

Index

- Act LVIII on the Epidemiological Preparedness (Hungary), 227–8
- Act on Foreigners (2013) (Poland), 245–8
- Act on Granting Protection to Foreigners in the Republic of Poland (2003), 245–8, 252–3
- Act on Succession (Sweden), 344–53
- administrative law
- alien detention and, 369–71
 - discretion and legality bonus, 365–74
 - humanitarian visas and, 371–3
 - inadmissible asylum applications, 373–4
 - independence in Sweden of, 344–53
 - legal resilience and, 374–6
 - limited abilities of, 39–41, 46
 - populism and, 356–61
 - qualities of, 361–5
 - subversion of legal resilience by, 355–76
- admission to territory
- contestation of, 64–5
 - as empirical fact, 70–6
 - humanitarian visa program and, 122
 - in Hungary, 194–5, 219–20, 224–7
 - infringement proceedings, 176–9
 - migrants' right to, 58–61
 - in Poland, 252–4
 - Spanish exclaves case and, 130–3
 - waving through, 135–7
- Afghanistan, EU informal cooperation with, 148–9
- aging populations
- economic growth decrease and, 95–6, 103–4
 - impact on labor of, 102
 - inequality growth and, anti-immigration politics and, 108–11
 - migration law and, 101–3, 111–13
 - as norm, 114
 - relative disadvantage and, 110
 - restrictive migration policies and, 20–1, 42
- Åkesson, Jimmie, 337–8
- Aksoy, Y., 103–4
- Aleinikoff, T. Alexander, 331–2
- Aliens Act (Belgium), 314–15
- detention of children in, 324–6
 - integration as residence condition in, 319–20
 - international protections in, 316–18
 - removal based on public order or national security in, 318–19
- Aliens Act (Sweden), 339–43
- Aliens' Law Amendment Act (FrÄG) (Austria), 286–8, 290–1
- Alternative für Deutschland*, 110
- American Revolution, peoplehood in, 89–92
- Ammer, Margit, 23–4, 37, 45, 280–300
- Anlandeplattformen* (docking platforms), Austrian proposal for, 284–6
- Annual Monitoring Cycle (European Commission Mechanism), 174–6
- Annual Rule of Law Report (European Commission), 174–6
- anti-concentration principle, 29–30
- anti-growth norm, restrictive migration policies and, 113–14
- anti-immigration parties
- aging and inequality and growth of, 108–11
 - migration crises and growth of, 304–5
- anti-pluralism, populism and, 20, 334–6
- Area of Freedom, Security and Justice, 155–8
- Aristotle, 86–7
- Asylum Act (Hungary)
- amendments to, 222–4
 - mass migration crisis and changes to, 224–7
 - Sections 80/A-80K of, 224–7

- asylum law
- Austrian order and security rhetoric and, 283–4
 - Belgian Asylum Act, 316–18
 - Belgian Constitutional Court challenges to, 315–22
 - Belgian Council of State and challenges to, 322–6
 - Belgian optimization of, 313–14
 - Belgian securitization provisions and, 318–19
 - coercion of asylum seekers in, 150–4
 - Dublin Regulation and, 135–7
 - enforcement deficit in, 38–9, 43, 145–7
 - EU constitutional crisis and, 139–40
 - EU governance challenges concerning, 142–9
 - EU relocation decision, 134–5
 - Europeanization of, 162–4
 - EU-Turkey agreement and, 205–6
 - evolution in Hungary of, 219–20
 - in Hungary, 195–7, 201–3, 222–30
 - legal status of, 76n.14
 - Member States' noncompliance with protections for, 143–5
 - in New EU Pact on Migration and Asylum, 150–4
 - ÖVP-FPÖ coalition (Austria) and, 281–90
 - in Poland, 238–40, 244–56
 - populism and, 158–64
 - repoliticization of, 160–1
 - Swedish constitutional framework for, 344–54
 - Swedish migration restrictions and, 339–43
 - Swedish pre-2015 migration policies and, 338–9
 - transit zones in Hungary and, 201–3
 - undermining in Belgium of, 314–15
 - zero asylum seekers proposals and, 284–6
- Asylum Procedures Directive
- administrative law and, 371–3
 - administrative law denial of free legal assistance, 373–4
 - Belgian refugee protections and, 316–18
 - noncompliance with, 146–7
- asylum seekers
- admission to territory for, 58–61
 - rights of, in Austria, 286–8
- Australia, no way migration policy in, 265–7
- Austria
- avoidance of democratic decay in, 25
 - constitutional law in, 296–9
 - democratic decay in, 294–6
 - Federal Agency for Care and Support Services in, 286–90
 - Government Programme of 2017–2022 283, 286–8
 - legal resilience in, 37, 296–9
 - migrants' rights and democratic decay in, 23–4, 45, 280–300
 - noncompliance proceedings against, 145–7
 - order and security rhetoric on asylum in, 283–4
 - populism in, 292–4
 - restrictions on internationally protected refugees in, 290–1
 - restrictive migration policy of ÖVP-FPÖ coalition in, 281–90
 - zero asylum seekers as policy goal in, 284–6
- Austrian Constitution, 45
- legal resilience and, 296–9
- authoritarian populism. *See also* executive aggrandizement; specific countries, e.g., Hungary
- anti-concentration principle and, 29–30
 - Belgian constitutional resistance to, 303–12
 - Belgian robust constitutional framework and resistance to, 305–10
 - crisis in democracy and, 1–2
 - defined, 11–12
 - democratic decay and, 33–4
 - instrumentalization of migration by, 14–19
 - rule of law and, 356–61
- autocratisation
- constitutional narratives for, 218–20
 - criminalisation of asylum assistance and, 228–30
 - democratic decay as, 12–14
 - legal resilience and, 27–34
 - migration as context for, 224–30
- backsliding in rule of law
- anti-immigrant politics and, 299
 - assessment of tools for countering, 186
 - Belgian constitutional resilience against, 311–12
 - EU action to limit, 165–86
 - EU legal resilience and, 167–76
 - funding conditionality as response to, 182–5
 - Hungarian asylum law and, 194–203
- Basic Law on Social Assistance (Austria), 290–1
- Bélanger, A., 107
- Belgian Maritime Code (2019), 316

- Belgium
- access to international protection and reception conditions in, 323–4
 - administrative fees for residence applications in, 322, 326–8
 - appeals procedures in asylum law, 320
 - constitutional resistance against authoritarian populism in, 303–12
 - co-optation of populist polices by political parties in, 311–12
 - Council of State challenges to asylum law in, 322–6
 - democratic decay in, 301–3
 - deterioration of legislative process in, 314–15
 - erosion of migrants' rights in, 312–28
 - integration as residence condition in asylum law, 319–20
 - international protections in asylum law of, 316–18
 - legal resilience in, 20–45
 - legislative challenges in Constitutional Court of, 315–22
 - migrants' rights in, 20–45
 - migration politics in, 312–13
 - optimization of asylum legislation in, 313–14
 - political parties power in, 309–10
 - populism in, 11–12, 301–29
 - proportional representation in electoral system of, 305–7
 - resistance against migrants' rights in, 301–29
 - robust constitutional framework in, 305–10
 - securitization provisions in asylum law of, 318–19
 - separation of powers protections in, 307–8
 - sham family relations in asylum law of, 320–2
 - terrorist attacks in, 237
 - Vlaams Belang* party in, 303–5
- Benhabib, Seylah, 56–7, 64–5
- Bermeo, Nancy, 13–14
- birth rate, population aging and, 102–3
- Bistieva and others v. Poland*, 254–6
- 'Blueprint for Action' (European Commission), 174–6
- Boese, Vanessa, 27–8
- border control policies
- Hungarian asylum law and, 224–7
 - as immobilisation tool, 78–80
 - in New EU Pact on Migration and Asylum, 150–4
 - noncompliance with migrant law and, 144–5
 - in Poland, 252–3
 - Spanish enclaves case, 130–3
- border detention, EU migration law and, 124–7
- Bosniak, Linda, 72–3
- Boubtane, E., 105
- bounded community
- liberal democracy and, 54–8
- breakdown resilience, 27–8
- Bresson, Samantha, 55n.37
- Bruni, M., 107–8
- Bulgaria, noncompliance with CEAS by, 143–5
- bundle-rights, citizenship status and, 83–4
- Cap Anamur* case, 272–3n.38
- categorization of people, administrative law and, 361–5
- Central and Eastern Europe (CEE) countries, populism in, 10–11
- Chahal v. United Kingdom*, 61–2
- Charter of Fundamental Rights of the EU, 121–4, 169–70
- infringement proceedings and, 146–7
 - violations of, 176–9, 181–2
- children, detention of
- Belgian asylum law and, 324–6
 - Swedish asylum law and, 350–3
- Chinese fertility declines, immigration flows and, 107–8
- Christian Democratic and Flemish* (CD&V) states party, 326
- citizenship
- legal status concepts and, 73–6
 - migration and laws of, 92–3
 - population design and fabrication of, 80–5
 - terminology of, 88n.36
- civil law, administrative law and, 361–5
- civil society organizations
- Austrian legal resilience and, 296–9
 - Belgian resistance to migrants' rights erosion and, 313–14
 - Hungarian criminalization of, 230
 - ÖVP-FPÖ coalition (Austria) attacks on, 292
- class politics, Italian anti-migration policies and, 263–5
- Clements, B., 102–3, 107–8
- closed ports policy (Italy)
- criminalisation of search and rescue activities and, 270–1
 - establishment of, 263–5
 - international law and, 265–7

- closed ports policy (Italy) (cont.)
 litigation involving, 269–70
 Security Decree and, 267–8
- coalition governments, prevalence in Belgium
 of, 305–7
- Code of Conduct for NGOs, Italian migration
 policies and, 273
- collective vs. individual dichotomy, liberal
 democracy and stress of, 51–2
- colonialism
 EU migration law and, 97
 privileging of state interests over human
 rights and, 39, 42–3
- Commissioner for Children's Rights (Poland),
 241–2
- Commissioner General for Refugees and
 Stateless Persons (Belgium), 316–18
- Commission of Advice for Foreigners
 (Belgium), 318–19
- Commission Vice President for the 'European
 Way of Life,' 189–90, 193–4
- Commission v. Poland*, 169–70
- Committee Against Torture (CAT), 252–3
- Committee on the Elimination of Racial
 Discrimination (CERD), 251–6
- Committee on the Rights of the Child, 254–6
 Belgian asylum law and, 326–8
- Common European Asylum System (CEAS)
 collapse of, 140–2
 democracy and rule of law, implications of
 violations, 179–80
 infringement proceedings and, 176–9
 Member States' noncompliance with,
 143–5
 New EU Pact on Migration and Asylum
 and, 150–4, 160–1
 noncompliance with, 146–7
 Polish migration law and, 245–8
 systematic noncompliance with, 139–40
- Common Man's Front (Fronte dell'Uomo
 Qualunque* (Italy), 259–63
- Common Provision Regulation (CPR), 183
- Constitutional Court (Austria), 45, 296–9
- Constitutional Court (Belgium), 308–9
 international protection of refugees in
 Belgian Asylum Act and, 316–18
 legislative challenges in, 315–22
- constitutional crises
 autocratisation and, 218–20
 Europeanization and, 162–4
 migration in EU as, 43, 139–64
- constitutional law
 anti-concentration principle, 29–30
 Austrian legal resilience and, 296–9
 Belgian constitutional resistance to
 populism and, 303–12
 Belgian robust constitutional framework in,
 305–10
 Hungarian constitutional developments
 and, 219–20
 Italian populism and, 262–3
 legal resilience and, 28–9
 liberal democracy and, 50–3
 migrant law and, 4–5
 Polish democratic decay and, 238–40
 populism and, 16–18, 68–9, 335–6
 Swedish migrant policies and, 344–53
- Conte, Giuseppe, 259–63
- contrario* construction, EU migrant law and,
 136–7
- Cooley, T., 103–4
- Council for Alien Law Litigation (Belgium),
 320
- Council of Europe (CoE)
 Hungarian re-democratisation and, 232–3
 legal resilience and, 41
- Council of Legislation (Sweden), 37
- Council of National Media (Poland), 240
- Council of State (Belgium)
 challenges to asylum law in, 322–6
 families in asylum law challenges and, 321–2
 political undermining of, 314–15
- Council of the European Union, EU
 relocation decision, 134–5
- Council on Legislation (Sweden), 344–53
- Court of Cassation (Italy), 37, 269–70, 274–7
- Court of Justice of the European Union
 (CJEU), 39
 CEAS infringement cases, 146–7
 EU Common Foreign and Security Policy
 and Development Cooperation and,
 155–8
 EU governance of migrant rights and,
 142n.17
 EU relocation decision, 134–5
 EU-Turkey agreement, 120–1
 humanitarian visa program, 121–4
 Hungarian asylum cases, 222–4
 Hungarian infringement proceedings and,
 203
 infringement proceedings, 168–70, 173,
 181–2

- Italian migration cases, 277–9
 Member States actions against other
 Member States, 170–1
 migration case law and, 42–3
 rule of law and, 203–8
- COVID-19 pandemic
 in Belgium, 301–2
 Italian migration policy and, 277
 restrictive migration measures linked to,
 176–9
- criminalisation of asylum-seekers, migrants and
 NGOs
 Hungarian legislation for, 195–7, 201–3, 222–30
 Italian criminalisation of search and rescue
 activities, 270–1
 Italian “no way” model and, 259–79
- criminal law, administrative law and, 361–5,
 374–6
- crisis framework
 Austrian order and security rhetoric on
 asylum and, 283–4
 emergency framing of migrant crisis, 35–6,
 42–3, 118–19
 EU political transformation and, 165–6
 Hungarian restrictive migration policy and
 use of, 44, 195–7, 216–18, 225–7
 Orbán’s use of, 44, 213
 populism and, 10–11, 334–6
 Swedish migrant rights policies and, 330–3,
 339–43
- Croatia
 Dublin Regulation and, 135–7
 noncompliance proceedings against, 145–7
- Cross-Party Committee of Inquiry on
 Migration (Sweden), 339–43
- Czech Republic
 EU relocation programme challenged by,
 198–201
 infringement proceedings against, 176–9,
 248–51
 noncompliance proceedings against, 146–7
- Data Protection Authority, 316–18
- Dauvergne, Catherine, 330
- De Block, Maggie, 313–14, 323–4
- De Cleen, Benjamin, 9–10
- de lege ferenda* principles, 180–6
- de lege lata* principles, 180–6
- democracy
 decline of, 1–2
 de lege lata and *de lege ferenda* and, 180–6
- EU constitutional crisis and, 140–2
 human rights and protection of, 52n.15, 292
 in Hungary, 219–20
 Member States’ disrespect for, 167–76
 in political thought, 86–7
 populism’s undermining of, 7–12
 Schmitt’s interwar theory of, 214–15
- democratic decay (democratic backsliding)
 ageing population and, 95–6, 111–13
 in Austria, 294–6
 Belgian constitutional resistance to, 303–12
 in Belgium, 301–3
 constitutional law and, 16–18
 definition of, 7–14
 in Europe, 3
 European Union constitutional crisis and,
 21–2
 human rights and, 19–25
 incremental and purposive aspects of, 12–14
 legal resilience scholarship and, 27–34
 majority views on processes of, 19–25
 migrant crisis and, 5
 migrants’ rights in context of, 18–23, 44–5
 peoplehood concept in populism and, 89–92
 in Poland, 13–14, 20–3, 28–9, 237–40, 243–4
 populism and migration interrelationship
 with, 5–27, 33–4, 356–61
 protections against, 236–7
 restrictive migration policies and, 20–1, 44
 rule of law and migration policy and, 190–1
- democratic iteration, jurisgenerative politics
 and, 56–7
- Démocratie Nationale* (Belgium), 305–7
- demographic change, economic change, 101–3
- demos*, in political thought, 86–7
- Denmark
 noncompliance proceedings against, 145–7
 noncompliance with migrant law and, 144–5
 zero asylum seekers proposals and, 285
- Dennison, James, 304–5
- De Ridder, Maaike, 38–9, 43, 165–86
- Desmet, Ellen, 11–12, 20–45, 301–29, 372–3
- detention
 administrative law and, 369–71
 Austrian security detention initiative, 288
 in Belgian Asylum Act, 316–18
 of children, Belgian asylum law and, 324–6
 Hungarian transit zones as, 201–3
 Hungarian violations of directives on, 225–7
 Italian illegitimate deprivation of personal
 liberty aboard ships as, 270–1

- detention (cont.)
 migrants' rights and, 61–2
 in Poland, 254–6
- deterrence against immigration law, state's
 rights vs family life, 63–4
- Deutsche Welle, 231–4
- Diciotti* case, 270–1
- discretion, administrative law and, 365–74
- disembarkations following search and rescue
Anlandeplattformen (docking platforms)
 proposal, 284–6
 Italian violations of, litigation involving,
 269–71
 regulation of, 154–5
- diversity, migrants' rights and democratic decay
 linked to, 20
- Dixon, Roslind, 311–12
- Dublin Regulation
 asylum law and, 135–7
 challenges to, 193–4
 establishment of, 98
 EU relocation decision and, 134–5
 failure of, 203–8
 Member States relations in, 151–4
 New EU Pact on Migration and Asylum
 and, 150–4
 noncompliance with migrant law and, 143–5
 Polish opposition to, 250, 254–6
 repeal of, 150n.59
- D. v. Poland*, 253–4
- economic growth
 ageing population and slowing of, 95–6,
 103–4
 demise in EU of, 94–6
 demographic change and, 101–3
 immigration and increase in, 105–8
 labour mobility linked to, 101–3
- economic migration, Italian targeting of, 263–5
- EEC Treaty (1957), 119
- effet utile* principle, Hungarian re-
 democratisation and, 232–3
- elections
 Belgian anti-migrant politics and, 301–3
 as extra-legal shifts in power, 44–5
 ÖVP-FPO coalition success in, 281–90
 proportional representation in Belgium and,
 305–7
 Swedish Democrats' success in, 342–3
Vlaams Belang party in Belgium and, 304–5
 elites, populist criticism of, 334–6
- emergency powers
 EU relocation decision, 134–5
 informalization of migration policy in
 framework of, 147–9
 migrant crisis in context of, 35–6, 42–3,
 118–19
 migration law and, 118–19, 134–8
- emigration, populism and impact of, 110
- empirical facts
 defined, 70–2
 migration and, 92–3
- enforcement deficit in EU law
 asylum law and, 38–9, 43, 145–7
 design and functionality problems and,
 203–8
- Engel and Others v. Netherlands*, 267–8
- environmental law
 legal resilience in, 30–2
 threat in, 33–4
- ERTA doctrine, 120–1
- EU citizens, anti-migrant targeting of, 189–90
- EU Common Foreign and Security Policy and
 Development Cooperation, 155–8
- EU Migration Agenda, 205–6
- European Agenda on Migration, 198–201
- European Asylum Support Office, 162–4
- European Border and Coast Guard Agency,
 162–4
- European Commission
 enforcement deficit by, 145–7
 Hungarian re-democratisation and, 232–3
 infringement proceedings, 38, 145–7, 168–70
 Member States actions against other
 Member States, 170–1
 migration crisis and, 38–9
 noncompliance with migrant law and,
 143–5, 171–4
 proactive policies of, 161–2n.101
 procedure against Hungarian
 criminalisation of asylum assistance,
 228–30
- European Convention on Human Rights
 (ECHR)
 Austria and, 296–9
 border detention and, 124–7
 detention and, 61–2
 failure legal resilience and, 39–41
 family life right, 63–4
 humanitarian visa program and, 123–4
 Hungarian asylum violations of, 225–7
 island detention conditions and, 127–30

- local migration requirements, 127–33
- migrant rights limitations in, 118–19
- non-refoulement principle, 58–61
- Polish migrant policies and, 253–6
- Spanish enclaves case, 130–3
- Swedish asylum law and, 350–3
- European Council
 - Conclusions from June 2018 284–6
 - remedial procedures against noncompliance and, 172–4
- European Council Summit (2020), 183–6
- European Court of Human Rights (ECtHR), 39
 - admission to territory rulings, 58–61
 - Belgian asylum law and, 318–19
 - border detention and, 124–7
 - detention cases and, 61–2, 324–6
 - humanitarian visa program and, 123–4
 - Hungarian re-democratisation and, 233
 - island detention conditions and, 127–30
 - Italian migration cases, 277–9
 - judicial Independence cases and, 202n.95
 - Khalifa* judgment, 117–18
 - migrant rights and, 44–5, 57–8
 - migration case law and, 42–3
 - Polish migrant policies and, 253–4
 - restrictive migration policies and, 38–9, 42–3
 - Sea Watch 3 litigation, 269–70
 - Spanish enclaves case, 130–3
- European integration, migration law and, 96–101
- Europeanization, constitutional crises and, 162–4
- European Parliament
 - Hungarian re-democratisation and, 232–3
 - informal agreements and bypassing of, 148–9
 - remedial procedures against noncompliance and, 172–4
- European Structural and Investment Funds (ESIFs), withholding of, for noncompliance with migrant law, 183
- European Union (EU)
 - Afghanistan informal cooperation with, 148–9
 - ageing populations of Member States, 42, 94–6
 - constitutional crisis, migration as, 43, 139–64
 - crises and political transformation in, 165–6
 - demise of economic model in, 94–6
 - design of rule of law in, 203–8
 - economic growth and labour mobility in, 106–7
 - external factors in law of, 35–6
 - failure legal resilience in, 39–41
 - foundational norms of migration law in, 96–101
 - governance challenges for migration and asylum in, 142–9
 - human rights law and legal resilience in, 38–9
 - Hungarian re-democratisation efforts and, 232–3
 - Italian populism and, 262–3
 - law and legal resilience in, 38
 - legal resilience in, 41, 176–80
 - local migration requirements vs. migration law, 127–33
 - migrant law enforcement deficit in, 38–9, 43, 145–7
 - migration policies in, 21–2, 43
 - Polish migration policies and, 248–51
 - populism and crisis in democracy in, 1–2
 - status of values in legal system of, 191–4
 - third country national status in, 82
 - toolbox for legal resilience in, 167–76
- EU Treaties framework, sidelining of, 35–6
- EU-Turkey agreement
 - emergency framing of migrant crisis and, 35–6
 - ERTA doctrine and, 120–1
 - EU rule of law and, 205–6
 - informal cooperation in, 147–9
 - mixed signals from, 179–80
 - visa-free travel and, 98–9
- exclusive boundaries
 - liberal democracies and, 54–8
 - migrants' rights and, 49–50
- executive branch of government
 - anti-concentration principle and aggrandizement of, 29–30
 - Belgian distrust of, 307–8
 - legal resilience and, 36–9
- extraterritorial cooperation on migration
 - control, admission to territory rights and, 58–61
- Facility for Refugees in Turkey, 205–6
- family reunification
 - Belgian asylum law and, 320–2, 324–6
 - migrants' right to, 63–4
 - Swedish migration restrictions and, 339–43
- Fawcett, J. E. S., 119

- Federal Agency for Care and Support Services (Austria), 286–90
- Federal Agency for the Reception of Asylum Seekers (Fedasil, Belgium), 323–4
- federalisation process, Belgian electoral system and, 305–7
- fertility stimulation, population ageing and, 102–3
- Fidesz-KDNP party, 197–8, 211–13
- Flemish Agency for Integration and Civic Integration, 326–8
- flexible solidarity systems, in Regulation on Asylum and Migration Management, 154–5
- floodgates argument, limits on migrant rights and, 151–4
- FMS and others, 226
- Framework to Strengthen the Rule of Law (European Commission), 172, 174–6
- France
 - noncompliance proceedings against, 145–7
 - noncompliance with migrant law and, 144–5
 - terrorist attacks in, 237
- Francken, Theo, 313–15, 323–4
- Free Cities Pact, 231–4
- freedom of movement, norm in EU law of, 97
- Freedom of the Press Act (Sweden), 344–53
- Freedom Party of Austria (*Freiheitliche Partei Österreichs*, FPÖ). See ÖVP-FPÖ coalition (Austria)
- free legal assistance, administrative law denial of, 373–4
- French Revolution, peoplehood in, 89–92
- FRONTEX, 189–90, 201–3
- Front National* (Belgium), 305–7
- Fundamental Law (Hungary), 215–16, 219–20
- Fundamental Law on Freedom of Expression (Sweden), 344–53
- fundamental rights, Swedish protections of, 350–3
- Fundamental Rights Agency, 254–6
- funding conditionality rules, Member State noncompliance and, 182–5, 232–3
- Gagnon, Jean-Paul, 333–4
- Ganty, Sarah, 189–90
- Gardbaum, Stephen, 305
- Gauja, Anika, 311–12
- Geddes, Andrew, 304–5
- generational change, liberalization of immigration policies and, 109
- Geneva Convention on Status of Refugees, 219–20
- German language courses, Austrian barriers to, for asylum seekers, 290–1
- Germany, noncompliance proceedings against, 145–7
- Ghezlbach* case, 151–4
- Global Compact for Safe, Orderly and Regular Migration, 1–2
 - Austria and, 286, 299–300
 - Belgian endorsement of, political fallout over, 312–13
 - Polish rejection of, 248–51
- “good change” framework, autocratisation of Poland and, 237–8
- Gorgias from Leontinoi, 83
- governance structure of EU, challenges of migration and asylum and, 142–9
- Government Plenipotentiary for Equal Treatment, 240–1
- Grabowska-Moroz, Barbara, 43, 187–208
- Grand Chamber judgments
 - border detention, 124–7
 - Dublin regulation and, 135–7
 - Hungarian asylum cases, 225–7
 - island detention conditions, 127–30
 - Spanish enclaves case, 130–3
- Greece
 - Dublin Regulation and, 135–7
 - EU relocation decision and, 134–5
 - noncompliance proceedings against, 145–7
 - noncompliance with CEAS by, 143–5
- Gregoretti* case, 270–1
- Gross Domestic Product (GDP)
 - EU immigration and, 106–7
 - immigration impact on, 105
- Haider, Jörg, 282
- Hailbronner, M., 140–2
- hard on the outside-soft on the inside approach, migrant rights and, 64–5
- Heimat* (home land) rhetoric, Austrian asylum policies and, 283–4
- Hellström, Anders, 336–8
- Helsinki Foundation for Human Rights, 255–6
- Henriksen, E., 103–4
- Hirsi Jamaa and Others v Italy*, 58–61
- homogeneity, peoplehood and populist concepts of, 86–7
- Huber, P., 106–7

- humanitarian assistance
 - Italian migration law and omission of, 275–6
 - Polish rejection of, 248–51
- humanitarian visa program, 121–4
 - administrative law and, 371–3
 - Belgian disregard of judicial authority and, 326–8
- human rights law
 - absence in Belgium of, 309–10
 - Austrian Constitution and, 296–9
 - Austrian laws as violations of, 286–8
 - autocratisation and oppression of, 219
 - bounded communities and, 54–6n.29
 - community-transcending validity of, 55–8
 - democratic decay and, 17, 19–25, 292
 - EU rule of law crisis and, 187–91
 - Hungarian asylum system violations of, 224–30
 - justification for restrictive migration measures in, 42–3
 - legal resilience and, 38–9
 - limited scope in EU application of, 191–3
 - Polish abrogation of, 241–2, 251–6
 - populism research and, 14–19
 - privileging of state interests over, 39
 - protection of democracy and, 52n.15
 - transnationalism and, 53
- Humby, Tracy-Lynn, 31
- Hungarian Helsinki Committee, 201–3
- Hungary
 - anti-migration policies in, 3
 - assaults on democracy in, 28–9
 - asylum law in, 190–1, 195–7, 201–3, 211–35
 - authoritarian populism in, 1–2, 11–12, 194–5, 211–13
 - border detention cases and, 124–7
 - constitutional developments in, 219–20
 - criminalisation of asylum-seekers, migrants and NGOs in, 195–7, 201–3, 228–30
 - democratic and legal resistance possibilities in, 231–4
 - democratic decay and migrant rights in, 13–14, 22–3
 - enforcement deficit in EU law and defiance of, 203–8
 - EU relocation programme challenged by, 134–5, 198–201
 - exclusion of asylum access in, 227–8
 - Fundamental Law in, 216–18
 - infringement proceedings against, 169–70, 176–9, 198–9
 - mass migration crisis and asylum system in, 224–7
 - noncompliance proceedings against, 145–7
 - noncompliance with CEAS by, 143–5
 - ordinary asylum system, 222–4
 - re-democratisation efforts in, 232–3
 - regular migration policy in, 220–2
 - restrictive immigration policies in, 38–9
 - rule of law backsliding and migration crisis rhetoric i, 146–7, 194–203
 - supranational remedies for authoritarianism in, 39
 - transit zones in, 150, 227–8
- ideational populism, 8
- Identitäre Bewegung* (Austria), 286
- identity politics
 - citizenship status and, 84–5
 - Otherness and, 65–7
 - plurality vs. unity dichotomy and, 52–3
 - populism and, 10–11
 - Schmittian theory and, 214–15
 - static membership of populism and, 65–7
- Ilias & Ahmed v. Hungary*, 124–7, 225–7, 233
- immigration. *See also* migration
 - economic growth linked to, 105–8
 - population ageing and, 102–3
- immobilisation
 - border control policies as tool for, 78–80
 - of third country nationals, 99–101
- inclusion vs. exclusion
 - family life and, 63–4
 - liberal democracies and, 54–8
 - migrants' rights and, 49–50
 - from migration to takeover, 64–5
- India, persecution of Assam migrants in, 83–4
- individual rights, collective vs. individual dichotomy and, 51–2
- inequality, ageing and, anti-immigration politics, 108–11
- informal cooperation
 - as migrant governance paradigm, 147–9
 - third state cooperation and, 155–8
- infringement proceedings
 - convergence of states on, 139–62
 - democracy and rule of law and, 179–80
 - enforcement deficit and absence of, 145–7
 - European Commission proceedings, 38
 - EU rule of law and, 203–8
 - Hungarian criminalisation of asylum assistance, 228–30

- infringement proceedings (cont.)
 Hungarian re-democratisation and, 232–3
 against Hungary, 169–70, 176–9, 198–9,
 226–7
 nuclear option (Article 7 TEU), 171–4,
 176–9, 232–3
 against Poland, 248–51
 TEU Article 7 and, 176–9
 TFEU procedures, 168–71
- inhumane treatment of asylum seekers,
 Hungarian migrant policies and, 226–7
- institutional facts
 defined, 70–2
 legal thinking on, 72–3
- Instruments of Government (Sweden), 344–53
- integration norm
 in Belgian asylum law, 319–20
 EU enforcement deficit and viability of, 146–7
 EU foundation on, 96–101
 EU migration law and, 21–2
 labour market integration, 107
 migration and asylum governance and,
 142–9
 recent challenges to, 193–4
 Swedish migration policies and, 339–43
- International Convention for the Safety of Life
 at Sea (SOLAS), 265–7
- International Convention on Maritime Search
 and Rescue (SAR), 265–7
- international law
 Austrian constitutional law and, 296–9
 Italian closed ports policy and, 265–7
 migration and failure of, 78–80
 Polish restrictive migration policies and,
 248–51
- international protection of refugees
 Austrian restrictions on, 290–1
 in Belgium, 316–18, 323–4
 in Sweden, 338–9
- interstate disputes, 170–1, 232–3
- island detention conditions, local migration
 requirements and, 127–30
- Italy
 admission to territory rulings in, 58–61
 avoidance of democratic decay in, 25
 closed ports policy of, 263–7, 269–70
 constitutional law in, 262–3
 criminal charges for deprivation of personal
 liberty, 270–1
 criminalisation of search and rescue
 activities by, 270–1
- Government of change in, 276–7
 history of migration policies in, 263–5
 illegitimate deprivation of personal liberty
 aboard ships by, 270–1
 Italian “no way” model of populism in,
 259–79
 judicial independence, 274–6
 legal resilience in, 37
 Libyan Memorandum of Understanding
 with, 263–5, 276–7
 migrant rights, 277–9
 noncompliance proceedings against, 143–7
 populism in, 259–63, 276–7
 Security Decree by, 267–8
- Luventa* case, 273
- Jagielski, Mariusz, 20–3, 44–5, 236–58
- Japan, ageing population and economic
 growth in, 103–4
- Joint Way Forward on Migration Issues, 148–9
- judicial independence
 Austrian asylum law and, 288
 Belgian constitutional framework for, 308–9
 Belgian disregard of, 326–8
 Belgian resistance to migrants’ rights erosion
 and, 312–28
 democratic decay and dismantling of, 17
 EU law and, 202n.95
 EU rule of law crisis and, 187–91
 Italian migrant law and, 274–6
 ÖVP-FPÖ coalition (Austria) attacks on, 292
 Polish threat to, 174, 239–40
- judicial review
 EU Common Foreign and Security Policy
 and Development Cooperation and,
 155–8
 limits on migrant rights and, 151–4
 jurisdiction thresholds, admission to territory
 rights and, 58–61
- Kaczyński, Jarosław, 237–40
- Kaltwass, Cristóbal Rovira, 8
- Kelemen, Daniel, 174–6, 183
- Khalifa and others v. Italy*, 117–18, 127–30,
 270–1
- Khattabi, Zabia, 309n.43
- Kirchmair, Lando, 23–4, 37, 45, 280–300
- Kochenov, Dimity Vladimirovich, 43, 187–208
- Konsta, A. M., 214
- Koskenniemi, Marti, 55–8
- Kostakopoulou, Dora, 49–50, 52–3, 65–7, 72–3

- Kovács, Kriszta, 9–12, 22–3, 44, 211–35
- Kurz, Sebastian, 281–4, 286–8
- labour force
- ageing population and decline in, 102–4
 - Austrian access for asylum seekers reduced, 286–8
- labour mobility, economic growth and, 101–3
- Laclau, Ernesto, 86
- Lampedusa detention center, EU judgments on, 127–30
- Law and Justice (Prawo i Sprawiedliwość – PiS) (Poland), 237–40
- media campaigns by, 247–8
 - restrictive migration policies of, 246–7
- Lazaridis, G., 214
- left-wing populism, 9n.35
- Lega* (Italy), 259–63, 276–7
- legality, principle of
- administrative law and, 365–74
 - Swedish constitutional framework and, 344–53
- legal resilience. *See also* resilience
- administrative law subversion of, 355–76
 - in Austria, 296–9
 - counter-playbook against democratic decay, 28–9
 - definition and working hypotheses concerning, 27–34
 - domestic sources, 44
 - in environmental law, 30–2
 - EU rule of law, 203–8
 - EU toolbox for, 167–80
 - external factors in limits on, 35–6
 - harnessing potential for, 36–9
 - informal agreements as threat to, 149
 - in national case studies, 41–2, 44
 - Poland and absence of, 256–8
 - populist onslaught and, 33–4
 - potentials and limitations of, 27–41
 - scholarly approaches to, 34–41
 - sources of, 36–9
 - Swedish constitutional framework and, 344–53
 - TEU Article 7 and infringement actions, 176–9
 - theoretical and critical perspectives on, 41
- legal theory
- institutional facts in, 72–3
 - Scandinavian legal realism and, 73–6
- legislative branch of government
- Belgian Constitutional Court and challenges to, 315–22
 - Belgium and power of, 309–10
 - deteriorating quality in Belgium of, 314–15
 - legal resilience and, 36–9
 - transparency in Sweden of, 348–9
- legislative process, migrant rights and, 73
- LePen, Marine, 305–7
- Leveller movement, 89–92
- Lex CEU (Hungary), 169–70
- liberal accumulation logic, EU migration law and, 99
- liberal democracy
- constitutional law and, 16–18
 - inclusion and exclusion, 54–8
 - inherent tensions in, 50–3
 - populism as threat to, 15–16
 - resilience of, 28–9
- Libya, Italian Memorandum of Understanding with, 263–5, 276–7
- liminal legality, spaces of, 36
- Lind, Anna-Sara, 10–12, 22, 24–5, 37, 45–6, 330–54
- local migration requirements, EU deference to, 127–33
- Spanish enclaves case, 130–3
- Löfven, Stefan, 339–43
- Loughlin, Martin, 335–6
- Loxa, Alezini, 21–2, 35–6, 38–9, 43, 139–64
- Lucia decision (1989), 338–9
- Maestas, N., 101–3, 106–7
- Mahdi, Sammy, 326
- Malta
- asylum relocation policies, 276n.47
 - noncompliance proceedings against, 143–7
- Marchant, Gary, 31
- Mare Ionio* case, 274–5n.43
- Mare Nostrum* operation, 265–7
- Marshall, T. H., 88n.36
- Martinico, Giuseppe, 37, 259–79
- McCrea, Ronan, 159
- media
- Belgian populist campaigns in, 312–13
 - democratic decay and role of, 13–14
 - Hungarian silencing of, 230–4
 - ÖVP-FPÖ coalition (Austria) attacks on, 292
 - Polish state control of, 236–7, 240, 247–8
 - populist attacks on, 6, 9–10
 - state silencing of, 1–2

- Member States
- ageing populations in, 42, 94–6
 - convergence of migrant policies in, 139–62
 - enforcement deficit against noncompliance
 - by, 145–7
 - EU constitutional crisis and, 43, 140–2
 - EU political transformation and, 165–6
 - European Commission rule of law review, 174–6
 - flexible solidarity concept and, 154–5
 - governance challenges for migration and asylum and nationalism in, 142–9
 - infringement proceedings against, 176–9
 - interstate disputes, 170–1, 232–3
 - limits on migrant rights sought by, 151–4
 - New EU Pact on Migration and Asylum and, 150–4
 - noncompliance with EU migrant law, 143–5, 167–76
 - populism and migrant law in, 158–64
 - remedial procedures against noncompliance and, 172–4
 - rule of law crisis in, 187–91
 - withholding of funding for noncompliant states, 182–5
- Merkel, Angela, 281–3
- Michalak, Marek, 241–2
- Michel, Charles, 312–13
- MIDEM study, 110
- migrants' rights
- administrative law and curtailment of, 39–41
 - admission to territory, 58–61
 - Belgian erosion of, 312–28
 - democratic decay separated from, 5–27
 - detention and, 61–2
 - EU failure to uphold, 140–2
 - EU governance challenges concerning, 142–9
 - EU migration law and, 112
 - European case law on, 42–3
 - family life, 63–4
 - historical origins of restrictions on, 22–43
 - Hungarian anti-migration policies and, 3
 - inclusion and exclusion boundaries in, 42, 49–50, 54–8
 - Italian illegitimate deprivation of personal liberty aboard ships as, 270–1
 - law and curtailment of, 78–80
 - legal resilience as protection for, 36–9
 - legal undermining of, 34–41
 - from migration to takeover, 64–5
 - ÖVP-FPÖ coalition (Austria) and, 280–300
 - Polish abrogation of, 240–3
 - populism's impact on, 1–2, 5–27
 - restrictions on, 20–1
 - sights of contestation, 58–65
 - supranational analysis of, 21–2
 - Swedish policy and erosion of, 330–54
 - transfer decision challenges, 151–4
- migration. *See also* immigration
- autocratisation in context of, 224–30
 - economic growth linked to, 94–6
 - as EU constitutional crisis, 43, 139–64
 - impact on EU democratic values and rule of law, 167–76
 - as institutional fact, 92–3
 - Italian populism and, 259–63
 - legal meaning of, 78
 - mobility and, 76–8
 - Polish elections and issue of, 237–8
 - Swedish pre-2015 migration policies, 338–9
 - transnationalism and, 53
- Migration Court of Appeal (Swedish), 350–3
- migration crisis
- cross-national comparisons of populism and, 14–19
 - emergency framing of rhetoric on, 35–6, 42–3
 - EU rule of law resilience and, 203–8
 - as policy or fabrication, 80
- migration exceptionalism, 15n.61
- migration law
- administrative law and, 361–5
 - ageing and, 101–3, 111–13
 - border detention and, 124–7
 - colonialism and, 97
 - convergence of migrant policies and, 139–62
 - criminalisation of asylum assistance under, 195–7, 201–3, 228–30
 - democratic decay and, 15–16
 - emergency powers and, 134–8
 - enforcement deficit in, 38–9, 43, 145–7
 - EU foundational norms in, 96–101
 - EU Member States' noncompliance with, 143–5
 - Europeanization of, 162–4
 - EU-Turkey agreement and, 205–6
 - Hungarian regular migration policy, 220–2
 - informal cooperation as paradigm in, 147–9
 - Italian populism and, 263–5
 - local requirements deference in, 127–33
 - Member States' noncompliance with, 143–5

- non-application in EU of, 120–7
 in Poland, 244–56
 population design, 80–5
 populism and, 158–64
 populism research and, 14–19
 repoliticization of, 160–1
 restrictive migration policies and, 25–7, 68–9
 scholarship on, 4–5
 violations of primary and secondary law, 176–9
 wave-through policy and, 135–7
 Migration Partnership Framework, 148–9
 migratory pressure, regulation in response to, 154–5
 Mikołajczyk, Barbara, 44–5, 236–58
 Mindus, Pat, 9–11, 20, 39–42
MK and others v. Poland, 224–5
M.K. and others v. Poland, 253–4
M.N. and Others v. Belgium, 123–4, 133
 mobility
 migration and, 76–8
 of Western nationals, 99
 Moffitt, Benjamin, 333–4
 money, Scandinavian legal realist metaphor of, 77–8
 most exceptional circumstances test, family life claims and, 63–4
MoVimento 5 stelle (Italy), 259–63, 276–7
MSS v. Belgium and Greece, 143–5
 Mudde, Cas, 8, 301–2, 333–4
 Mueller, Jan-Werner, 333–6
 Mullen, K., 101–3, 106–7
 Müller, Jan-Werner, 10, 86–7, 292
 multiannual financial framework (MFF), compliance with migrant law tied to, 182–5
 Multiannual Financial Framework for 2021–2027, 183–6
 Muslim refugees, Polish anti-migrant campaigns against, 247–8
 Nagy, Boldizsár, 9–12, 22–3, 44, 211–35
 National Action Programme for Equal Treatment, 240–1
 national case studies, legal resilience in, 41–2, 44
 National Council of Radio Broadcasting and Television (Poland), 240
 nationalism
 governance challenges for migration and asylum and, 142–9
 in Hungarian migration policy, 220–2
 populism and, 9–10
 restrictive migration policy and, 44
 nativist populism, 9–10
 ageing and, 108–9
 cross-national comparisons of, 14–19
N.D. and N.T. v. Spain, 130–3, 224–5
 New EU Pact on Migration and Asylum
 development of, 149–58
 flexible solidarity in, 154–5
 normalization of noncompliance and, 160–1
 solidification of asylum, border control and return procedures in, 150–4
 third state cooperation in, 155–8
 Next Generation EU recovery fund, 183–6
 NGOs
 criminalisation of asylum assistance by, 195–7, 201–3, 228–30
 Italian ban on assistance vessels of, 265–7
 Polish government attacks on, 242–3
 resistance to anti-asylum repression, 231–4
Nieuw-Vlaamse Alliantie (N-VA-New Flemish Alliance), 304–7, 309n.43
 attacks on judicial authority by, 326–8
 criticism of Global Compact by, 312–13
 Nilsson, Tom, 336–8
Nishimura Ekiu case (US Supreme Court), 97n.2
 Noll, Gregor, 20–1, 35–6, 42, 54–6n.29, 55–8, 94–114, 160–1
 noncompliance with migrant law
 benefits of, for noncompliant states, 179–80
 CEAS collapse and, 139–40
 funding sanctions for, 182–5
 by Member States, 143–7
 normalization of, 160–1
 preventive procedures against, 257
 remedial procedures against, 172–4
 systematic noncompliance, 226
 non-refoulement principle, 55n.37, 253–4
 admission to territory and, 58–61
 Italian closed ports policy, 265–7
 Swedish administrative independence and, 344–53
 Swedish migrant rights policy and, 330
 Norway
 noncompliance proceedings against, 145–7
 noncompliance with migrant law and, 144–5
N.S. and others case, 143–5
 nuclear option (TEU Article 7), 171–4, 176–9, 232–3

- objectivity, principle of, Swedish constitutional framework and, 344–53
- old-age dependency ratio, net migration demands and, 107–8
- Ombudsman on the Rights of Persons Deprived of Personal Liberty (Italy), 270–1
- onset resilience, 27–8
- Open Arms* litigation, Italian closed ports policy and, 269–71, 274–5n.43
- Orbán, Victor
- anti-migrant propaganda machine of, 197–9
 - authoritarian regime of, 2–3, 211–13
 - as authoritarian role model, 259–63
 - criminalisation of asylum assistance under, 195–7
 - crisis of migration framework used by, 44, 213, 216–18
 - infringement proceedings against Hungary and, 169–70
- othering of migrants
- Austrian asylum law and, 299–300
 - Hungarian restrictive migration policy and, 195–7, 211–13
- ÖVP-FPO coalition (Austria), 23–4, 45, 280–300
- asylum abuse prevention rhetoric of, 286–8
 - political legacy of, 299–300
 - populism and, 292
 - restriction of migrant rights by, 281–90
 - restrictions on international protections for refugees, 290–1
 - zero asylum seekers proposals and, 286
- ÖVP-Green Party coalition (Austria), 288–90, 294–6, 299–300
- Partito democratico* (Italy), 261, 276–7
- Pawlak, Mikołaj, 241–2
- Pazé, Valentina, 86–7, 91–2
- Pech, Laurent, 191–3
- People-as-a-part, 70–2, 85–92
- People-as-a-whole, 70–2, 85–92
- peoplehood
- political concepts of, 85
 - populism's view of, 70–2
- personal freedom, right to, Italian violation of, 270–1
- peuple constitué*, democratic decay and concept of, 89–92
- PG case, 222–4
- Platjouw, Froukje, 32–4
- pluralism
- democratic decay and criticism of, 91–2
 - populism and, 8n.34
- plurality vs. unity dichotomy, liberal democracy and stress of, 52–3
- Poland
- accession to EU, 238–40
 - access to territory in, 252–4
 - asylum and migration law in, 244–56
 - authoritarian populism in, 1–3
 - constitutional principles in, 238–40
 - current political and social situation in, 256–8
 - democratic decay in, 13–14, 20–3, 28–9, 237–40, 243–4
 - detention in, 254–6
 - EU relocation programme challenged by, 198–201
 - governance restructuring in, 240–1
 - human rights issues in, 241–2, 251–6
 - infringement proceedings against, 169–70, 176–9
 - judicial independence threatened in, 174
 - lack of EU solidarity and international cooperation in, 248–51
 - migrant rights in, 13–14, 18–23, 44–5, 237–8
 - noncompliance proceedings against, 146–7
 - political narrative of migration in, 245–8
 - populist government in, 237–8, 243–4
 - post-Cold War migrant law in, 238–40
 - rule of law problems in, 146–7
 - supranational remedies for authoritarianism in, 39
 - Ukrainian migrants in, 244–5
 - xenophobia in, 247, 251–2
- policy incoherence, in EU migrant law, 159
- policy responsiveness of mainstream parties, Belgian resistance to populism and, 311–12
- polis*, Aristotle's concept of, 86–7
- political strategy
- EU migration policy and, 195–7
 - EU relocation programme resistance and, 198–201
 - populism as, 8n.34
- political thought, peoplehood and populism in, 86–7
- Politics* (Aristotle), 87
- PopSovism (populism and sovereignty), 9n.36

- population design
 defined, 80–11.22
 historical uses of, 82–3
 migrant policy and, 80–5
- populism
 administrative law and, 365–74
 ageing population and, 102
 age of, 1–2
 in Austria, 292–4
 in Belgium, 301–29
 contestation about, 7–12
 cross-national comparisons of, 14–19
 definitions of, 7–14, 50–3, 334–6
 democratic decay and migration
 interrelationship with, 5–27
 democratic ideals and static membership in,
 65–7
 EU migration and asylum law and, 158–64
 European demographic change and, 111–13
 Europeanization and, 162–4
 homogeneity fictions and, 10n.39
 ideational approach to, 8
 inclusion and exclusion boundaries
 exploited in, 42, 49–50
 in Italy, 259–63, 276–7
 liberal democracies and, 51
 nationalism and/or xenophobia and, 9–10
 ÖVP-FPÖ coalition (Austria), 280–300
 peoplehood as viewed by, 70–2, 85–92
 in Poland, 237–8, 243–4
 restrictive migration policies and, 25–7, 44,
 356–61
 restrictive migration policy and, 356–61
 Schmitt's influence on, 216–24
 in Sweden, 330–3, 336–8
 Swedish migration policy and, 339–43
- populism *simpliciter*, 9–10
- pouvoir constituant*, people as, 89–92
- Powell, D., 101–3, 106–7
- power, politics as struggle for, 91–2
- pre-entry screening, introduction of, 150–4
- preventive procedures against noncompliance,
 172
- privacy rights, Belgian asylum law and, 316–18
- procedural guarantees, migrant law and role of,
 154
- Procedure Directive (PD) (EU), 219–20, 222–7
- proportionality test
 administrative law and, 369–71
 family life right, 63–4
 human rights and, 55n.38
- proportional representation, Belgian electoral
 system and, 305–7
- protectionism
 migration law and, 97n.2
 restrictive migration policy and, 44
- public information, alternative spheres of,
 231–4
- public servant ideal
 Swedish administrative independence and,
 344–53
 Swedish legal resilience and, 37
- Rackete, Carola, 269–70, 274–7
- radical-right movement, democratic decay
 and, 3
- Radio Free Europe, 231–4
- Rantos, 'AG,' 201–3
- reception conditions
 Belgian asylum laws on, 323–4
 Hungarian violations involving, 225–7
 Member States noncompliance with, 146–7
- Reception Conditions Directive (RCD),
 287n.48
- Belgian detention legislation and, 316–18
- Belgian reception legislation and, 323–4
- Hungarian violations of, 225–7
- Member States noncompliance with,
 146–7
- Reding, Viviane, 194–5
- reform blocage, Noll's concept of, 94–6, 113–14
- refoulement, Italian migration policy and,
 259–63
- refugee status procedures, in Hungary, 222–4
- Regulation on Asylum and Migration
 Management, 151, 154
 flexible solidarity system in, 154–5
 third state cooperation and, 155–8
- Reinfeldt, Fredrik, 339–43
- relative disadvantage, ageing populations and,
 110
- Relocation and Resettlement Programme
 (EU), 134–5, 198–201, 248–51
- relocation programme (European
 Commission), 198–201
- remedial procedures against noncompliance,
 172–4
- resilience. *See also* legal resilience
 ecological definition of, 30–2
- Resolution on the Establishment of an EU
 Mechanism on Democracy, the Rule of
 Law and Fundamental Rights, 174–6

- restrictive migration policy
- administrative law and, 361–5
 - as authoritarian instrument, 211–13
 - in Belgium, 301–3, 313–14
 - bounded communities and, 54–8
 - cross-national comparisons of, 25–7
 - democratic decay, 356–61
 - democratic decay and, 20–1, 25–7
 - economic growth and impact of, 106–7
 - EU constitutional crisis and, 139–40
 - of EU Member States, 140–2
 - human rights law demands for justification of, 42
 - law scholars' reaction to, 68–9
 - legal curtailment of restrictions, 34–41
 - legality and discretion and, 365–74
 - legal resilience against, 33–4
 - national case studies in, 44
 - ÖVP-FPÖ coalition (Austria) and, 281–90
 - political scale of values, 65–7
 - populism and, 356–61
 - resistance in Belgium to, 312–28
 - in Sweden, 338–9
 - Vlaams Belang* party advocacy for, 303–5
- Return Directive, noncompliance with, 146–7
- return procedures, in New EU Pact on Migration and Asylum, 150–4
- right-wing populism, 9–10
- cross-national comparisons of, 14–19
- Roma crisis, rule of law backsliding and, 194–5
- Ruhl, J. B., 32
- rule of law
- authoritarian populism and, 356–61
 - Belgian constitutional order and, 311–12
 - crisis in Member States of, 187–91
 - de lege lata* and *de lege ferenda* and, 180–6
 - EU constitutional crisis over migration and, 139–40
 - EU legal resilience and, 203–8
 - EU reinforcement of, 43
 - European Commission review cycle for, 174–6
 - funding conditionality tied to, 183–6
 - Hungarian interpretation of, 197–9
 - liberal democracy and, 50–3
 - Member States' disrespect for, 167–76
 - problems in Hungary and Poland with, 146–7
- Saadi v. United Kingdom*, 61–2
- Sadurski, Wojciech, 292
- Sajó, András, 197–8
- Salvini, Matteo, 259–63, 270–1, 277–9
- Schengen Border Code
- establishment of, 98
 - noncompliance with, 144–7
- Schepple, Kim Lane, 169–70, 181–3, 205, 309–10
- Schmitt, Carl, 211, 213
- populism and influence of, 216–24
- Schotel, Bas, 39–41, 46, 355–76
- Schotte, S., 109
- Sea Watch 3* litigation, Italian closed ports policy and, 269–70, 274–7
- securitisation of migration
- Austrian order and security rhetoric on asylum and, 283–4
 - autocratisation and, 218–20
 - Belgian asylum law and, 318–19
 - Hungarian criminalisation of asylum assistance and, 228–30
 - Italian closed ports policy, 267–8
 - restrictive migration policies and, 197–8
 - in Sweden, 338–9
- Security Decree (2019) (Italy), 267–8
- separation of powers
- Belgian constitutional protections for, 307–8
 - Belgian disregard of judicial authority and, 326–8
 - democratic decay and dismantling of, 17
 - legal resilience in Belgium and, 20–45
- Sharpston, Eleanor (Advocate General), 136–7, 151–4, 198–201, 250
- termination of, 202n.95
- Single European Act (1986), 98
- Slovakia, EU relocation decision and, 134–5
- Slovak Republic and Hungary v Council of the European Union*, 134–5, 248–51
- Smet, Stijn, 1–46, 301–29, 372–3
- Social Assistance Act (Austria), 286–8
- Social Democratic Party of Austria (Sozialdemokratische Partei Österreichs, SPÖ), 281–3
- social services in EU, natives, intra- and extra-EU-migrants use of, 107
- soft law
- as legal resilience barrier, 35–6
 - migration control outsourcing and, 158
- Soininen, Niko, 32–4
- Soros, George, 195–7, 218–19

- sovereignty
 infringement proceedings and, 179–80
 Italian sovranismo, 262–3
 migrants' rights as challenge to, 330
- Spijkerboer, Thomas, 22–43, 97
- state of exception (*Ausnahmезustand*)
 Hungary's authoritarian regime and, 218
 Schmitt's concept of, 215–16
- state power
 admission to territory rights and, 58–61
 anti-concentration principle and, 29–30
 detention cases and, 61–2
 emergency powers, 134–8
 local migration requirements, 127–33
 migrant rights and, 56n.43
 Polish populist takeover of, 237–8, 240–3
 privileging of, over human rights, 39–41, 117–18
 transnationalism and, 53
- statuses
 as institutional facts, 73–6
 population design and, 80–5
status civitatus, 83–4
- Stevens, Yvonne, 31
- Stipendium Hungaricum program, 221–2
- Stoyanova, Vladislava, 1–46, 72–3, 139–64
- Strache, Heinz-Christian, 281–90
- support ratio, ageing population and, 103–4
- suppression of mobility, admission to territory rights and, 58–61
- supranational law
 crisis in, 187–91
 legal resilience and, 35–6
 rule of law problems in, 207–8
- Sweden
 asylum law in, 353–4
 constitutional framework and values in, 344–53
 fundamental rights protection in, 350–3
 legal resilience in, 37
 migrants' rights and democratic decay in, 22, 24–5, 330–54
 noncompliance proceedings against, 145–7
 noncompliance with migrant law and, 144–5
 populism in, 11–12, 336–8
 pre-2015 asylum law and policy, 338–9
 restrictive migration policy in, 45–6
- Sweden Democrats (SD) party, 330–3, 336–43
- Taguieff, Pierre-André, 90–1
- take back notifications, 150–4
- Tampa* case, 265–7
- tax on immigration organizations, Hungarian
 imposition of, 230
- Temporary Law (Sweden), 339–53
- tenure security, EU rule of law crisis and, 187–91
- third country national status, 82
 Belgian asylum law and, 318–19
 EU migration law and, 97–101
 Hungarian asylum law and, 222–4
 Hungarian migration policy and, 221–2
 migration policy and, 155–8
 Polish migration law and, 245–8
- Thorburn Stern, Rebecca, 10–12, 22, 24–5, 37, 45–6, 330–54
- Thym, D., 160–1
- Tondl, G., 106–7
- Torubarov* case, 222–4, 231–2
- total factor productivity, 103–4
- transfer decisions, migrant rights concerning, 151–4
- transit zones
 Hungarian abolition of, 227–8
 Hungarian creation of, 195–7, 201–3, 225–7
 introduction of, 150–4
- transnationalism, liberal democracy and, 53
- transparency of legislative process (Sweden), 348–9
- transparency of organisations directive,
 Hungarian criminalisation of asylum
 assistance and, 228–30
- Treaty of Amsterdam, 142n.17, 172–4
- Treaty of Lisbon, 142n.17
- Treaty of Nice, 173–4
- Treaty of Rome, freedom of movement for
 economic workers in, 97
- Treaty on European Union (TEU), 165–6
 Article 2 168–70, 180–1, 203–8
 Article 4 181–2
 Article 7 168–74, 176–81, 193–4, 232–3
 Article 19 169–70, 181–2
- Treaty on the Functioning of the European
 Union (TFEU)
 Article 258 168–70
 Article 259 170–1, 178–9
 Article 263 205–6
 EU relocation decision and, 134–5, 248–51
 informal cooperation vs. articles of, 148–9
 infringement proceedings, 168–71
- Tsourdi, E., 162–4
- Turkey, assaults on democracy in, 28–9

- Ukraine, Russian occupation of Crimea in, 244–5
- unauthorized entrants status, detention cases and, 61–2
- UNCLOS convention, Italian closed ports policy and, 267–8
- UN Convention on the Rights of the Child, 321–2
- unemployment, immigration effects on, 106–7
- UNHCR (United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights)
- Austrian asylum laws and, 286–8
 - Belgian asylum law and, 316–18
 - Hungarian autocratisation and, 233–4
 - Italian closed ports policy and, 265–7
 - Polish migration restrictions and, 252–6
- United Kingdom (UK), restrictive migration policies in, 105–6
- United States, demographic change and economic decline in, 101–3
- Universal Periodic Review, 251–2
- universal vs. particular dichotomy
- family life and, 63–4
 - liberal democracy and stress of, 52, 56–7
- UN World Population Prospect 2019* 103–4
- Vara, J. S., 148–9
- Vartiainen, Juhana, 108–9
- Venezuela, assaults on democracy in, 28–9
- Verhellen, Jinske, 321
- virtually impossible standard, family life right and, 64n.83
- visa-free travel, EU migration law and, 98–9
- Visa Regulation (EU), 98, 121–4, 326–8, 372–3
- Visegrád group, 281–3
- Vitiello, D., 149
- Vlaams Belang* (Flemish Interest) party (Belgium), 303–7, 309
- populist media campaigns of, 312–13
- Vlaams Blok* party (Belgium), 303–5
- voting behaviour, inequality and, 110
- vox media*, status and, 73–6
- Walker, Neil, 51, 53, 85, 142, 335–6
- wave-through policy, migrant law and, 135–7
- Weale, Albert, 86
- Wessel, R., 148–9
- Winkler, H., 109
- Wouters, Jan, 38–9, 43, 165–86
- Wyrzykowski, Mirosław, 236–7
- xenophobia, in Polish migration policies and, 247, 251–2
- Xero Flor*, 2021.95
- Yugoslavia, Swedish migration restrictions and, 338–9
- Zadić, Alma, 288–90
- zero asylum seekers, as Austrian policy goal, 284–6
- Zirulia, Stefano, 37, 259–79