

The construction of diagrams for abelian groups

Ernest C. Ackermann

Christine W. Ayoub has defined diagrams for abelian groups and has shown that the structure of an abelian group A is determined by certain subgroups T_i of A which arise naturally from a given diagram for A . In this paper it is shown that a given diagram for A is formed from certain elementary diagrams for the T_i .

Ayoub, [1], considered certain series of finite length for abelian groups which arose in connection with the study of the group of units of primary homogeneous rings. She has used these diagrams to determine the structure of these groups, [2]. Her definition is essentially the following.

DEFINITION 1. Let $S_n = \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$. A function $j : S_n \rightarrow S_n$ is called admissible if $j(i) = j(i') \neq n$ implies $i = i'$ and for $0 \leq i < n$, $j(i) > i$ with $j(n) = n$. The series $A = A_0 > A_1 > \dots > A_n = 0$ for the abelian group A is a j -diagram (with respect to the prime p) if j is an admissible function,

(1) $j(i) = n$ implies $pA_i = 0$, and

(2) $j(i) < n$ implies the mapping,

$$a_i + A_{i+1} \rightarrow pa_i + A_{j(i)+1}$$

for $a_i \in A_i$, is an isomorphism of A_i/A_{i+1} onto

Received 28 August 1975.

$$A_{j(i)}/A_{j(i)+1} .$$

We shall denote the range of j by $R(j)$, use $\text{diag}(A)$ to represent the j -diagram for A , and when (2) holds write

$A_i/A_{i+1} \cong_p A_{j(i)}/A_{j(i)+1}$. All groups considered are additive abelian groups.

In this paper we shall show that all j -diagrams for a group A may be constructed in a natural way from certain elementary diagrams for direct summands of A . Results from [1] will be used, some of which are stated in the following theorem.

THEOREM 1 (Ayoub). *Let A have the j -diagram $A = A_0 > A_1 > \dots > A_n = 0$. Then for $0 \leq i < n$,*

- (1) A_i/A_{i+1} is an elementary p -group,
- (2) if $a \in A_i/A_{i+1}$ then $o(a) = p^v$ where $j^v(i) = n$,
 $j^{v-1}(i) < n$ (and therefore A is a bounded p -group),
- (3) there are subgroups $T_i \leq A_i$ satisfying $A_i = A_{i+1} + T_i$,
 $A_{i+1} \cap T_i = pT_i = T_{j(i)}$,
- (4) if the T_i are chosen as in (3), then $A = \bigoplus_{i \in R(j)} T_i$,
 and
- (5) let T_i be as in (4); then T_i equals the direct sum of cyclic groups of order p^{v_i} , where $j^{v_i}(i) = n$,
 $j^{v_i-1}(i) < n$, and $\text{rank}\{T_i\} = \dim(A_i/A_{i+1})$ (as a vector space over \mathbb{Z}_p).

EXAMPLE 1. Let B be the direct sum of cyclic groups of order p^v . Then B has a j -diagram, $B = B_0 > B_1 > \dots > B_v = 0$, where $B_i = pB_{i-1}$ for $1 < i \leq v$ and $j(i) = i + 1$ for $0 \leq i < v$. Thus if $B = \bigoplus_m \langle b_m \rangle$

then $B_i = \bigoplus_m \langle p^i b_m \rangle$, $0 \leq i < v$.

Miller [3] considered the problem of constructing a diagram for A from diagrams for direct summands of A .

DEFINITION 2. Let $B^{(i)} = B_0^{(i)} > B_1^{(i)} > \dots > B_{n_i}^{(i)} = 0$ be a j_i -diagram for the group $B^{(i)}$, $1 \leq i \leq k$. A j -diagram for A is said to be woven from the j_i -diagrams for the $B^{(i)}$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, if

$$A = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k B^{(i)}, \text{ for every } r \geq 0, A_r = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k B_{r,i}^{(i)}, \text{ and given}$$

$$A_r, A_{r+1} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k B_{r+1,i}^{(i)} \text{ where for some } u, 1 \leq u \leq k, n_{r+1,u} = n_{r,u} + 1$$

and for all $t \neq u$, $n_{r+1,t} = n_{r,t}$.

EXAMPLE 2. Let $B^{(1)}$ be a cyclic group of order p^2 with diagram $B^{(1)} > pB^{(1)} > p^2B^{(1)} = 0$ and $B^{(2)}$ be a group of order p with diagram $B^{(2)} > pB^{(2)} = 0$. Then a diagram for $A = B^{(1)} \oplus B^{(2)}$ which is woven from the diagrams for $B^{(1)}$ and $B^{(2)}$ is

$$A_0 = B^{(1)} \oplus B^{(2)} > A_1 = pB^{(1)} \oplus B^{(2)} > A_2 = pB^{(1)} \oplus pB^{(2)} > A_3 = \\ = p^2B^{(1)} \oplus pB^{(2)} = 0$$

where $A_0/A_1 \cong_p A_2/A_3$.

We will now proceed to show that if $\text{diag}(A)$ is a j -diagram for A and the T_i are as in Theorem 1 each with a diagram as that given in Example 1 then $\text{diag}(A)$ is woven from the diagrams for the T_i . Thus for

$$\text{each } u, 0 \leq u < n, A_u = \bigoplus_{i \notin R(j)} \left[\bigoplus_{(i,m)} \langle p^{k_i} t_{(i,m)} \rangle \right] \text{ where for each}$$

$$i \notin R(j), T_i = \bigoplus_{(i,m)} \langle t_{(i,m)} \rangle \text{ and } k_i \text{ is chosen appropriately.}$$

LEMMA 1. Let A have a j -diagram, $A = A_0 > A_1 > \dots > A_n = 0$, and \bar{j}_u be the restriction of j to the set $\{u, \dots, n\}$. Then

$$A = \bigoplus_{i \notin R(j)} T_i \text{ implies } A_u = \left(\bigoplus_{\substack{i \geq u \\ i \notin R(j)}} T_i \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\substack{i < u \\ i \notin R(j)}} p^{k_i} T_i \right), \text{ for}$$

$0 \leq u < n$, where if $v \notin R(\bar{j}_u)$ and $v \in R(j)$ then $j^{k_i} i(i) = v$ with $i \notin R(j)$ and $k_i \geq 1$.

Proof. $A_u > \dots > A_n = 0$ with the given \bar{j}_u is clearly a \bar{j}_u -diagram for A_u . Thus by (4) of Theorem 1, there exists $S_v \leq A_u$ such that $A_u = \bigoplus_{v \notin R(\bar{j}_u)} S_v$. Now $v \notin R(\bar{j}_u)$ implies either $v = u$, $v > u$

with $v \notin R(j)$, or $v > u$ with $v \in R(j)$ and $v = j^{k_i} i(i)$ where $i \notin R(j)$ and $k_i \geq 1$. Thus from (3) of Theorem 1 we will be done if for $v \geq u$ and $v \notin R(j)$ we let $S_v = T_v$, and if $v \geq u$ with $v \in R(j)$ we let $S_v = p^{k_i} T_i$ where $j^{k_i} i(i) = v$, $i \notin R(j)$.

THEOREM 2. Let A have a j -diagram and $A = \bigoplus_{i \in R(j)} T_i$ with the T_i as in Theorem 1. Then $\text{diag}(A)$ is woven from the following diagrams for the T_i : $T_i = T_{i,0} > T_{i,1} > \dots > T_{i,v_i} = 0$ with $T_{i,k} = p^{T_{i,k-1}}$ and $j_i(k) = k + 1$ for $0 \leq k < v_i$.

Proof. From Lemma 1 we have $A_u = \left(\bigoplus_{\substack{i \geq u \\ i \notin R(j)}} T_i \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\substack{i < u \\ i \notin R(j)}} p^{k_i} T_i \right)$ and

$$A_{u+1} = \left(\bigoplus_{\substack{i \geq u+1 \\ i \notin R(j)}} T_i \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\substack{i < u+1 \\ i \notin R(j)}} p^{k_i} T_i \right). \text{ Now if } u \notin R(j) \text{ then}$$

$$A_u = T_u \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\substack{i > u \\ i \notin R(j)}} T_i \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\substack{i < u \\ i \notin R(j)}} p^{k_i} T_i \right). \text{ Since } j(u) \notin R(\bar{j}_{u+1}) \text{ or}$$

$j(u) = n$ we have in the former case, from the proof of Lemma 1, that

$$A_{u+1} = pT_u \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\substack{i>u \\ i \notin R(j)}} T_i \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\substack{i<u \\ i \notin R(j)}} p^{k_i} T_i \right) \text{ or in the latter case}$$

$$A_{u+1} = \left(\bigoplus_{\substack{i>u \\ i \notin R(j)}} T_i \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\substack{i<u \\ i \notin R(j)}} p^{k_i} T_i \right) \text{ since } pT_u = 0. \text{ If } u \in R(j) \text{ then}$$

$$A_u = \left(\bigoplus_{\substack{i>u \\ i \notin R(j)}} T_i \right) \oplus p^{k_h} T_h \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\substack{i<u \\ i \notin R(j) \\ i \neq h}} p^{k_i} T_i \right) \text{ where } j^{k_h}(h) = u \text{ and}$$

$h \notin R(j)$. Again considering whether $j(u) \notin R(\bar{J}_{u+1})$ or $j(u) = n$ we

$$\text{have that either } A_{u+1} = \left(\bigoplus_{\substack{i>u \\ i \notin R(j)}} T_i \right) \oplus p^{k_h+1} T_h \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\substack{i<u \\ i \notin R(j) \\ i \neq h}} p^{k_i} T_i \right) \text{ or}$$

$$A_{u+1} = \left(\bigoplus_{\substack{i>u \\ i \notin R(j)}} T_i \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\substack{i<u \\ i \notin R(j) \\ i \neq h}} p^{k_i} T_i \right). \text{ Thus we see that } \text{diag}(A) \text{ is woven}$$

from the j_i -diagrams for the T_i , $i \notin R(j)$.

References

- [1] Christine W. Ayoub, "On diagrams for abelian groups", *J. Number Theory* 2 (1970), 442-458.
- [2] Christine W. Ayoub, "On the groups of units of certain rings", *J. Number Theory* 4 (1972), 383-403.
- [3] James Curtis Miller, "Diagrams for abelian groups" (D.Ed. thesis, Pennsylvania State University, 1972).

Department of Mathematics,
Muhlenberg College,
Allentown,
Pennsylvania,
USA.