruments. The *Fermi*/GBM sample was created using the FERMIGBRST catalog<sup>†</sup>. It contains 124 GRBs with the first burst GRB 080804 and the last one GRB 180314A (see Fig. 1). The *Swift*/BAT sample was created using the *Swift* BAT Gamma-Ray Burst Catalog<sup>‡</sup>. It contains 412 GRBs with the first burst GRB 050126 and the last one GRB 180510B (see Fig. 2). The *Swift* data sample is preliminary. We are working on its completion.

The isotropic equivalent energies and luminosities  $E_{iso}$  and  $L_{iso}$  were calculated using the luminosity distances (for measured redshifts z) in the Friedmann-Robertson-Walker model assuming the Hubble constant  $H_0 = 71 \,\mathrm{km \, s^{-1} Mpc^{-1}}$ , the matter density parameter  $\Omega_{\rm M} = 0.27$ , and the dark energy density parameter  $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.73$ .

The durations  $T_{90,rest}$  of GRBs in their rest frames were calculated using the cosmological time dilation as well as the energy-stretching dependence (Fenimore *et al.* 1995, Mészáros & Mészáros 1996) as  $T_{90,rest} = T_{90,obs}/(1+z)^{1-k}$ , where  $T_{90,obs}$  is the observed duration and k = 0.4.

The preliminary clustering analysis based on Gaussian mixture models and Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) (Kass & Raftery 1995, Mukherjee *et al.* 1998) reveals only two groups (BIC is maximal for two components) in the  $E_{iso}$  vs.  $T_{90,rest}$  plane in the *Fermi* data. The separation occurs into the short/low-energy and long/high-energy clusters with difference in BIC between the two components and one component  $\Delta BIC = 17.6$  which suggests a very strong evidence in favour of two groups separated into the low-luminosity and high-luminosity with  $\Delta BIC = 33.4$  suggesting a very strong evidence in favour of two components are not favour of BIC.

For Swift/BAT the data reveal three groups (BIC is maximal for three components) in the  $E_{iso}$  vs.  $T_{90,rest}$  plane. The separation occurs into the short/low-energy, long/highenergy and long/low-energy clusters with difference in BIC between the three components and the two components  $\Delta BIC = 4.7$  which suggests a positive evidence in favour of three components. For the  $L_{iso}$  vs.  $T_{90,rest}$  plane in the Swift data, three groups (BIC is maximal for three components) are revealed. The separation occurs into the low-luminosity, intermediate-duration/high-luminosity and long/high-luminosity clusters with difference in BIC between the three components and the two components  $\Delta BIC = 8.0$ . This suggests a strong evidence in favour of three components. These results are preliminary and the completion of the data sample as well as the statistical analysis is ongoing.

### 6. Conclusion

There are known several statistical tests, theories, ideas, modelling, etc... about the third or even about more subgroups. A brief - never complete - survey was provided here. Summarizing these works it can be said that the existence of any astrophysically different phenomenon - beyond the two (short/hard and long/soft) types - is further in doubt. Both the intermediate subgroup and the possible subgroup of the low-luminosity long GRBs are not proven yet unambiguously. Eventual further subgroups are also in doubt.

#### References

Abbott, B. P., et al. 2017, ApJ (Letters), 848, L13
Acuner, Z., & Ryde, F. 2018, MNRAS, 475, 1708
Colgate, S. A. 1968, Canadian Journal of Physics (Supplement), 46, 476
Colgate, S. A. 1974, ApJ, 187, 333
Fenimore, E. E., et al. 1995, ApJ (Letters), 448, L101
Gehrels, N., et al. 2006, Nature, 444, 1044
Hjorth, J., et al. 2003, Nature. 423, 847
Hakkila, J., et al. 2004, Baltic Astronomy, 13, 2011
Horváth, I. 1998, ApJ, 508, 757
Horváth, I. 2002, A&A, 392, 791
Horváth, I., et al. 2006, A&A, 447, 23

Kann, D. A., et al. 2010, ApJ, 720, 1513

Kass, R. E., & Raftery, A. E. 1995, Journal of the American Statistical Association, 90, 773

Klebesadel, R. W., Strong, I. B., & Olson, R. A. 1974, ApJ (Letters), 182, L85

Levan, A. J., Tanvir, N. R., & Starling, R. L. C. 2014, ApJ, 781, 13

Mazets, E. P., et al. 1981, ApSS, 80, 3

Mészáros, A., & Mészáros, P. 1996, ApJ, 466, 29

Mészáros, P. 2006, Reports on Progress in Physics, 69, 2259

Mukherjee, S., et al. 1998, ApJ, 508, 314

Narayana Bhat, P., et al. 2016, ApJ (Suppl.), 223, id.28

Ohmori, N., et al. 2016, PASJ, 68, S30

Pendleton, G. N., et al. 1997, ApJ, 489, 175

Řípa, J., et al. 2012, ApJ, 756, id.44

Řípa, J., & Mészáros, A. 2016, *ApSS*, 361, id.370

Ruffini, R., et al. 2018, ApJ, 859, id.30

Tanvir, N. R., et al. 2013, Nature, 500, 547

Tarnopolski, M. 2015 A&A, 581, id.A29

Tarnopolski, M. 2016, MNRAS, 458, 2024

Tikhomirova, Ya. Yu., & Stern, B. E. 2005, AstL, 31, 291

Tsvetkova, A., et al. 2017, ApJ, 850, id.161

Veres, P., et al. 2010, ApJ, 725, 1955

Virgili, F. J., et al. 2015, ApJ, 778, id.54