CrossMark

Vitamin B₁₂ status in kidney transplant recipients: association with dietary intake, body adiposity and immunosuppression

Karine Scanci da Silva Pontes¹, Márcia Regina Simas Torres Klein^{2*}, Mariana Silva da Costa³, Kelli Trindade de Carvalho Rosina³, Ana Paula Medeiros Menna Barreto³, Maria Inês Barreto Silva² and Suzimar da Silveira Rioja⁴

¹Post Graduation Program in Clinical and Experimental Pathophysiology, Rio de Janeiro State University, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil ²Department of Applied Nutrition, Nutrition Institute, Rio de Janeiro State University, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil ³Post Graduation Program in Medical Science, Rio de Janeiro State University, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil ⁴Nephrology Division, Rio de Janeiro State University, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

(Submitted 17 February 2019 - Final revision received 17 May 2019 - Accepted 8 June 2019)

Abstract

The aim of the present study was to evaluate the prevalence of vitamin B_{12} (B_{12}) deficiency in kidney transplant recipients (KTR) and its possible association with B_{12} dietary intake, body adiposity and immunosuppressive drugs. In this cross-sectional study, we included 225 KTR, aged 47·50 (sp 12·11) years, and 125 (56 %) were men. Serum levels of B_{12} were determined by chemiluminescent microparticle intrinsic factor assay and the cut-off of 200 pg/ml was used to stratify KTR into B_{12} -sufficient or B_{12} -deficient group. B_{12} dietary intake was evaluated by three 24 h dietary recalls and was considered adequate when $\geq 2.4 \mu g/d$. Body adiposity was estimated after taking anthropometric measures and using the dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) method. B_{12} deficiency was seen in 14 % of the individuals. B_{12} -deficient group, compared with the B_{12} -sufficient group, exhibited lower intake of B_{12} (median 2·42 (interquartile range (IQR) 1·41–3·23) v. 3·16 (IQR 1·94–4·55) $\mu g/d$, P = 0.04) and higher values of waist circumference (median 96·0 (IQR 88·0–102·5) v. 90·0 (IQR 82·0–100·0) cm, P = 0.04). When the analysis included only women, B_{12} deficiency was associated with higher total and central body adiposity measurements obtained with anthropometry (BMI, body adiposity index, waist and neck circumferences) and DXA (total and trunk body fat). Among individuals with adequate intake of B_{12} , the deficiency of this vitamin was more frequently seen in those using mycophenolate mofetil (MMF) (17 %) v. azathioprine (2 %), P = 0.01. In conclusion, the prevalence of B_{12} deficiency in KTR was estimated as 14 % and was associated with reduced intake of B_{12} as well as higher adiposity, especially in women, and with the use of MMF.

Key words: Vitamin B12: Kidney transplantation: Dietary intake: Body adiposity: Immunosuppression

Vitamin B_{12} (B_{12}) is a generic term for all cobalamins biologically active in humans. It is a water-soluble vitamin^(1,2), synthesised exclusively by micro-organisms⁽³⁾ and its main sources are animal products⁽⁴⁾. Gastrointestinal absorption of B_{12} is complex⁽¹⁾ and requires intact function of stomach, pancreas and terminal ileum^(2,4). After absorption, B_{12} is converted into two coenzymes, adenosyl and methylcobalamin^(5,6). Adenosylcobalamin participates in the conversion of methylmalonyl-CoA to succinyl-CoA in mitochondria while methylcobalamin participates in the re-methylation of homocysteine to methionine⁽⁷⁾ in the cytoplasm. The recommended intake of B_{12} is 2-4 µg daily⁽⁸⁾ for adults and elders, and the British Committee of Hematology Standards suggests that serum levels of $B_{12} < 148 \text{ pmol/l} (200 \text{ pg/ml})$ would be sensitive enough to diagnose 97 % of individuals with B_{12} deficiency^(9,10).

The main causes of B_{12} deficiency are vegan or vegetarian diet, diet poor in meat and dairy products, total or partial gastrectomy, ileum resection, use of metformin, drugs that block stomach acid and others⁽¹¹⁾. B_{12} deficiency is characterised by haematological and neurological effects, and manifestations range from mild to severe, including glossitis, fatigue, macrocytic anaemia and peripheral neuropathy. Furthermore, B_{12} deficiency is strongly related to hyperhomocysteinaemia, a great risk factor for CVD^(9,11-13).

An association between decreased serum levels of B_{12} and obesity has been observed in the general population by some

* Corresponding author: M. R. S. T. Klein, fax +551 2334 2063, email marciarsimas@gmail.com

Abbreviations: AZA, azathioprine; B₁₂, vitamin B₁₂; DXA, dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry; KT, kidney transplantation; KTR, kidney transplant recipients; MMA, methylmalonic acid; MMF, mycophenolate mofetil; WC, waist circumference.

authors^(14–21). However, to date, no study has evaluated the association of serum B_{12} levels with excessive body weight using a 'gold standard' method to evaluate body adiposity such as dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA).

Kidney transplantation (KT) is the treatment of choice for most end-stage renal disease patients⁽²²⁾. The goal in the management of kidney transplant recipients (KTR) is to avoid the risk of adverse events and comorbidities that may lead to graft failure or death, in particular obesity, infections, cancer and CVD⁽²³⁻²⁵⁾. Diarrhoea is a common finding in KTR and can be related to the use of immunosuppressive drugs^(26,27), as the gastrointestinal tract is involved in the metabolism of several of these drugs, and adverse gastrointestinal events, occurring in more than 80 % of patients after KT, are attributed to its use⁽²⁸⁾. Among the immunosuppressive drugs, mycophenolate mofetil (MMF) is extensively hydrolysed to mycophenolic acid by esterases in the stomach, small intestine, blood, liver and other tissues. There is evidence that patients using MMF frequently experience diarrhoea (from 15.6 to 32.5 %)⁽²⁹⁾, which is associated with villous atrophy in the duodenum and erosive inflammation in the ileum^(30,31), conditions that could favour B₁₂ malabsorption.

Although B_{12} deficiency may favour $CVD^{(13)}$, an important cause of mortality in $KTR^{(32)}$, at the present moment data about the prevalence of B_{12} deficiency in these patients are scarce. We can hypothesise that these patients may be at increased risk of B_{12} deficiency due to the recommendation to decrease the intake of animal protein before KT (during non-dialysis treatment)⁽³³⁾; the excessive weight gain that is common in $KTR^{(25)}$; and the use of MMF.

Therefore, the aim of the present study was to evaluate the prevalence of B_{12} deficiency in KTR and its association with B_{12} dietary intake, body adiposity and immunosuppressive regimen based on MMF.

Methods

This cross-sectional study followed the guidelines laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki, and all procedures were approved by the Committee on Ethics and Research of Pedro Ernesto University Hospital (CAAE: 38268714.0.0000.5259). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

We enrolled, prospectively, 368 unselected adults (18–70 years) with functional kidney grafts >180 d after transplantation. Exclusion criteria were actual or former use of B_{12} supplements, AIDS, cancer, autoimmune diseases, acute illness, amputation, liver failure, mental disorders and pregnant or lactating women.

Individuals who met the eligibility criteria and agreed to take part in the study were submitted to clinical, laboratory and nutritional evaluations. Data collected in the medical record included date of KT, type of graft donor and current use of immunosuppressive drugs. Blood sampling as well as the anthropometric measurements were taken from 07.00 to 09.00 hours after 12 h fasting and were performed within the period of 30 d in which dietary intake was evaluated. KTR were asked about lifestyle habits and the occurrence of diarrhoea on appropriate interviews. Patients who smoked at least one cigarette daily or those that stopped smoking within the previous 6 months were considered smokers. KTR who reported consumption of alcoholic beverages one or more times in the week were considered alcohol consumers. The habitual physical activity was evaluated by the Baecke questionnaire which assesses the physical activity in three subscales: at work, sports during leisure time and other physical activities during leisure time^(34,35).

Dietary intake

 B_{12} dietary intake was assessed by three intervieweradministered 24 h dietary recalls (two weekdays and one weekend day). The first two 24 h recalls were obtained face-to-face and the last one through a telephone call. The 24 h recalls interval between the first and the last was 30 d.

The 24 h recalls were obtained by two dietitians trained to ask the patients to enumerate all the information about the food and drink they had consumed from midnight to midnight in the previous day, including the quantity.

Nutrient analysis of the 24 h recalls was performed using the software Food Processor Plus[®] (ESHA Research) and two Brazilian Food Composition Tables^(36,37). An average of the three recalls was used in the analysis. Adequate intake of B₁₂ was considered when $\geq 2.4 \ \mu g/d^{(8)}$.

Laboratory parameters

The serum level of B_{12} was determined by chemiluminescent microparticle intrinsic factor assay using a commercial kit (Abbott) at the Laboratory of Nuclear Medicine at Pedro Ernesto University Hospital. This assay is designed to have a total CV ≤ 11 % for concentrations in the range of the low, medium and high controls.

Blood samples were also analysed to measure creatinine, urea, Hb, haematocrit, mean corpuscular volume, mean corpuscular Hb and mean corpuscular Hb concentration. These analyses were performed at the Pedro Ernesto University Hospital's central laboratory. Serum urea and creatinine were determined by kinetic method. Creatinine was calibrated to IDMS: COBAS 6000 (Roche/Hitachi). The estimated glomerular filtration rate was estimated using the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration equation⁽³⁸⁾.

Anthropometric measurements

The anthropometric measurements were taken twice by two experienced dietitians, and mean values were used. The height was measured using a stadiometer, accurate to ± 0.5 cm and the weight was obtained with a digital scale, accurate to ± 0.1 kg (Filizola S.A.), with the patients wearing light clothing with no shoes and after emptying the bladder. The measure of the waist circumference (WC) was taken in the standing position midway between the lowest rib and the iliac crest, at mid-exhalation while the hip circumference was measured at the widest point over the hip/buttocks area with the tape parallel to the floor⁽³⁹⁾. The neck circumference was measured in the midway of the neck between mid-cervical spine

NS British Journal of Nutrition

452

K. S. S. Pontes et al.

Table 1. Demographic and clinical characteristics and laboratory parameters of kidney transplant recipients according to vitamin B₁₂ status (Absolute values and percentages; medians and interquartile ranges (IQR); mean values and standard deviations)

	Vitamin B ₁₂ -sufficient group (<i>n</i> 194)		Vitamin B ₁₂ -deficient group (<i>n</i> 31)		
Characteristics	n	%	n	%	P*
Sex					0.49
Men	106	55	19	61	
Women	88	45	12	39	
Age (years)					0.44
Median	49	9.0	50	0.0	
IQR	41.0-	-56.0	44.0	–58·0	
Type of graft donor: deceased	92	47	19	61	0.15
Time of transplantation (months)			0		0.97
Median	11	4.0	6	1.0	
	15	-107·U g	20:0-	-102·U 10	0.72
Smoking babits	15	2	0	10	0.72
Laboratory parameters	4	2	0	0	0.42
Estimated glomerular filtration rate (ml/min per 1.73 m^2)					0.22
Mean	52	·86	47	.94	
SD	21	.52	14	.59	
Hb (g/dl)†					0.18
Median	12	<u>2</u> .7	12	2.5	
IQR	11.7-	-14.1	10.3	–13.6	
Haematocrit (%)					0.16
Median	38	.65	37	7.5	
IQR	35.3-	-42.4	31.7	-40.8	
Mean corpuscular volume (fl)					0.02
Mean	86	.36	90	.30	
SD	7.	48	7.	78	
Mean corpuscular Hb (pg)		~~		07	0.03
Mean	28	-36	29	·67	
SD Maan aarnuggular Hb concentration (g/dl)t	2.	//	2.	63	0.95
Mean Corpuscular Hb Concentration (g/di)	20	00	20	07	0.02
	J2 1.	02	1.	05	
Physical activity	1.	00	1.	05	
Work index					0.50
Mean	2.	80	2.	89	000
SD	0.	60	0.	56	
Sport index					0.54
Median	2.	25	2	·0	
IQR	1.75-	-2·75	1.75	-2.50	
Leisure time index					0.78
Mean	2.	65	2.	60	
SD	0.	68	0.	59	
Total Baecke score	_		_		0.80
Mean	7.73		7.66		
SD Comonhidition	1.	34	1.	26	
Co-morbidilles	157	01	06	04	0.70
Diabatas	30	20	20	26	0.70
Diabeles	153	20	2/	20	0.47
Diarrhoea	25	13	6	23	0.17
Immunosuppressive regimens	20	10	0	20	017
Mycophenolate based	134	69	25	80	0.23
Azathioprine based	52	27	5	17	0.24
Other drugs					
Antihypertensive drugs	157	81	26	84	0.70
Lipid-lowering drugs	83	43	14	45	0.79
Oral antidiabetic drugs	23	12	4	13	0.84
Metformin	21	11	4	13	0.77
Insulin	12	6	3	10	0.47
Proton pump inhibitors	35	18	7	23	0.50

* Vitamin B₁₂-sufficient group *v*. vitamin B₁₂-deficient group (Student's *t* test or Mann–Whitney test). † To convert Hb and mean corpuscular Hb concentration in g/dl to g/l, multiply by 10.

NS British Journal of Nutrition

Table 2. Dietary intake according to vitamin B_{12} status in kidney transplant recipients (Medians and interquartile ranges (IQR))

Variables	Vitamin B ₁₂ -sufficient group (<i>n</i> 194)		Vitami gr		
	Median	IQR	Median	IQR	P*
Energy (kcal/d)†	1768.0	1398-3-2179-0	1764.7	1459.8-2230.3	0.81
Energy (kcal/kg per d)†	25.2	19.4–33.4	23.1	19.8–33.7	0.79
Protein (g/d)	89.53	68.61-105.0	81.6	57.0-106.3	0.66
Protein (g/kg per d)	1.28	0.90-1.65	1.06	0.80-1.41	0.33
Carbohydrates (g/d)	245.8	188.6-318.2	246.9	197.1-306.4	0.97
Lipids (g/d)	46.3	35.6-61.2	55.1	44.2-75.4	0.25
Fibre (g/d)	22.2	16.0-30.3	22.2	15.6-31.3	0.93
Fe (mg/d)	13.1	9.4–16.8	13.0	11.9–14.3	0.80
Vitamin B ₁ (mg/d)	1.50	1.09–1.84	1.60	1.09–1.95	0.63
Vitamin B ₂ (mg/d)	1.55	1.13–1.96	1.60	1.10-2.25	0.62
Vitamin B_3 (mg/d)	20.4	14.1–28.6	18.5	12.4-23.1	0.37
Vitamin B_6 (mg/d)	1.54	1.14–1.91	1.49	1.23-2.00	0.74
Biotin (µg/d)	4.94	2.92-8.30	4.05	2.79-6.69	0.53
Vitamin B ₁₂ (µg/d)	3.16	1.94-4.55	2.42	1.41-3.23	0.04
Vitamin C (mg/d)	51.9	24.1-104.4	33.0	20.4-67.2	0.10
Vitamin K (µg/d)	21.2	11.4-39.7	21.6	8.1–76.2	0.91
Vitamin D (µg/d)	1.51	0.68-3.55	2.02	0.99–3.86	0.62
Vitamin E (mg α TE/d)	1.67	0.98-2.26	1.23	0.92-1.81	0.40
Folate (DFE/d)	475.2	326.2-670.3	511.7	377.4-601.9	0.94

αTE, α-tocopherol equivalents; DFE, dietary folate equivalents.

Vitamin B₁₂-sufficient group v. vitamin B₁₂-deficient group (Student's t test or Mann-Whitney test).

† To convert energy in kcal to kJ, multiply by 4.184.

and mid-anterior neck, if palpable, just below the laryngeal prominence⁽⁴⁰⁾.

Statistical analysis

BMI was calculated using the standard equation $(kg/m^2)^{(41)}$. Body adiposity index estimates body fat (%) using two anthropometric measurements (hip circumference and height) and was determined as described by Bergman *et al.*⁽⁴²⁾.

Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry

The DXA procedure was performed by a trained technician using a GE Medical SystemsLunar[®] (Madison) with the patient in the supine position. The DXA system performs rectilinear scans over the length of the body. The scan begins at the top of the patient's head and moves downward towards the feet. The program allows scanning up to 205 lines. During the scan, the source shutter opens to emit an X-ray beam. The software calculates fat mass, lean tissue and bone mineral mass. Fat-free mass is calculated as the sum of lean tissue plus bone mineral mass. Body composition was evaluated in total body and different sites, such as trunk. Visceral adipose tissue was estimated with the software CoreScan VAT⁽⁴³⁾.

Immunosuppressive treatments

At the renal transplant outpatient clinic at Pedro Ernesto University Hospital, the most frequently used immunosuppressive regimens for long-term follow-up include a calcineurin inhibitor (cyclosporine or tacrolimus) or a mammalian target of rapamycin inhibitor (everolimus or sirolimus) in addition to an antimetabolite (MMF or azathioprine: AZA). All treatment regimens are administered in combination with steroids (prednisone 5 mg/d). Sample size was determined based on a pilot study conducted by our group in which B_{12} deficiency was registered in 20 % of $KTR^{(44)}$. Then, considering that the number of KT outpatients in our service is 450, and a 95 % CI, the minimum sample size should be 160 patients.

A standard statistical package (STATA software, version 12.0; StataCorp) was used to perform statistics analysis. Normality was tested by the Shapiro–Wilk normality test. Continuous variables with normal distribution were expressed as mean values and standard deviations. Medians and interquartile ranges (IQR) were used to summarise variables with non-normal distribution. The individuals were stratified into two groups according to the levels of B₁₂. KTR with values <200 pg/ml were allocated to the B₁₂-deficient group and those with values ≥200 pg/ml to the B₁₂-sufficient group⁽¹⁾. The two groups were compared with the use of Student's *t* test, Mann–Whitney test or χ^2 exact test, as appropriate. The multiple logistic regression analysis was performed to assess the association of B₁₂ deficiency with body adiposity. The accepted level of statistical significance was 5 %.

Results

A total of 368 KTR were interviewed, of which 227 met the eligibility criteria and agreed to participate in the study. Of these, 225 completed all evaluations and were included in statistical analyses. Mean age was 47.50 (sp 12.11) years (range 18–70 years), and 125 (56 %) were men. Mean transplant duration was 110.24 (sp 89.83) months (range 6–331 months), and mean B_{12} levels were 362.57 (sp 169.25) pg/ml (range 83–1042 pg/ml). The prevalence of B_{12} deficiency was 14 %.

K. S. S. Pontes et al.

Table 3. Parameters of body adiposity according to vitamin B₁₂ status in kidney transplant recipients (Medians and interguartile ranges (IQR); mean values and standard deviations)

Parameter Median IQR Median IQR P* Anthropometry Men 255 228-292 260 239-30.1 023 BMI (kg/m ²) 255 228-292 24.1 235-362 071 Wornen 256 227-291 296 266-332 001 BOM (vg/m ²) 256 244-291 255 244-299 062 Wornen 336 301-359 391 359-41.0 001 Waist circumference (cm) 90.0 820-100.0 96.0 860-102.5 004 Wornen 36.682 3759 000 92.2 000 91.0 842-98.0 057 Wean 38.74 38.41 0003 003 004	Parameter	Vitamin B ₁₂ -sufficient group (<i>n</i> 194)		Vitamin gro		
Anthropometry BM (kgym*) 255 228-292 260 239-301 023 Men 253 258-292 241 235-322 071 Wornen 256 227-291 286 286-332 001 Body adjopsky index (%) 293 257-336 292 260-384 048 Men 261 244-291 286 249-299 062 Wornen 366 301-369 391 359-41.0 001 Waist circumference (cm) 90.0 820-100.0 96.0 880-102.5 044 Men 91.5 840-103.0 91.0 842-98.0 057 Wornen 86.0 80-97.7 102.8 100-114.0 00038 Neck circumference (cm) 96.0 80-4 280 64 04 Mean 38.74 3841 046 04 04 05 Mean 285 3.00 0.4 04 05 050 0.4 Mean 243.6		Median	IQR	Median	IQR	P*
BMI (kgym ¹) 25.5 22.8-29.2 26.0 23.9-30.1 0.23 Men 25.5 25.8-29.2 24.1 23.5-28.2 0.77 Women 25.6 22.7-29.1 29.6 28.6-39.2 0.01 Body adjosity index (%) 29.3 25.7-33.6 29.2 28.6-39.4 0.40 Men 26.1 24.4-29.1 26.5 24.9-29.9 0.62 Women 35.6 30.1-35.9 39.1 35.9-41.0 0.01 Wait circumference (cm) 30.0 82.0-100.0 96.0 88.0-102.5 0.04 Men 31.5 84.0-103.0 91.0 84.2-190.0 0.0003 Neck circumference (cm) 36.82 37.59 0.28 0.0003 Mean 36.74 38.41 0.001 0.64 Mean 33.67 36.64 36.04 0.27 Mean 33.63 36.04 0.01 0.64 so 7.80 7.25 0.01 0.27 Mean	Anthropometry					
Mean 25.3 25.8-29.2 24.1 23.5-26.2 0.71 Body adjosity index (%) 29.3 25.7-33.6 29.2 26.5 32.4-29.9 0.62 Mon 25.1 24.4-29.1 26.5 24.9-29.0 0.62 Wornen 33.6 30.1-36.9 39.1 35.9-41.0 0.01 Wast circumference (cm) 80.0 82.0-100.0 96.0 88.0-102.5 0.04 Mean 91.5 84.0-103.0 91.0 84.2-39.0 0.57 Wornen 86.0 80.0-97.7 102.8 100.0-114.0 0.003 Neck circumference (cm) 26.8 3.01 2.80	BMI (kg/m ²)	25.5	22.8-29.2	26.0	23.9-30.1	0.23
Women 256 227-29.1 296 263-33.2 0.01 Men 26.1 247-23.36 29.2 26.0-36.4 0.48 Men 26.1 244-29.1 26.5 249-29.9 0.62 Women 33.6 301-35.9 39.1 35.9-41.0 0.01 Wait circumference (cm) 90.0 82.0-100.0 96.0 840-102.5 0.04 Man 91.5 84.0-103.0 91.0 84.2-98.0 0.67 Women 86.0 80.0-97.7 102.8 100.0-114.0 0.0003 Neck circumference (cm) 36.82 37.59 280	Men	25.3	25.8-29.2	24.1	23.5-26.2	0.77
Body adjopsity index (%) 29.3 257-33.6 29.2 26.0-36.4 0-48 Men 246.2 246.5 24.9-29.9 0.62 Women 33.6 301-36.9 39.1 359-41.0 0.01 Waist circumference (cm) 90.0 82.0-100.0 96.0 88.0-102.5 0.04 Men 91.5 84.0-103.0 91.0 84.2-98.0 0.57 Mean 36.62 37.59 0.26 0.26 Mean 36.62 37.59 0.26 Mean 36.74 38.41 0.26 Mean 36.74 38.41 0.44 So 2.45 3.00 0.44 Mean 34.36 36.04 0.44 So 2.651 1.50 0.44 Mean 33.87 36.46 0.44 So 7.80 7.25 0.41 Mean 2.8.94 2.8.83 0.01 So 7.80 7.25 0.43	Women	25.6	22.7-29.1	29.6	26.3-33.2	0.01
Men 26.1 24.4-29.1 26.5 24.9-29.9 0.62 Women 33.6 301-36.9 39.1 35.9-41.0 0.01 Waist circumference (cm) 90.0 82.0-100.0 96.0 88.0-102.5 0.04 Men 91.5 84.0-103.0 91.0 84.2-98.0 0.57 Women 86.0 80.0-37.7 102.8 100.0-114.0 0.0003 Neck circumference (cm) 0 34.7 2.80 0 0.64 Mean 36.74 38.41 0.64 0.64 Mean 34.36 30.0 0 0.04 so 2.65 3.00 0 0.04 so 2.65 3.00 0 0.04 so 2.65 3.00 0 0.01 Mean 2.64 28.83 0.01 0 so 7.80 7.25 0.01 0.01 Mean 2.894 28.83 0.01 0.01 Mean	Body adiposity index (%)	29.3	25.7-33.6	29.2	26.0-36.4	0.48
Women 33.6 30.1–36.9 39.1 35.9–41.0 0.01 Waist circumference (cm) 91.5 840–103.0 91.0 842–98.0 0.57 Women 86.0 80.0–97.7 102.8 1000–114.0 0.0003 Neck circumference (cm) 36.82 37.59 285 360 264 Mean 36.82 37.59 0.64 38.11 0.64 Man 36.74 38.41 0.64 38.71 3640 0.04 So 2.85 3.00 0 0.04 0.04 0.04 Mean 34.36 36.04 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.04 Mean 3.387 36.46 36.04 0.01	Men	26.1	24.4-29.1	26.5	24.9-29.9	0.62
Wait circumference (cm) 90.0 82.0-100.0 96.0 88.0-102.5 0.04 Men 86.0 80.0-97.7 102.8 100.0-114.0 0.0003 Neck circumference (cm) 36.82 37.59 0.66 0.67 Mean 36.74 2.80 0.67 0.64 Mean 38.74 2.80 0.64 So 2.85 30.0 0.64 Women 2.85 30.0 0.04 So 2.85 30.0 0.04 Women 2.51 1.50 0.04 So 2.51 36.46 0.04 So 9.50 11.71 0.96 Mean 2.894 2.86.3 0.96 Women 40.90 47.89 0.01 Mean 2.04 1.56 0.01 Mean 2.04 1.90-31.0 32.6 1.94-92.9 0.46 So 7.80 7.80 7.25 0.01 0.01 Mean	Women	33.6	30.1-36.9	39.1	35.9-41.0	0.01
Men 915 84.0-103.0 91.0 84.2-98.0 0.57 Women 86.0 90.0-97.7 102.8 100.0-114.0 0.0003 Neck circumference (cm) 36.62 37.59 2.80 0.64 Mean 36.47 2.80 0.64 Mean 38.74 38.41 0.64 Mean 34.36 36.00 0.04 Mean 34.36 36.04 0.04 So 2.51 36.00 0.04 Mean 34.36 36.04 0.04 So 2.51 11.71 0.04 Mean 34.36 36.04 0.95 Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry 0.01 0.77 11.71 0.96 Mean 2.89.4 2.88.3 0.27 0.96 Mean 2.89.4 2.88.3 0.01 0.96 Mean 2.89.4 2.86 17.3-30.7 0.43 So 7.80 7.25 0.01 0.10	Waist circumference (cm)	90.0	82.0-100.0	96.0	88.0-102.5	0.04
Women 86.0 80.0–97.7 102.8 100.0–114.0 0.0003 Neck circumference (cm) 36.82 37.59 0.26 So 3.47 2.80 0.26 Mean 38.74 2.80 0.64 Mean 38.74 38.41 0.64 So 2.85 3.00 0.04 Women 34.36 36.04 0.04 Women 2.51 1.50 0.04 Mean 33.87 36.64 0.04 So 2.51 1.50 0.04 Mean 33.87 36.46 0.96 Mean 28.94 28.83 0.96 Mean 28.94 28.83 0.01 Mean 20.4 15.6–26.1 17.8 14.9–22.9 0.46 Women 20.4 15.6–26.1 17.8 14.9–22.9 0.46 Mean 20.4 15.6–26.1 17.8 14.9–22.9 0.46 Women 20.4 19.0–31.0 <td>Men</td> <td>91.5</td> <td>84.0–103.0</td> <td>91.0</td> <td>84.2–98.0</td> <td>0.57</td>	Men	91.5	84.0–103.0	91.0	84.2–98.0	0.57
Neck circumference (cm) 36.82 37.59 0 so 3.47 2.80 0 Mean 38.74 38.41 0.64 so 2.85 300 0 Wan 38.74 38.41 0.64 so 2.85 300 0 Wann 34.36 36.604 0.27 Wann 34.36 36.64 0.27 Mean 34.36 36.64 0.27 Mean 33.87 36.46 0.27 Mean 28.94 28.83 0.27 Mean 28.94 28.83 0.95 so 9.50 11.71 0.96 Mean 28.94 28.83 0.01 Mean 28.94 28.94 0.90 so 7.60 7.25 0.43 So 0.90 6.40 0.90 so 10.90 15.6-26.1 17.8 14.9-22.9 0.43 Wonnen 24.8	Women	86.0	80.0-97.7	102.8	100.0–114.0	0.0003
Mean 36.82 37.59 so 347 280 Mean 38.74 38.41 so 2.85 300 Women - 0.04 so 2.85 300 Women - 0.04 so 2.51 36.0 Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry - 0.27 Mean 33.87 36.46 so 9.50 11.71 Mean 28.94 36.46 so 9.50 11.71 Mean 28.94 7.25 Women 7.80 7.25 Mean 20.4 15.6-26.1 Mean 20.4 15.6-26.1 17.3 Men 20.4 15.6-26.1 17.4 Mean 20.4 15.6-26.1 17.4 Mean 20.4 19.0-31.0 32.6 29.4-46.8 Women 24.8 19.0-31.0 32.6 29.4-46.8 0.21 Mean<	Neck circumference (cm)					0.26
b0 38.7↓ 2.80 Mean 38.7↓ 38.41 s0 2.85 30.0 Women 2.85 30.0 Mean 34.36 36.04 0.04 S0 2.51 1.50 0.04 S0 2.51 1.50 0.7 Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry 7 0.7 0.7 Mean 33.87 36.46 0.7 S0 9.50 11.71 0.96 Mean 28.94 28.83 0.96 S0 7.80 7.25 0.01 Mean 40.90 47.89 640 S0 6.93 6.40 0.91 Mean 20.4 156-26.1 17.8 14.9-22.9 0.46 Mean 24.8 19.0-31.0 32.6 29.4-46.8 0.011 Truk body fat (%) 24.8 19.0-31.0 32.6 29.4-46.8 0.011 Mean 32.83 32.84 10.01 32.8<	Mean	3	36.82		37.59	
Men 38-74 38-41 0.64 so 2.85 3.00	SD		3.47		2.80	
Mean 38.74 38.41 so 2.65 3.00 Mean 34.36 36.04 so 2.51 1.50 Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry 7 6.66 Total body fat (%) 950 0.64 So 950 11.71 Mean 2.89.4 2.83.3 So 9.50 7.25 Mean 2.89.4 2.8.33 So 7.80 7.25 Wornen 40.90 47.89 So 6.98 640 Total body fat (kg) 21.7 16.4–29.3 23.6 17.3–30.7 0.43 Men 20.4 15.6–26.1 17.8 14.9–22.9 0.46 Wornen 24.8 190–31.0 32.6 29.4–46.8 0.01 Trunk body fat (%) 11.23 12.71 0.22 9.67 0.23 Mean 32.83 32.84 0.01 0.02 50 9.67 0.02 50 0.02	Men					0.64
sb 2-85 300 Women 34.36 36.04 0.04 sb 2-51 1.50 0.27 Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry 725 0.27 Mean 33.87 36.46 0.27 Mean 33.87 36.46 0.27 Mean 33.87 36.46 0.96 sb 950 11.71 0.96 Mean 28.93 28.83 0.91 Sb 7.80 7.25 0.01 Mean 29.90 6.98 6.40 0.01 Mean 20.4 15.6-26.1 17.8 14.9-22.9 0.43 Sb 6.98 6.40 0.01 0.22 0.22 0.22 Men 20.4 15.6-26.1 17.8 14.9-22.9 0.43 Women 24.8 19.0-31.0 32.6 29.4-46.8 0.01 Trunk body fat (%) 21.7 16.4-29.3 32.83 32.84 0.22 Sb	Mean	3	38.74		38-41	
Wornen 0.04 Mean 34.36 36.04 36.04 s0 2.51 1.50 Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry	SD		2.85		3.00	
Mean 34.36 36.04 so 2.51 1.50 Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry 1.50 0.27 Mean 3.87 0.46 so 9.50 11.71 0.27 Mean 28.94 28.83 0.9 So 7.80 7.25 0.01 Women 40.90 47.89 0.1 Mean 20.4 15.6-26.1 17.8 14.9-22.9 0.46 Yomen 20.4 15.6-26.1 17.8 14.9-22.9 0.46 Women 20.4 15.6-26.1 17.8 14.9-22.9 0.46 Women 24.8 19.0-31.0 32.6 29.4-46.8 0.01 Trunk body fat (%) 24.8 19.0-31.0 32.6 29.4-46.8 0.01 Mean 36.63 40.23 0.22 35. 0.22 35. 0.10 Mean 32.83 32.84 9.67 0.22 50. 9.67 0.02 50. 9.67	Women					0.04
so 2.51 1.50 Dual-energy X-ray absorptionetry 0.27 Mean 33.87 36.46 0.27 Mean 33.87 36.46 0.27 So 9.50 11.71 0.96 Mean 28.94 28.83 0.96 Mean 28.94 28.83 0.96 So 7.80 7.25 0.01 Mean 40.90 47.89 6.40 So 6.98 6.40 0.01 Mean 20.4 15.6-26.1 17.8 14.9-22.9 0.46 Women 24.8 19.0-31.0 32.6 29.4-46.8 0.01 Trunk body fat (%) 24.8 19.0-31.0 32.6 29.4-46.8 0.01 Trunk body fat (%) 11.23 12.71 0.22 Mean 0.22 Mean 32.83 32.84 0.23 0.23 So 10.65 9.67 0.20 Mean 12.7 8.5-17.1 9.68 8.56-14.36 <td>Mean</td> <td>3</td> <td>34.36</td> <td></td> <td>36.04</td> <td></td>	Mean	3	34.36		36.04	
Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry 0.27 Mean 33-87 36.46 \$0 9.50 11.71 Men 28.94 28.83 \$0 7.80 7.25 Women 0.90 47.89 \$0 6.98 6.40 \$0 6.98 6.40 Total body fat (kg) 21.7 16.4–29.3 23.6 17.3–30.7 0.43 \$0 6.98 6.40 0 0 1 Total body fat (kg) 21.7 16.4–29.3 23.6 17.3–30.7 0.43 Mean 20.4 15.6–26.1 17.8 14.9–22.9 0.46 Women 24.8 19.0–31.0 32.6 29.4–46.8 0.01 Trunk body fat (%) 11.23 12.71 0.22 Mean 32.83 32.84 0.10 Mean 32.83 32.84 9.0 0.20 50 0.02 50 0.02 50 0.02 50 0.02 50 0.02	SD		2.51		1.50	
Total body fat (%) 0.27 Mean 33.87 36.46 so 950 11.71 Men 28.94 28.83 so 7.80 7.25 Women 0.01 Mean so 6.98 6.40 Total body fat (kg) 21.7 16.4-29.3 23.6 17.3-30.7 0.43 Men 20.4 15.6-26.1 17.8 14.9-22.9 0.46 Women 24.8 19.0-31.0 32.6 29.4-46.8 0.01 Trunk body fat (%) 36.83 40.23 50 0.22 Mean 36.83 40.23 50 0.10 Mean 32.84 9.50 0.21 0.22 Mean 32.83 32.84 9.00 9.67 0.02 Mean 32.83 32.84 9.2-18.4 0.23 9.51 Trunk body fat (kg) 12.2 8-6-16.6 14.4 9.2-18.4 0.23 Men 11.7 8-6-16.1 <td>Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry					
Mean 33.87 36.46 sD 9.50 11.71 0.96 Mean 28.94 28.83 0.96 SD 7.80 7.25 0.01 Mean 40.90 47.89 0.01 Mean 20.4 15.6–26.1 17.3–30.7 0.43 Momen 20.4 15.6–26.1 17.8 14.9–22.9 0.46 Women 24.8 19.0–31.0 32.6 29.4–46.8 0.01 Trunk body fat (%) 11.23 12.71 0.10 Mean 32.83 32.84 9.0 9.67 0.10 Mean 32.83 32.84 9.67 9.67 9.67 Mean 32.83 32.84 9.2–18.4 0.23 9.63 SD 9.51 7.59 7.59 7.59 7.59 <td>Total body fat (%)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.27</td>	Total body fat (%)					0.27
SD 950 11.71 Men 28.94 28.83 096 SD 7.80 7.25 001 Women 40.90 47.89 011 SD 6.98 6.40 011 Total body fat (kg) 21.7 16.4–29.3 23.6 17.3–30.7 0.43 Men 20.4 15.6–26.1 17.48 14.9–22.9 0.46 Women 24.8 19.0–31.0 32.6 29.4–46.8 0.01 Trunk body fat (%) 0.22 0.46 0.22 Mean 36.83 40.23 0.21 0.22 Mean 32.83 32.84 0.01 Mean 32.83 32.84 0.02 SD 10.65 9.67 0.02 SD 9.51 7.59 0.22 Trunk body fat (kg) 12.2 8.6–16.6 14.4 9.2–18.4 0.23 SD 9.51 7.59 7.59 0.21 0.23 <td>Mean</td> <td>3</td> <td>33.87</td> <td></td> <td>36.46</td> <td></td>	Mean	3	33.87		36.46	
Men 28.94 28.83 sp 7.80 7.25 Women 0.01 Mean 40.90 47.89 SD 6.98 6.40 Total body fat (kg) 21.7 16.4–29.3 23.6 17.3–30.7 0.43 Men 20.4 15.6–26.1 17.8 14.9–22.9 0.46 Women 24.8 19.0–31.0 32.6 29.4–46.8 0.01 Trunk body fat (%) 0.22 Mean 36.83 40.23 0.1 sp 11.23 12.71 0.10 Mean 32.83 32.84 0.10 Mean 32.83 32.84 0.02 sp 10.65 9.67 0.02 women 2.52 51.3 0.02 sp 9.51 7.59 7.59 Trunk body fat (kg) 12.2 8.6–16.6 14.4 9.2–18.4 0.23 sp 9.51 7.59 7.59 0.11 <td< td=""><td>SD</td><td></td><td>9.50</td><td></td><td>11.71</td><td></td></td<>	SD		9.50		11.71	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Men				~ ~ ~	0.96
sb 7.80 7.25 Women 40.90 47.89 sb 6.98 6.40 Total body fat (kg) 21.7 16.4–29.3 23.6 17.3–30.7 0.43 Men 20.4 15.6–26.1 17.8 14.9–22.9 0.46 Women 24.8 19.0–31.0 32.6 29.4–46.8 0.01 Trunk body fat (%) 0.22 Mean 36.83 40.23 Sb 11.23 12.71 0.10 0.22 Men 0.10 Mean 32.83 32.84 0.20 0.10 Mean 32.83 32.84 0.00 0.01 Mean 42.52 51.3 0.02 0.23 sb 9.51 7.59 7.59 7.59 Trunk body fat (kg) 12.2 8.6–16.6 14.4 9.2–18.4 0.23 women 12.7 8.5–17.1 19.7 15.9–25.2 0.01 Visceral fat (kg) 0.95 0.52–1.	Mean	2	28.94		28.83	
Women 40.90 47.89 0.01 Mean 6.98 6.40 6.40 6.40 Total body fat (kg) 21.7 16.4–29.3 23.6 17.3–30.7 0.43 Men 20.4 15.6–26.1 17.8 14.9–22.9 0.46 Women 24.8 19.0–31.0 32.6 29.4–46.8 0.01 Trunk body fat (%) 0.22 0.22 0.23 0.23 SD 11.23 12.71 0.10 0.10 0.10 0.10 Mean 32.83 32.84 0.02 0.10 0.10 0.10 Mean 32.83 32.84 0.02 0.10 0.10 0.10 Mean 32.83 32.84 0.02 0.67 0.10 0.10 Mean 32.83 0.26 9.67 0.21 0.02 0.21 0.21 0.21 0.21 0.21 0.21 0.21 0.21 0.21 0.21 0.21 0.21 0.21	SD		7.80		7.25	
Mean 40.90 47.89 SD 6.98 6.40 Total body fat (kg) 21.7 $16.4-29.3$ 23.6 $17.3-30.7$ 0.43 Men 20.4 $15.6-26.1$ 17.8 $14.9-22.9$ 0.46 Women 24.8 $19.0-31.0$ 32.6 $29.4-46.8$ 0.01 Trunk body fat (%)0.22Mean 36.83 40.23 SD 11.23 12.71 0.10 Mean 32.83 32.84 0.01 Mean 32.83 32.84 0.02 SD 10.65 9.67 0.02 Women 9.51 7.59 7.59 Trunk body fat (kg) 12.2 $8.6-16.6$ 14.4 $9.2-18.4$ 0.23 Men 11.7 $8.6-16.1$ 9.68 $8.56-14.36$ 0.63 Women 12.7 $8.5-17.1$ 19.7 $15.9-25.2$ 0.01 Visceral fat (kg) 0.95 $0.52-1.66$ 1.12 $0.70-1.99$ 0.32 Men 1.21 $0.62-1.86$ 0.99 $0.63-2.08$ 0.93 Women 0.71 $0.34-1.30$ 1.27 $0.72-1.72$ 0.98	Women		10.00		17.00	0.01
SD 6-98 6-40 Total body fat (kg) 21-7 16-4-29-3 23-6 17-3-30-7 0-43 Men 20-4 15-6-26-1 17.8 14-9-22-9 0-46 Women 24-8 19-0-31-0 32-6 29-4-46-8 0-01 Trunk body fat (%) 0-22 0-23 0-22 Mean 36-83 40-23 0-22 Mean 32-83 12-71 0-10 Mean 32-83 32-84 0-10 Mean 32-83 32-84 0-10 SD 10-65 9-67 0-10 Women 42-52 51-3 0-02 SD 9-51 7-59 0-02 Trunk body fat (kg) 12-2 8-6-16-6 14-4 9-2-18-4 0-23 Men 11-7 8-6-16-1 9-68 8-56-14-36 0-63 Women 12-7 8-5-17-1 19-7 15-9-25.2 0-01 Visceral fat (kg) 0-95	Mean	2	10.90		47.89	
Total body fat (kg) 21.7 16:4-29:3 23:6 17:3-30.7 0:43 Men 20:4 15:6-26:1 17:8 14:9-22:9 0:46 Women 24:8 19:0-31:0 32:6 29:4-46:8 0:01 Trunk body fat (%)	SD T	o. 7	6.98		6.40	0.40
Men 20.4 15-6-26-1 17-8 14-9-22-9 0-46 Women 24-8 19-0-31-0 32-6 29-4-46-8 0-01 Trunk body fat (%) 0-22 Mean 36-83 40-23 0-21 SD 11-23 12-71 0-10 Mean 32-83 32-84 0-10 Mean 32-83 32-84 0-22 SD 10-65 9-67 0-10 Mean 32-82 32-84 0-22 SD 10-65 9-67 0-10 Mean 32-82 51.3 0-02 SD 9-51 7-59 0-10 Trunk body fat (kg) 12-2 8-6-16-6 14-4 9-2-18-4 0-23 Men 11-7 8-6-16-1 9-68 8-56-14-36 0-63 Women 12-7 8-5-17-1 19-7 15-9-25-2 0-01 Visceral fat (kg) 0-95 0-52-1-66 1-12 0-70-1-99 0-32 </td <td>l otal body fat (kg)</td> <td>21.7</td> <td>16.4-29.3</td> <td>23.6</td> <td>17.3-30.7</td> <td>0.43</td>	l otal body fat (kg)	21.7	16.4-29.3	23.6	17.3-30.7	0.43
Women 24-8 19-0–31-0 32-6 29-4–46-8 0-01 Trunk body fat (%) 0-22 0-21 0-22 0-10 0-22 0-10 0-22 0-10 0-22 0-10 0-10 0-22 0-10 0-10 0-22 0-10 <td< td=""><td>Men</td><td>20.4</td><td>15.6-26.1</td><td>17.8</td><td>14.9-22.9</td><td>0.46</td></td<>	Men	20.4	15.6-26.1	17.8	14.9-22.9	0.46
Mean 36.83 40.23 SD 11.23 12.71 Men 0.10 Mean 32.83 32.84 SD 10.65 9.67 Women 42.52 51.3 0.02 SD 9.51 7.59 7.59 Trunk body fat (kg) 12.2 8.6–16.6 14.4 9.2–18.4 0.23 Men 11.7 8.6–16.1 9.68 8.56–14.36 0.63 Women 12.7 8.5–17.1 19.7 15.9–25.2 0.01 Visceral fat (kg) 0.95 0.52–1.66 1.12 0.70–1.99 0.32 Men 1.21 0.62–1.86 0.99 0.63–2.08 0.93 Women 0.71 0.34–1.30 1.27 0.72–1.72 0.08	Trupk body fot (%)	24.8	19.0-31.0	32.0	29.4-40.8	0.01
Mean 30-63 40-23 SD 11-23 12-71 Men 0-10 Mean 32-83 32-84 SD 10-65 9-67 Women 9-51 7-59 Trunk body fat (kg) 12-2 8-6-16-6 14-4 9-2-18-4 0-23 SD 9-51 7-59 7-59 0-02 Trunk body fat (kg) 12-2 8-6-16-16 14-4 9-2-18-4 0-23 Men 11-7 8-6-16-16 19-68 8-56-14-36 0-63 Women 12-7 8-5-17-11 19-7 15-9-25-2 0-01 Visceral fat (kg) 0-95 0-52-1-66 1-12 0-70-1-99 0-32 Men 1-21 0-62-1-86 0-99 0-63-2-08 0-93 Women 0-71 0-34-1-30 1-27 0-72-1-72 0-08	Moon	, ,	26.92		40.02	0.22
SD 1123 1271 Men 0.10 Mean 32.83 SD 10.65 Women 9.67 Mean 42.52 SD 9.51 Trunk body fat (kg) 12.2 8.6–16.6 14.4 9.51 7.59 Trunk body fat (kg) 12.7 8.6–16.6 14.4 9.2–18.4 0.23 Men 11.7 8.6–16.1 9.68 8.56–14.36 0.63 Women 12.7 8.5–17.1 19.7 19.7 15.9–25.2 0.01 Visceral fat (kg) 0.95 0.52–1.66 1.12 0.70–1.99 0.32 Men 1.21 0.62–1.86 0.99 0.63–2.08 0.93 Men 0.71 0.34–1.30 1.27 0.72 0.72–1.72			00·00 11 00		40.23	
Men 32.83 32.84 SD 10.65 9.67 Women 9.67 9.67 Mean 42.52 51.3 0.02 SD 9.51 7.59 0.23 Trunk body fat (kg) 12.2 8.6–16.6 14.4 9.2–18.4 0.23 Men 11.7 8.6–16.1 9.68 8.56–14.36 0.63 Women 12.7 8.5–17.1 19.7 15.9–25.2 0.01 Visceral fat (kg) 0.95 0.52–166 1.12 0.70–1.99 0.32 Men 1.21 0.62–1.86 0.99 0.63–2.08 0.933 Women 0.71 0.34–1.30 1.27 0.72–1.72 0.08	Mon	ļ	11-25		12.71	0.10
Wear 32.65 32.64 SD 10.65 9.67 Women 9.51 7.59 Trunk body fat (kg) 12.2 8.6–16.6 14.4 9.2–18.4 0.23 Men 11.7 8.6–16.1 9.68 8-56–14.36 0.63 Women 12.7 8.5–17.1 19.7 15.9–25.2 0.01 Visceral fat (kg) 0.95 0.52–166 1.12 0.70–1.99 0.32 Men 1.21 0.62–1.86 0.99 0.63–2.08 0.933 Women 0.71 0.34–1.30 1.27 0.72–1.72 0.08	Moan		20.83		32.84	0.10
Women Mean 42:52 51:3 9:51 0:02 SD 9:51 7:59 0:02 Trunk body fat (kg) 12:2 8:6-16:6 14:4 9:2-18:4 0:23 Men 11:7 8:6-16:1 9:68 8:56-14:36 0:63 Women 12:7 8:5-17:1 19:7 15:9-25:2 0:01 Visceral fat (kg) 0:95 0:52-1:66 1:12 0:70-1:99 0:32 Men 1:21 0:62-1:86 0:99 0:63-2:08 0:93 Women 0:71 0:34-1:30 1:27 0:72-1:72 0:08			10.65		9.67	
Mean 42.52 51.3 0.02 SD 9.51 7.59 7.59 Trunk body fat (kg) 12.2 8.6–16.6 14.4 9.2–18.4 0.23 Men 11.7 8.6–16.1 9.68 8.56–14.36 0.63 Women 12.7 8.5–17.1 19.7 15.9–25.2 0.01 Visceral fat (kg) 0.95 0.52–1.66 1.12 0.70–1.99 0.32 Men 1.21 0.62–1.86 0.99 0.63–2.08 0.93 Women 0.71 0.34–1.30 1.27 0.72–1.72 0.08	Women		10.05		5.07	
Nicean 9-51 7-59 Trunk body fat (kg) 12·2 8·6–16·6 14·4 9·2–18·4 0·23 Men 11·7 8·6–16·1 9·68 8·56–14·36 0·63 Women 12·7 8·5–17·1 19·7 15·9–25·2 0·01 Visceral fat (kg) 0·95 0·52–1·66 1·12 0·70–1·99 0·32 Men 1·21 0·62–1·86 0·99 0·63–2·08 0·93 Women 0·71 0·34–1·30 1·27 0·72–1·72 0·08	Mean		12.52		51.3	0.02
Trunk body fat (kg) 12·2 8·6–16·6 14·4 9·2–18·4 0·23 Men 11·7 8·6–16·1 9·68 8·56–14·36 0·63 Women 12·7 8·5–17·1 19·7 15·9–25·2 0·01 Visceral fat (kg) 0·95 0·52–1·66 1·12 0·70–1·99 0·32 Men 1·21 0·62–1·86 0·99 0·63–2·08 0·93 Women 0·71 0·34–1·30 1·27 0·72–1·72 0·08	SD		9.51		7.59	0.02
Men 11.7 8.6–16.1 9.68 8.56–14.36 0.63 Women 12.7 8.5–17.1 19.7 15.9–25.2 0.01 Visceral fat (kg) 0.95 0.52–1.66 1.12 0.70–1.99 0.32 Men 1.21 0.62–1.86 0.99 0.63–2.08 0.93 Women 0.71 0.34–1.30 1.27 0.72–1.72 0.08	Trunk body fat (kg)	12.2	8.6–16.6	14.4	9.2–18.4	0.23
Women 12.7 8.5–17.1 19.7 15.9–25.2 0.01 Visceral fat (kg) 0.95 0.52–1.66 1.12 0.70–1.99 0.32 Men 1.21 0.62–1.86 0.99 0.63–2.08 0.93 Women 0.71 0.34–1.30 1.27 0.72–1.72 0.08	Men	11.7	8.6-16.1	9.68	8.56-14.36	0.63
Visceral fat (kg) 0.95 0.52–1.66 1.12 0.70–1.99 0.32 Men 1.21 0.62–1.86 0.99 0.63–2.08 0.93 Women 0.71 0.34–1.30 1.27 0.72–1.72 0.08	Women	12.7	8.5-17.1	19.7	15.9-25.2	0.01
Men 1.21 0.62–1.86 0.99 0.63–2.08 0.93 Women 0.71 0.34–1.30 1.27 0.72–1.72 0.08	Visceral fat (kg)	0.95	0.52-1.66	1.12	0.70-1.99	0.32
Women 0.71 0.34–1.30 1.27 0.72–1.72 0.08	Men	1.21	0.62-1.86	0.99	0.63-2.08	0.93
	Women	0.71	0.34-1.30	1.27	0.72-1.72	0.08

* Vitamin B₁₂-sufficient group v. vitamin B₁₂-deficient group (Student's t test or Mann-Whitney test).

The characteristics of the participants are shown in Table 1 according to B_{12} status. Mean corpuscular volume and mean corpuscular Hb were significantly higher among patients with B_{12} deficiency. The frequency of diarrhoea and MMF use was higher (without reaching statistical significance) in B_{12} -deficient when compared with the B_{12} -sufficient KTR (Table 1).

The intake of B_{12} was significantly lower in participants with B_{12} deficiency (Table 2). B_{12} intake was considered adequate in 143 KTR (64 %) and sixteen patients out of them exhibited B_{12} deficiency.

Considering participants of both sexes, individuals who had B_{12} deficiency exhibited higher values of WC. In the analysis

conducted only in women, it was verified that those with B_{12} deficiency exhibited values of BMI, body adiposity index, WC, total body fat (% and kg) and trunk body fat (% and kg) significantly higher than women with B_{12} sufficiency (Table 3) even after adjustment for age, estimated glomerular filtration rate, time from transplantation, type of graft donor and physical activity (Table 4).

The frequency of B₁₂ deficiency was not significantly higher in KTR using MMF (16 %) v. AZA (9 %) (P = 0.25) in the analysis considering all the participants included in the present study. However, in the analysis restricted to individuals who had adequate intake of B₁₂ there was a significantly higher frequency

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114519001417 Published online by Cambridge University Press

	OR	95 % CI	Р	OR*	95 % CI	Р
Anthropometry						
BMI (kg/m²)	1.04	0.97, 1.12	0.28	1.04	0.94, 1.15	0.46
Men	0.97	0.86, 1.09	0.57	0.94	0.81, 1.09	0.39
Women	1.13	1.01, 1.25	0.03	1.22	1.01, 1.48	0.04
Body adiposity index (%)	1.04	0.98, 1.11	0.21	1.02	0.94, 1.11	0.58
Men	1.02	0.90, 1.16	0.71	1.01	0.86, 1.17	0.94
Women	1.18	1.05, 1.33	0.007	1.20	1.01, 1.43	0.04
Waist circumference (cm)	1.02	0.99, 1.05	0.09	1.01	0.97, 1.05	0.71
Men	0.99	0.95, 1.03	0.49	0.96	0.91, 1.01	0.15
Women	1.06	1.02, 1.11	0.005	1.08	1.01, 1.16	0.04
Neck circumference (cm)	1.07	0.95, 1.20	0.26	1.04	0.90, 1.19	0.63
Men	0.96	0.81, 1.14	0.64	0.93	0.75, 1.16	0.53
Women	1.31	1.00, 1.72	0.05	1.12	0.80, 1.56	0.52
Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry						
Total body fat (%)	1.03	0.98, 1.08	0.27	1.02	0.97, 1.09	0.42
Men	1.00	0.92, 1.08	0.96	1.00	0.91, 1.10	0.99
Women	1.18	1.03, 1.36	0.02	1.20	1.01, 1.43	0.04
Total body fat (kg)	1.03	0.98, 1.07	0.23	1.03	0.98, 1.09	0.28
Men	0.97	0.90, 1.05	0.45	0.97	0.89, 1.06	0.49
Women	1.08	1.01, 1.14	0.02	1.13	1.02, 1.26	0.02
Trunk body fat (%)	1.03	0.98, 1.07	0.22	1.02	0.97, 1.07	0.39
Men	1.00	0.94, 1.06	1.00	1.00	0.93, 1.07	0.91
Women	1.13	1.02, 1.25	0.03	1.13	1.02, 1.26	0.03
Trunk body fat (kg)	1.04	0.97, 1.12	0.24	1.04	0.95, 1.13	0.43
Men	0.97	0.87, 1.08	0.54	0.96	0.84, 1.09	0.49
Women	1.13	1.02, 1.26	0.02	1.17	1.01, 1.36	0.04
Visceral fat (Kg)	1.17	0.67, 2.03	0.56	0.98	0.50, 1.94	0.96
Men	0.91	0.45, 1.85	0.79	0.71	0.28, 1.77	0.46
Women	2.11	0.76, 5.88	0.15	2.08	0.53, 8.17	0.29

* Adjusted for age, estimated glomerular filtration rate, time from transplantation, type of graft donor and total Baecke score.

of B_{12} deficiency in patients using MMF (17 %) v. AZA (2 %) (P=0.01).

Discussion

To our knowledge, three studies have described the occurrence of B₁₂ deficiency in KTR^(45–47). Födinger *et al.*⁽⁴⁵⁾ reported B₁₂ deficiency in 8·9 % of 733 Austrians KTR using <160 pg/ml as the cut-off to determine the vitamin deficiency. Karakus *et al.*⁽⁴⁶⁾ evaluating ninety KTR, who developed anaemia, found B₁₂ deficiency in 40 % of the individuals with macrocytic and in 8·3 % of those with normocytic anaemia. Scott *et al.*⁽⁴⁷⁾ registered less than 1 % of both B₁₂ and folic acid deficiency in 584 North American and Canadian KTR using the cut-off <200 pg/ml. In the present study, including KTR with a minimum of 6 months of transplantation, B₁₂ deficiency prevalence (cut-off < 200 pg/ml) was 14 %.

Some authors have evaluated the dietary intake in KTR^(48–50), but only one study evaluated the intake of $B_{12}^{(48)}$, that was considered adequate in 94 % of the patients. In the present study, we found that a lower percentage of the participants (64 %) presented adequate B_{12} intake. Furthermore, the intake of protein presented a tendency to be lower in the B_{12} -deficient group (Table 2) and was significantly lower in patients with inadequate *v*. adequate intake of B_{12} (median 71·5 (IQR 52·1–91·8) *v*. 94·4 (IQR 81·6–115·0) g/d, respectively, P < 0.0001) (data not shown). These findings suggest that B_{12} dietary intake needs to be carefully monitored in KTR, especially in those with lower protein intake.

We checked the within-subject consistency of dietary intake evaluated through the three 24 h recalls (data not shown). The dietary intake was similar for the same participant when we considered the two weekday recalls. However, as expected, the dietary intake evaluated through these two recalls differed from that assessed through the weekend day recall. The recalls obtained by telephone call compared with those obtained by face-to-face interviews did not present systematic difference. We believe that the use of trained interviewers contributed to the lack of difference. As described in the literature^(51,52), the dietary intake estimated through 24 h recalls collected by phone is comparable to the dietary intake that is collected face-to-face.

Although some studies have described the association between increased body adiposity and B_{12} deficiency in the general population^(14–19,21), to our knowledge, the present study is the first to register this association in KTR. We observed that B_{12} deficiency was associated with increased central body adiposity (evaluated by WC) in the analysis including the whole cohort of KTR and with increased total and central body adiposity in the analysis including only women (considering both the anthropometric measures and DXA).

The mechanism by which individuals with excessive adiposity may exhibit decreased levels of B_{12} has not been completely elucidated. Guéant & Alpers⁽⁵⁾ and Garcia *et al.*⁽⁵³⁾ using an animal model demonstrated that the deficiency of methyl radical and other cofactors, such as B_{12} , impairs the oxidation of the fatty acids, increases the stress on the endoplasmic reticulum and decreases the expression of sirtuin 1, a protein which plays a key role in molecular mechanisms of obesity. Li *et al.*⁽²⁰⁾ proposed that the impaired conversion of methylmalonic acid (MMA) to succinyl-CoA, a B_{12} -dependent process, may be associated with MMA accumulation, which could lead to an increase in lipogenesis, in addition to insulin resistance. In a study that included both *in vivo* and *in vitro* observations, Adaikalakoteswari *et al.*⁽¹⁸⁾ suggested that the B_{12} plays a role in epigenetic regulation by altering circulating microRNA during adipocyte differentiation that results in adipogenesis and adverse metabolic phenotype.

Since in the present study, a percentage of the individuals with adequate B_{12} intake presented B_{12} deficiency, we tested if immunosuppressive regimen including MMF was associated with the deficiency of B_{12} in these participants. We observed that in KTR with adequate B_{12} intake, the frequency of B_{12} deficiency was higher in patients using MMF than in those using AZA. This finding suggests that KTR using MMF may be at increased risk of B_{12} deficiency even if they present adequate B_{12} intake. However, in our opinion, additional studies are necessary to confirm this finding.

The evaluation of B₁₂ status might include not only serum B₁₂ but also markers of cellular B₁₂, such as homocysteine and MMA⁽⁵⁴⁾. However, in individuals with renal dysfunction, these markers may be falsely increased, while the evaluation of serum B₁₂ is not altered^(54–57). Considering that in the present study 68 % of the participants presented an estimated glomerular filtration rate <60 ml/min, we used solely serum B₁₂ to evaluate B₁₂ status.

The strengths of the present study include the evaluation of body adiposity with a 'gold standard' method (DXA). This is the first study to evaluate the prevalence of B_{12} deficiency in KTR and to observe an association between B_{12} deficiency with body adiposity and the use of MMF. As limitation, it is worth mentioning that the present study was conducted in only one centre and has a cross-sectional design not allowing to infer cause-andeffect relationships.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the prevalence of B_{12} deficiency in KTR was estimated as 14 % and was associated with reduced dietary intake of B_{12} as well as with higher adiposity, especially in women, and with the use of MMF in individuals with adequate B_{12} intake.

Acknowledgements

The authors express their sincere gratitude to Maria de Lourdes Guimarães Rodrigues, Débora Cristina Torres Valença, Bernardo Barreto da Silva Gaspar, Stephanie Giannini, Jessica Veiga Pires and Elisama de Moura Rodrigues Leite.

The present study was supported by Fundação Carlos Chagas Filho de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado do Rio de Janeiro (FAPERJ). K. S. S. P. contributed to the study conception and design; data collection, assembly, analysis and interpretation; manuscript drafting; and the approval of the final version of the manuscript.

M. R. S. T. K. contributed to the study conception and design; data collection, assembly, analysis and interpretation; manuscript drafting; and the approval of the final version of the manuscript.

M. S. C. contributed to the study conception and design; data collection, assembly, analysis and interpretation; manuscript drafting; and the approval of the final version of the manuscript.

K. T. C. R. contributed to data collection, assembly, analysis and interpretation; manuscript drafting; and the approval of the final version of the manuscript.

A. P. M. M. B. contributed to data collection, assembly, analysis and interpretation; manuscript drafting; and the approval of the final version of the manuscript.

M. I. B. S. contributed to the study conception and design; data analysis and interpretation; manuscript drafting; and the approval of the final version of the manuscript.

S. S. R. contributed to the study conception and design; data collection, assembly, analysis and interpretation; manuscript drafting; and the approval of the final version of the manuscript.

There were no conflicts of interest.

References

- Watanabe F (2007) Vitamin B₁₂ sources and bioavailability. *Exp* Biol Med 232, 1266–1274.
- Kozyraki R & Cases O (2013) Vitamin B₁₂ absorption: mammalian physiology and acquired and inherited disorders. *Biochimie* 95, 1002–1007.
- Fang H, Kang J & Zhang D (2017) Microbial production of vitamin B₁₂: a review and future perspectives. *Microb Cell Fact* 16, 15.
- Wong C (2015) Vitamin B₁₂ deficiency in the elderly: is it worth screening? *Hong Kong Med J* 21, 155–164.
- Guéant JL & Alpers DH (2013) Vitamin B₁₂, a fascinating micronutrient, which influences human health in the very early and later stages of life. *Biochimie* **95**, 967–969.
- Giedyk M, Goliszewska K & Gryko D (2015) Vitamin B₁₂ catalysed reactions. *Chem Soc Rev* 44, 3391–3404.
- Hannibal L & Blom HJ (2017) Homocysteine and disease: causal associations or epiphenomenons? *Mol Aspects Med* 53, 36–42.
- Institute of Medicine (2006) Dietary Reference Intakes: The Essential Guide to Nutrient Requirements. Washington, DC: National Academies Press. http://nap.edu/11537 (accessed August 2018).
- Hunt A, Harrington D & Robinson S (2014) Vitamin B₁₂ deficiency. *BMJ* 349, 5226–5226.
- Devalia V, Hamilton M & Molloy A (2014) Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of cobalamin and folate disorders. *Br J Haematol* 166, 496–513.
- 11. Stabler S (2013) Vitamin B₁₂ deficiency. *N Engl J Med* **368**, 149–160.
- Shipton M & Thachil J (2015) Vitamin B₁₂ deficiency a 21st century perspective. *Clin Med* 15, 145–150.
- Ganguly P & Alam SF (2015) Role of homocysteine in the development of cardiovascular disease. *Nutr J* 14, 6.

- Guven A, Inanc F, Kilinc M, *et al.* (2005) Plasma homocysteine and lipoprotein (a) levels in Turkish patients with metabolic syndrome. *Heart Vessels* 20, 290–295.
- Baltaci D, Kutlucan A, Turker Y, *et al.* (2013) Association of vitamin B₁₂ with obesity, overweight, insulin resistance and metabolic syndrome, and body fat composition; primary care-based study. *Med Glas (Zenica)* 10, 203–210.
- Knight BA, Shields BM, Brook A, *et al.* (2015) Lower circulating B₁₂ is associated with higher obesity and insulin resistance during pregnancy in a non-diabetic white British population. *PLOS ONE* **10**, e0135268.
- 17. Sukumar N, Venkataraman H, Wilson S, *et al.* (2016) Vitamin B_{12} status among pregnant women in the UK and its association with obesity and gestational diabetes. *Nutrients* **8**, E768–E778.
- Adaikalakoteswari A, Vatish M, Alam MT, *et al.* (2017) Low Vitamin B₁₂ in pregnancy is associated with adipose-derived circulating miRs targeting PPARγ and insulin resistance. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* **102**, 4200–4209.
- Allin KH, Friedrich N, Pietzner M, *et al.* (2017) Genetic determinants of serum vitamin B₁₂ and their relation to body mass index. *Eur J Epidemiol* **32**, 125–134.
- Li Z, Gueant-Rodriguez RM, Quilliot D, *et al.* (2018) Folate and vitamin B₁₂ status is associated with insulin resistance and metabolic syndrome in morbid obesity. *Clin Nutr* 38, 1700–1706.
- Guarnizo-Poma M, Urrunaga-Pastor D, Montero-Suyo C, *et al.* (2018) Association between serum vitamin B₁₂ levels and metabolic syndrome in a euthyroid population. *Diabetes Metab Syndr* 12, 943–948.
- Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) Transplant Work Group (2009) KDIGO clinical practice guideline for the care of kidney transplant recipients. *Am J Transplant* 9, S1–S157.
- Cimino FM & Snyder KA (2016) Primary care of the solid organ transplant recipient. *Am Fam Physician* 93, 203–210.
- Neuberger JM, Bechstein WO, Kuypers DR, et al. (2017) Practical recommendations for long-term management of modifiable risks in kidney and liver transplant recipients: a guidance report and clinical checklist by the Consensus on Managing Modifiable Risk in Transplantation (COMMIT) group. *Transplantation* **101**, S1–S56.
- Chan W, Bosch JA, Jones D, et al. (2014) Obesity in kidney transplantation. J Ren Nutr 24, 1–12.
- Aulagnon F, Scemla A, DeWolf S, *et al.* (2014) Diarrhea after kidney transplantation: a new look at a frequent symptom. *Transplatation* 98, 806–816.
- Shin HS & Chandraker A (2017) Causes and management of postrenal transplant diarrhea: an underappreciated cause of transplant-associated morbidity. *Curr Opin Nepbrol Hypertens* 26, 484–493.
- Ekberg H, Kyllonen I, Madsen S, *et al.* (2007) Clinicians underestimate gastrointestinal symptoms and overestimate quality of life in renal transplant recipients: a multinational survey of nephrologists. *Transplantation* 83, 282–289.
- Keown P, Hayry P, Mathew T, *et al.* (1996) A blinded, randomized clinical trial of mycophenolate mofetil for the prevention of acute rejection in cadaveric renal transplantation. The tricontinental mycophenolate mofetil renal transplantation study group. *Transplantation* **61**, 1029–1037.
- 30. Kamar N, Faure P, Dupuis E, *et al.* (2004) Villous atrophy induced by mycophenolate mofetil in renal transplant patients. *Transpl Int* **17**, 463–467.
- 31. Maes BD, Dalle I, Geboes K, *et al.* (2003) Erosive enterocolitis in mycophenolate mofetil-treated in renal transplant recipients with persistent afebrile diarrhea. *Transplantation* **75**, 665–672.

- 32. Jardine AG, Gaston RS, Fellstrom BC, *et al.* (2011) Prevention of cardiovascular disease in adult recipients of kidney transplants. *Lancet* **378**, 1419–1427.
- Wang AY, Kalantar-Zadeh K, Fouque D, *et al.* (2018) Precision medicine for nutritional management in end-stage kidney disease and transition to dialysis. *Semin Nepbrol* 38, 383–396.
- Baecke JA, Burema J & Frijters JE (1982) A short questionnaire for the measurement of habitual physical activity in epidemiological studies. *Am J Clin Nutr* **36**, 936–942.
- 35. Florindo AA & Latorre MRDO (2003) Validação e reprodutibilidade do questionário de Baecke de avaliação da atividade física habitual em homens adultos (Validation and reproducibility of the Baecke questionnaire for assessing habitual physical activity in adult men). *Rev Bras Med Esporte* **9**, 121–128.
- 36. IBGE (Brazilian Institute of Geography and Statistics) (2011) Pesquisa de orçamentos familiares 2008-2009: Tabelas de composição nutricional dos alimentos consumidos no Brasil. Coordenação de Trabalho e Rendimento (Household Budget Survey 2008-2009: Tables of Nutritional Composition of Foods Consumed in Brazil. Work and Income Coordination). Rio de Janeiro: IBGE.
- Universidade Estadual de Campinas (UNICAMP) (2011) Tabela brasileira de composição de alimentos - TACO. 4. ed. (Brazilian Food Composition Table - TACO, 4th ed.). Campinas: UNICAMP, 161 p. http://www.unicamp.br/nepa/ taco/tabela.php?ativo=tabela (accessed July 2018).
- Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) CKD Work Group (2012) Clinical practice guideline for the evaluation and management of chronic kidney disease. *Kidney Inter Suppl* 3, 1–150.
- World Health Organization (2008) STEP wise approach to surveillance (STEPS). Geneva: WHO. http://www.who.int/chp/steps/manual/en/index (accessed July 2018).
- Onat A, Hergenç G, Yüksel H, *et al.* (2009) Neck circumference as a measure of central obesity: associations with metabolic syndrome and obstructive sleep apnea syndrome beyond waist circumference. *Clin Nutr* 28, 46–51.
- World Health Organization (2000) Obesity: preventing and managing the global epidemic. *Report of a WHO Consultation*. WHO Technical Report Series (894). Geneva: WHO. http://www.who.int/nutrition/publications/obesity/ WHO_TRS_894/en/ (accessed July 2018).
- Bergman RN, Stefanovski D, Buchanan TA, *et al.* (2012) A better index of body adiposity. *Obesity* **19**, 1083–1089.
- Kaul S, Rothney MP, Peters DM, *et al.* (2012) Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry for quantification of visceral fat. *Obesity* 20, 1313–1318.
- 44. Costa MS, Silva KS, Rosina KTC, *et al.* (2015) Deficiência de Vitamina B₁₂ e sua relação com a ingestão alimentar e adiposidade corporal em receptores de transplante renal (Vitamin B₁₂ deficiency and its relationship with food intake and body adiposity in kidney transplant recipients). *Rev Hipertens* 2, 89.
- 45. Födinger M, Buchmayer H, Heinz G, *et al.* (2000) Effect of MTHFR 1298A→C and MTHFR677C→T genotypes on total homocysteine, folate, and vitamin B₁₂ plasma concentrations in kidney graft recipients. *J Am Soc Nephrol* **11**, 1918–1925.
- Karakuş S, Kanbay M, Köseoglu HK, *et al.* (2004) Causes of anemia in renal transplant recipients. *Transplant Proc* 36, 164–165.
- Scott TM, Rogers G, Weiner DE, *et al.* (2017) B-Vitamin therapy for kidney transplant recipients lowers homocysteine and improves selective cognitive outcomes in the randomized FAVORIT Ancillary Cognitive Trial. *J Prev Alzbeimers Dis* 4, 174–182.
- Heaf J, Jakobsen U, Tvedegaard E, *et al.* (2004) Dietary habits and nutritional status of renal transplant patients. *J Ren Nutr* 14, 20–25.

- Sasaki H, Suzuki A, Kusaka M, *et al.* (2015) Nutritional status in Japanese renal transplant recipients with long-term graft survival. *Transplant Proc* 47, 367–372.
- 50. Osté MCJ, Corpeleijn E, Navis GJ, *et al.* (2017) Mediterranean style diet is associated with low risk of new-onset diabetes after renal transplantation. *BMJ Open Diab Res Care* **5**, e000283.
- Posner BM, Borman CL, Morgan JL, *et al.* (1982) The validity of a telephone-administered 24-hour dietary recall methodology. *Am J Clin Nutr* **36**, 546–553.
- Brustad M, Skeie G, Braaten T, *et al.* (2003) Comparison of telephone vs face-to-face interviews in the assessment of dietary intake by the 24 h recall EPIC SOFT program the Norwegian Calibration Study. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 57, 107–113.
- 53. Garcia MM, Guéant-Rodriguez RM, Pooya S, et al. (2011) Methyl donor deficiency induces cardiomyopathy through

altered methylation/acetylation of PGC-1 α by PRMT1 and SIRT1. *J Pathol* **225**, 324–335.

- Harrington DJ (2017) Laboratory assessment of vitamin B₁₂ status. *J Clin Pathol* **70**, 168–173.
- 55. Klee GG (2000) Cobalamin and folate evaluation: measurement of methylmalonic acid and homocysteine vs vitamin B_{12} and folate. *Clin Chem* **46**, 1277–1283.
- 56. Loikas S, Koskinen P, Irjala K, *et al.* (2007) Renal impairment compromises the use of total homocysteine and methylmalonic acid but not total vitamin B_{12} and holotranscobalamin in screening for vitamin B_{12} deficiency in the aged. *Clin Chem Lab Med* **45**, 197–201.
- 57. Valente E, Scott JM, Ueland PM, *et al.* (2011) Diagnostic accuracy of holotranscobalamin, methylmalonic acid, serum cobalamin, and other indicators of tissue vitamin B₁₂ status in the elderly. *Clin Chem* **57**, 856–863.