Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. Vol. 59 (1999) [421-426]

A NOTE ON THE EQUATION $\lambda * \rho * \mu = \rho$

C. ROBINSON EDWARD RAJA

Let G be a Hausdorff topological group and μ and λ be probability measures on G. We prove necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a probability measure ρ such that $\lambda * \rho * \mu = \rho$ under certain conditions. We prove a similar result for probability measures on semigroups.

In this note we consider the problem of proving necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a measure ρ such that the equation

$$(\star) \qquad \qquad \lambda * \rho * \mu = \rho$$

holds where λ and μ are two given probability measures. This problem originated from the convergence of concentration functions in the following way: given a probability measure μ either the concentration functions converge to zero or $\check{\mu}^n * \mu^n \to \rho$ (see [1]) and hence $\check{\mu} * \rho * \mu = \rho$.

Let G be a Hausdorff topological group. Let ν be a probability measure on G. Then ν is said to be *adapted* if the closed subgroup generated by the support of ν is G. When ν is adapted we denote by $\mathcal{H}(\nu)$ the smallest closed normal subgroup of G a coset of which contains the support of ν . We say that ν is *concenterated* if there exist a compact subset C and a sequence (g_n) in G such that $\nu^n(g_nC) = 1$ for all n. Let ν_1 and ν_2 be two adapted probability measures on G. Then $\mathcal{H}(\nu_1, \nu_2)$ denotes the smallest closed normal subgroup such that for some $x, y \in G$, $x\mathcal{H}(\nu_1, \nu_2)$ and $y\mathcal{H}(\nu_1, \nu_2)$ contains the support of ν_1 and the support of ν_2 respectively.

Let λ and μ be adapted probability measures on G. Let us now consider the following:

- the subgroups H(μ), H(λ) and H(λ, μ) are all compact and the same and the measures μ and λ are supported on gH(μ) for all g in the support of μ, in particular, λ and μ are concentrated;
- (2) there exist compact sets L₁ and L₂ and a sequence (g_n) in G such that for some δ > 0, μⁿ(g_n⁻¹L₁) > δ and λⁿ(g_n⁻¹L₂) > δ for all n;

Received 22nd October, 1998

A part of the work was done when the author was at Tata Insitute of Fundamental Research, Bombay. I would like to express my thanks to Dr. Piotr Graczyk for inviting me to work at Universite d'Angers under the fellowship of the regional commission Pays de Loire. My thanks are also due to the referee for his fruitful suggestions in improving the exposition in the paper.

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9729/99 \$A2.00+0.00.

C.R.E. Raja

(3) there exists a probability measure ρ such that $\lambda * \rho * \mu = \rho$.

In [2], Bartoszek considered adapted probability measures on a countable group and proved that (1), (2) and (3) are equivalent. In general condition (1) need not be necessary for the existence of ρ satisfying (*), that is (3) implies (1) need not be true (see [3]). We prove the equivalence of (1), (2) and (3) for adapted probability measures under certain conditions (see Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2). We also prove a similar result for probability measures on semigroups (see Theorem 2.1). The sufficient condition for the existence of ρ satisfying (*) that is, (2) implies (3), is proved in a more general set-up (see Proposition 1.1 and Proposition 2.2).

1. PROBABILITY MEASURES ON GROUPS

Let X be a completely regular space. Let P(X) be the space of all compact-regular Borel probability measures on X endowed with the weak* topology with respect to all bounded continuous real valued functions on X. We shall call X a *Prohorov space* if it satisfies the following: A subset \mathcal{F} of $\mathcal{P}(X)$ is relatively compact if and only if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a compact set L of X such that $\mu(X \setminus L) \leq \varepsilon$ for all $\mu \in \mathcal{F}$. Complete separable metric spaces and locally compact spaces are Prohorov spaces (see [10] and [8, Theorem 1.1.11])

Let G be a topological group and λ be a probability measure on G. We define $\dot{\lambda}$, the adjoint of λ by $\dot{\lambda}(E) = \lambda(\{x \mid x^{-1} \in E\})$.

The following gives a sufficient condition for the existence of ρ .

PROPOSITION 1.1. Let G be a Prohorov topological group and S be a closed convex subsemigroup of $\mathcal{P}(G)$. Let λ and μ be in S. Suppose there exist a sequence (g_n) and compact sets L_1 and L_2 such that for some $\delta > 0$, $\mu^n(g_n^{-1}L_1) > \delta$ and $\check{\lambda}^n(g_n^{-1}L_2) > \delta$ for all n. Then there exists a probability measure $\rho \in S$ such that $\lambda * \rho * \mu = \rho$.

PROOF: It is clear that $\sup_{x\in G} \check{\lambda}^n(x^{-1}L_2) \not\to 0$ and $\sup_{x\in G} \mu^n(x^{-1}L_1) \not\to 0$. This implies that for any $\eta > \delta$ there exist compact sets C_η and L_η such that $\sup_{x\in G} \check{\lambda}^n(x^{-1}C_\eta) > \eta$ and $\sup_{s\in G} \mu^n(x^{-1}L_\eta) > \eta$ for all n. Thus, there exist sequences $(x_{n,\eta})$ and $(y_{n,\eta})$ such that $\check{\lambda}^n(x_{n,\eta}^{-1}C_\eta) > \eta$ and $\mu^n(y_{n,\eta}^{-1}L_\eta) > \eta$ for all n. This implies that $x_{n,\eta}^{-1}C_\eta \cap g_n^{-1}L_2 \neq \emptyset$ and $y_{n,\eta}^{-1}L_\eta \cap g_n^{-1}L_1 \neq \emptyset$ for all n and hence $x_{n,\eta}^{-1} \in g_n^{-1}L_2C_\eta^{-1}$ and $y_{n,\eta}^{-1} \in g_n^{-1}L_1L_\eta^{-1}$ for all n. Thus, $\check{\lambda}^n(g_n^{-1}L_2C_\eta^{-1}C_\eta) > \eta$ and $\mu^n(g_n^{-1}L_1L_\eta^{-1}L_\eta) > \eta$ for all n. Since G is Prohorov, $(g_n\mu^n)$ and $(g_n\check{\lambda}^n)$ are relatively compact and hence the sequence $(\lambda^n * \mu^n)$ is relatively compact. Then the sequence $(1/n)\left(\sum_{k=1}^n (\lambda^k * \mu^k)\right)$ is also relatively compact. Since S is convex, we have $(1/n)\left(\sum_{k=1}^n (\lambda^k * \mu^k) - \lambda * \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^n (\lambda^k * \mu^k) * \mu \right) \to 0$, where $\|\cdot\|$ is the total variation norm. Let ρ be a weak^{*} limit point of $(1/n) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} (\lambda^{k} * \mu^{k}) \right)$. Then $\lambda * \rho * \mu = \rho$. Since S is closed, ρ is in S. This proves the proposition.

LEMMA 1.1. Let ν be an adapted probability measure on a noncompact locally compact group G. Suppose $\mathcal{H}(\nu)$ is compact. Then $\mathcal{H}(\nu)$ is the largest compact subgroup of G.

PROOF: Suppose $\mathcal{H}(\nu)$ is compact. Then $G/\mathcal{H}(\nu)$ is discrete and isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} (see [4, Proposition 1.6]). This implies that any compact subgroup K of G is contained in $\mathcal{H}(\nu)$ and hence $\mathcal{H}(\nu)$ is the largest compact subgroup of G.

We now prove the following:

THEOREM 1.1. Let G be a noncompact locally compact group. Let S be a closed convex commutative subsemigroup of $\mathcal{P}(G)$. Let μ and λ be in S. Suppose μ and λ are adapted probability measures on G. Then the following are equivalent:

- the subgroups H(μ), H(λ) and H(λ, μ) are all compact and the same and the measures μ and λ are supported on gH(μ) for all g in the support of μ, in particular, λ and μ are concentrated;
- (2) there exist compact sets L₁ and L₂ and a sequence (g_n) in G such that for some δ > 0, μⁿ(g_n⁻¹L₁) > δ and λⁿ(g_n⁻¹L₂) > δ for all n;
- (3) there exists $\rho \in S$ such that $\lambda * \rho * \mu = \rho$.

PROOF: That (1) implies (2) is obvious because $\mu^n(g^n\mathcal{H}(\mu)) = 1$ and $\check{\lambda}^n(g^n\mathcal{H}(\mu)) = 1$. 1. That (2) implies (3) follows from Proposition 1.1.

Now assume (3). Then since S is commutative, we have that $\mu * \lambda * \rho = \lambda * \mu * \rho = \rho$. Let $I(\rho) = \{g \in G \mid g\rho = \rho = \rho g\}$. Then $\lambda * \mu = \mu * \lambda$ is supported on $I(\rho)$ and $I(\rho)$ is a compact group (see [13]) and hence λ is supported on $g^{-1}I(\rho)$ and $I(\rho)g^{-1}$ for any g in the support of μ and μ is supported on $x^{-1}I(\rho)$ and $I(\rho)x^{-1}$ for any x in the support of λ . This implies that μ is supported on $gI(\rho)$ and $I(\rho)g$ for any g in the support of μ . Thus, for each n, $\mu^n * \mu^n$ and $\mu^n * \mu^n$ are supported on $I(\rho)$ and hence $\mathcal{H}(\mu) \subset I(\rho)$ (see [1]). Similarly we can prove that $\mathcal{H}(\lambda) \subset I(\rho)$. Thus, by Lemma 1.1, both $\mathcal{H}(\lambda)$ and $\mathcal{H}(\mu)$ are largest compact subgroups of G and hence $\mathcal{H}(\mu) = H(\lambda)$. Thus, $\mathcal{H}(\mu) = H(\lambda) = \mathcal{H}(\lambda, \mu)$ and λ and μ are supported on the coset $g\mathcal{H}(\mu)$ for any g in the support of μ .

REMARK 1.1. Let G be a connected real reductive Lie group and K be a maximal compact subgroup of G. Then the semigroup S of all K-biinvariant probability measures on G is a closed convex commutative semigroup and hence Theorem 1.1 holds. In this case condition (1) may be replaced by the following: there exists a $g \in G$ such that $\lambda = g\omega_K$ and $\mu = g^{-1}\omega_K$.

We say that a locally compact group G is a group of Deriennic and Lin type or G is in \mathcal{G}_{DL} if it satisfies the following: For an adapted probability measure ν in $\mathcal{P}(G)$,

C.R.E. Raja

either the concentration function $\sup_{x\in G} \nu^n(xC) \to 0$ for all compact subsets C of G or ν is supported on a coset of a compact normal subgroup of G. This class was introduced by Bartoszek in [1]. This class contains all nilpotent and Tortrat groups: a locally compact group G is called a *Tortrat group* if a sequence of the form $(x_n\lambda x_n^{-1})$ where $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(G)$ and (x_n) is a sequence in G has an idempotent limit point only if λ is an idempotent (see [5] and [7] for more details on Tortrat groups). We now prove the following:

THEOREM 1.2. Let G be a noncompact locally compact group G. Let λ, μ be adapted probability measures in $\mathcal{P}(G)$. Suppose G is in \mathcal{G}_{DL} or λ and μ are normal (that is, $\lambda * \check{\lambda} = \check{\lambda} * \lambda$ and $\mu * \check{\mu} = \check{\mu} * \mu$). Then the following are equivalent.

- the subgroups H(μ), H(λ) and H(λ, μ) are all compact and the same and the measures μ and λ are supported on gH(μ) for all g in the support of μ, in particular, λ and μ are concentrated;
- (2) there exist compact sets L₁ and L₂ and a sequence (g_n) in G such that for some δ > 0, μⁿ(g_n⁻¹L₁) > δ and λⁿ(g_n⁻¹L₂) > δ for all n;
- (3) there exists a probability measure $\rho \in \mathcal{P}(G)$ such that $\lambda * \rho * \mu = \rho$.

PROOF: It is enough to prove $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$. Suppose $\lambda * \rho * \mu = \rho$. Then there exist sequences (x_n) and (y_n) in G such that $(\lambda^n x_n)$ as well as $(y_n \mu^n)$ is relatively compact. We now claim that $\mathcal{H}(\lambda)$ and $\mathcal{H}(\mu)$ are compact and the same. Suppose $G \in \mathcal{G}_{DL}$. Since $(\lambda^n x_n)$ is relatively compact, the concentration function $\sup \lambda^{\lambda^n}(xC) \not\to 0$ for some compact subset C of G and hence $\mathcal{H}(\lambda)$ is compact. Suppose λ is normal. Since $(\lambda_n x_n)$ is relatively compact $(\lambda^n * \lambda^n)$ is relatively compact and hence by [6, Theorem 2.2] there exists a compact subgroup H of G such that λ is supported on H and xH = Hx for all x in the support of λ . Since λ is adapted, H is normal and hence $\mathcal{H}(\lambda)$ is compact. Similarly we can prove that $\mathcal{H}(\mu)$ is compact. By Lemma 1.1, $\mathcal{H}(\lambda) = \mathcal{H}(\mu) = K$, say, and hence $\tilde{\lambda}$ and μ are supported on gK for any g in the support of μ .

2. PROBABILITY MEASURES ON SEMIGROUPS

Let G be a Hausdorff topological semigroup. Let A and B be subsets of G. Then $A^{-1}B$ and BA^{-1} denote the set of all $x \in G$ such that $ax \in B$ and $xa \in B$ for some $a \in A$ respectively. A Hausdorff semigroup G is said to satisfy the *compactness condition* if CL^{-1} and $L^{-1}C$ are compact whenever L and C are compact.

REMARK 2.1. We observe that the semigroup $\mathcal{P}(G)$ of regular Borel probability measures on a Prohorov topological group G is a Prohorov semigroup satisfying the compactness condition, which may be seen as follows: By [14, Theorem 1], $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is a Prohorov space and $\mathcal{P}(G)$ satisfies the compactness conditions follows from the fact that if two nets $(\lambda_i)_{i\in I}$ and $(\mu_i)_{i\in I}$ are relatively compact and there exists a net $(\nu_i)_{i\in I}$ such that $\mu_i * \nu_i = \lambda_i$, then $(\nu_i)_{i\in I}$ is relatively compact (see [11]). **PROPOSITION 2.1.** Let G be a Hausdorff semigroup satisfying the compactness condition. Let ρ be a probability measure in $\mathcal{P}(G)$. Then $J(\rho) = \{g \in G \mid g\rho = \rho\}$ and $I(\rho) = \{g \in G \mid gx\rho = x\rho \text{ for all } x \text{ in the support of } \rho\}$ are compact.

PROOF: This proposition may be proved by arguing along the lines of [8, Theorem 1.2.4].

The following gives a sufficient condition for the existence of ρ .

PROPOSITION 2.2. Let G be a Hausdorff topological semigroup satisfying the compactness condition such that G has the Prohorov property. Let S be a closed convex subsemigroup of $\mathcal{P}(G)$. Let λ and μ be in S. Suppose there exist compact sets C_1 and C_2 such that for some $\delta > 0$, $\mu^n(C_1) > \delta$ and $\lambda^n(C_2) > \delta$ for all n. Then there exists a measure $\rho \in S$ such that $\lambda * \rho * \mu = \rho$.

PROOF: Suppose there exist compact sets C_1 and C_2 such that for some $\delta > 0$, $\lambda^n(C_2) > \delta$ and $\mu^n(C_1) > \delta$ for all n. Then $\sup_{x \in G} \mu^n(x^{-1}C_1) \not\rightarrow 0$ and $\sup_{x \in G} \lambda^n(x^{-1}C_2) \not\rightarrow 0$ and hence for $\eta > \delta$, there exists compact sets C_η and L_η and sequences $(x_{n,\eta})$ and $(y_{n,\eta})$ elements of G such that $\mu^n(x_{n,\eta}^{-1}C_\eta) > \eta$ and $\lambda^n(y_{n,\eta}^{-1}L_\eta) > \eta$ for all n. This implies that $x_{n,\eta}^{-1}C_\eta \cap C_1 \neq \emptyset$ and $y_{n,\eta}^{-1}L_\eta \cap C_2 \neq \emptyset$ for all n and hence $x_{n,\eta} \in C_\eta C_1^{-1}$ and $y_{n,\eta} \in L_\eta C_2^{-1}$ for all n. Thus, $\mu^n((C_\eta C_1^{-1})^{-1}C_\eta) > \eta$ and $\lambda^n((L_\eta C_2^{-1})^{-1}L_\eta) > \eta$ for all n. This implies that (μ^n) and (λ^n) are relatively compact. As in [9, Theorem 2.13], we can prove that $(1/n) \sum_{k=1}^n \mu^k \rightarrow \rho_1 \in S$ and $(1/n) \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda^k \rightarrow \rho_2 \in S$ and $\mu * \rho_1 = \rho_1 = \rho_1 * \mu$ and $\lambda * \rho_2 = \rho_2 = \rho_2 * \lambda$. This implies that $\mu * \rho_1 * \rho_2 * \lambda = \rho_1 * \rho_2$ and $\lambda * \rho_2 * \rho_1 * \mu = \rho_2 * \rho_1$. This proves the proposition.

The following may be viewed as an analogue of Theorem 1.1 for measures on commutative semigroups.

THEOREM 2.1. Let G be a locally compact Hausdorff second countable topological semigroup or an Abelian Hausdorff topological semigroup satisfying the compactness condition. Let S be a closed convex commutative semigroup of probability measures on G. Let μ and λ be in S. Consider the following:

- (1) there exists a compact subsemigroup C of G such that μ is supported on Cx^{-1} for any x in the support of λ and λ is supported on $y^{-1}C$ for any y in the support of μ ;
- (2) there exist compact sets C₁ and C₂ in G such that for some δ > 0, μⁿ(C₁) > δ and λⁿ(C₂) > δ for all n;
- (3) there exists a probability measure $\rho \in S$ such that $\mu * \rho * \lambda = \rho$.

Then $(2) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (1)$ holds.

PROOF: That (2) implies (3) follows from Proposition 2.2. When G is Abelian that (3) implies (1) follows from [12, Proposition 2.1] and when G is a locally compact second

countable semigroup that (3) implies (1) follows from Proposition 2.1 and [9, Theorem 2.5].

References

- [1] W. Bartoszek, 'Concentrated probabilities', Ann. Polon. Math. 61 (1995), 635-640.
- [2] W. Bartoszek, 'More on the equation $\nu * \rho * \mu = \rho$ ', Demonstratio Math. 29 (1996), 807-811.
- [3] P. Bougerol, Une majoration universelle des fonctions de concentration, Lecture Notes in Math. 708 (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1979), pp. 36-40.
- [4] Y. Deriennic and M. Lin, 'Convergence of iterates of averages of certain operator representations and of convolution powers', J. Funct. Anal. 85 (1989), 86-102.
- [5] S.G. Dani and C.R.E. Raja, 'A note on Tortrat groups', J. Theoret. Probab. 11 (1998), 571-576.
- [6] P. Eisele, 'On shifted convolution powers of probability measure', Math. Z. 211 (1992), 557-574.
- P. Eisele, 'Tortrat groups-groups with a nice behavior for sequences of shifted convolution powers', J. Theoret. Probab. 6 (1993), 671-691.
- [8] H. Heyer, Probability measures on locally compact groups (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1976).
- [9] G. Högnäs and A. Mukherjea, Probability measures on semigroups (Plenum Press, New York, 1991).
- [10] K.R. Parthasarathy, Probability measures on metric spaces (Academic Press, New York, London, 1967).
- [11] E. Siebert, 'Convergence and convolutions of probability measures on a topological group', Ann. Probab. 4 (1976), 433-443.
- [12] G.J. Szekely and W.B. Zeng, 'The Choquet-Deny convolution equation $\mu = \mu * \sigma$ for probability measures on abelian semigroups', J. Theoret. Probab. 3 (1990), 361-365.
- [13] A. Tortrat, 'Lois de probabilité sur un espace topologique complètement régulier et produits infinis à terms indpéndants dans un groupe topologique', Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Sect. B 1 (1964/65), 217-237.
- [14] M. Wójcicka, 'The space of probability measures on Prohorov space is Prohorov', Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math. 35 (1987), 809-811.

Université d'Angers Faculté des Sciences Départment de Mathématiques 49045 Angers Cedex 01 France e-mail: raja@tonton.univ-angers.fr