# ON SUBTREES OF DIRECTED GRAPHS WITH NO PATH OF LENGTH EXCEEDING ONE

## BY

## R. L. GRAHAM

The following theorem was conjectured to hold by P. Erdös [1]:

THEOREM 1. For each finite directed tree T with no directed path of length 2, there exists a constant c(T) such that if G is any directed graph with n vertices and at least c(T) n edges and n is sufficiently large, then T is a subgraph of G.

In this note we give a proof of this conjecture. In order to prove Theorem 1, we first need to establish the following weaker result.

THEOREM 2. For each finite directed tree T with no directed path of length 2, there exists a constant c'(T) such that if G is any directed graph with no directed path of length 2, n vertices and at least c'(T) edges, and n is sufficiently large, then T is a subgraph of G.

**Proof of Theorem 2.** First note that if G has no directed path of length 2, then each vertex of G is either a *source* (all edges directed out), a *sink* (all edges directed in), or *isolated*.

Define the graph A(d, k) for  $d \ge 2, k \ge 0$ , as follows:

A(d, 0) consists of a single isolated vertex p.

A(d, k) is formed from A(d, k-1) by adjoining to each vertex of degree 1, d new edges and vertices so that the resulting graph still has no path of length 2, where for k=1 we take p to be a *source*.

Thus, A(d, k) consists of the vertex p surrounded by k alternating layers of sinks and sources (cf. Figure 1).

The *j*th layers of A(d, k) consists of  $d^j$  vertices. We note the immediate

Fact. If T is a directed tree with no directed path of length 2, if the longest undirected path in T has length m, and if the maximal degree of a vertex of T is d, then T is a subgraph of A(d, m+1).

We now prove by induction on k that Theorem 2 holds for T = A(d, k). By the preceding fact, this is sufficient to establish Theorem 2 for general T.

For k=0, this is immediate. Assume the result holds for a fixed  $k \ge 0$  and all d. Let D denote  $1+d+d^2+\cdots+d^k$ , the total number of vertices of A(d, k) and let M=D+d. Let C denote  $c'(A(d, k))+d^kM$  which exists by the induction hypothesis. Suppose G is a graph with no directed path of length 2, n vertices and at least Cn edges, where n is a large integer to be specified later. Assume further that k is even

3-с.м.в.

Received by the editors November 11, 1969.

<sup>329</sup> 



(the case of k odd is similar and will be omitted). Form the subgraph G' of G by deleting from G all *source* vertices of degree  $\leq d^k M$ , of which there are, say, u of these, and their incident edges. Note that this operation does not decrease the degree of any vertex of G of degree  $> d^k M$ . By construction, in G' all source vertices have degree  $> d^k M$ . By the choice of C, we have u < n. Since we have removed at most  $ud^k M$  edges from G in forming G', then G' has n-u vertices and at least

$$Cn - ud^{k}M \ge c'(A(d, k))n + (n - u)d^{k}M$$
$$\ge c'(A(d, k))n$$
$$\ge c'(A(d, k))(n - u)$$

edges. Since G' has less than  $(n-u)^2$  edges then

$$(n-u)^2 > c'(A(d, k))n$$

and

$$n-u > \sqrt{c'(A(d,k))n}.$$

For *n* sufficiently large, n-u becomes arbitrarily large and we may apply the induction hypothesis to G'. This implies that G' contains a copy of A(d, k) as a subgraph. Let us examine the outside layer of vertices of this subgraph A(d, k), i.e., the vertices of degree 1. Since k is even (by assumption), these vertices are sources. Denote them by  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{a^k}$ . With each  $v_i$ , we associate the set  $S_i$  of vertices of G' which are adjacent to  $v_i$ . That is,  $s \in S_i$  if and only if  $(v_i, s)$  is an edge of G'. By the construction of G',  $|S_i| > d^k M$ . It is not difficult to see that this implies that we can extract a system of disjoint representative subsets  $R_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le d^k$ , i.e., a set of subsets such that:

(i) 
$$R_i \cap R_j = \emptyset$$
 for  $i \neq j$ ,

https://doi.org/10.4153/CMB-1970-063-1 Published online by Cambridge University Press

- (ii)  $R_i \subseteq S_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le d^k$ ,
- (iii)  $|R_i| = M, \quad 1 \le i \le d^k.$

Finally, form  $R'_i$  from  $R_i$  by deleting all vertices which lie in the subgraph  $A(d, k) \subseteq G'$ . Thus,  $|R'_i| \ge M - D = d$  for  $1 \le i \le d^k$ . By reconnecting the vertices of the  $R'_i$  to the subgraph A(d, k) so that they are sinks, we see that we have  $A(d, k+1) \subseteq G' \subseteq G$ . The case for odd k is similar. This completes the induction step and Theorem 2 is proved.

**Proof of Theorem 1.** Let G be a directed graph with n vertices and at least 2c'(A(D+d, k))n edges. We shall show that for n sufficiently large, A(d, k) is a subgraph of G. By choosing c(A(d, k)) = 2c'(A(D+d, k)), Theorem 1 will then be established for T = A(d, k), and by a previous remark, this suffices to prove it for general T.

We can assume G has no isolated vertices (for otherwise they may be deleted without harm). Form the graph  $G^*$  from G by the following operation: Replace each vertex v of G by a *pair* of vertices v', v" such that all directed edges going into v now go into v', and all directed edges going away from v now go away from v" (cf. Figure 2). The vertices v' and v" will be called *mates* of one another.



FIG. 2

 $G^*$  has the property that it has no path of length 2, it has  $n^* \leq 2n$  vertices and at least

$$2c'(A(D+d,k))n \ge c'(A(D+d,k))n^*$$

edges. Hence, for *n* sufficiently large, we may apply Theorem 2 to  $G^*$ . This implies that  $G^*$  contains the subgraph A(D+d, k).

1970]

### R. L. GRAHAM

We next recursively delete certain vertices and edges from  $G^*$  as follows:

(1) Delete from  $A(D+d, k) \subseteq G^*$  the mate m(p) of p (the central vertex of A(D+d, k)), all edges incident to m(p) and all other vertices and edges of A(D+d, k) which are not connected to p after the deletion of m(p).

(2) Next select d of the remaining first level vertices of A(D+d, k), say,  $u_1$ ,  $u_2, \ldots, u_d$ , and delete all the other first level vertices, incident edges and new components formed by these deletions.

(3) For each of the  $u_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le d$  (which are sinks) delete from what is currently left of A(D+d, k) the mates  $m(u_i)$  of the  $u_i$ , all incident edges and all newly formed components (i.e., vertices and edges not connected to p). Since each  $u_i$  is originally adjacent to  $D+d\ge 1+d+d$  vertices in the second level, then after this deletion each  $u_i$  is now still adjacent to at least d vertices on the second level.

(4) For each  $u_i$ , select d of the second level vertices to which it is adjacent, say,  $u_{i1}, u_{i2}, \ldots, u_{id}$ , and delete all remaining second level vertices, incident edges and new components.

( $\omega$ ) We can continue this construction since  $D = 1 + d + \cdots + d^k$  until we have finally constructed by selective deletions a copy of A(d, k) with the important property that this A(d, k) does not contain both a vertex and its mate. This, however, is sufficient to guarantee that A(d, k) is a subgraph of the original graph G. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

#### Reference

1. P. Erdös, (personal communication).

Bell Telephone Laboratories, Inc. Murray Hill, New Jersey

332