

## **CORRIGENDUM**

## A re-analysis of the iron content of plant-based foods in the United Kingdom – CORRIGENDUM

Sylvaine F. A. Bruggraber, Thomas P. E. Chapman, Christopher W. Thane, Ashley Olson, Ravin Jugdaohsingh and Jonathan J. Powell

(First published online 18 April 2013)

doi:10.1017/S0007114512000360, Published by Cambridge University Press, 1 March 2012.

We recently reported a contemporary analysis of the Fe content of plant-based foods in the United Kingdom (year 2000 analysis) and compared the results with historical analyses from the 1980 s and 1930 s. Our Table 2 indicated small but statistically significant differences between the Fe content of (1) fruits between the 1930 s analyses and the 1980 s or 2000 s analysis (-0.35 and -0.14 mg/ 100 g, respectively), (2) vegetables between the 1930 s and 1980 s analyses (-0.17 mg/100 g) and (3) cereal products between 1980 s and 2000 s analysis (0.65 mg/100 g, most probably due to changes to fortification). While the differences remain accurate, their statistical significance (based on 95 % CI) is not. Due to an error in data transfer, the values reported as 95 % CI (i.e. approximated as mean  $\pm$  se  $\times$  1.96) were in fact means with their standard errors. The new table below shows corrected 95 % CI and thus that statistical significance remains only for fruits between the 1980 s and 1930 s. All of the raw data presented in the original paper remain correct. Overall, our messages also remain precisely the same: namely that there is remarkably little difference in the Fe content of vegetables, legumes and pulses and fruits between the 1930 s and the year 2000 and insufficient published data to allow for comparison of the cereal products, but the issue of modern Fe fortification practices remains a subject of debate. We are most grateful to Donald R. Davis, retired from The University of Texas at Austin, USA, for alerting us to this problem as,

 $\textbf{Table 2.} \ \, (\text{corrected}). \ \, \text{Differences in the iron content (mg iron/100\,g food)} \dagger \ \, \text{of the plant-based food groups between the decades} \ddagger$ 

(Number of foods in the group, mean differences, standard deviations and 95% confidence intervals)

	2000s-1980s	2000s-1930s	1980s-1930s
Fruit			
n	29	20	20
Mean difference	0.12	<b>-0.14</b>	− 0.35*
SD	0.66	0.53	0.76
95 % CI	-0.12, 0.36	-0.37, 0.10	-0.68, -0.01
Vegetables, legumes and pulses			
n	47	22§	22§
Mean difference	0.08	-0.09	-0.17
SD	0.62	0.48	0.63
95 % CI	-0.10, 0.26	-0.29, 0.11	-0.43, 0.09
Cereal products			
n	41	nd	nd
Mean difference	0.65		
SD	3.15		
95 % CI	−0.31, 1.61		

nd, not determined



<sup>\*</sup> Statistically significant decrease from 1930s to 1980s.

<sup>†</sup> Values shown are total Fe content and include the natural Fe content plus any fortificant Fe where it has been used.

<sup>‡</sup>The decades compared were: the re-analysis presented in this study (2000 s), the latest literature values (1980 s) and the earliest literature values (1930 s).

<sup>§</sup> Vegetables only due to a lack of data in 1930s on legumes and pulses

2116 CORRIGENDUM

using our published raw data, he was able to reconstruct Table 2 and show that the 95% CI values were, originally, incorrectly reported. As noted above, the table herein is the corrected version<sup>(1)</sup>. The authors apologise for this error.

## **References**

1. Bruggraber SFA, Chapman TPE, Thane CW, *et al.* (2012) A re-analysis of the iron content of plant-based foods in the United Kingdom. *Br J Nutr* **108**, 2221–2228, Published by Cambridge University Press, 1 March 2012, doi:10.1017/S0007114512000360.

