Perceived and objective diet quality in US adults: a cross-sectional analysis of the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)

Tiffany M Powell-Wiley^{1,2,*}, Paige E Miller^{2,3}, Priscilla Agyemang¹, Tanya Agurs-Collins⁴ and Jill Reedy^{2,3}

¹Cardiovascular and Pulmonary Branch, Division of Intramural Research, National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, National Institutes of Health, Building 10 – Room 5E3340, Bethesda, MD 20892, USA: ²Applied Research Program, Division of Cancer Control and Population Sciences, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA: ³Risk Factor Monitoring and Methods Branch, Division of Cancer Control and Population Sciences, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA: ⁴Health Behaviors Research Branch, Behavioral Research Program, Division of Cancer Control and Population Sciences, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA

Submitted 23 July 2013: Final revision received 23 January 2014: Accepted 31 January 2014: First published online 17 March 2014

Abstract

Objective: The Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension (DASH) dietary pattern has been shown to reduce cardiometabolic risk. Little is understood about the relationship between objective diet quality and perceived diet quality (PDQ), a potential psychosocial barrier to appropriate dietary intake. We compared PDQ and diet quality measured by a nutrient-based DASH index score in the USA. Design: Cross-sectional study. Participants in the 2005–2006 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) rated diet quality on a 5-point Likert scale and PDQ scores were generated (low, medium, high). A single 24 h dietary recall was used to estimate DASH index scores (range 0–9 points) by assigning 0, 0·5 or 1 point (optimal) for nine target nutrients: total fat, saturated fat, protein, cholesterol, fibre, Ca, Mg, K and Na.

Setting: Nationally representative sample of the US population.

Subjects: Adults aged \geq 19 years in 2005–2006 NHANES (n 4419).

Results: Participants with high PDQ (33%) had higher DASH index scores (mean 3·0 (sp 0·07)) than those with low PDQ (mean 2·5 (sp 0·06), P<0·001), but average scores did not align with targets for intermediate or optimal DASH accordance. Adults with high PDQ reported higher total fat, saturated fat and Na intakes compared with optimal DASH nutrient goals. Differences between those with high v low PDQ were similar for Whites and Blacks, but there was no difference between PDQ groups for Mexican Americans.

Conclusions: Among Whites and Blacks, but not Mexican Americans, high PDQ may be associated with higher diet quality, but not necessarily a diet meeting DASH nutrient goals. This disconnect between PDQ and actual diet quality may serve as a target in obesity prevention.

Keywords

Diet quality perception
Psychosocial factors
National Health and Nutrition
Examination Survey
Dietary Approaches to Stop
Hypertension

The obesity epidemic affects more than one-third of the US population, with obesity contributing to substantial morbidity and mortality from cancer and CVD⁽¹⁻⁴⁾. Despite public health efforts to combat obesity through established dietary guidelines, dietary intake of nutrient-dense foods is suboptimal among US adults, which may contribute to energy imbalance and subsequent obesity. The majority of US adults do not meet requirements for intake of the most nutrient-rich fruits and vegetables, like whole fruits and dark green, orange and red vegetables⁽⁵⁻⁹⁾. In addition, adherence to recommended guidelines for dietary intake of whole grains, fruits and vegetables appears lowest

among those at lower socio-economic levels and among non-Hispanic Blacks and Mexican Americans, likely contributing to disparities in prevalent obesity and cardiovascular risk in the US population^(10,11).

Prior studies suggest that the majority of the US population has knowledge and awareness of existing dietary guidelines⁽¹²⁾. However, a disconnect persists between knowledge of and adherence to recommended guidelines. While limited access to healthful foods due to environmental^(13,14) or socio-economic factors⁽¹⁵⁾ likely promotes this disconnect, psychosocial barriers including inadequate social support, perceived behavioural control

^{*}Corresponding author: Email tiffany.powell@nih.gov

2642 TM Powell-Wiley et al.

or general knowledge of appropriate dietary habits also appear to play an important role⁽¹⁶⁾.

Perceived diet quality (PDQ) is another psychosocial factor that may perpetuate poor dietary habits. Individuals may perceive their dietary intake to be of higher quality than defined by objective measures of dietary intake based on dietary guidelines or recommended dietary patterns. Inaccurate perceptions of dietary intake have been associated with limited intention to change adverse dietary behaviours, such as high dietary fat consumption⁽¹⁷⁾. Research suggests that a substantial portion of the US population has inaccurate perceptions of diet quality, with almost 40% of household meal planners or preparers perceiving their diet to be of higher quality than suggested by objective diet quality⁽¹⁸⁾. However, studies of PDQ only examined single dietary components, such as fat or fruits and vegetables, in isolation (17,19) or categorized individuals in the study population based on dietary intake without accounting for the excessive intra-individual variation associated with the use of a single 24h dietary recall for sampled populations⁽¹⁸⁾.

The relationship between PDQ and diet quality as measured by a Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension (DASH) index score has not been studied. Comparison of PDQ with measured diet quality evaluated by a DASH index score can provide key insights into dietary habits of the US population. In brief, the DASH diet was examined in two multi-centre, randomized controlled feeding trials⁽²⁰⁾. The original trial found that the DASH diet, which is rich in fruits, vegetables and low-fat dairy products, and low in saturated and total fat, significantly reduced blood pressure. The menus ensured a 2.5-fold increase in intake for the nutrients of concern - Ca, K, Mg and dietary fibre - between the DASH diet and the control diet (21). A follow-up trial - the DASH Sodium Trial - found that a reduction of Na intake in conjunction with the original DASH diet reduced blood pressure further (22). The DASH diet has been associated with weight loss (23), weight maintenance⁽²⁴⁾ and reduced risk for chronic diseases, including hypertension and CVD(22,23). The DASH Eating Plan aligns with federal dietary guidelines for the US population⁽⁸⁾ and was designed to translate the DASH diet into a dietary pattern that could be used for broader implementation. Several DASH indices have been developed for nutritional epidemiological studies based on the DASH Eating Plan^(25–28). Prior studies comparing foodbased and nutrient-based DASH indices suggest that each type of index consistently captures key features of the DASH dietary pattern (PE Miller, A Cross, S Krebs-Smith et al., unpublished results). Therefore, comparison of perceived and objective diet quality as measured by a nutrient-based DASH index can relate PDQ to both overall dietary intake and intake of key nutrients. Additionally, understanding perceived and objective diet quality can highlight areas for focused nutritional education in public health interventions targeting dietary habits.

Therefore, we sought to understand the relationship between PDQ and objective diet quality in a nationally representative US adult population using data from the 2005–2006 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES). We characterized overall diet quality as measured by total DASH index scores and individual DASH dietary components across levels of PDQ in the overall US population and population subgroups, accounting for sociodemographic confounders.

Experimental methods

National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey overview

The NHANES is a series of cross-sectional surveys designed by the National Center for Health Statistics of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. The survey is conducted using a multistage, stratified sampling design to assess the health and nutritional status of a nationally representative sample of the civilian, non-institutionalized US population⁽²⁹⁾. In-home medical histories were conducted to gather demographic, socio-economic and health-related information, and participants underwent health examinations and completed a 24h dietary recall and a dietary behaviour questionnaire at mobile examination centres. The 24h recall for each participant was conducted in-person with English- or Spanish-speaking dietary interviewers. The study was conducted according to the guidelines laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki and all procedures involving human subjects were approved by the National Center for Health Statistics Research Ethics Review Board. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants in the study. Further details about NHANES have been previously reported⁽²⁹⁾.

Sample demographics

Age, race and ethnicity, education and poverty income ratio categories were defined using self-reported demographic data from 2005-2006 NHANES. Models were run on four distinct age groups: 19-30 years, 31-50 years, 51-70 years and 71+ years. Racial and ethnic groups were characterized based on responses to questions about race and Hispanic origin. We included non-Hispanic Whites, non-Hispanic Blacks and Mexican Americans who reported a single racial identity because the group sample sizes were adequate for separate evaluation and estimates⁽¹¹⁾. Level of education was defined as 0-11 years, 12-15 years and 16+ years. Poverty income ratio is the ratio of income to the federal poverty threshold based on family composition and size, and this parameter was used to develop cut-offs for three income subgroups: lowest (≤130% of the poverty threshold), middle (131 %–185 %) and highest (>185 %)⁽¹¹⁾. Weight and height were measured during NHANES physical examinations and used to calculate BMI as weight in kilograms divided by the square of height in metres⁽³⁰⁾.

Table 1 Nutrient targets for the DASH index score+,‡,§

	DASH dietary pattern						
	Intermediate DASH index target+,‡	Optimal DASH index targett,§					
Saturated fat (% of energy)	11	6					
Total fat (% of energy)	32	27					
Protein (% of energy)	16⋅5	18					
Cholesterol (mg/4184 kJ)	107⋅1	71.4					
Fibre (g/4184 kJ)	9.5	14.8					
Mg (mg/4184 kJ)	158	238					
Ca (mg/4184 kJ)II	402	590					
K (mg/4184 kJ)	1534	2238					
Na (mg/4184 kJ)	1286	1143					
DASH index score	4·5 points	9 points					

DASH, Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension.

†Intermediate and optimal DASH index targets were derived from the nutrient composition of the DASH dietary pattern. ‡Nutrient components equally weighted with 0-5 points for aligning with the intermediate target of each component of the DASH index. §Nutrient components equally weighted with 1 point for aligning with the optimal target of each component of the DASH index. ||4184 k.| = 1000 kcal.

Dietary intake

Data from a 24h dietary recall administered by trained interviewers were used to determine participants' dietary intake. Only day 1 of the 24h recall data was used because the majority of participants completed day 1 testing during the examination at the mobile examination centre. Nutrient intake estimates for the population were generated using the US Department of Agriculture's Food and Nutrient Database for Dietary Studies (FNDDS) Version 3·0, which is the data analysis software and associated food composition databases used to analyse the NHANES 2005–2006⁽³¹⁾. The 24h recall data were processed as described previously⁽³¹⁾.

Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension index score

To evaluate the dietary intake of US adults based on the DASH dietary pattern, we computed nutrient-based DASH index scores as originally described by Mellen et al. (28). The nine targeted nutrients in the DASH index are those that would be expected to be higher (i.e. protein, fibre, Mg, Ca and K) or lower (i.e. total fat, saturated fat, Na and cholesterol) with greater adherence to the DASH diet. This method uses nutrient densities derived from absolute targets associated with a 8786 kJ (2100 kcal) diet for men and women. Twenty-four hour dietary recall data from NHANES were used to estimate DASH index scores by assigning 0, 0.5 or 1 point for each of the nine target nutrients (total fat, saturated fat, protein, cholesterol, fibre, Mg, Ca, K, Na). All nutrient intake data were derived solely from foods. Individuals who met the goal for each component received 1 point, those who met an intermediate goal - defined as the mid-point between the DASH diet goal and the nutrient content of the DASH control diet - received 0.5 points, and those meeting neither goal received 0 points. As shown in Table 1, the optimal micronutrient targets are energy-adjusted (per 4184 kJ (1000 kcal)): ≥14·8 g for fibre, ≥238 mg for Mg, ≥590 mg for Ca, ≥2238 mg for K, ≤71·4 mg for cholesterol and ≤1143 mg for Na. Optimal goals for macronutrient intakes are as a percentage of total energy intake: ≥18% for protein, ≤27% for total fat and ≤6% for saturated fat. The nutrient components were summed to obtain an overall DASH index score (score range of 0 to 9 points). A DASH index score of 9 points represented optimal accordance with the DASH dietary pattern. An index score of 0 points was classified as suboptimal to the target goals of the DASH diet pattern. A total DASH index score of approximately ≥4·5 points was considered accordant with the DASH dietary pattern.

Perception measures

To assess PDQ in the overall US population and population subgroups, we used the Diet Behavior and Nutrition questionnaire (DBQ) of the 2005–2006 NHANES. The DBQ contains questions and data relevant to nutrition and dietary behaviours⁽³²⁾. NHANES participants answered the question 'In general, how healthy is your overall diet?' on a 5-point Likert scale, with possible answers ranging from 'excellent' to 'poor'. Participants' responses to this question were used to generate PDQ scores. PDQ was scored as 'high' for those who perceived their diet to be 'good', 'medium' for those who perceived their diet to be 'good' and 'low for those who perceived their diet to be 'fair' or 'poor'. This method was used for categorization of diet quality perception in prior studies^(17–19).

Study population

For the study population, we included data on non-Hispanic White, non-Hispanic Black and Mexican-American US adults aged 19 years or older with reliable 24 h dietary recall data and non-missing responses for the survey question about PDQ from the NHANES 2005–2006 survey. The final study population was 4419 NHANES participants.

2644 TM Powell-Wiley et al.

Table 2 Baseline characteristics of the 2005–2006 NHANES population (age ≥19 years, n 4419) across categories of PDQ

		PDQt											
	High‡ (r	า 1488)	Medium§	(n 1848)	Lowll (n 1427)								
	%	SE	%	SE	%	SE							
Age**													
19–30 years	23	2·1	39	2.0	38	2.2							
31–50 years	30	2.5	41	1.8	29	1.7							
51–70 years	39	1.6	40	2.0	21	1.7							
71+ years	49	2.0	34	1.7	17	2·1							
Sex													
Female	34	2.0	40	1.2	26	1.6							
Male	32	1.3	39	1.3	28	1.8							
Race**													
Non-Hispanic White	36	1.4	40	1.0	24	1.5							
Non-Hispanic Black	25	2·1	38	2·1	38	2.0							
Mexican American	21	1.6	38	2.4	41	2.0							
Education**													
0-11 years	24	1⋅7	40	1.9	36	2·1							
12–15 years	30	1.4	41	0.9	28	1.7							
16+ years	46	2.8	36	2.0	18	2.2							
Poverty income ratio**													
≤130 % of poverty threshold	28	1.9	38	2.1	35	1.9							
131–185% of poverty threshold	30	3.3	42	3.4	29	2.6							
>185 % of poverty threshold	35	1.6	40	1.1	25	1.5							
BMI**													
18·5–24·9 kg/m ²	42	2.2	36	2.3	22	1.7							
25·0–29·9 kg/m²	34	1∙5	43	1.2	23	1.7							
\geq 30·0 kg/m ²	23	2.2	40	1.4	36	2.3							
Energy intake (kJ/d)*,¶	8820.3	137⋅8	9317-3	192∙6	9523.6	228.6							

NHANES, National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey; PDQ, perceived diet quality.

Statistical analysis

To account for the complex survey design of NHANES, we used SURVEY procedures in the statistical software package SAS version 9.2. The χ^2 test was used to compare baseline characteristics of the NHANES population across PDQ levels. A sample-weighted F test was used to compare energy intake across PDQ levels. We used sample-weighted, multivariate linear regression models to determine the population mean intakes of DASH dietary components and DASH index scores across categories of PDO. All linear trends were determined from multivariate linear regression models adjusting for age, sex, race, education and poverty income ratio. Adjusted models were derived for the overall population and stratified by race/ethnicity and BMI categories. There was little statistical difference between unadjusted and adjusted model results; therefore, only adjusted results are shown. Two-sided P values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

Sample-weighted baseline characteristics for the 2005–2006 NHANES population across categories of PDQ are shown

in Table 2. Thirty-three per cent of the US adult population had high PDQ, or perceived their diet as excellent or very good. US adults with high PDQ were more likely to be older, non-Hispanic Whites, with higher levels of education and income, and with normal BMI. Adults with high PDQ consumed the least amount of kilojoules as compared with those with medium or low PDQ.

Table 3 displays adjusted population mean intakes of DASH components and total DASH index scores across PDO groups, with mean intakes expressed as nutrient densities (percentage of total energy or per 4184 kJ (1000 kcal)). Mean intake of total and saturated fat, as a percentage of total energy, increased with decreasing PDQ. For the remaining target nutrients (including protein, fibre, Mg, Ca and K per 4184kJ (1000kcal)), the population mean intake decreased with lower PDQ, with the exception of cholesterol and Na for which population mean intakes were similar across PDQ levels. Moreover, US adults with high PDQ had greater adjusted mean intake than the DASH target recommendations for saturated fat (10.5 (se 0.2) v. 6.0% of energy), cholesterol (141·3 (se 4·3) v. 71·4 mg/4184 kJ (1000 kcal)) and Na (1595.5 (se 36.6) v. 1143.0 mg/4184 kJ (1000 kcal)). Thosewho reported high PDQ also had higher DASH scores

^{*}P<0.05, **P<0.0001 across PDQ levels.

[†]The reported percentages represent the distribution of persons within each demographic and BMI category. PDQ represents the answer to the question 'In general, how healthy is your overall diet?' on a 5-point Likert scale with possible answers ranging from 'excellent' to 'poor'. ‡High represents 'excellent' or 'very good' PDQ.

[§]Medium represents 'good' PDQ.

IlLow represents 'fair' or 'poor' PDQ

These data are presented as means with their standard errors.

Table 3 Adjusted population mean intakes of DASH components (and their standard errors) across categories of PDQ for the 2005–2006 NHANES population (age \geq 19 years, n 4419)†

	PDQ													
	High‡ (<i>n</i> 1	488)	Medium§ ((n 1848)	Lowll (n 1427)									
	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE								
Total fat (% of energy)	32.6**	0.2	34.2	0.3	33.7	0.4								
Saturated fat (% of energy)	10.5***	0.2	11.4	0.1	11.5	0.2								
Protein (% of energy)	16.5***	0.3	15⋅8	0.2	15·1	0.1								
Cholesterol (mg/4184 kJ)¶	141.3	4.3	142.9	3⋅1	132.9	2.6								
Fibre (g/4184 kJ)¶	8.6****	0.2	7.5	0.2	7.1	0.2								
Mg (mg/4184 kJ)¶	158.2****	3⋅6	141.0	2.2	130-6	2.0								
Ca (mg/4184 kJ)¶	458.7*	11.4	437.6	6.9	425.0	9.4								
K (mg/4184 kJ)¶	1418.7***	26.8	1279.9	17.5	1211.6	23.2								
Na (mg/4184 kJ)¶	1595.5	36.6	1640.7	13⋅8	1597.9	23.8								
DASH index score (9 points maximum)	3.0***	0.1	2.6	0.0	2.5	0.1								

DASH, Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension; NHANES, National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey; PDQ, perceived diet quality. *P < 0.015, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, ***P < 0.0001 across PDQ levels; PDQ represents the answer to the question 'In general, how healthy is your overall diet?' on a 5-point Likert scale with possible answers ranging from 'excellent' to 'poor'.

than participants who had medium or low PDQ (mean score: 3.0 (sd 0.1) v. 2.6 (sd 0.04) v. 2.5 (sd 0.1) points,P-trend = 0.0002); however, none of the average DASH index scores across the three PDQ levels reflected DASH accordance (score ≥ 4.5 points).

When stratified by race/ethnicity, similar trends were seen among non-Hispanic Whites for DASH nutrient intakes across PDQ levels as compared with the overall population (Table 4). In contrast, non-Hispanic Blacks had similar population mean intake of total fat, saturated fat, cholesterol, Ca and Na across PDQ levels. Among Mexican Americans, there were no significant differences in DASH nutrient intakes across PDQ levels. Non-Hispanic Whites and Blacks with high PDQ had a higher total DASH index score than those with medium or low PDQ (mean score for non-Hispanic Whites: 3.0 (sp 0.1) v. 2.6 (sp 0.01) v. 2.5 (sp 0.1) points, *P*-trend<0.01; mean score for non-Hispanic Blacks: 2.7 (sp 0.1) v. 2.3 (sp 0.1) v. 2.2 (sp 0.1) points, P-trend < 0.01). There was no statistically significant difference in total DASH index scores across the PDO levels for Mexican Americans (mean score: 3·1 (sp 0·2) v. 3·0 (sp 0.1) v. 2.9 (sp 0.1) points, P-trend > 0.5). Mexican Americans with low PDQ had a higher total DASH index score than non-Hispanic Whites and Blacks with low PDQ. Non-Hispanic Whites, Blacks and Mexican Americans across all PDQ levels consumed diets that scored below the total DASH target score (≥4.5 points).

Those with high PDQ who were of normal weight or overweight had significantly higher intakes of protein, fibre, Mg and K (Table 5). In comparison, obese adults with high PDQ also reported higher intakes of fibre, Mg and K, and had a lower intake of saturated fat, as compared with obese individuals with medium or low PDQ. Within each of the BMI categories, there were no

differences in cholesterol, Ca or Na intake across PDQ levels. In addition, reported intakes of saturated fat and Na and overall DASH index scores within each of the BMI categories were suboptimal as compared with DASH dietary pattern targets.

Discussion

In summary, US adults who perceived their diet to be of higher quality were more likely to consume a diet with a higher DASH index score, with greater consumption of essential nutrients, like protein and fibre, and lower consumption of dietary fat, as compared with those who perceived lower diet quality. However, there was little difference in dietary intake of cholesterol and Na across levels of PDQ. Despite having relatively higher DASH index score, US adults who perceived highest diet quality still did not meet recommendations for dietary intake based on individual nutrient components and total DASH index scores. The relationship between PDQ and objective diet quality measured by the DASH index was present among non-Hispanic Whites and Blacks and across BMI strata, independent of sex, age and socio-economic status. However, there was scant evidence of a relationship between perceived and objective diet quality among Mexican Americans.

The present study highlights several key issues regarding diet quality perception and objective measures of diet quality for the US adult population. First, use of the DASH index extends previous work on diet quality perception by highlighting key dietary nutrients where PDQ does not align with objectively measured diet quality. The discrepancy between the average DASH index score and

[†]Adjusted for age, sex, race, education and poverty income ratio.

[‡]High represents 'excellent' or 'very good' PDQ.

[§]Medium represents 'good' PQD.

IlLow represents 'fair' or 'poor' PDQ.

^{¶4184} kJ=1000 kcal.

TM Powell-Wiley et al.

Table 4 Adjusted population mean intakes of DASH components (and their standard errors) across categories of PDQ by racial/ethnic group for the 2005–2006 NHANES population (age ≥19 years, *n* 4419)†

		Ν	Ion-Hispani	c Whites				lon-Hispan	Mexican Americans																	
	High‡ (n 868)		High‡ (n 868)		High‡ (n 868)		High‡ (n 868)		High‡ (n 868)		Medium§	Medium§ (n 929) Lowll		vll (n 530) High‡		High‡ (<i>n</i> 299)		Medium§ (n 400)		Lowll (n 403)		n 210)	210) Medium§		Lowll (n 395)	
	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE								
Total fat (% of energy)	33·1**	0.4	34.9	0.4	34.4	0.5	32.8	0.5	34.4	0.7	33.7	0.8	32.0	1.1	31.6	0.7	31.5	0.7								
Saturated fat (% of energy)	10.9****	0.2	11.9	0.2	12.1	0.2	10.3	0.2	11.2	0.2	10.8	0.3	10.1	0.4	10.4	0.2	10.4	0.2								
Protein (% of energy)	16.5***	0.3	15.7	0.2	14.9	0.2	15.6*	0.4	16.1	0.4	14.7	0.2	15⋅8	0.6	15⋅8	0.4	16.4	0.3								
Cholesterol (mg/4184 kJ)¶	136-2	5⋅1	133.8	2.8	126.2	4.5	142.4	4.4	151.9	6.7	136·1	6.0	134.4	10.6	163∙5	6.7	145.0	8.6								
Fibre (g/4184 kJ)¶	8.9****	0.2	7.4	0.2	6.8	0.2	7.4***	0.2	6.6	0.3	6.2	0.2	9.1	0.4	9.3	0.3	9.1	0.3								
Mg (mg/4184 kJ)¶	162.5****	4.0	144.2	2.1	132.2	3.4	137.9***	3.8	128.6	4.9	117-2	3.4	159.3	6.0	149.7	2.9	144.2	3.9								
Ca (mg/4184 kJ)¶	484.6	13.6	463.4	8.6	452.3	13.1	403.8	22.3	388.3	11.5	356.0	9.6	469.9	17.2	435.5	16.5	434.8	17.4								
K (mg/4184 kJ)¶	1482.5***	27.0	1335.5	14.2	1253-1	36.4	1298.0***	28.2	1158.7	24.0	1085.8	29.1	1305.2	56.4	1327.6	31.9	1273.7	34.1								
Na (mg/4184 kJ)¶	1637·1	39.7	1682.7	21.4	1641.0	28.7	1563.7	42.5	1622.9	27.0	1554.7	39.2	1519.9	71.5	1519.5	21.9	1513.9	32.8								
DASH index score (9 points maximum)	3.0**	0.1	2.6	0.1	2.5	0.1	2.7**	0.1	2.3	0.1	2.2	0.1	3⋅1	0.2	3.0	0.1	2.9	0.1								

DASH, Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension; NHANES, National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey; PDQ, perceived diet quality.

§Medium represents 'good' PDQ.

IlLow represents 'fair' or 'poor' PDQ.

Table 5 Adjusted population mean intakes of DASH components (and their standard errors) across categories of PDQ by BMI for the 2005–2006 NHANES population (age ≥19 years, n 4419)+

		В	MI=18·5–24	4∙9 kg/m	2			MI=25·0–2	29·9 kg/m	l ²	$BMI \ge 30.0 kg/m^2$																			
	High‡ (n 569)		High‡ (n 569)		High‡ (n 569)		High‡ (n 569)		High‡ (n 569)		High‡ (n 569)		High‡ (n 569)		Medium§ (Medium§ (n 550) Lowll (n 3		358)	High‡ (n 524)		Medium§ (n 658)		Lowll (n 408)		High‡ (n 363)		Medium§ (n 622)		Lowll (n 642)	
	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE												
Total fat (% of energy)	32.4	0.6	33.8	0.6	32.8	0.9	32.7	0.5	33.9	0.6	33.3	0.6	32.9	0.6	34.4	0.3	34.5	0.5												
Saturated fat (% of energy)	10.4	0.3	11.6	0.2	11.5	0.3	10.4	0.2	11.3	0.3	11.1	0.4	10.6*	0.3	11.2	0.2	11.6	0.2												
Protein (% of energy)	15.9**	0.3	15.3	0.3	14.6	0.4	17.0**	0.3	15.7	0.2	15.2	0.3	16.7	0.6	16⋅1	0.3	15.3	0.2												
Cholesterol (mg/4184 kJ)¶	132.9	3.3	127.4	3.4	127.8	7.2	146.6	7.8	148.4	5.6	137.6	4.9	145.6	10.8	149.9	6.1	131.9	4.8												
Fibre (g/4184 kJ)¶	8.4**	0.2	7.17	0.3	6.7	0.3	8.8**	0.3	7.8	0.2	7.2	4.9	8.6****	0.2	7.6	0.2	7.2	0.2												
Mg (mg/4184 kJ)¶	156.4***	4.3	138.8	3.4	123.5	4.5	158.9**	4.4	143.9	2.5	134.8	4.2	159.7***	5.4	140.0	3.3	129.9	2.9												
Ca (mg/4184 kJ)¶	455.4	18.5	440.8	12.0	422.9	20.3	451.7	15.6	444.9	13.9	411.9	17.0	476.9	17.1	423.4	6.6	428.2	14.2												
K (mg/4184 kJ)¶	1393.3**	26.0	1246.7	27.1	1192.5	50.9	1422.5*	41.0	1315.5	16.0	1257.0	37.7	1462.5*	81.2	1273.8	28.1	1177-4	32.4												
Na (mg/4184 kJ)¶	1592.4	45.1	1581.7	24.5	1573.9	56.6	1556.9	32.3	1602.0	31.1	1556.4	24.4	1664.4	58.0	1706-1	34.0	1618-2	36.2												
DASH index score (9 points maximum)	3⋅0*	0.1	2.6	0.1	2.4	0.2	3.0**	0.1	2.6	0.1	2.5	0.08	3.0****	0.1	2.5	0.1	2.5	0.1												

DASH, Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension; NHANES, National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey; PDQ, perceived diet quality.

^{*}P<0·05, **P<0·001, ***P<0·001, ****P<0·0001 across PDQ levels; PDQ represents the answer to the question 'In general, how healthy is your overall diet?' on a 5-point Likert scale with possible answers ranging from 'excellent' to 'poor'.

⁺Adjusted for age, sex, race, education and poverty income ratio.

[‡]High represents 'excellent' or 'very good' PDQ.

^{¶4184} kJ=1000 kcal.

^{*}P<0.01, ***P<0.001, ***P<0.001, ****P<0.001, ****P<0.001 across PDQ levels; PDQ represents the answer to the question 'In general, how healthy is your overall diet?' on a 5-point Likert scale with possible answers ranging from 'excellent' to 'poor'.

⁺Adjusted for age, sex, race, education and poverty income ratio.

[‡]High represents 'excellent' or 'very good' PDQ.

[§]Medium represents 'good' PDQ.

IlLow represents 'fair' or 'poor' PDQ.

^{¶4184} kJ=1000 kcal.

the target DASH index score among non-Hispanic Black and White adults who perceived high diet quality is consistent with previous work showing that US adults who perceived their diet as excellent or very good had a Healthy Eating Index (HEI) of only 67 points on a 100-point scale, with 100 being optimal (18). Regarding key nutrients, we have shown that dietary fat intake is not optimal and above recommended levels, even among those who perceive their diet to be of higher quality; this finding had not previously been established among US adults but had been seen previously in a Dutch population⁽¹⁷⁾. Additionally, saturated fat intake among obese US adults with high PDO, while lower than intake among obese adults with medium or low PDQ, was above optimal levels and similar to intake among normal-weight and overweight adults. Similar saturated fat intake may contribute to future weight gain among normal-weight and overweight adults. However, dietary intake under-reporting must also be considered when interpreting intake results for overweight and obese adults⁽³³⁾. We have also demonstrated clinically significant differences in dietary fibre and K intakes across PDQ levels for US adults, particularly when compared with the Adequate Intakes for dietary fibre and K established by the Institute of Medicine (34,35). Finally, we have identified Na, saturated fat and cholesterol as nutrients where populations with all levels of PDQ consumed well above recommended amounts. In particular, Na and cholesterol are dietary nutrients for which the adult population appears to have the most difficulty complying with recommended guidelines and these findings are potentially due to poor-quality dietary choices available in the food environment (13).

Controversy exists regarding the importance of complying with established guidelines for dietary cholesterol intake. Specifically, US dietary guidelines focus on precise limits for cholesterol intake and international dietary guidelines focus more on limiting saturated fat and trans-fat intakes, with little consensus on ways to reconcile the different approaches (36). With regard to Na intake, our findings support a need for public health interventions and policy-based initiatives to specifically focus on lowering consumption of Na, which would improve the alignment of the population's dietary intake with DASH dietary patterns and improve the cardiovascular health of the US population^(37,38). Excess consumption of Na over DASH dietary guidelines, even in the segment of the population that perceives its diet to be healthy, is likely related to the ubiquitous nature of Na in the US diet (39). More than 75% of Na consumed by the US population is provided in packaged foods or foods purchased in restaurants, and the largest food source for Na is breads and rolls, foods that one might not necessarily expect to affect Na intake⁽³⁹⁾. Consequently, recommendations by the Institute of Medicine for food manufacturers and restaurants to limit Na in prepared foods may be essential to reduce Na intake in the US population⁽³⁹⁾.

Second, these findings suggest the objective diet quality for US adults is likely to be poor, even for those adults who perceive their diet to be of highest quality. Therefore, it may be important to develop novel methods of increasing adherence with recommended dietary guidelines in addition to targeting food policy. Wright and Wang previously showed that there was no significant relationship between perceptions of diet quality and awareness of the existence of dietary guidelines after adjustment for race, sex, education and income for US adults from the 2005-2006 NHANES⁽¹²⁾. However, much less is understood about the relationship between perceptions of diet quality and understanding of existing dietary guidelines. One might hypothesize that better understanding of dietary guidelines may improve objective diet quality at all levels of PDQ. Future interventions may also investigate the use of tailored education based upon an individual's dietary intake to identify ways to better align nutrient intake with dietary guidelines. Typically, images like those used in the MyPlate dietary guidance and other educational tools for promoting nutritional recommendations tend to focus on depicting foods and food groups that should be consumed based on dietary guidelines (40). To complement efforts underway with MyPlate in depicting food groups, new computer- or mobile device-based diet applications could incorporate concrete visual images of foods that serve as the top sources of specific nutrients of concern or foods that provide the highest amounts of those nutrients (19). For example, the 'Salty Six' campaign from the American Heart Association and American Stroke Association was designed to depict and educate about the six foods that provide the highest amounts of dietary Na for the US population (41).

Finally, we have demonstrated differences in PDQ within racial/ethnic and socio-economic sub-populations, unlike prior studies of PDQ in more homogeneous populations^(17,19). Non-Hispanic Blacks and Mexican Americans had a low likelihood of perceiving high diet quality, which could relate to differential access to nutritional resources in neighbourhood environments⁽¹²⁾. However, for Mexican Americans, there was little difference in nutrient intakes or overall DASH dietary scores across levels of PDQ, which may be related to generally higher DASH scores within this racial/ethnic population. In addition, it may also indicate that PDQ is not adequately assessed by the current questionnaire in this population. Tailored questions about PDQ, particularly questions that can identify sources of these perceptions, may identify differentiating factors that associate with objective diet quality among Mexican Americans; this is an area for future study.

The strengths of the present study include a large, multiethnic population sample of the community-dwelling US adult population, standardized data-gathering methods and rigorous data quality control, all of which improve the generalizability of the study findings. However, there are several limitations to the study. First, self-reported dietary intake for measurement of objective diet quality is subject to response bias due to social desirability, which may lead to underestimations of diet quality differences across the PDO groups. Also, the use of single 24h dietary recall data can lead to misclassification derived from distributions which have not taken into account intra-individual variation. The use of means rather than distributions alleviates this concern; however, use of means requires an assumption of a lack of bias in the data (32). In addition, limitations with capturing Na intake by 24h recall data do exist⁽⁴²⁾. For instance, systematic error in assessment of Na intake with 24h recall can occur due to underreporting of intake by participants (43). Response bias may also lead to misclassification errors in PDQ, particularly with use of a single-item question to ascertain PDQ. The single-item question used to measure PDQ has not been tested for reliability or validity; however, it is the main method used to measure PDQ in prior studies and is a part of the validated DBQ. There may also be limitations regarding the reliability of PDQ measurements in racial/ ethnic populations; particularly in the Mexican-American population. Use of a nutrient-based DASH index to measure objective diet quality also has limitations. Because the DASH trial was designed to test a dietary pattern, rather than a single nutrient or macronutrient, food-based DASH index scores may have been more instructive than this nutrient-based DASH index score. However, food- and nutrient-based indices both appear to capture key features of the DASH dietary pattern. Therefore, use of the nutrient-based index provides insight into how PDQ aligns with the DASH dietary pattern. Moreover, the approach used by Mellen et al. in developing a nutrient-based DASH index score is consistent with the nutrient goals that were inherent in the design of the DASH trials and research utilizing the DASH Eating Plan as a whole (28).

Conclusions

In conclusion, higher PDQ among US adults is associated with higher diet quality as measured by a nutrient-based DASH index. However, even among adult populations with the highest PDQ, measured diet quality was not accordant with DASH recommendations, particularly for Na intake. Public health efforts to align actual diet quality with dietary guidelines for the US adult population could account for PDQ as a potential psychosocial barrier to improving dietary habits. In addition, more research is needed to define measures of PDQ that reliably identify differences in perceived and objective diet quality across all racial and ethnic US populations.

Acknowledgements

Sources of funding: Funding for T.M.P.-W. and P.A. was provided by the Division of Intramural Research of the

National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI) of the National Institutes of Health (NIH). The Division of Intramural Research at NHLBI, NIH had no role in the design, analysis or writing of this article. Conflict of interest: None. Ethics: The NHANES protocol was approved by the National Center for Health Statistics Research Ethics Review Board. Authors' contributions: T.M.P.-W., P.E.M., T.A.-C. and J.R. contributed to the development of the study questions and analytical strategy. Data analyses were conducted by T.M.P.-W., P.E.M., P.A., T.A.-C. and J.R. T.M.P.-W. and P.A. drafted the manuscript and all authors critically reviewed and revised versions. All authors read and approved the final manuscript. Acknowledgements: The authors thank Lisa Kahle for data preparation and programming, and the NHANES survey participants for their willingness to provide information that is widely used for research to improve public health.

References

- Flegal KM, Carroll MD, Kit BK et al. (2012) Prevalence of obesity and trends in the distribution of body mass index among US adults, 1999–2010. JAMA 307, 491–497.
- Wang YC, McPherson K, Marsh T et al. (2011) Health and economic burden of the projected obesity trends in the USA and the UK. Lancet 378, 815–825.
- Sidney S, Rosamond WD, Howard VJ et al. (2013)
 The 'Heart Disease and Stroke Statistics–2013 Update' and the need for a national cardiovascular surveillance system. Circulation 127, 21–23.
- Flegal KM, Kit BK, Orpana H et al. (2013) Association of allcause mortality with overweight and obesity using standard body mass index categories: a systematic review and metaanalysis. JAMA 309, 71–82.
- Guenther PM, Dodd KW, Reedy J et al. (2006) Most Americans eat much less than recommended amounts of fruits and vegetables. J Am Diet Assoc 106, 1371–1379.
- Bachman JL, Reedy J, Subar AF et al. (2008) Sources of food group intakes among the US population, 2001–2002. J Am Diet Assoc 108, 804–814.
- Krebs-Smith SM, Guenther PM, Subar AF et al. (2010) Americans do not meet federal dietary recommendations. J Nutr 140, 1832–1838.
- 8. Center for Nutrition Policy and Promotion, US Department of Agriculture (2010) *Dietary Guidelines for Americans 2010*. Alexandria, VA: USDA; available at http://www.cnpp.usda.gov/Publications/DietaryGuidelines/2010/PolicyDoc/PolicyDoc.pdf
- Risk Factor Monitoring Branch, Applied Research Program, Division of Cancer Control and Prevention Sciences, National Cancer Institute (2010) Sources of Energy among the US Population, 2005–06. http://riskfactor.cancer.gov/ diet/foodsources/energy/ (accessed December 2013).
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (2011) Health Disparities and Inequalities Report – United States. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 60, 1–116.
- Kirkpatrick SI, Dodd KW, Reedy J et al. (2012) Income and race/ethnicity are associated with adherence to food-based dietary guidance among US adults and children. J Acad Nutr Diet 112, 624–635.e6.
- Wright JD & Wang CY (2011) Awareness of federal dietary guidance in persons aged 16 years and older: results from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2005–2006. J Am Diet Assoc 111, 295–300.

- 13. Lovasi GS, Hutson MA, Guerra M *et al.* (2009) Built environments and obesity in disadvantaged populations. *Epidemiol Rev* **31**, 7–20.
- 14. Diez Roux AV & Mair C (2010) Neighborhoods and health. Ann N Y Acad Sci 1186, 125–145.
- Rehm CD, Monsivais P & Drewnowski A (2011) The quality and monetary value of diets consumed by adults in the United States. Am J Clin Nutr 94, 1333–1339.
- Shaikh AR, Yaroch AL, Nebeling L et al. (2008) Psychosocial predictors of fruit and vegetable consumption in adults a review of the literature. Am J Prev Med 34, 535–543.
- Brug J, Van Assema P, Kok G et al. (1994) Self rated dietary fat intake: association with objective assessement of fat psychosocial factors, and intention to change. J Nutr Educ 26, 218–223.
- Variyam JN, Shim Y & Blaylock J (2001) Consumer misperceptions of diet quality. J Nutr Educ 33, 314–321.
- Lechner L, Brug J & De Vries H (1997) Misconceptions of fruit and vegetable consumption: differences between objective and subjective estimation of intake. *J Nutr Educ* 29, 313–320.
- Appel LJ, Moore TJ, Obarzanek E et al. (1997) A clinical trial of the effects of dietary patterns on blood pressure. DASH Collaborative Research Group. N Engl J Med 336, 1117–1124.
- Karanja NM, Obarzanek E, Lin PH et al. (1999) Descriptive characteristics of the dietary patterns used in the Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension Trial. DASH Collaborative Research Group. J Am Diet Assoc 99, 8 Suppl., S19–S27.
- Sacks FM, Svetkey LP, Vollmer WM et al. (2001) Effects on blood pressure of reduced dietary sodium and the Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension (DASH) diet. DASH-Sodium Collaborative Research Group. N Engl J Med 344, 3–10.
- Appel IJ, Brands MW, Daniels SR et al. (2006) Dietary approaches to prevent and treat hypertension: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. Hypertension 47, 296–308.
- Svetkey LP, Stevens VJ, Brantley PJ et al. (2008) Comparison of strategies for sustaining weight loss: the weight loss maintenance randomized controlled trial. JAMA 299, 1139–1148.
- Gunther AL, Liese AD, Bell RA et al. (2009) Association between the dietary approaches to hypertension diet and hypertension in youth with diabetes mellitus. Hypertension 53, 6–12.
- Dixon LB, Subar AF, Peters U et al. (2007) Adherence to the USDA Food Guide, DASH Eating Plan, and Mediterranean dietary pattern reduces risk of colorectal adenoma. J Nutr 137, 2443–2450.
- Fung TT, Chiuve SE, McCullough ML et al. (2008) Adherence to a DASH-style diet and risk of coronary heart disease and stroke in women. Arch Intern Med 168, 713–720.
- 28. Mellen PB, Gao SK, Vitolins MZ *et al.* (2008) Deteriorating dietary habits among adults with hypertension: DASH dietary accordance, NHANES 1988–1994 and 1999–2004. *Arch Intern Med* **168**, 308–314.
- 29. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics (2005–2006) National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey Data. Hyattsville, MD:

- US DHHS, CDC; available at http://wwwn.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/search/nhanes05_06.aspx
- 30. National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Obesity Education Initiative Expert Panel on the Identification, Evaluation, and Treatment of Overweight and Obesity in Adults (1998) Clinical Guidelines on the Identification, Evaluation, and Treatment of Overweight and Obesity in Adults: the Evidence Report. NIH Publication no. 98-4083. Bethesda, MD: US DHHS, Public Health Service, NIH, NHLBI.
- 31. US Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service, Food Surveys Research Group (2008) Food and Nutrient Database for Dietary Studies, 3.0. Beltsville, MD: USDA ARS; available at http://www.ars.usda.gov/Services/docs.htm?docid=17031
- 32. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics (2005 –2006) National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey Dietary Behavior and Nutrition Questionnaire. http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nhanes/nhanes_05_06/sp_dbq_d.pdf (accessed December 2013).
- 33. Johansson G, Wikman A, Ahren AM et al. (2001) Underreporting of energy intake in repeated 24-hour recalls related to gender, age, weight status, day of interview, educational level, reported food intake, smoking habits and area of living. Public Health Nutr 4, 919–927.
- 34. Institute of Medicine (2004) Dietary Reference Intakes for Water, Potassium, Sodium, Chloride, and Sulfate. Washington, DC: National Academies Press.
- 35. Institute of Medicine Medicine (2005) Dietary Reference Intakes for Energy, Carbohydrate, Fiber, Fat, Fatty Acids, Cholesterol, Protein, and Amino Acids (Macronutrients). Washington, DC: National Academies Press.
- Brownawell AM & Falk MC (2010) Cholesterol: where science and public health policy intersect. *Nutr Rev* 68, 355–364.
- Appel LJ, Angell SY, Cobb LK et al. (2012) Population-wide sodium reduction: the bumpy road from evidence to policy. Ann Epidemiol 22, 417–425.
- Lloyd-Jones DM, Hong Y, Labarthe D et al. (2010) Defining and setting national goals for cardiovascular health promotion and disease reduction: the American Heart Association's strategic Impact Goal through 2020 and beyond. Circulation 121, 586–613.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (2012) CDC Grand Rounds: dietary sodium reduction – time for choice. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 61, 89–91.
- US Department of Agriculture, Center for Nutrition Policy and Promotion (2012) MyPlate. http://www.choosemyplate. gov/food-groups/ (accessed August 2012).
- American Heart Association (2012) Salty Six-Common Food Loaded with Excess Sodium. http://www.heart.org/ HEARTORG/General/Salty-Six---Common-Foods-Loadedwith-Excess-Sodium_UCM_446090_Article.jsp (accessed November 2013).
- Willett W (1998) Nutritional Epidemiology. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Espeland MA, Kumanyika S, Wilson AC et al. (2001) Statistical issues in analyzing 24-hour dietary recall and 24-hour urine collection data for sodium and potassium intakes. Am J Epidemiol 153, 996–1006.