

STUDIES IN SECOND LANGUAGE ACQUISITION

Information for Contributors

For guidelines and requirements regarding manuscript submission, please consult the *SSLA* website at <http://journals.cambridge.org/sla>. Click on the Journal Information tab which will lead you to Information for Contributors. Potential authors are advised that all manuscripts are internally reviewed for both content and formatting/style in order to determine their suitability for external evaluation.

Research Article. These manuscripts may be essays or empirical studies, either of which must be motivated by current theoretical issues in second and subsequent language acquisition or heritage language acquisition, including methodological issues in research design and issues related to the context of learning. Maximum length is 11,000 words all-inclusive (i.e., abstract, text, tables, figures, references, notes, and appendices intended for publication must all fall within the 11,000 word limit).

Research Report. These manuscripts are shorter empirical studies motivated by current theoretical issues in second and subsequent language acquisition or heritage language acquisition, including methodological issues in research design. Very often, these are narrowly focused studies or they present part of the results of a larger project in progress. The background and motivation sections are generally shorter than research articles. Maximum length is 6,000 words all-inclusive (i.e., abstract, text, tables, figures, references, notes, and appendices intended for publication must all fall within the 6,000 word limit).

Replication Study. These manuscripts are shorter empirical studies motivated by a previously published study (not necessarily one published in *SSLA*). The background and motivation sections will necessarily be shorter compared to research articles as the maximum length is 6,000 words all-inclusive (i.e., abstract, text, tables, figures, references, notes, and appendices intended for publication must all fall within the 6,000 word limit).

State-of-the-Scholarship Article. These manuscripts are essays that review the extant research on a particular theme or theoretical issue, offering a summary of findings and making critical observations on the research to date. Manuscripts in this category typically fall within the 11,000-word limit; however, longer manuscripts may be considered on a case-by-case basis.

Critical Commentary. These manuscripts are shorter essays (i.e., non-empirical) motivated by current theory and issues in second and subsequent language acquisition or heritage language acquisition, including methodological issues in research design and issues related to the context of learning. Maximum length is 6,000 words all-inclusive (i.e., abstract, text, tables, figures, references, notes, and appendices intended for publication must all fall within the 6,000 word limit).

Methods Forum. Recognizing the need to discuss and advance SLA research methods, these manuscripts seek to advance methodological understanding, training, and practices in the field. Submissions can be conceptual or empirical; we also encourage articles introducing novel techniques. All research paradigms, epistemologies, ontologies, and theoretical frameworks relevant to SLA are welcome. The target length is up to 11,000 words, although longer manuscripts will be considered with justification.

All manuscripts in all categories are peer reviewed and subject to the same high standards for publication in *SSLA*.

Studies in Second Language Acquisition

Volume 44 Number 1 March 2022

ANNOUNCEMENT

The 2021 Albert Valdman Award 1

RESEARCH ARTICLES

- The Effects of Immediate and Delayed Corrective Feedback on L2 Development*
Mengxia Fu and Shaofeng Li 2–34
- How Does Mode of Input Affect the Incidental Learning of Collocations?*
Stuart Webb and Anna C.-S. Chang 35–56
- Domain-General Auditory Processing Explains Multiple Dimensions of L2 Acquisition in Adulthood*
Kazuya Saito, Hui Sun, Magdalena Kachlicka, John Robert Carvajal Alayo, Tatsuya Nakata, and Adam Tierney 57–86
- Formal Versus Informal L2 Learning: How do Individual Differences and Word-Related Variables Influence French and English L2 Vocabulary Learning in Dutch-Speaking Children?*
Vanessa De Wilde, Marc Brysbaert, and June Eyckmans 87–111
- Lexical Competence Underlying Second Language Word Association Tasks: Examining the Construct Validity of Response Type and Response Time Measures*
Masaki Eguchi, Shungo Suzuki, and Yuichi Suzuki 112–142
- The Perception of Arabic Vowel Duration by L1 Hebrew Speakers: Can a Short Training Remold the Effect of the Native Phonological System?*
Yael Zaltz and Osnat Segal 143–163
- Basic Psychological Needs, Motivational Orientations, Effort, and Vocabulary Knowledge: A Comprehensive Model*
Abdullah Alamer 164–184
- Do Learners Connect Sociophonetic Variation with Regional and Social Characteristics? The Case of L2 Perception of Spanish Aspiration*
Whitney Chappell and Matthew Kanwit 185–209
- Acquiring L2 Pronoun Interpretation Biases: Implicit Learning at the Discourse Level in L2 English*
Carla Contemori, Sabrina Mossman, and Alba K. Ramos 210–227
- Classroom Learners' Acquisition of the Spanish Copula with Adjectives: The Case of Korean Learners*
Avizia Yim Long 228–254
- Beyond Linguistic Features: Exploring Behavioral and Affective Correlates of Comprehensible Second Language Speech*
Charles L. Nagle, Pavel Trofimovich, Mary Grantham O'Brien, and Sara Kennedy 255–270

METHODS FORUM

- Elicited Imitation as a Measure of L2 Proficiency: New Insights from a Comparison of Two L2 English Parallel Forms*
Shu-Ling Wu, Yee Pin Tio, and Lourdes Ortega 271–300

ERRATUM

- The Effects of Immediate and Delayed Corrective Feedback on L2 Development – Erratum*
Mengxia Fu and Shaofeng Li 301

ADDENDUM

- Elicited Imitation as a Measure of L2 Proficiency: New Insights from a Comparison of Two L2 English Parallel Forms – Addendum*
Shu-Ling Wu, Yee Pin Tio, and Lourdes Ortega 302

Cambridge Core

For further information about this journal please
go to the journal website at:
[cambridge.org/sla](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0272263122000134)

CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS