p=0,002); lower scores at GDS (PS=24,3±0,81 vs NPS=28,3±1,10 p=0,002) Comorbidity for general mediacal conditions, PS and NPS differed significantly in neurologic illness (PS=11,71±2,21 vs NPS=10,21±2,1; p=0,012) AT ADL, PS scored significatively lower (PS: 12,01 ±2,01 vs NPS=15,12±2.10; p=0,032). Regarding temperamental aspects, no statistically significant.

Conclusions: The group PS results characterized by male patients, late onset, higher level of greater in anxious symptomatology, lower scolarity and intellectual disorders: memory and concetration deficit, scores significatively higher in the single subscales of somatization, obsessive—compulsive and psychotic at SCL-90. The subtype with psichotic symptoms presents higher comorbidity for general medical condition, statistically significant for neurologic and severity in disability. Regarding temperamental dimensions, there aren't differences statistically significant.

P23.10

Onset in elderly depressive patients

C. Cimmino^{1*}, C. Balista¹, E. Nonis², M. Amore¹. ¹Institute of Psychiatry, University of Parma; ²Institute of Geriatric, University of Parma, Italy

Objective: The study aimed to evaluate the symptomatic and temperamental differences in patients with diagnosis of Major Depressive Disorder on the basis of Onset.

Methods: a sample of 105 patients with a DSM-IV diagnosis of Major Depressive Disorder, were divided into two groups on the basis of onset: Early Onset = <60 years(Early Onset=EO, 62 patients) and Late Onset = >60 years (Late Onset= LO, 43 patients). The patients were assessed by means of HAMD+ atypical symptoms, HAMA, GDS, MADRS, CSDD, ADL, AIDL, BADL, QL-Index, SCL-90, MMS and CIRS for Comorbidity with general medical condition.

Results: There was a significant difference in mean age between two groups EO and LO (EO: $55,9\pm1,8$ vs LO: $64,3\pm2,1$; p=0,002). EO differs significantly from LO in basis of sex (EO= female: 39.1% vs male: 10.9%; LO= female: 15% vs male 26% on sample of 105 patients). At HAM-A the items phobias and cognitive disorder differ significantly in two groups: (item 3 EO= $2,11\pm1,12$ vs LO= $2,14\pm1,08$ p=0,005; item 5 EO= $1,06\pm0,59$ vs LO= $2,42\pm1,62$ p=0,022). At SCL-90, EO scored significatively higher in the total value of subjective symptomatology (EO= $130,11\pm22,10$ vs LO= $79,5\pm12,81$; p=0,011) and in the single subscales of Interpersonal Sensitivity (EO= $11,16\pm8,05$ vs LO=9±5; p=0,005), Depression (EO= $21,31\pm11,5$ vs LO= 12.8 ± 7.11 ; p=0,004), Anxiety (EO= 16.21 ± 6.20 vs LO=11,5±6,1; p=0,004), Rabies-Hostility (EO=2,12±2,4 vs LO= 6,4±2,1; p=0,012). EO showed total score significatively higher at GDS (EO= $27,1\pm0,2$ vs LO= $24,2\pm1,4$; p=0,005). At HAMD the items of initial insomnia, somatic anxiety, hypochondria and atypical symptoms are significantly different between EO and LO (item 5 EO= 1.34 ± 1.12 vs LO= 1.12 ± 0.21 p=0,002; item 11 EO= 2.41 ± 1.01 vs LO= 1.21 ± 1.01 p=0,005; item 15 EO= 2.01 ± 0.48 vs LO= 2.21±1,41 p=0,005; Total Score "atypical symptoms" EO= 1.14 ± 1.10 vs LO= 1.41 ± 0.18 p=0.005). Comorbidity for general medical conditions, EO and LO differed significantly in cardiac illness (EO= $12,72\pm4,36$ vs LO= $21,6\pm4,2$; p=0,005), respiratory illness(EO= 11,70±4,21 vs LO= 18,4±4,2; p=0,005). Regarding temperamental dimensions EO differed from LO in significantly higher scores in Harm Avoidance (EO: $26,4\pm3,3$ vs LO: $24,5\pm6,2$; p=0,002), in Novelty Seeking with subitem NS4 (EO=5,9±1.8 vs LO= 3.2±1.2, p=0.005); and lower scores in Persistence (EO: 2.8 ± 1.2 vs LO: 4.61 ± 1.2 ; p=0,004).

Conclusions: The Patients with early onset result characterized by an higher level of severity in symptomatology, a greater duration of disorder, depressive and anxious symptomatology. LO presents higher intellectual disorders: memory and concentration deficit, comorbidity for general medical condition, total score "atypical symptoms" and rabies-hostility. Regarding temperamental dimensions EO presents significantly higher scores in Harm Avoidance, Novelty Seeking and lower scores in Persistence.

P23.11

Gender differences in geriatric depression

C. Balista¹*, C. Cimmino¹, E. Nonis², M. Amore¹. ¹Institute of Psychiatry, University of Parma; ²Institute of Geriatrics, University of Parma, Italy

Objective: To evaluate gender differences both in symptomatic and temperamental aspects, comorbidity with general medical condition in elderly depressive patients.

Methods: a sample of 61 female (F=58.1%;mean age 62.4±1.2) and 44 males (M=41.9%;mean age 66.1±1.1) consecutively admitted in the Center for the study of Depression Disorder in elderly people of the Psychiatric Clinic of the University of Parma with a DSM-IV diagnosis of Major Depressive Disorder, were assessed by means of HAMD+ atypical symptoms, HAMA, GDS, MADRS, CSDD, ADL, AIDL, BADL, QL-Index, SCL-90, MMS and CIRS for Comorbidity with general medical condition.

Results: At HAM-A the items of subjective tension, phobias and cognitive disorder differ significantly in two groups: (item 2 $F=1.23\pm1.10$ vs $M=1.01\pm0.42$ p=0,032; item 3 $F=1.71\pm1.11$ vs M= 1.10 ± 1.05 p=0,002; item 5 F=1,02±0,89 vs M=2,72±1,52 p=0,044). At SCL-90, female patients scored significatively higher in the total value of subjective symptomatology (F=128,14±45,30 vs M=88,5±22,59; p=0,012) and in the single subscales of Obsessive-compulsive (F=18,22 \pm 7,32 vs M=8,17 \pm 2,4; p=0,018), Interpersonal Sensitivity (F=12,18 \pm 9,07 vs M=10 \pm 5; p=0,002), Depression (F=22,36 \pm 10,5 vs M=15,8 \pm 7,2; p=0,002), Anxiety (F=19,41 \pm 8,22 vs M=12,5 \pm 7,2; p=0,005), Rabies-Hostility $(F=2.5\pm4.4 \text{ vs M}=8.4\pm2.3; p=0.026)$. Women showed total score significatively higher at GDS (F=28,1±0,4 vs M=23,2±1,6; p=0,005). At HAMD the items of initial insomnia, somatic anxiety, hypochondria, weight loss, insight are significantly different between female and male patients (item 5 F=1.24±1.11 vs $M=1.21\pm0.22$ p=0,012; item 11 F=1.81±1.21 vs $M=1.20\pm1.02$ p=0,005; item 15 F=1,01 \pm 0,49 vs M=2,22 \pm 1,51 p=0,011; item 16 F=1,22±0,29 vs M=2,32±1,21 p=0,005; item 17 F=1,61±0,21 vs M=3,21±1,01 p=0,002). Comorbidity for general medical conditions, male and female patients differ significantly in cardiac illness (F=21,72±5,96 vs M=16,8±4,4; p=0,001), respiratory illness(F=21,72±5,96 vs M=16,8±4,4; p=0,001) and endocrinologic illness (F=21,72 \pm 5,96 vs M=16,8 \pm 4,4; p=0,001). AT TCI, temperamental dimensions such as Harm Avoidance (HA1:fear of uncertainty vs confidence $F=4.22\pm1,0$ vs $M=2.21\pm1,2$; p=0,010) Reward Dependence total (F=16.6±1.8 vs M=12.1±4.3;p=0.007) and single items RD1 (sentimentality vs insensitivity: F=4,4 ± 1.5 vs M=3,6 ± 2.3 ;p=0,002), RD3 (attachment vs detachment: $F=4,7\pm1,1$ vs $M=2,1\pm1,4;p=0,005)$ were all over-represented in female patients. Character differs between F and M: almost all dimensions of Self directedness were significantly higher in M than in F (Self directedness tot, F: 18,8±2,8 vs M: 26,8±5,2; p=0,001. Purposefulness vs lack of goal direction, F: 2.1±1. vs M: 2.5±1.0; p=0.002. Self-acceptance vs self-striving, F: 2.1±1. vs M: 3.2 ± 1.2 , p=0.002) and Cooperativeness (C total: F=26.32±3.1 vs M=15 \pm 4.3; p=0,025) was significantly reducted in male patients.