ON SUPERCOOLING AND ICE FORMATION IN TURBULENT SEA-WATER

By ANDERS OMSTEDT

(The Swedish Meteorological and Hydrological Institute, S-601 76 Norrköping, Sweden)

ABSTRACT. Laboratory data on supercooling and frazil-ice formation in sea-water are analysed using a boundary-layer model. The model is based on a turbulent channel-flow boundary-layer theory, in which buoyancy effects become important because of vertical gradients in temperature, salinity, and suspended frazil-ice crystals. The frazil-ice crystals are treated as thin uniform plates. By assuming a mean face diameter, a mean thickness, and a mean Nusselt number of 10^{-3} m, 10^{-4} m, and 4, respectively, the general experimental findings are well reproduced by the model.

RÉSUMÉ. Surfusion et congélation de l'eau de mer agitée. Les résultats des expériences en laboratoire sur la surfusion et la formation du fraisil dans l'eau de mer sont analysés à l'aide d'un modèle de couche limite. Le modèle mathématique est basé sur la théorie de la couche limite d'un écoulement turbulent dans un canal dans lequel les effets de la poussée d'Archimède deviennent importants à cause des gradients de température et de salinité ainsi que

1. INTRODUCTION

The initial ice formation in turbulent water is due to formation of frazil ice, fine crystals suspended in the water. Interest in supercooling and initial ice formation in turbulent sea-water has increased during the last few years. This is related partly to problems in the marginal ice zone and partly to industrial desalination of sea-water by freezing. Relevant review papers on frazil ice in sea-water are by Martin (1981), Weeks and Ackley (1982), and Daly (1984).

Cooling and ice formation in sea-water introduce several specific processes compared with fresh water. The temperature of maximum density is a function of salinity and pressure (Caldwell, 1978). If the salinity is less than $24.7^{0}/00$, the temperature of maximum density is higher than the freezing temperature. This means that cooling from the temperature of maximum density causes stable stratification, which damps the turbulent mixing. If the salinity is above $24.7^{0}/00$, the temperature of

If the salinity is above $24.7^{0}/00$, the temperature of maximum density is lower than the freezing temperature. The cooling of water with a salinity higher than $24.7^{0}/00$ will thus be associated with an unstable stratification and an increased turbulent mixing.

The freezing temperature is a function of salinity and pressure – the higher the salinity or pressure, the lower the freezing temperature becomes (Gill, 1982, Appendix A3.7, p. 602). The freezing temperature can thus be depressed because of a salinity increase, e.g. associated with ice formation or evaporation, and also by a pressure increase, e.g. associated with sinking water. On the other hand, the freezing temperature can be increased, e.g. associated with sinking water. On the other hand, the freezing temperature can be increased, e.g. because of dilution from rivers or de la présence de fraisil en suspension. Les cristaux de fraisil sont assimilés à des plaques minces d'épaisseur uniforme. En adoptant les valeurs moyennes suivantes: 10^{-3} m pour le diamètre, 10^{-4} m pour l'épaisseur et 4 pour le nombre de Nusselt le modèle reproduit bien les résultats expérimentaux.

ZUSAMMENFASSUNG. Über die Unterkühlung und Eisbildung in turbulentem Meerwasser. Labordaten über die Unterkühlung und Bildung freischwebender Eiskristalle in Meerwasser werden mit Hilfe eines Grenzschichtmodells analysiert. Das Modell beruht auf einer Theorie der Grenzschicht bei turbulentem Fluss in einem Kanal, in der infolge vertikaler Temperaturgradienten, Salzgehalt und suspendierter, freischwebender Eiskristalle die Auswirkungen des Auftriebs Bedeutung erlangen. Die freischwebenden Eiskristalle werden als dünne, einheitliche Platten behandelt. Unter der Annahme eines mittleren Oberflächendurchmessers, einer mittleren Dicke und einer mittleren Nusselt-Zahl von jeweils 10^{-3} m, 10^{-4} m und 4 lassen sich allgemeine experimentelle Befunde mit dem Modell gut reproduzieren.

precipitation, and also by a pressure decrease, e.g. associated with rising cold water.

The specific heat of sea-water is a function of temperature, salinity, and pressure (Gill, 1982, p. 601). At sea-surface pressure and 0 °C, the specific heat of sea-water of $35^{0}/00$ salinity is about 5% less than that of fresh water.

The thermal conductivity of sea-water is also a function of temperature, salinity, and pressure (Caldwell, 1974). The thermal conductivity shows, however, only a very slight dependence on salinity.

In the laboratory-flow under consideration here, the influence of pressure on supercooling and ice formation was negligible, and will thus not be discussed further in this paper.

The latent heat of sea ice is a function of salinity and temperature (Yen, 1981). During frazil-ice formation in sea-water, all the salt is probably rejected from the crystal, increasing the salinity of the surrounding water and creating pure ice crystals. This principle is used when sea-water is desalinated by freezing. For a review of saline frazil-ice properties in industrial crystallizers, see Daly (1984).

The frazil-ice rise velocity results from the difference in density between ice and water. As the water density increases with salinity, the frazil-ice rise velocity will increase in sea-water.

Another specific property for frazil-ice formation in sea-water is the well-known fact that molecular heat diffusion is much more effective compared with molecular salt diffusion. The Lewis number – the ratio between thermal diffusivity and salt diffusivity – is, for sea-water, in the order of 100. A high Lewis number indicates that a saline boundary layer is established around the growing frazil-ice crystal, forming a thin salt jacket. This will

Journal of Glaciology

probably influence the growth rate and the surface properties of the saline frazil-ice crystals. To gain further insight into supercooling and ice formation in sea-water, some laboratory experiments have been conducted.

Katsaros and Liu (1974) reported on 76 experiments. These experiments were conducted with three different sodium chloride solutions (5, 20, and $50^{0}/00$). The amounts of supercooling in the experiments varied but were particularly reduced in the case of a high sodium chloride concentration, which was probably due to convection.

In some recent laboratory experiments conducted by Tsang (1983), Hanley and Tsang (1984), and Tsang and Hanley (1985), several properties of supercooling and frazil-ice formation in waters of different salinities were reported.

These experiments were conducted at salinities of about 0, 11.5, 23.0, 30.0, and $48.0^{0}/00$. By non-dimensionalizing the data, different functional relationships were found. It was, for example, found that the average rate of frazil-ice production during the initial period was less for saline frazil ice compared with fresh-water frazil ice. On the other hand, the maximum amount of supercooling, in mechanically stirred water, did not show any dependence on salinity.

From these experiments it was also observed that saline frazil ice had a different morphology compared with freshwater frazil ice. Also, saline frazil ice showed much less cohesiveness and adhesiveness than fresh-water frazil ice. All the experimental data are collected in the paper by Tsang and Hanley (1985) and will therefore be used as the main reference in the following sections.

For modelling of supercooling and ice formation in a turbulent Ekman layer, a new mathematical model was presented by Omstedt and Svensson (1984). The basic idea is that the problem can be described by a boundary-layer theory, in which buoyancy effects become important because of stratification that is caused by vertical gradients in temperature, salinity, and suspended ice crystals.

The purpose of the present study is to analyse the experimental data by Tsang and Hanley (1985), based on a modified version of the model by Omstedt and Svensson (1984); the modifications are that the ice crystals are assumed to be thin uniform plates and that the boundary layer is treated as a turbulent channel flow.

In the next section, the experiments by Tsang and Hanley (1985) are reviewed. In section 3, the mathematical model is given. In section 4, some details about the calculations are discussed. In section 5, the calculated and measured data are compared. In section 6, some further calculations on the model are presented. Finally, a summary and conclusions are given in section 7.

2. EXPERIMENTS

In the paper by Tsang and Hanley (1985), three groups of experiments were reported: A, B, and C. Two different experimental equipments were used. In groups A and B, a Plexiglass tank was filled with artificial sea-water at different salinities and also with distilled water. The turbulence was generated by a propeller and the tank was placed in a cold room.

In group C experiments, a Plexiglass flume of racetrack shape was filled with genuine Atlantic sea-water at approximately $30^{0}/00$ salinity and placed in a cold room. In the following calculations, only the flume in group C is considered. This choice was made because the experiments in group C were better controlled compared with the experiments in groups A and B.

In Figure 1, a sketch is shown of the flume used in the group C experiments conducted by Tsang and Hanley (1985). To prevent nucleation at the side walls and at the bottom, the flume was enclosed in a warm air jacket. The water cooling was therefore only caused by the heat loss at the air/water interface.

For recirculation of the water, a propeller was inserted into the flume at one end, which produced a current of about 0.15 m s⁻¹. The flow Reynolds number during all experiments in group C was kept constant at 8.54×10^3 .

Nine different experiments were conducted in the flume. By putting the cold-room temperature at about -10 °C, the water cooling rates became slightly less than 3 x



Fig. 1. A sketch of the flume used in the group C experiments conducted by Tsang and Hanley (1985).



Fig. 2. Time-temperature curve during ice formation with definitions of some basic parameters. For nomenclature, see Table I.

 10^{-4} deg s⁻¹. The water temperature was measured with a high-precision thermometer with a resolution of 0.001 °C.

At different supercooling levels, the water surface was seeded by scraping a piece of ice with a saw blade. Care was taken to ensure that the same number of nuclei was planted into the water for all nine experiments. During the experiments, excellent photographs showing the time evolution of frazil-ice formation were also taken.

In analysing the data, Tsang and Hanley (1985) used the integrated equation for heat conservation. By nondimensionalizing the data, several conclusions on supercooling and frazil-ice formation in sea-water were reached. Basic questions, answered by the laboratory analysis, were related to the frazil-ice morphology and the temperature evolution during freezing. In their analysis, Tsang and Hanley (1985) introduced several parameters. In Table I, some of these, which will also be used in the present paper, are given. Also, in Figure 2, some parameters

In section 5, the experimental findings will be compared with calculations by the present model. First, however, the mathematical formulation and some details about the calculation will be given.

3. MATHEMATICAL FORMULATION

3.1. Basic assumptions

The mathematical model will restrict its attention to horizontally homogeneous flow, which means that horizontal inhomogeneities in the experiment will be neglected. It will be assumed that there is no mean vertical velocity, except for the frazil-ice rise velocity. The flow is considered to be a one-dimensional turbulent channel flow. The buoyancy effects in the flow are assumed to be caused by vertical

	Parameter	Meaning	Unit
t _c	Characteristic time	Time for temperature curve to reach 90% recovery from maximum supercooling	S
t _{min}		Time at maximum supercooling	S
^t n	Seeding time	Time at which ice begins to nucleate	s
t*	Dimensionless time	Ratio between time and characteristic time (t/t_c)	-
Te	Equilibrium temperature	Temperature where equilibrium is reached between heat loss and ice production	°C
$T_{\mathbf{f}}$	Freezing temperature		°C
T_{\min}	Minimum temperature	Temperature at maximum supercooling	°C
Tn	Seeding temperature	Temperature at which ice begins to nucleate	°C
∆T n	Supercooling at seeding	Difference between freezing and seeding temperature $\begin{pmatrix} T_f - T_n \end{pmatrix}$	°C
ΔT_{\min}	Maximum supercooling	Difference between freezing and minimum temperature $(T_{f} - T_{min})$	°C
\overline{c}_i	Frazil-ice concentration	Spatial average on concentration of frazil ice in water by weight	⁰ /00
$\bar{c}_{\rm ic}$	Characteristic concentration	Frazil-ice concentration at characteristic time	⁰ /00
\overline{C}_{i^*}	Dimensionless concentration	Ratio between ice concentration and characteristic concentration $(\overline{C}_i/\overline{C}_{ic})$	-
\overline{C}_{imin}		Frazil-ice concentration at maximum supercooling	⁰ /00
$d\overline{C}_i/d$	t	Average rate of frazil-ice production $((\overline{C}_{ic} - \overline{C}_{imin})/(t_c - t_{min}))$	⁰ /00 s
$d\overline{C}_{i*}/$	'dt*	Dimensionless rate of frazil-ice production	-

TABLE I. SOME PARAMETERS USED BY TSANG AND HANLEY (1985)

gradients in temperature, salinity, and frazil-ice concentration.

Turbulent exchange coefficients are calculated with a kinetic energy-dissipation model of turbulence.

The initial nucleation, which was due to seeding in the experiments, is treated as a surface-boundary condition for the frazil-ice concentration equation.

The frazil-ice crystals are assumed to be thin plates of uniform size. The heat transfer between the ice crystals and the surrounding water is calculated by introducing the Nusselt number. The two phases, water and ice, are treated as one mixture phase.

The flow Reynolds number, the seeding rate, the mean size of the frazil-ice crystals, and the Nusselt number are all assumed to be constant during the calculations.

3.2. Mean flow equations

Primarily, it is the temperature and the ice concentration that are of interest but a few more variables are needed. The velocity distribution in a turbulent channel flow needs to be considered, since turbulence is produced by shear. Also, salinity is needed, as the ice crystals reject salt during ice formation.

Within the assumptions made and using a simplified water-density equation, the mean flow equations take the following form:

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[v_{\rm T} \frac{\partial U}{\partial z} \right] - \frac{1}{\rho_0} \frac{\partial P}{\partial x} , \qquad (1)$$

$$\frac{\partial C_{i}}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[\frac{v_{\rm T}}{\sigma_{\rm C}} \frac{\partial C_{i}}{\partial z} \right] - W_{\rm C} \frac{\partial C_{i}}{\partial z} + G_{\rm C} , \qquad (2)$$

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[\frac{v_{\rm T}}{\sigma_{\rm S}} \frac{\partial S}{\partial z} \right] + G_{\rm S} , \qquad (3)$$

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[\frac{\nabla_{\rm T}}{\sigma_{\rm T}} \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} \right] + G_{\rm T}, \tag{4}$$

$$\rho_{\mathrm{M}} = \rho_{\mathrm{W}} + C_{\mathrm{i}}(\rho_{\mathrm{I}} - \rho_{\mathrm{W}}), \qquad (5)$$

$$W = \rho_0 (1 - \alpha (T - T_{\rho M})^2 + \beta S)$$
(6)

where z is the vertical space coordinate positive upwards, t is the time coordinate, U is the mean horizontal velocity, $W_{\rm C}$ is the frazil-ice rise velocity, $C_{\rm i}$ is the mean volume fraction of frazil ice, S is the mean salinity, T is the mean temperature, $\rho_{\rm M}$ is the mixture density of frazil ice and sea-water, $\rho_{\rm I}$ is the density of frazil ice, $\rho_{\rm W}$ is the density of sea-water, $\rho_{\rm 0}$ is the reference density, $T_{\rho\rm M}$ is the temperature of maximum density, and α and β are constants used in the sea-water density calculation. The kinematic eddy viscosity is denoted by $v_{\rm T}$, while $\sigma_{\rm C}$, $\sigma_{\rm S}$, and $\sigma_{\rm T}$ are Prandtl/Schmidt numbers for frazil-ice concentration, salinity, and temperature, respectively. The mean flow is driven by a pressure gradient denoted by $\partial P/\partial x$. The source terms, because of ice formation, are denoted by $G_{\rm C}$, $G_{\rm S}$, and $\sigma_{\rm T}$, respectively. The mean volume fraction of frazil-ice concentrations satisfies the inequality 0 $\leq C_{\rm i} \leq 1$.

The convection heat transfer per unit ice area between an ice crystal and surrounding water reads:

$$q = h (T_i - T)$$
 (W m⁻²)

where h is the average convection heat-transfer coefficient and T_i the ice-surface temperature. The average convection heat-transfer coefficient is cal-

The average convection heat-transfer coefficient is calculated by introducing the Nusselt number, defined as Journal of Glaciology

$$Nu = \frac{\overline{hl}}{k_w}$$

where l is a characteristic length and k_w the thermal conductivity of sea-water.

For ice crystals growing edgewise and thus forming plates, the ice-crystal thickness (d_e) is assumed to be the relevant length scale (see Fig. 3).

The convection heat transfer for melting ice in seawater has been studied in a series of experiments by Gebhart and others (1983) and by Sammakia and Gebhart (1983). In their analyses, experimental relationships on the Nusselt number for different melting situations can be found. The author is not aware of corresponding studies on ice formation in sea-water.

The convection heat transfer per unit ice area then reads:

$$q = \frac{\text{Nu}k_{\text{W}}}{d_{\text{e}}} (T_{\text{i}} - T) \quad (\text{W m}^{-2}).$$
 (7)

The different source terms associated with frazil-ice formation can now be derived by considering a unit volume with a mixture of water and frazil ice.

The total heat gained during freezing per unit volume becomes:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} (qA_{e})_{j} V_{M}^{-1} = NqAe V_{M}^{-1}$$

where N is the total number of ice crystals in the volume $V_{\rm M}$ and $A_{\rm e}$ is the mean ice-crystal area in the growing direction.

From the definition of frazil-ice concentration one can write:

$$C_{i} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} V_{ij} V_{M}^{-1} = N V_{i} V_{M}^{-1}$$

where V_i is the mean ice-crystal volume.

The temperature increase during ice formation from ice crystals growing edgewise then reads:

$$G_{\rm T} = 4C_{\rm i}q(d_{\rm f}\rho_{\rm W}c_{\rm p})^{-1} \quad ({\rm deg \ s^{-1}}) \tag{8}$$

where d_f is the mean face diameter and c_p is the specific heat of sea-water.

The temperature increase per unit volume corresponds directly to an ice formation of:

$$G_{\rm C} = 4C_{\rm i}q(d_{\rm f}\rho_{\rm I}L)^{-1} \quad (s^{-1}) \tag{9}$$

where L is the latent heat of ice.

Also, as salt is rejected from the crystals, the salt production reads:

$$G_{\rm S} = 4C_{\rm i}q(S - S_{\rm i})(d_{\rm f}\rho_{\rm W}L)^{-1} \quad ({\rm s}^{-1}) \tag{10}$$

where S_i is the ice-crystal salinity, assumed to be zero in the present calculations.

Boundary conditions for the mean-flow equations at the surface are specified according to:

$$\nu_{\rm T} \frac{\partial U}{\partial z} = 0, \tag{11}$$

$$\frac{v_{\rm T}}{\sigma_{\rm C}} \frac{\partial C_{\rm i}}{\partial z} = F_{\rm C}, \tag{12}$$

$$\frac{v_{\rm T}}{\sigma_{\rm S}} \frac{\partial S}{\partial z} = 0, \tag{13}$$

$$\frac{v_{\rm T}}{\sigma_{\rm T}} \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} = F_{\rm N} (\sigma_0 c_{\rm p})^{-1} \tag{14}$$

where $F_{\rm C}$ is the mass exchange through the air/water interface caused by seeding, and $F_{\rm N}$ is the net heat loss. At the lower boundary, a zero-flux condition is used

At the lower boundary, a zero-flux condition is used for all variables, except for velocity, where a zero velocity condition is given.

3.3. Turbulence model

The turbulence model is based on turbulent-exchange coefficients calculated with a two-equation model of turbulence, one equation for the turbulent kinetic energy and another for the dissipation rate of turbulent kinetic energy. The present turbulence model is the same as the one used by Omstedt and Svensson (1984). The equation reads:

$$\frac{\partial k}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[\frac{v_{\rm T}}{\sigma_{\rm k}} \frac{\partial k}{\partial z} \right] + P_{\rm S} + P_{\rm b} - \epsilon, \qquad (15)$$

$$\frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[\frac{v_{\rm T}}{\sigma_{\epsilon}} \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial z} \right] + \frac{\epsilon}{k} \left(C_{1\epsilon} P_{\rm S} + C_{3\epsilon} P_{\rm b} - C_{2\epsilon} \epsilon \right), \quad (16)$$

$$P_{\rm S} = v_{\rm T} \left[\frac{\partial U}{\partial z} \right]^2, \tag{17}$$

$$P_{\rm b} = v_{\rm T}g \left[-\frac{2\alpha(T - T_{\rho \rm M})}{\sigma_{\rm T}} \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} + \frac{\beta}{\sigma_{\rm S}} \frac{\partial S}{\partial z} + \frac{(\rho_{\rm I} - \rho_{\rm 0})}{\sigma_{\rm C}\rho_{\rm 0}} \frac{\partial C_{\rm i}}{\partial z} \right],$$
(18)

$$\nu_{\rm T} = C_{\mu} \frac{k^2}{\epsilon} \tag{19}$$

where k is the turbulent kinetic energy and ϵ is its dissipation rate, P_s is production rate due to shear, and P_b is production/destruction due to buoyancy; C_{μ} , $C_{1\epsilon}$, $C_{2\epsilon}$, and $C_{3\epsilon}$ are constants used in the turbulence model (see Table II).

TABLE II. CONSTANTS IN THE TURBULENCE MODEL

Cμ	$C_{1\epsilon}$	C _{2e}	C _{3e}	σk	σ€
0.09	1.44	1.92	0.8	1.4	1.3

Boundary conditions used in the turbulence model are that the turbulent kinetic energy and its dissipation rate are related to the friction velocity and the heat loss at the surface, and that zero-flux conditions are assumed at the bottom.

3.4. Consideration of applicability

The purpose of the present study is to analyse the laboratory experiments conducted by Tsang and Hanley (1985) in relation to the mathematical model given above. First, the applicability of the model is considered.

In the race-track-shaped flume, the water was recirculated and cooled through the air/water interface. This implies that a one-dimensional approach should be suitable for the mathematical formulation of the experiments.

Turbulence was generated partly by a propeller inserted into the flume at one end and partly by bottom- and sidewall effects. Also, because the flume was curved, secondary circulation was probably present in the experiments. The mathematical model treats the flume as a turbulent channel flow, using a turbulence model, which is well established for this kind of flow (see Rodi, 1980).

In a turbulent channel flow, the flow is driven by a pressure gradient and mixed because of current shear at the water/bottom interface. As the propeller, the side-wall effect, and the geometry all work to change and increase the turbulence level in the experiments, it was decided to

266

test how sensitive the solutions were for different flow Reynolds numbers. This too will be discussed in section 6.2.

The initial nucleation in the experiments was caused by seeding the supercooled water with ice crystals. This model treats the seeding as a boundary condition for the frazil-ice concentration. The initial condition for the frazil ice is zero ice concentration, which implies that frazil ice can only start to increase in the calculations because of the boundary condition.

From the experimental data, it was observed that saline frazil ice formed crystals of two basic shapes: a twodimensional Christmas-star shape and a three-dimensional thorn-ball shape. From photographs taken during these experiments, made available to the present author, one can notice that the two-dimensional crystal shape dominates. In the model, the crystal structure is therefore approximated as a two-dimensional uniform plate.

These considerations indicate that the mathematical model is well suited to the experimental situation. Details about the frazil-ice growth, multiplication, break-up, and flocculation are not treated in the present formulation.

4. DETAILS OF CALCULATIONS

Equations (1)-(10) and (15)-(19) form a closed system. The equations, in their finite difference form, were integrated forward in time using an implicit scheme and a standard tri-diagonal matrix algorithm (Svensson, 1984). The equations were solved for all the nine experiments in group C conducted by Tsang and Hanley (1985), using input data according to Tables III and IV.

TABLE III. EXPERIMENTAL DATA

	Parameter	Value	Unit	Comment
<i>F</i> _n	Net heat loss	113.30-128.26	W m ⁻²	Tsang and Hanley, 1985, table I, $(dT/dt)_n$
F _c	Seeding rate	-1 x 10 ⁻⁷	s ⁻¹	Personal communication from G. Tsang; corresponding to 1000 ice crystals seeded during some seconds
$T_{\mathbf{i}}$	Ice-crystal temperature	-1.708-1.777	°C	Tsang and Hanley, 1985, table I, $T_{\rm e}$
T _n	Seeding temperature	-1.728-2.500	°C	Tsang and Hanley, 1985, table I, $T_{\rm n}$
D	Depth	0.11	m	Tsang and Hanley, 1985
Re	Flow Reynolds number	8.54 x 10 ³	-	Tsang and Hanley, 1985
S	Salinity	29-31	0/00	Tsang and Hanley, 1985

TABLE IV. MODEL CONSTANTS USED IN PRESENT CALCULATION

	Parameter	Value	Unit	Comment
$d_{\mathbf{f}}$	Mean face diameter	10 ⁻³	m	
de	Mean thickness	10-4	m	
c _p	Specific heat	4019.6	J kg ⁻¹ deg ⁻¹	At -1.75°C and 30 ⁰ /00 (Gill, 1982, Appendix A3.4, p. 601)
$k_{\mathbf{w}}$	Thermal conductivity	0.564	W m ⁻¹ deg ⁻¹	At -1.75°C and 30 ⁰ /00 (Caldwell, 1974)
L	Latent heat of ice	3.34 x 10 ⁵	J kg ⁻¹	Pure ice (Yen, 1981)
Nu	Nusselt number	4	-	
٥I	Frazil-ice density	9.2 x 10 ²	kg m ⁻³	Pure ice (Yen, 1981)
Wc	Frazil-ice rise velocity	10 ⁻³	m s ⁻¹	
ρ	Reference density	10 ³	kg m ⁻³	
α	Constant used in sea-water density calculation	3.7 x 10 ⁻⁶	°C-2	At -2°C and 30 ⁰ /00 (Neuman and Pierson, 1966, Appendix A, p. 494-500)
β	Constant used in sea-water density calculation	8.13 x 10 ⁻⁴	⁰ /00	At -2°C and 30 ⁰ /00 (Neuman and Pierson, 1966, Appendix A, p. 494-500)
T _{ρM}	Temperature of maximum density	-2.7	°C	At 30 ⁰ /00 (Caldwell, 1978)



Fig. 3. The assumed frazil-ice crystal morphology.

The rise velocity, within the Stokes range, for a mean frazil-ice crystal size according to Table IV and with a resistance factor of 1.7, is calculated as 5×10^{-3} m s⁻¹ (see Ashton, 1983). This is, however, an overestimate, since turbulence influences the drag and increases the resistance factor (see Graf, 1971). The rise velocity is therefore somewhat reduced in Table IV. The initial conditions were given as zero velocity,

-1.5 °C temperature, no ice crystals, and 300/00 salinity.

For each experiment the calculation procedure was as follows. The pressure gradient was first iterated until it converged, which was achieved with 200 time steps. Next, the net heat loss, F_N , was added, giving a cooling rate in accordance with the specific experiment. At a given amount of supercooling, the mass exchange, F_{C} , was added and after four time steps switched off, giving about 1000 ice crystals in the water. After that the calculations were continued to the desired frazil-ice concentration.

The numerical solutions were tested and found to be grid and time-step independent. This was achieved with 20 grid cells covering a depth of 0.11 m and with a time step, which was chosen as 30 s for cooling down to the seeding temperature and as 1 s for further calculations. For comparison with the experimental data, the results were integrated vertically.

5. RESULTS

5.1. Introduction

The results are presented in this section. First, calculations of some characteristic parameters are compared with the experimental data. Secondly, the average rate of frazilice production is treated. Finally, the time evolutions for frazil-ice formation are analysed, based on calculations and measurements. The nomenclature follows that of Tsang and Hanley (1985) (see Table I and Fig. 2).

It should also be noted that the experimental data are represented by solid lines in Figures 4-7. Tsang and Hanley (1985) obtained these curves by fitting the data, using the least-squares method, to different experimental relationships. For a discussion about the accuracy of the experimental data and also how well the data were represented by the different experimental relationships, the reader is referred to Tsang and Hanley (1985).

5.2. Characteristic parameters

Tsang and Hanley (1985) characterized the data by the supercooling amount at seeding (ΔT_n) , the maximum supercooling (ΔT_{\min}) , the characteristic time (t_c) , and the characteristic ice concentration (\overline{C}_{ic}) , parameters which give information about the time-temperature curve during ice formation.

In Figure 4 the experimental relationship found by Tsang and Hanley (1985) between t_c and ΔT_n is compared with calculated values according to the present model. The experimental relationship is based on data from all salinity experiments in groups A, B, and C. The good agreement between the experimental findings and calculations was achieved by assuming a findings and the present mean face diameter, a mean thickness, and a mean Nusselt number of 10⁻³ m, 10⁻⁴ m, and 4, respectively. From inspection of the photographs taken during the experiments, this mean size of the frazil ice seems to be in reasonable accordance with the experimental data. It should be noted that the mean values represent both time and space averages during the ice formation.

From theoretical considerations, Daly (1984) proposed a Nusselt number formulation for fresh water. In that formulation, the Nusselt number was related to a nondimensional crystal size, defined as the ratio between the





ice-crystal radius and the Kolmogorov length scale of turbulence.

In the present calculations, based on a flow Reynolds number of 8.54 x 10³, the non-dimensional crystal size becomes 0.6. Using this value and observing that the Nusselt number in the present study is based on the whole ice thickness, Daly (1984) predicted a slightly higher Nusselt number than the present one. For turbulent flow at higher Reynolds numbers, which is most likely to be the case in the experiments, the non-dimensional crystal size increases and the Nusselt number decreases.

In Figure 5 the experimental relationship found by Tsang and Hanley (1985) between \overline{C}_{ic} and ΔT_n is compared with calculations according to the present model. It should



Fig. 5. Measured and calculated relationship between the characteristic frazil-ice concentration by mass, \overline{C}_{ic} , and the amount of supercooling at seeding, ΔT_n .

be noted that the experimental relationship is independent of salinity and that all data from groups A, B, and C fit the relationship. It should also be noted that Tsang and Hanley (1985) used concentration by mass while the present mathematical model uses concentration by volume. The calculated data in Figure 5 are therefore transformed to concentration by mass. The agreement in Figure 5 is most satisfactory.

In Figure 6 the experimental relationship found by Tsang and Hanley (1985) between ΔT_{\min} and ΔT_{n} is compared with calculated values according to the present model. The agreement is excellent, except there is a slight discrepancy at zero ΔT_n . The calculations illustrate that a small amount of supercooling is needed before ice starts to form. This can also be found in the laboratory data.



Fig. 6. Measured and calculated relationship between the temperature at maximum supercooling, ΔT_{\min} , and the amount of supercooling at seeding, ΔT_{n} .



Fig. 7. Measured and calculated relationship between the average rate of frazil-ice production, $d\overline{C_i}/dt$, and the amount of supercooling at seeding, ΔT_n .

5.3. Average rate of frazil-ice production -----

The average rate of frazil-ice production dC_i/dt during the initial period is defined according to Tsang and Hanley (1985) as:

$$\frac{\overline{dC_i}}{dt} = \frac{\overline{C_{ic} - \overline{C}_{i\min}}}{t_c - t_{\min}}$$

For nomenclature see Table I.

In Figure 7, the experimental relationship found by Tsang and Hanley (1985) between $d\overline{C}_i/dt$ and ΔT_n is compared with calculations according to the present model. It can be noted that the average rate of frazil-ice production varies highly with ΔT_n and that the calculations reproduce the experimental relationship accurately. Tsang and Hanley (1985) observed that all data with salinities in the range 11.5-48⁰/00 were well described by the experimental relationship given in Figure 7. For distilled water, the relationship was of the same type but giving a higher value for the average rate of frazil-ice production.

5.4. Normalized parameters

The time evolution during frazil-ice formation is studied by non-dimensionalizing the data.

In Figure 8 the data from all nine experiments in group C are plotted against calculations according to the present model. It should be noted that the solid lines now represent the calculated values while the experimental data are given by different symbols.

From this figure one can observe that the calculations reproduce the data quite well, except for small values of the normalized time. This is due to the fact that a constant mean size is used in the calculations. In the experiments one could easily observe how the crystals grew. The discrepancy in Figure 8 is therefore attributed to the growth of the very first small crystals, which probably heated the surrounding water more effectively compared with the larger ones.

Tsang and Hanley (1985) condensed all experimental data from groups A, B, and C into one average curve for the normalized concentration as a function of the normalized time. The scatter of the data from that average curve was considered to be due to experimental uncertainty. The accuracy of the experimental data in Figure 8 was discussed



Fig. 8. Measured and calculated relationship between the normalized frazil-ice concentration \overline{C}_{i*} . https://doi.org/10.3189/S002214380046596 published and use to cambridge University Press

269

zòt

20 t

201

further by Tsang and Hanley (1985) but no statistical interpretation was given because of the rather small number of experiments.

6. DISCUSSION

6.1. Introduction

In this section some further details and implications from the calculations are given. First, the sensitivity of the mean flow parameters are tested against turbulent intensity. Secondly, some vertical profiles of frazil-ice concentration and turbulent viscosity are discussed. Finally, the normalized rate of frazil-ice production is used as a tracer on frazil-ice dynamics.

6.2. Turbulent mixing

In the experiments, additional mixing effects (propeller, side walls, and geometry) were introduced compared



Fig. 9. Calculated vertical distribution of the frazil-ice concentration C_i and the dynamical eddy viscosity μ_T . Calculations according to group C, experiment number 9.

with a turbulent channel flow. Turbulent mixing was therefore probably increased. To test how sensitive the mean flow parameters were to increased mixing, the flow Reynolds number was increased by factors of 2 and 10. In the sensitivity test it was found that the vertically integrated mean flow parameters did not change, within the tested Reynolds number range. However, the calculated values of the Kolmogorov length scale of turbulence decreased. The implication of this effect on the Nusselt number was discussed in section 5.2.

6.3. Damping

In the present model there is a strong interaction between ice formation and the hydrodynamics of the boundary layer. Stratification effects in the model are caused by vertical gradients in temperature, salinity, and frazil-ice concentration. During ice formation the ice concentration soon dominates, damping the turbulence due to a stable stratification.

From the photographs taken during the experiments, one could easily observe how the frazil ice was initially suspended in the flume, but, as ice formation proceeded, the frazil-ice concentration became more and more stratified with less tendency for mixing.

In Figure 9 some vertical profiles from the present model are given. The plots are taken from calculations simulating experiment number 9 in group C and they illustrate how the dynamical eddy viscosity is damped due to an increased frazil-ice concentration. The calculated profiles seem to be in good qualitative agreement with the experimental ones.

6.4. Frazil-ice dynamics

Daly (1984) stated that "frazil-ice dynamics" describe the unique internal feed-back loop that regulates the crystal-size distribution. In this section we shall follow Tsang and Hanley (1985) and discuss the normalized rate of frazil-ice production as a tracer of the frazil-ice dynamics.

From the experiments by Tsang and Hanley (1985) it was found that the normalized rate of frazil-ice production $(d\overline{C}_{i*}/dt_{*})$, as a function of normalized time (t_{*}) , followed

a bell-shaped curve. In the experiments with distilled water, the production-rate curve had only a single peak but, for the experiments with water at different salinities, the production-rate curve sometimes had double peaks.

Tsang and Hanley (1985) claimed that a single-peaked bell-shaped curve was a tracer of discoid frazil-ice crystals. The double-peaked production curves were due to the formation of two types of frazil-ice crystals, the Christmasstar-shaped and the thorn-ball-shaped ones.

In Figure 10 the calculated values of $(dC_i*/dt*)$, as a function of t* are given, based on the present model. The calculations show a bell-shaped curve in all calculations. This kind of structure can therefore be looked upon as a tracer of the fact that one type of ice crystal dominates.

In modelling double or triple peaks, some more basic shapes have to be introduced into the mathematical formulation. Also, knowledge about how the different crystals grow and interact is required. This is, however, probably far from the present knowledge.

7. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The objective of this study has been to examine supercooling and frazil-ice formation in sea-water. For this purpose, laboratory measurements conducted by Tsang and Hanley (1985) were compared with calculations from a modified version of the mathematical model presented by Omstedt and Svensson (1984).

The experiments were conducted in a Plexiglass flume of race-track shape, filled with sea-water, recirculated by a propeller, and placed in a cold room. The mathematical model was based on a turbulent channel-flow boundarylayer theory, in which buoyancy effects became important because of vertical gradients in frazil-ice concentration, salinity, and temperature. The initial nucleation was due to seeding and the frazil-ice crystals were treated as thin uniform plates.

270



Fig. 10. Calculation relationship between the normalized rate of frazil-ice production, $d\overline{C}_{i*}/dt_{*}$, and normalized time, *l**.

The general experimental findings were most satisfactorily reproduced by the model, giving confidence to the mathematical formulation. The mathematical model can thus serve as a good base for further studies on frazil-ice dynamics.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work is a part of the Swedish-Finnish Winter Navigation Research Programme and has been financed by the Swedish Administration of Shipping and Navigation. I should like to thank L. Funkqvist, L. Nyberg, and U. Svensson for valuable comments on an earlier version of the present paper and also Dr G. Tsang for his kind assistance during my stay at the Canada Centre for Inland Waters.

REFERENCES

- Ashton, R.E. 1983. Frazil ice. (In Meyer, R.E., ed. Theory of dispersed multiphase flow. New York, Academic Press, p. 271-89.)
- Caldwell, D.R. 1974. Thermal conductivity of sea water. Deep-sea Research, Vol. 21, No. 2, p. 131-37. Caldwell, D.R. 1978. The maximum density points of pure
- and saline water. Deep-sea Research, Vol. 25, No. 2, p. 175-81.
- Daly, S. 1984. Frazil ice dynamics. CRREL Monograph (Hanover, NH) 84-1.
- Graf, W.H. 1971. Hydraulics of sediment transport. New York, McGraw-Hill.
- Gebhart, B., and others. 1983. Melting characteristics of horizontal ice surfaces in cold saline water, by B. Gebhart, B. Sammakia, and T. Audunson. Journal of Geophysical Research, Vol. 88, No. C5, p. 2935-42.
- Gill, A.E. 1982. Atmosphere-ocean dynamics. New York, Academic Press. (International Geophysical Series, Vol. 30.)
- Hanley, T.O'D., and Tsang, G. 1984. Formation and properties of frazil in saline water. Cold Regions Science and Technology, Vol. 8, No. 3, p. 209-21. Katsaros, K.B., and Liu, W.T. 1974. Supercooling at a free
- salt water surface in the laboratory. Journal of Physical Oceanography, Vol. 4, No. 4, p. 654-58. Martin, S. 1981. Frazil ice in rivers and oceans. Annual
- Review of Fluid Mechanics, Vol. 13, p. 379-97. Neuman, G., and Pierson, W.J. 1966. Principles of physical oceanography. Englewood Cliffs, NJ, Prentice-Hall.
- Omstedt, A., and Svensson, U. 1984. Modeling supercooling and ice formation in a turbulent Ekman layer. Journal of Geophysical Research, Vol. 89, No. C1, p. 735-44.
- Rodi, W. 1980. Turbulence models and their application in hydraulics – a state of the art review. Delft, fundamentals of Division II: IAHR-Section Fundamentals on Experimental Mathematical and Fluid Dynamics. Secretariat.
- Samakia, B., and Gebhart, B. 1983. Transport near a vertical ice surface melting in water of various salinity levels. International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer, Vol. 26, No. 10, p. 1439-52.
- 1985. PROBE an instruction manual. Svensson, U. Swedish Meteorological and Hydrological Institute. Reports, HO-22.
- Tsang, G. 1983. Formation and properties of frazil formed in seawater at different supercoolings. POAC 83. The seventh International Conference on Port and Ocean Engineering under Arctic Conditions, Helsinki, Finland, 5-9 April 1983. Proceedings, Vol. 3, p. 222-41.
- Tsang, G., and Hanley, T. O'D. 1985. Frazil formation in water of different salinities and supercoolings. Journal of Glaciology, Vol. 31, No. 108, p. 74-85.
- Weeks, W.F., and Ackley, S.F. 1982. The growth, properties and structure of sea ice. CRREL Monograph (Hanover, NH) 82-1.
- Yen, Y.-C. 1981. Review of thermal properties of snow, ice and sea ice. CRREL Report (Hanover, NH) 81-10.

MS. received 12 September 1984 and in revised form 22 January 1985