# COPERFECT MONOIDS by VICTORIA GOULD

(Received 13 September, 1985)

**1. Introduction.** Throughout this paper S will denote a given monoid, that is, a semigroup with an identity. A set A is a *right S-system* if there is a map  $\phi: A \times S \rightarrow A$  satisfying

 $\phi(a, 1) = a$  and  $\phi(a, st) = \phi(\phi(a, s), t)$ 

for any element a of A and any elements s,t of S. For  $\phi(a, s)$  we write as and we refer to right S-systems simply as S-systems. One has the obvious definitions of an S-subsystem and an S-homomorphism.

Clearly S-systems provide the semigroup theory analogue of R-modules over a ring R. Further, many of the properties defined for S-systems are inspired by the corresponding definitions in ring theory. In particular we have projective, flat and injective S-systems, where flatness for S-systems weakens the concept of projectivity, as is the case for modules.

Many papers have been published which characterise monoids by properties of their S-systems, for example [4], [9], [10]. The properties we consider here are those of injectivity and  $\alpha$ -injectivity, where  $\alpha$  is any cardinal strictly greater than 1. The definition and some of the basic properties of these concepts are given in Section 2. The notion of  $\alpha$ -injectivity was introduced for R-modules over a ring R in [3] and for S-systems in [6]. For both R-modules and S-systems the usual terminology for  $\aleph_0$ -injective is weakly f-injective and for 2-injective is weakly p-injective. Further, if T is a semigroup or a ring and  $\gamma(T)$  is a cardinal such that every right ideal of T has a generating set of fewer than  $\gamma(T)$  elements, then one writes weakly injective for  $\gamma(T)$ -injective. In the case of R-modules, weak injectivity coincides with injectivity, but this is not always true for S-systems [1].

Monoids over which all S-systems are  $\alpha$ -injective (for any cardinal  $\alpha > 1$ ) are characterised in [6]. In Section 3 we classify monoids over which all  $\alpha$ -injective S-systems are  $\beta$ -injective, for various choices of cardinals  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta > 1$ . Our proofs are based on the construction of an  $\alpha$ -injective S-system  $A^{\{\alpha\}}$  containing any given S-system A. This method generalises the construction of the divisible S-system  $\overline{A}$  detailed in [7], where we classify monoids for whose S-systems the notions of divisibility and weak p-injectivity coincide.

The monoid S is said to be *perfect* if all flat S-systems are projective. Perfect monoids have been studied and characterised in [5] and [9]. It is clear that injectivity is a property dual to that of projectivity. By analogy with the definition of a coflat module given in [2], we introduce in [6] the concept of coflatness for S-systems as a notion dual to that of

The author acknowledges the support of the Science and Engineering Research Council in the form of a Research Studentship.

Glasgow Math. J. 29 (1987) 73-88.

flatness. However, Corollary 3.4 of [6] gives that an S-system is coflat if and only if it is weakly p-injective. In Section 4 we characterise the monoids that are dual to the perfect monoids, that is, those monoids over which all coflat S-systems are injective. We call such monoids *coperfect*.

I would like to record my thanks to Dr J. B. Fountain for his advice and encouragement whilst this work was in progress.

2. Preliminaries. An S-system A is *injective* if given any diagram of S-systems and S-homomorphisms,



where  $\phi: N \to M$  is an injection, there exists an S-homomorphism  $\psi: M \to A$  such that



is commutative. By imposing conditions on M and N we weaken this definition to obtain the concept of  $\alpha$ -injectivity, as follows. Let  $\alpha$  be any cardinal strictly greater than 1. Then an S-system A is  $\alpha$ -injective if given any diagram of the form,



where *I* is a right ideal of *S* with a generating set of fewer than  $\alpha$  elements,  $\iota: I \to S$  is the inclusion mapping and  $\theta: I \to A$  is an *S*-homomorphism, then there exists an *S*-homomorphism  $\psi: S \to A$  such that



is commutative.

It is clear that an injective S-system is  $\alpha$ -injective for any  $\alpha$  and that an  $\alpha$ -injective S-system is  $\beta$ -injective for any cardinal  $\beta$  such that  $1 < \beta \le \alpha$ . Let  $\gamma = \gamma(S)$  be a cardinal such that every right ideal of S has a generating set of fewer than  $\gamma$  elements. As pointed out in the introduction, the usual terminology for  $\gamma$ -injective is weakly injective. Further, we write weakly f-injective for  $\aleph_0$ -injective and weakly p-injective for 2-injective.

We say that an S-system A satisfies the  $\alpha$ -Baer criterion for a cardinal  $\alpha > 1$  if, given any right ideal I of S with a generating set of fewer than  $\alpha$  elements, then for any S-homomorphism  $\theta: I \to A$  there is an element a in A such that  $\theta$  is given by  $\theta(r) = ar$  for all r in I.

Given a system of equations  $\Sigma$  with constants from the S-system A, then  $\Sigma$  is *consistent* if  $\Sigma$  has a solution in some S-system containing A. If all equations in  $\Sigma$  are of the form xs = a, where  $s \in S$  and  $a \in A$ , and if the same variable appears in each, then  $\Sigma$  is an  $\alpha$ -system over A, where  $\alpha$  is any cardinal larger than that of  $\Sigma$ . Thus  $\Sigma$  is an  $\alpha$ -system over A if and only if  $\Sigma$  has the form

$$\Sigma = \{xs_i = a_i : j \in J, |J| < \alpha, s_i \in S, a_i \in A\}.$$

We will rely on the following two results from [6].

LEMMA 2.1. Let A be an S-system and let

 $\Sigma = \{xs_j = a_j : j \in J, |J| < \alpha, s_j \in S, a_j \in A\}$ 

be an  $\alpha$ -system over A. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(i)  $\Sigma$  is consistent,

(ii) for all elements h, k of S and for all elements i, j of J,

$$s_i h = s_i k \Rightarrow a_i h = a_i k.$$

**PROPOSITION 2.2.** Let  $\alpha > 1$  be a cardinal. Then the following conditions are equivalent for an S-system A:

(i) every consistent  $\alpha$ -system over A has a solution in A,

(ii) A satisfies the  $\alpha$ -Baer criterion,

(iii) A is  $\alpha$ -injective.

For an S-system A and a subset H of  $A \times A$ , then by  $\rho(H)$  we denote the congruence generated by H, that is, the smallest congruence relation v over A such that  $H \subseteq v$ .

LEMMA 2.3 [10]. The ordered pair (a, b) is in  $\rho(H)$  if and only if a = b or there exists a natural number n and a sequence

$$a = c_1 t_1, d_1 t_1 = c_2 t_2, \ldots, d_{n-1} t_{n-1} = c_n t_n, d_n t_n = b,$$

where  $t_1, \ldots, t_n$  are elements of S and for each  $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$  either  $(c_i, d_i)$  or  $(d_i, c_i)$  is in H.

A sequence as in Lemma 3.3 will be referred to as a  $\rho(H)$ -sequence of length n. For any congruence  $\rho$  on A the set of congruence classes of  $\rho$  can be made into an S-system, with the obvious action of S. We write  $A/\rho$  to denote this S-system and  $[a]_{\rho}$ , or simply [a]where  $\rho$  is understood, for the  $\rho$ -class of an element a of A.

3. Characterising monoids by their  $\alpha$ -injective S-systems. Let  $\alpha$  be any cardinal with  $1 < \alpha \leq \aleph_0$ . We begin this section by detailing a construction of an  $\alpha$ -injective S-system  $A^{[\alpha]}$  containing an arbitrary given S-system A.

Firstly, we define  $\Sigma_0, F_0, H_0$  and  $A_1$  as follows: for any natural number *n*, where  $1 \le n < \alpha$ , let

$$\Sigma_0^n = \{ ((s_1, a_1), \dots, (s_n, a_n)) \in (S \times A)^n :$$
  
s, t \in S, i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}, s\_i s = s\_j t implies that  $a_i s = a_j t \}.$ 

Then we put

$$\Sigma_0 = \bigcup_{n < \alpha} \Sigma_0^n,$$
$$F_0 = \bigcup \{ x_\sigma S : \sigma \in \Sigma_0 \}$$

that is,  $F_0$  is the free S-system on  $\{x_{\sigma} : \sigma \in \Sigma_0\}$ ,

$$H_0 = \{ (x_{\sigma}s_i, a_i) : \sigma \in \Sigma_0^n, n < \alpha, (s_i, a_i) = \sigma_i, i \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \},\$$

where  $\sigma_i$  is the *i*th component of the row vector  $\sigma$ . Now let

$$A_1 = (A \cup F_0)/\rho(H_0).$$

Suppose now that  $a_1, a_2 \in A$  and  $[a_1] = [a_2]$  in  $A_1$ . Thus  $a_1 = a_2$  or  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  are connected via a  $\rho(H_0)$ -sequence, which it is easy to see must be of even length. If

$$a_1 = c_1 t_1, \ d_1 t_1 = c_2 t_2, \ d_2 t_2 = a_2$$

is a  $\rho(H_0)$ -sequence, then  $c_1 \in A$  and so  $(c_1, d_1) = (a_i, x_\sigma s_i)$  for some  $(x_\sigma s_i, a_i) \in H_0$ , where  $\sigma \in \Sigma_0^n$  say,  $n < \alpha$ . From  $d_1 t_1 = c_2 t_2$  it follows that there exists a  $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$  with  $c_2 = x_\sigma s_j$ ,  $d_2 = a_j$  and  $(s_j, a_j) = \sigma_j$ . Then  $x_\sigma s_i t_1 = x_\sigma s_j t_2$  gives  $s_i t_1 = s_j t_2$  and so from the definition of  $\Sigma_0$ ,  $a_i t_1 = a_i t_2$ . Hence

$$a_1 = c_1 t_1 = a_i t_1 = a_j t_2 = d_2 t_2 = a_2.$$

We now let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , m > 1 and make the inductive assumption that if  $b_1, b_2$  are elements of A connected by a  $\rho(H_0)$ -sequence of (necessarily even) length less than 2m, then  $b_1 = b_2$ .

Suppose that

$$a_1 = c_1 t_1, \ d_1 t_2 = c_2 t_2, \ldots, \ d_{2m} t_{2m} = a_2$$

is a  $\rho(H_0)$ -sequence connecting  $a_1$  and  $a_2$ . As above,  $a_1 = d_2 t_2$  and so

$$a_1 = c_3 t_3, \ldots, d_{2m} t_{2m} = a_2$$

is a  $\rho(H_0)$ -sequence of length 2(m-1) connecting  $a_1$  and  $a_2$ , thus  $a_1 = a_2$  by the inductive assumption. Hence A is embedded in  $A_1$  and we may identify the element  $a \in A$  with the element [a] of  $A_1$ .

In a similar manner one constructs a sequence  $A_1 \subseteq A_2 \subseteq \ldots$  using  $\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2, \ldots, F_1, F_2, \ldots$  and  $H_1, H_2, \ldots$ , where  $\Sigma_i, F_i$  and  $H_i$  are defined using  $A_i$  in the same way that  $\Sigma_0, F_0$  and  $H_0$  are defined in terms of A. Although  $\Sigma_0 \subseteq \Sigma_1 \subseteq \ldots$ , at each

76

stage we choose a basis for  $F_i$  which is disjoint from the bases used for  $F_0, F_1, \ldots, F_{i-1}$ . For ease of notation we make the convention that for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the  $\rho(H_n)$ -class of an element a of  $A_n \cup F_n$  will be denoted by  $[a]_n$ .

Now put  $A^{[\alpha]} = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} A_i$ , where  $A_0$  is identified with A. We claim that  $A^{[\alpha]}$  is  $\alpha$ -injective.

Let  $I = \bigcup_{k \in K} s_k S$  be a right ideal of S where  $|K| < \alpha$ . Suppose that  $\theta: I \to A^{[\alpha]}$  is an

S-homomorphism. Then for any  $i, j \in K$  and  $s, t \in S$ ,  $s_j s = s_k t$  implies that  $\theta(s_j)s = \theta(s_k)t$ , since  $\theta$  is well-defined. Since  $\alpha \leq \aleph_0$ , K is a finite set and so we may assume that  $K = \{1, \ldots, m\}$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $m < \alpha$ . Clearly there is an  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $\theta(s_k) \in A_n$  for all  $k \in K$ . Thus

$$\sigma = ((s_1, \theta(s_1)), \ldots, (s_m, \theta(s_m)))$$

is an element of  $\Sigma_n$  and  $[y_{\sigma}]_n$  is an element of  $A_{n+1}$ , where  $\{y_{\sigma} : \sigma \in \Sigma_n\}$  is the basis of  $F_n$ . Since  $A_{n+1} \subseteq A^{\{\alpha\}}$ ,  $[y_{\sigma}]_n$  is an element of  $A^{[\alpha]}$ . Further, for any  $k \in K$ ,

$$\theta(s_k) = [\theta(s_k)]_n = [y_\sigma s_k]_n = [y_\sigma]_n s_k$$

and it follows that  $\theta(s) = [y_{\sigma}]_n s$  for all  $s \in I$ . Thus  $A^{[\alpha]}$  has the  $\alpha$ -Baer criterion and so by Proposition 2.2,  $A^{[\alpha]}$  is  $\alpha$ -injective.

The results of this paper are all dependent upon the structure of  $A^{[\alpha]}$ .

**PROPOSITION 3.1.** Let  $\alpha > 1$  be a cardinal. Then the following conditions are equivalent for the monoid S:

(i) all right ideals of S with a generating set of fewer than  $\alpha$  elements are principal,

(ii) all weakly p-injective S-systems are  $\alpha$ -injective.

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Given (i) it is clear that the notions of weak p-injectivity and  $\alpha$ -injectivity coincide for S-systems; thus (ii) holds.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). To show that this implication holds we need a technical lemma.

LEMMA 3.2. Let A be an S-system and let  $A^{[2]}$  be constructed as above. Suppose that there exists an element b of  $A_n$ , n > 0, such that  $A \subseteq bS$ . Then there exists an element c in  $A_{n-1}$  with  $A \subseteq cS$ .

*Proof.* We may assume that  $b \in A_n \setminus A_{n-1}$ , otherwise there is nothing to prove. If  $b \in A_n \setminus A_{n-1}$  then b has the form  $b = [y_\sigma u]_{n-1}$  where  $u \in S$ ,  $\sigma \in \Sigma_{n-1}$  and  $\{y_\sigma : \sigma \in \Sigma_{n-1}\}$  is the basis of  $F_{n-1}$ . Given any  $a \in A$  there exists  $v \in S$  with a = bv, that is,  $[a]_{n-1} = [y_\sigma uv]_{n-1}$ . Since  $a \neq y_\sigma uv$  in  $A_{n-1} \cup F_{n-1}$ , we have that a and  $y_\sigma uv$  are connected by a  $\rho(H_{n-1})$ -sequence

$$y_{\sigma}uv = c_1t_1, \ d_1t_1 = c_2t_2, \ldots, \ d_mt_m = a.$$

Thus  $c_1 = y_{\sigma}s$ ,  $d_1 = c$ , where  $c \in A_{n-1}$  and  $\sigma = (s, c)$ . Then  $a, ct_1$  are  $\rho(H_{n-1})$ -related elements of  $A_{n-1}$  and from the construction of  $A_{n-1}$ ,  $a = ct_1$ . Hence  $A \subseteq cS$  and the lemma holds.

Returning to the proof of Proposition 3.1, let  $I = \bigcup_{k \in K} u_k S$  be a right ideal of S where  $|K| < \alpha$ . We form the weakly p-injective S-system  $I^{[2]}$ , which by assumption is  $\alpha$ -injective. Thus there exists an S-homomorphism  $\psi: S \to I^{[2]}$  such that



is commutative, where  $\iota$ ,  $\tau$  are the appropriate inclusion mappings. Then for any  $k \in K$ ,

$$u_k = \tau(u_k) = \psi\iota(u_k) = \psi(u_k) = \psi(1)u_k$$

Hence

$$I = \bigcup_{k \in K} u_k S = \bigcup_{k \in K} \psi(1) u_k S \subseteq \psi(1) S.$$

Now  $\psi(1) \in I_n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $n \neq 0$  then we may apply Lemma 3.2 successively *n* times and obtain an element *c* in *I* such that  $I \subseteq cS$ . Hence in either case *I* is contained in a principal right ideal *sS* of *S*, where  $s \in I$ . It follows that I = sS and so *I* is principal.

COROLLARY 3.3. Let  $\alpha$  be any cardinal such that  $2 < \alpha \leq \aleph_0$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent for the monoid S:

(i) all weakly p-injective S-systems are weakly f-injective,

- (ii) all weakly p-injective S-systems are  $\alpha$ -injective,
- (iii) all weakly p-injective S-systems are 3-injective,
- (iv) all right ideals of S with a generating set of 2 elements are principal,

(v) finitely generated right ideals of S are principal.

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii), (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii). These implications are immediate.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv), (v)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). These follow from Proposition 3.1.

(iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (v). Let  $a, b \in S$ . Then  $aS \cup bS$  is a principal right ideal by (iv) and it follows that  $aS \subseteq bS$  or  $bS \subseteq aS$ . Hence the principal right ideals of S are linearly ordered, giving that finitely generated right ideals of S are principal.

COROLLARY 3.4. The following conditions are equivalent for the monoid S:

(i) S is a principal right ideal monoid,

(ii) all weakly p-injective S-systems are weakly injective.

*Proof.* This is immediate from Proposition 3.1, with  $\alpha = \gamma(S)$ .

In order to establish our next result we need the following technical lemma.

LEMMA 3.5. Let A be an S-system and let  $A^{[\aleph_0]}$  be constructed as above. Suppose that A is contained in a finitely generated S-subsystem of  $A_n$  for some n > 0. Then A is contained in a finitely generated S-subsystem of  $A_{n-1}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $b_1, \ldots, b_m \in A_n$ , n > 0, be such that  $A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^m b_i S$ . If each  $b_i$  is in  $A_{n-1}$  then

there is nothing to prove. Thus we may assume that there is an  $r \in \{1, ..., m\}$  such that  $b_1, \ldots, b_r \in A_n \setminus A_{n-1}$  and  $b_{r+1}, \ldots, b_m \in A_{n-1}$ . From the form of  $A_n$  we have

$$b_i = [y_{\sigma_i} u_i]_{n-1} \qquad (1 \leq i \leq r),$$

where  $\{y_{\sigma}: \sigma \in \Sigma_{n-1}\}$  is the basis of  $F_{n-1}, \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_r \in \Sigma_{n-1}$  and  $u_1, \ldots, u_r \in S$ . Suppose further that for  $i \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$ ,  $\sigma_i \in \Sigma_{n-1}^{p(i)}$  and

$$\sigma_i = ((s_{i1}, c_{i1}), \ldots, (s_{i,p(i)}, c_{i,p(i)})).$$

Let  $a \in A$ . If  $a \in b_i S$  for  $i \in \{1, ..., r\}$  then there exists an element v of S with

$$a = [a]_{n-1} = [y_{\sigma_i} u_i v]_{n-1}.$$

As  $a \neq y_{\alpha}u_iv$  in  $A_{n-1} \cup F_{n-1}$ , there is a  $\rho(H_{n-1})$ -sequence

$$y_{\sigma_i}u_iv = c_1t_1, \ d_1t_1 = c_2t_2, \ldots, \ d_lt_l = a$$

connecting  $y_{\sigma_i}u_iv$  and a. Then there exists an element  $j \in \{1, \ldots, p(i)\}$  such that  $c_1 = y_{\sigma_i}s_{ij}$ ,  $d_1 = c_{ij}$ . Thus a,  $c_{ij}t_1$  are  $\rho(H_{n-1})$ -related elements of  $A_{n-1}$ , giving that  $a = c_{ij}t_1$ . It follows that

$$A \subseteq \left(\bigcup_{\substack{1 \le i \le r \\ 1 \le j \le p(i)}} c_{ij}s\right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{r < k \le m} b_kS\right),$$

so proving the lemma.

**PROPOSITION 3.6.** Let  $\alpha$  be a cardinal no less than  $\aleph_0$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent for the monoid S:

(i) all right ideals of S with a generating set of fewer than  $\alpha$  elements are finitely generated,

(ii) all weakly f-injective S-systems are  $\alpha$ -injective.

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Given (i) we see that the concepts of  $\alpha$  injectivity and weak f-injectivity coincide for S-systems; hence (ii) holds.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Let *I* be a right ideal of *S* with a generating set of fewer than  $\alpha$  elements. We may form  $I^{[\aleph_0]}$  which is an  $\alpha$ -injective *S*-system by assumption. Thus there is an *S*-homomorphism  $\psi: S \rightarrow I^{[\aleph_0]}$  such that



is commutative, where  $\iota, \tau$  are the appropriate inclusion mappings. Let r be any element of I. Then

$$r = \tau(r) = \psi\iota(r) = \psi(r) = \psi(1)r$$

and so  $I \subseteq \psi(1)S$ . If  $\psi(1) \in I$  then

$$I \subseteq \psi(1)S \subseteq IS \subseteq I$$

and so *I* is finitely generated (indeed principal). Otherwise,  $\psi(1) \in I_n \setminus I_{n-1}$  for some n > 0. Then  $\psi(1)S \subseteq I_n$  and so  $\psi(1)S$  is a finitely generated S-subsystem of  $I_n$ . Applying Lemma 3.5 *n* times, one sees that *I* is contained in a finitely generated S-subsystem of *I*. Clearly then *I* is finitely generated.

The monoid S is *noetherian* if S satisfies the ascending chain condition on right ideals. It is well known that this is equivalent to all right ideals of S being finitely generated.

COROLLARY 3.7. Let  $\beta$  be a cardinal with  $\gamma(S) \ge \beta \ge \aleph_1$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent for the monoid S:

(i) S is noetherian,

(ii) all weakly f-injective S-systems are weakly injective,

(iii) all weakly f-injective S-systems are  $\beta$ -injective,

(iv) all weakly f-injective S-systems are  $\aleph_1$ -injective,

(v) all countably generated right ideals of S are finitely generated.

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). This is immediate from Proposition 3.6, with  $\alpha = \gamma(S)$ . (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv). These are clear.

(iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (v). This follows from Proposition 3.6, with  $\alpha = \aleph_1$ .

 $(v) \Rightarrow (i)$ . Let I be a right ideal of S. If I is not finitely generated then we may form a strictly increasing sequence of right ideals of S

$$a_1S \subset a_1S \cup a_2S \subset a_1S \cup a_2S \cup a_3S \subset \ldots,$$

where  $a_i \in I$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $J = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} a_i S$ . Then J is a countably generated right ideal of S and so by assumption J is finitely generated. Thus there exist  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  and elements  $b_1, \ldots, b_m$ of  $a_1 S \cup \ldots \cup a_n S$  such that  $J = \bigcup_{i=1}^m b_i S$ . Then

$$\bigcup_{j=1}^{n} a_j S \subset J = \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} b_i S \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^{n} a_j S,$$

a contradiction. Hence I is finitely generated and as I was chosen arbitrarily, S is noetherian.

4. Coperfect monoids. The concept of a coflat module over a ring is introduced by Damiano in [2]. He develops in Proposition 1.3 of that paper an elementary criterion for a module to be coflat; we take the non-additive analogue of this criterion to define a coflat S-system. Thus an S-system is coflat if, given any elements a of A and s of S with  $a \notin As$ , then there exist elements h, k in S such that sh = sk but  $ah \neq ak$ . However, using Lemma 2.1 and Proposition 2.2, it is easy to see that an S-system A is coflat if and only if it is weakly p-injective. This fact enables us to use the structure of the coflat S-system  $A^{[2]}$  to prove Proposition 4.1.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0017089500006686 Published online by Cambridge University Press

#### COPERFECT MONOIDS

Before stating the result we give some definitions. For any element a of an S-system A,

$$\operatorname{ann}_{\mathbf{r}}(a) = \{(u, v) \in S \times S : au = av\}.$$

Clearly  $\operatorname{ann}_{r}(a)$  is a right congrunce on S, the right annihilator congruence of a.

Conversely, given any right congruence  $\rho$  on S one defines

$$\operatorname{Ann}_{I}(\rho) = \{ s \in S : (u, v) \in \rho \text{ implies } su = sv \}.$$

Then  $Ann_{l}(\rho)$  is empty or is a left ideal of S, the *left annihilator ideal of*  $\rho$ . However, this concept is too strong for our purposes and weaken it to fit our requirements, as follows.

Let  $\rho, v$  be right congruences on S and let t be an element of S. Then Ann $(\rho, t, v)$  is defined by

Ann
$$(\rho, t, v) = Ann_{l}(\rho) \cup \{s \in S : \text{if } (u, v) \in \rho \text{ and } su \neq sv,$$
  
then there exist  $h, k \in S$  with  
 $su = th, hvk, tk = sv\}.$ 

Let s, t be elements of S. Then an *n*-link from s to t in S consists of *n*-tuples  $\vec{p} = (p_1, \ldots, p_n), \vec{q} = (q_1, \ldots, q_n), \vec{r} = (r_1, \ldots, r_n)$  with  $r_n = t$  and

$$p_1 s = q_1 r_1, \ p_{i+1} r_i = q_{i+1} r_{i+1}$$
  $(1 \le i \le n-1).$ 

We remind the reader that S is *coperfect* if all its coflat S-systems are injective.

**PROPOSITION 4.1.** The monoid S is coperfect if and only if S is a principal right ideal monoid with a left zero and S satisfies condition (CI):

(CI) For any element s of S and any right congruence  $\rho$  on S, there are elements t, u in S and right congruences  $v_0 = \rho$ ,  $v_1, \ldots, v_n$  on S such that there is an n-link from s to t satisfying  $\operatorname{ann}_r(q_i) \subseteq v_i$ ,  $p_i \in \operatorname{Ann}(v_{i-1}, q_i, v_i)$   $(1 \leq i \leq n)$ , sutps and  $v_n = \{(h, k): \operatorname{suhpsuk}\}$ .

**Proof.** Assume first that S is coperfect. Since all coflat S-systems are weakly injective Corollary 3.4 gives that S is a principal right ideal monoid.

To show that S has a left zero, regard S as an S-system and consider the diagram,

$$S^{[2]}$$

$$\int_{\tau}^{\tau} S$$

where  $S^0$  is S with a zero adjoined and  $\tau, \iota$  are inclusion mappings. By assumption,  $S^{[2]}$  is injective and so there is an S-homomorphism  $\psi: S^0 \to S^{[2]}$  which makes the diagram



commute. For any  $s \in S$ ,

$$\psi(0) = \psi(0s) = \psi(0)s$$

and so if  $\psi(0) \in S$  it is immediate that S has a left zero. Otherwise,  $\psi(0) \in S_n \setminus S_{n-1}$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and so  $\psi(0)$  has the form  $\psi(0) = [y_{\sigma}t]_{n-1}$ , where  $\{y_{\delta} : \delta \in \Sigma_{n-1}\}$  is the basis of  $F_{n-1}$ ,  $\sigma \in \Sigma_{n-1}$  and  $t \in S$ . Now  $\sigma = (u, a)$  for some  $u \in S$  and  $a \in S_{n-1}$ . If  $t \in uS$ , say t = uv, then

$$\psi(0) = [y_{\sigma}uv]_{n-1} = [av]_{n-1}$$

and so  $\psi(0) \in S_{n-1}$ , a contradiction. Thus  $t \notin uS$ .

For any  $s \in S$ ,  $\psi(0) = \psi(0)s$  gives  $[y_{\sigma}ts]_{n-1} = [y_{\sigma}t]_{n-1}$  and as  $t \notin uS$  one sees that  $y_{\sigma}ts$ ,  $y_{\sigma}t$  cannot be related by a  $\rho(H_{n-1})$ -sequence. Hence  $y_{\sigma}ts = y_{\sigma}t$  so that t = ts and t is a left zero of S.

Let I = sS be a principal right ideal of S and let  $\rho$  be a right congruence on S. The S-system  $I\rho = \{a\rho : a \in I\}$  is an S-subsystem of  $S/\rho$  and as  $I\rho^{[2]}$  is injective there is an S-homomorphism  $\psi : S/\rho \to I\rho^{[2]}$  which makes the diagram



commute.

For any  $(h, k) \in \rho$  we have

$$\psi(1\rho)h = \psi((1\rho)h) = \psi(h\rho) = \psi(k\rho) = \psi((1\rho)k) = \psi(1\rho)k$$

Further,

$$s\rho = \tau(s\rho) = \psi\iota(s\rho) = \psi(s\rho) = \psi((1\rho)s) = \psi(1\rho)s.$$

If  $\psi(1\rho) \in I\rho$ , it follows that there exists an element u of S such that susps and for any  $(h, k) \in \rho$ , suhpsuk. It is then easy to see that (CI) is satisfied, with n = 1,  $p_1 = q_1 = 1$  and  $r_1 = s$ .

We now suppose that  $\psi(1\rho) \in (I\rho)_n$ , where n > 0. From the construction of  $(I\rho)_n$  we have  $\psi(1\rho) = [y_o p_1]_{n-1}$  or  $\psi(1\rho) = [m]_n$ , where  $\{y_\delta : \delta \in \Sigma_{n-1}\}$  is the basis of  $F_{n-1}$ ,  $\sigma \in \Sigma_{n-1}$ ,  $p_1 \in S$  and  $m \in (I\rho)_{n-1}$ . In the latter case,  $(1, m) \in \Sigma_{n-1}$  and so

$$\psi(1\rho) = [m]_n = [y_{(1,m)}]_n.$$

Thus we may assume that  $\psi(1\rho)$  has the former expression.

If  $h,k \in S$  and  $h\rho k$  then  $\psi(1\rho)h = \psi(1\rho)k$  and so  $[y_{\sigma}p_1h]_{n-1} = [y_{\sigma}p_1k]_{n-1}$ . Thus  $p_1h = p_1k$  or  $y_{\sigma}p_1h$ ,  $y_{\sigma}p_1k$  are connected by a  $\rho(H_{n-1})$ -sequence

$$y_{\sigma}p_{1}h = c_{1}t_{1}, \ d_{1}t_{1} = c_{2}t_{2}, \ldots, \ d_{l}t_{l} = y_{\sigma}p_{1}k.$$

Now  $\sigma \in \Sigma_{n-1}$  and so  $\sigma = (q_1, m_1)$  for some  $q_1 \in S$  and  $m_1 \in (I\rho)_{n-1}$ . It follows that  $p_1 h = p_1 k$  or

$$p_1h = q_1t_1, m_1t_1\rho(H_{n-1})m_1t_l, q_1t_l = p_1k.$$

But since  $m_1t_1$ ,  $m_1t_l$  are  $\rho(H_{n-1})$ -related elements of  $(I\rho)_{n-1}$ ,  $m_1t_1 = m_1t_l$ . Define the right congruence  $v_1$  on S by

$$v_1 = \operatorname{ann}_r(m_1).$$

Hence  $p_1 h = p_1 k$  or

$$p_1h = q_1t_1, t_1v_1t_l, q_1t_l = p_1k$$

and so  $p_1 \in \operatorname{Ann}(v_0, q_1, v_1)$ , where  $v_0 = \rho$ . Further, if  $(h, k) \in \operatorname{ann}_r(q_1)$ , then  $q_1 h = q_1 k$  so that  $m_1 h = m_1 k$  (for  $\sigma \in \Sigma_{n-1}$ ) and  $(h, k) \in v_1$ , thus  $\operatorname{ann}_r(q_1) \subseteq v_1$ .

Now  $s\rho = [s\rho]_{n-1} = [y_{\sigma}p_{1}s]_{n-1}$  and as  $s\rho \neq y_{\sigma}p_{1}s$  in  $F_{n-1} \cup (I\rho)_{n-1}$  we have that  $s\rho$ ,  $y_{\sigma}p_{1}s$  are connected by a  $\rho(H_{n-1})$ -sequence. This gives that  $p_{1}s = q_{1}r_{1}$ ,  $m_{1}r_{1} = s\rho$  for some  $r_{1} \in S$ .

One may express  $m_1$  as  $m_1 = [z_{\mu}p_2]_{n-2}$ , where  $\{z_{\delta} : \delta \in \Sigma_{n-2}\}$  is the basis of  $F_{n-2}$ ,  $p_2 \in S$  and  $\mu = (q_2, m_2) \in \Sigma_{n-2}$ . Again we define a right congruence  $v_2$  on S by

$$v_2 = \operatorname{ann}_r(m_2).$$

Suppose that  $hv_1k$ , that is,  $m_1h = m_1k$ . Hence  $p_2h = p_2k$  or  $z_\mu p_2h$ ,  $z_\mu p_2k$  are related by a  $\rho(H_{n-2})$ -sequence. It follows that  $p_2h = p_2k$  or there exist  $t, t' \in S$  with  $p_2h = q_2t$ ,  $tv_2t'$ ,  $q_2t' = p_2k$ , that is,  $p_2 \in Ann(v_1, q_2, v_2)$ . Since  $(q_2, m_2) \in \Sigma_{n-2}$ , it is clear that  $ann_r(q_2) \subseteq v_2$ . Further,  $[s\rho]_{n-2} = [z_\mu p_2 r_1]_{n-2}$  gives that  $p_2r_1 = q_2r_2$ ,  $m_2r_2 = s\rho$  for some  $r_2 \in S$ .

Clearly we may continue in this manner to obtain elements  $p_i$ ,  $q_i$ ,  $r_i$  of S and elements  $m_i$  of  $(I\rho)_{i-1}$   $(1 \le i \le n)$ , such that

$$p_1 s = q_1 r_1, p_{i+1} r_1 = q_{i+1} r_{i+1}$$
  $(1 \le i \le n-1).$ 

Further, defining  $v_0 = \rho$  and  $v_i = \operatorname{ann}_r(m_i)$ , we have  $\operatorname{ann}_r(q_i) \subseteq v_i$  and  $p_i \in \operatorname{Ann}(v_{i-1}, q_i, v_i)$  $(1 \leq i \leq n)$ . Also,  $m_n r_n = s\rho$ , where  $m_n \in I\rho$ . Thus there exists an element u of S with  $m_n = su\rho$ . This gives  $s\rho = su\rho r_n = sur_n\rho$ , that is,  $s\rho sur_n$ . Finally, for  $h, k \in S$ ,  $(h, k) \in v_n$  if and only if  $m_n h = m_n k$ , that is,  $su\rho h = su\rho k$ . Hence  $(h, k) \in v_n$  if and only if  $suh\rho suk$ . Thus S satisfies condition (CI).

Conversely, assume that S is a principal right ideal monoid with a left zero satisfying condition (CI). Let A be a coflat S-system. We show first that given any diagram of the form,

$$\begin{array}{c} A \\ \uparrow \theta \\ S/\rho \xleftarrow{\iota} I\rho \end{array}$$

where I = sS is a principal right ideal of S and  $\theta: I\rho \to A$  is an S-homomorphism, there exists an S-homomorphism  $\psi: S/\rho \to A$  such that  $\psi i = \theta$ .

Suppose that  $I, \rho$  and  $\theta$  are given as above. By assumption there exist  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , elements  $p_i, q_i, r_i$  of S and right congruences  $v_i$  on S  $(1 \le i \le n)$ , satisfying the conditions of (CI).

Let  $\phi_n: q_n S \to A$  be defined by

 $\phi_n(q_n t) = \theta(sut\rho).$ 

Then  $\phi_n$  is well-defined, for if  $q_n t = q_n t'$ , then  $(t, t') \in \operatorname{ann}_r(q_n)$  so that  $(t, t') \in v_n$ . Then the definition of  $v_n$  gives *sutpsut'*. Clearly  $\phi_n$  is an S-homomorphism and since A is coflat we may extend  $\phi_n$  to an S-homomorphism  $\overline{\phi}_n : S \to A$ . Now define  $\xi_n : S/v_{n-1} \to A$  by

$$\xi_n(tv_{n-1}) = \bar{\phi}_n(p_n t).$$

If  $tv_{n-1}t'$ , then as  $p_n \in Ann(v_{n-1}, q_n, v_n)$ , either (a)  $p_n t = p_n t'$ , or (b)  $p_n t = q_n v$ ,  $vv_n v'$ ,  $q_n v' = p_n t'$  for some  $v, v' \in S$ .

If (a) holds, then clearly  $\xi_n(tv_{n-1}) = \xi_n(t'v_{n-1})$ . If (b) holds, by the definition of  $v_n$ , suvpsuv' and so

$$\begin{split} \xi_n(t\nu_{n-1}) &= \bar{\phi}_n(p_n t) = \bar{\phi}_n(q_n v) = \phi_n(q_n v) = \theta(suv\rho) \\ &= \theta(suv'\rho) = \phi_n(q_n v') = \bar{\phi}_nq_nv') = \bar{\phi}_n(p_n t') = \xi_n(t'\nu_{n-1}). \end{split}$$

Thus  $\xi_n$  is well-defined and obviously is an S-homomorphism.

We now define  $\phi_{n-1}: q_{n-1}S \rightarrow A$  by

$$\phi_{n-1}(q_{n-1}t) = \xi_n(tv_{n-1});$$

then, as  $\operatorname{ann}_{r}(q_{n-1}) \subseteq v_{n-1}$ ,  $\phi_{n-1}$  is a well-defined S-homomorphism. Again using the coflatness of A, we may extend  $\phi_{n-1}$  to an S-homomorphism  $\overline{\phi}_{n-1}: S \to A$ . We now use  $\overline{\phi}_{n-1}$  to define an S-homomorphism  $\xi_{n-1}: S/v_{n-2} \to A$  by putting

$$\xi_{n-1}(tv_{n-2}) = \phi_{n-1}(p_{n-1}t).$$

To see that  $\xi_{n-1}$  is well-defined, suppose that  $tv_{n-2}t'$ . As above we have that either (a)  $p_{n-1}t = p_{n-1}t'$  or (b)  $p_{n-1}t' = q_{n-1}v$ ,  $vv_{n-1}v'$ ,  $q_{n-1}v' = p_{n-1}t'$  for some  $v, v' \in S$ . If (a) holds, it is immediate that  $\xi_{n-1}(tv_{n-2}) = \xi_{n-1}(t'v_{n-2})$ . If (b) holds, then

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{n-1}(tv_{n-2}) &= \bar{\phi}_{n-1}(p_{n-1}t) = \bar{\phi}_{n-1}(q_{n-1}v) = \phi_{n-1}(q_{n-1}v) \\ &= \xi_n(vv_{n-1}) = \xi_n(v'v_{n-1}) = \phi_{n-1}(q_{n-1}v') = \bar{\phi}_{n-1}(q_{n-1}v') \\ &= \bar{\phi}_{n-1}(p_{n-1}t') = \xi_{n-1}(t'v_{n-2}). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly we may continue in this way to obtain S-homomorphisms  $\phi_i:q_iS \to A$ ,  $\bar{\phi}_i:S \to A$ ,  $\xi_i:S/v_{i-1} \to A$   $(1 \le i \le n)$ , such that

$$\phi_n(q_n t) = \theta(sut\rho),$$
  
$$\phi_i(q_i t) = \xi_{i+1}(tv_i) \qquad (1 \le i \le n-1)$$

and for  $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$ ,  $\overline{\phi}_i$  is an S-homomorphism extending  $\phi_i$  and

$$\xi_i(tv_{i-1}) = \bar{\phi}_i(p_i t).$$

Thus we obtain an S-homomorphism  $\psi = \xi_1 : S/\nu_0 = S/\rho \rightarrow A$ . It remains to show that  $\psi$  extends  $\theta$ .

We have  $\psi\iota(s\rho) = \psi(s\rho) = \xi_1(s\rho) = \xi_1(sv_0) = \bar{\phi}_1(p_1s) = \bar{\phi}_1(q_1r_1) = \phi_1(q_1r_1)$ =  $\xi_2(r_1v_1) = \bar{\phi}_2(p_2r_1) = \bar{\phi}_2(q_2r_2) = \phi_2(q_2r_2) = \xi_3(r_2v_2) = \dots = \xi_n(r_{n-1}v_{n-1}) = \bar{\phi}_n(p_nr_{n-1}) = \phi_n(q_nr_n) = \theta(sur_n\rho) = \theta(s\rho)$ . Hence for any  $st \in I$ ,  $\psi\iota(st\rho) = \theta(st\rho)$ , that is,  $\psi\iota = \theta$ .

Now suppose that N is an S-subsystem of an S-system M and  $\phi: N \to A$  is an S-homomorphism. Consider the partially ordered set whose members are pairs  $(N', \phi')$ , where N' is an S-subsystem of M containing N and  $\phi': N' \to A$  is an S-homomorphism extending  $\phi$  and  $\leq$  is defined by

 $(N', \phi') \leq (N'', \phi'')$  if and only if  $N' \subseteq N''$  and  $\phi''$  extends  $\phi'$ .

By Zorn's lemma, this set has a maximal member, say  $(P, \theta)$ . If  $P \neq M$ , choose  $m \in M \setminus P$  and put  $I = \{s \in S : ms \in P\}$ .

If  $I = \emptyset$ , then  $mS \cap P = \emptyset$  and we may define a function  $\xi : mS \cup P \to A$  by

$$\xi(ms) = as_0,$$
  
$$\xi(p) = \theta(p) \qquad (p \in P).$$

where  $s_0$  is a left zero of S and a is a fixed element of A. We have

$$\xi(mst) = as_0 = as_0t = \xi(ms)t$$

and it follows that  $\xi$  is an S-homomorphism strictly extending  $\theta$ , that is,  $(P, \theta) < (mS \cup P, \xi)$ , contradicting the maximality of  $(P, \theta)$ . Thus  $I \neq \emptyset$  and it follows that I is a principal right ideal of S, say I = sS.

Define a right congruence  $\rho$  on S by

 $h\rho k$  if and only if mh = mk,

that is,  $\rho = \operatorname{ann}_{r}(m)$ . Let  $\lambda: I\rho \to A$  be defined by  $\lambda(st\rho) = \theta(mst)$ . Since  $\rho = \operatorname{ann}_{r}(m)$ , it is clear that  $\lambda$  is a well-defined S-homomorphism. Hence there is an S-homomorphism  $\mu: S/\rho \to A$  which extends  $\lambda$ . Now define  $\psi: mS \cup P \to A$  by

$$\psi(mt) = \mu(t\rho),$$
  
 $\psi(p) = \theta(p) \qquad (p \in P).$ 

If mt = mt', then  $t\rho t'$  so that  $\psi(mt) = \psi(mt')$ . If mt = p for some  $p \in P$ , then  $t \in I$ and so t = st' for some  $t' \in S$ . Thus

$$\psi(mt) = \mu(t\rho) = \mu(st'\rho) = \lambda(st'\rho) = \theta(mst') = \theta(mt) = \theta(p) = \psi(p)$$

and so  $\psi$  is a well-defined S-homomorphism. But  $(P, \theta) < (mS \cup P, \psi)$ , a contradiction. Hence P = M and A is injective. Since A is an arbitrary coflat S-system, the monoid S is coperfect.

To establish our next corollary we need a technical lemma.

LEMMA 4.2. Let I = sS be a principal right ideal of the monoid S and  $\rho$  a right congruence on S. Suppose that  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and there exists elements  $p_i$ ,  $q_i$ ,  $r_i$  of S and right

congruences  $v_i$  on S  $(1 \le i \le n)$  satisfying the conditions of (CI). Suppose further that  $q_i$  is regular for  $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$ . Then there exists an element x of S such that if  $h, k \in S$  and  $h\rho k$ , then suxhosuxk and further, stosuxst, for any  $st \in I$ .

*Proof.* Let  $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ . We show that for any  $h, k \in S$ ,

$$hv_{i-1}k \Rightarrow q'_i p_i hv_i q'_i p_i k,$$

where  $q_i q'_i q_i = q_i$ .

Given  $q_i q'_i q_i = q_i$ ,  $(q_i q'_i, 1) \in \operatorname{ann}_r(q_i)$  and so  $q'_i q_i v_i 1$ . Now since  $p_i \in \operatorname{Ann}(v_{i-1}, q_i, v_i)$ , either (a)  $p_i h = p_i k$  or (b)  $p_i h = q_i h'$ ,  $h' v_i k'$ ,  $q_i k' = p_i k$  for some h',  $k' \in S$ .

If (a) holds, then  $q'_i p_i h = q'_i p_i k$  and so certainly  $q'_i p_i h v_i q'_i p_i k$ . If (b) holds, then

 $q'_i p_i h = q'_i q_i h' v_i h' v_i k' v_i q'_i q_i k' = q'_i p_i k$ 

and so our claim is correct. It follows that if  $h\rho k$  then  $xhv_nxk$ , where  $x = q'_n p_n q'_{n-1} p_{n-1} \dots q'_1 p_1$ . Hence if  $h\rho k$ , then suxhpsuxk.

Now  $s\rho s$ , that is,  $sv_0s$ , so  $q'_1p_1sv_1q'_1p_1s$ , which gives  $q'_1q_1r_1v_1q'_1p_1s$ . But  $1v_1q'_1q_1$ , so that  $r_1v_1q'_1q_1r_1$ , hence  $r_1v_1q'_1p_1s$ . Thus  $q'_2p_2r_1v_2q'_2p_2q'_1p_1s$  and so  $q'_2q_2r_2v_2q'_2p_2q'_1p_1s$ , giving  $r_2v_2q'_2p_2q'_1p_1s$ . Clearly we may continue in this manner to obtain  $r_nv_nxs$ . Thus  $sur_n\rho suxs$ , hence  $s\rho suxs$  and so for any  $st \in I$ ,  $st\rho suxst$ .

If all S-systems are injective, then S is a completely right injective monoid. We may now deduce the following result which appears in [4], [8] and [11].

COROLLARY 4.3. The monoid S is completely right injective if and only if

(a) S has a left zero, and

(b) for any right ideal I of S and right congruence  $\rho$  on S, there is an element y of I such that for any  $t \in I$ , ytpt and for any  $h, k \in S$  with  $h\rho k$ ,  $yh\rho yk$ .

**Proof.** If S is completely right injective, then clearly all coflat S-systems are injective. Thus S has a left zero, all right ideals of S are principal and S satisfies condition (CI). Further, all S-systems are coflat and so by Proposition 4.1 of [6], S is regular.

Let I be a right ideal of S and  $\rho$  a right congruence on S. Then I = sS for some  $s \in S$  and since S is regular and satisfies (CI), it follows from Lemma 4.2 that there is an element x of S such that  $h\rho k$  implies suxh $\rho suxk$  and  $t\rho suxt$  for any  $t \in I$ . Putting y = sux, we see that (b) holds.

Conversely, suppose that S satisfies (a) and (b). Let I be a right ideal of S and  $\rho$  the equality relation on S. Then there is an element y of I with  $y_s = s$  for any  $s \in I$ . Hence

$$I = yI \subseteq yS \subseteq I,$$

so that I = yS and I is principal.

As in the proof of Proposition 4.1, S satisfies condition (CI). Thus all coflat S-systems are injective.

Let  $s \in S$ . Then as above there is an element y of sS with ys = s; hence s is a regular element and so S is a regular monoid. Thus all S-systems are coflat and hence injective, that is, S is a completely right injective monoid.

We end this section by using Proposition 4.1 to give an example of a coperfect monoid that is not completely right injective.

COROLLARY 4.4. Let S be the infinite cyclic monoid generated by the element a. Then  $S^0$  is a coperfect monoid which is not completely right injective.

*Proof.* Since the only regular elements of  $S^0$  are 0 and 1 (= $a^0$ ),  $S^0$  is not a regular monoid and so, by Proposition 4.1 of [6], not all S-systems are coflat. Hence  $S^0$  is certainly not completely right injective.

The monoid  $S^0$  is commutative and is a principal ideal monoid. Further,  $S^0$  is 0-cancellative and has no zero-divisors.

Let  $s \in S^0$  and let  $\rho$  be a congruence on  $S^0$ . If s = 0, take n = 1 and put  $p_1 = q_1 = u = 1$  and  $r_1 = 0$ . Then  $p_1 s = q_1 r_1$  and  $sur_1 = 0$  so that  $sur_1\rho s$ . Further,  $(h, k) \in ann_r(q_1)$  if and only if h = k and so  $ann_r(q_1)$  is contained in every congruence on S. Let  $v_1 = \{(h, k): suh\rho suk\}$ ; as s = 0 we have that  $v_1$  is the trivial congruence  $S^0 \times S^0$ . If  $h, k \in S^0$  and  $h\rho k$ , then 1h = 1h,  $hv_1 k$ , 1k = 1k and so  $1 \in Ann(\rho, 1, v_1)$ .

We now suppose that  $s \neq 0$ . If  $\rho = I_{S^0}$ , the identity relation on  $S^0$ , then we again take n = 1 and put  $p_1 = r_1 = u = 1$  and  $q_1 = s$ . Letting  $v_1 = \{(h, k): sh\rho sk\}$ , we have  $v_1 = \{(h, k): sh = sk\} = I_{S^0}$ . Now  $p_1 s = q_1 r_1$  and  $sur_1 \rho s$ . Also,  $ann_r(q_1) = ann_r(s) = I_{S^0}$  and so  $ann_r(q_1) \subseteq v_1$ . Since  $\rho = I_{S^0}$ , it is clear that  $1 \in Ann(\rho, s, v_1)$ .

If  $\rho \neq I_{S^0}$ , we may choose an element t of  $S^0$  such that there is an element z of  $S^0$  with  $t\rho z$ ,  $t \neq z$  and  $tS^0$  is the maximal ideal with this property. Clearly  $t \neq 0$ . If z = 0, then  $t\rho 0$  so that  $t^2\rho 0\rho t$  and  $t\rho t^2$ . Now  $t = t^2$  if and only if t = 1. If t = 1, then  $1\rho 0$  and so  $b\rho 0$  for all elements b of  $S^0$ . This gives that  $\rho$  is trivial. However, if  $\rho$  is trivial, then putting n = 1,  $p_1 = q_1 = r_1 = u = 0$  and  $v_1 = S^0 \times S^0$ , it is easy for us to see that the conditions of (CI) are satisfied.

Thus we may assume that  $\rho \neq I_{S^0}$ ,  $\rho \neq S^0 \times S^0$  and there exist non-zero elements t, z of  $S^0$  such that  $t\rho z$ ,  $t \neq z$  and  $tS^0$  is maximal with respect to this property.

Since  $S^0$  is a principal ideal monoid, either  $tS^0 \subseteq sS^0$ , or  $sS^0 \subseteq tS^0$ . Suppose firstly that  $tS^0 \subseteq sS^0$ . Take n = 1 and put  $p_1 = r_1 = u = 1$  and  $q_1 = s$ . Then  $p_1s = q_1r_1$  and  $sur_1\rho s$ . Let  $v_1 = \{(h, k): sh\rho sk\}$ . Then  $ann_r(q_1) = ann_r(s) = I_{S^0}$  and so  $ann_r(q_1) \subseteq v_1$ . It remains to prove that  $1 \in Ann(\rho, s, v_1)$ . Let  $v, v' \in S^0$  and suppose that  $v\rho v'$ . If v = v', then clearly 1v = 1v'. If  $v \neq v'$ , then  $v, v' \in tS^0$  and so v = sh, v' = sk for some  $h, k \in S^0$ . Then from  $sh\rho sk$  we have that  $hv_1k$  and so  $1 \in Ann(\rho, s, v_1)$ , as required.

Assume now that  $sS^0 \subseteq tS^0$ . We know that there are natural numbers c, d, e with  $t = a^c$ ,  $z = a^d$ , d = c + e and e > 0. Then  $t\rho a^{c+me}$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and so we may choose an element w of S such that  $wS^0 \subseteq sS^0 \subseteq tS^0$  and  $t\rho w$ .

Let y, k be the elements of S with s = ty, w = sk. Then  $s\rho wy$  and wy = sky. Take n = 2 and put u = 1,

$$p_{1} = 1, q_{1} = t, r_{1} = y,$$

$$p_{2} = w, q_{2} = s, r_{2} = ky,$$

$$v_{1} = \{(h, h'): th\rho th'\},$$

$$v_{2} = \{(h, h'): sh\rho sh'\}.$$

Then  $p_1s = s = ty = q_1r_1$ ,  $p_2r_1 = wy = sky = q_2r_2$  and  $sur_2 = sky = wy\rho s$ . Since  $q_1$ ,  $q_2$  are non-zero,  $\operatorname{ann}_r(q_1) \subseteq v_1$  and  $\operatorname{ann}_r(q_2) \subseteq v_2$ .

If  $v, v' \in S^0$ ,  $v\rho v'$  and  $v \neq v'$ , then v = th, v' = th' for some  $h, h' \in S^0$ . Thus  $th\rho th'$  and so  $hv_1h'$ , which gives that  $1 \in Ann(\rho, t, v_1)$ , that is,  $p_1 \in Ann(v_0, q_0, v_1)$ .

Finally, if v, v' are elements of  $S^0$  such that  $vv_1v'$ , then  $tv\rho tv'$  and so  $wv\rho wv'$  as  $wS^0 \subseteq tS^0$ . Now wv = skv and wv' = skv', giving  $skv\rho skv'$  and  $kvv_2kv'$ . Thus  $w \in Ann(v_1, s, v_2)$ , that is,  $p_2 \in Ann(v_1, q_2, v_2)$ . This completes the proof that  $S^0$  satisfies condition (CI).

#### REFERENCES

1. P. Berthiaume, The injective envelope of S-sets, Canad. Math. Bull. 10 (1967), 261-273.

2. R. F. Damiano, Coflat rings and modules, Pacific J. Math. 81 (1969), 349-369.

3. P. Eklof and G. Sabbagh, Model-completions and modules, Annals Math. Logic 2 (1970-71), 251-295.

4. J. B. Fountain, Completely right injective semigroups, Proc. London Math. Soc. 28 (1974), 28-44.

5. J. B. Fountain, Perfect semigroups, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. (2) 20 (1976-77), 87-93.

6. V. A. R. Gould, The characterisation of monoids by properties of their S-systems, Semigroup Forum 32 (1985), 251-265.

7. V. A. R. Gould, Divisible S-systems and R-modules, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. to appear.

8. J. R. Isbell, Beatific semigroups, J. Algebra 23 (1972), 228-238.

9. J. R. Isbell, Perfect monoids, Semigroup Forum 2 (1971), 95-118.

10. P. Normak, Purity in the category of M-sets, Semigroup Forum 20 (1980), 157-170.

11. K. Shoji, Completely right injective semigroups, Math. Japon. 24 (1979-80), 609-615.

**UNIVERSITY OF YORK** 

Present address:

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER MANCHESTER M13 9PL